

Online Supplementary Materials for

**Cooperative Coevolution for Non-Separable Large Scale Black Box
Optimization: Convergence Analyses and Distributed
Accelerations**

(Submitted to *IEEE-TEVC*, Under Review)

Date: 2023-3-5

1. Convergence to Pure Nash Equilibrium for Cooperative Coevolution (CC):

Theorem 1: Given any partition $p = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$ of the objective function $f(\mathbf{x}): \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $1 < m \leq n$, we say that the convergence point of CC under this partition is also one *pure Nash equilibrium (PNE)*.

Proof: If $\mathbf{x}^* = (x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*) = (\mathbf{x}_{g_1}^*, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{g_m}^*)$ is a convergence point of CC under the partition $p = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$, then $\mathbf{x}_{g_i}^*$ is one of the global optima of the function $f(\mathbf{x}_{g_1}^*, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{g_i}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{g_m}^*)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. If $\mathbf{x}^* = (\mathbf{x}_{g_1}^*, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{g_m}^*)$ is not one *pure Nash equilibrium* (w.r.t. p), according to the definition of **Pure Nash Equilibrium (PNE)**, we have that $\exists i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $\exists \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{g_i} \in \mathbb{R}^{|g_i|} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}_{g_i}^*\}$, such that $f(\mathbf{x}_{g_1}^*, \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{g_i}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{g_m}^*) < f(\mathbf{x}_{g_1}^*, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{g_i}^*, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{g_m}^*)$, namely, then $\mathbf{x}_{g_i}^*$ is not the global optimum of $f(\mathbf{x}_{g_1}^*, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{g_i}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{g_m}^*)$. It is a contradiction.

2. Convergence Analyses on Four Representative Test Functions:

$$f_1(x, y) = 7x^2 + 6xy + 8y^2$$

Since its Hessian matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 7 & 6 \\ 6 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$ is positive definite, f_1 is differentiable strictly convex, then it has a unique global optimum $(0, 0)$.

Given (x_0, y_0) is a PNE, by the definition of PNE, (x_0, y_0) is the unique global optimum of differentiable strictly convex $f_1(x, y_0)$ and $f_1(x_0, y)$.

Then $\frac{\partial f_1(x, y_0)}{\partial x} = 14x + 6y_0 = 0$, we have $x = -\frac{6y_0}{14} = x_0$, $\frac{\partial f_1(x_0, y)}{\partial y} = 16y + 6x_0 = 0$, we have $y = -\frac{6x_0}{16} = y_0$. So, $(x_0, y_0) = (0, 0)$.

$$f_2(x, y) = x^2 + 10^6 y^2$$

Since its Hessian matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 10^6 \end{bmatrix}$ is positive definite, f_1 and its rotation variant are all strictly convex and have the unique global optimum $(0, 0)$.

Like the above proof, they have only one PNE, namely global optimum $(0, 0)$.

$$f_3(x, y) = 100(x^2 - y)^2 + (x - 1)^2$$

Obviously, $f_3 \geq 0$ and

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial f_3(x, y)}{\partial x} = 400x(x^2 - y) + 2(x - 1) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial f_3(x, y)}{\partial y} = 200(y - x^2) = 0 \end{cases},$$

So, it has a unique global optimum $(1, 1)$.

Suppose (x_0, y_0) is a PNE, then x_0 and y_0 are the global minima of differentiable $f_3(x, y_0)$ and $f_3(x_0, y)$, respectively. Then

$$\begin{cases} \frac{df_3(x, y_0)}{dx} = 400x^3 - 400xy_0 + 2x - 2 = 0 \\ \frac{df_3(x_0, y)}{dy} = 200y - 200x_0^2 = 0 \end{cases},$$

we have $200x^3 - 200xx_0^2 + x - 1 = 0, y = x_0^2 = y_0$.

Since x_0 is one of solutions of equation $200x^3 - 200xx_0^2 + x - 1 = 0$, we conclude that $x_0 = 1, y_0 = 1$.

$$f_4(x, y) = |x - y| - \min(x, y) = \begin{cases} x - 2y, x > y \\ -x, x = y \\ y - 2x, x < y \end{cases}$$

For any (x_0, y_0) , the function $f_4(x, y_0)$ and $f_4(x_0, y)$ obtain their global minima at (y_0, y_0) and (x_0, x_0) , respectively. So, the set $\{(x, y) | x = y\}$ is the set of PNEs.

3. Convergence Analysis on A Function (with Loss of Gradients):

Corollary 3: For the Schwefel's Problem 2.21, $\min (f(\mathbf{x})) = \min (\max_{i=1,\dots,n} (|\mathbf{x}_i|))$, defined on an open set¹ $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, the set of global pure Nash equilibria w.r.t. any partition $p = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$ is $\{\mathbf{x}, \max_{j \in g_1} |\mathbf{x}_j| = \dots = \max_{j \in g_m} |\mathbf{x}_j|\}$. There is a unique strict global Nash equilibrium $\mathbf{x} = (0, \dots, 0)$ w.r.t. any partition set, which equals the global optimum, and vice versa.

Proof: Given $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_{g_1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{g_m})$ is a PNE w.r.t. any partition $p = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$ of $f(\mathbf{x}) = \max_{i=1,\dots,n} (|\mathbf{x}_i|)$, owing to the definition of PNE, we have $\mathbf{x}_{g_i} \in \mathbb{R}^{|g_i|}$ for each $g_i \in p, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, satisfies

$$f(\mathbf{x}_{g_i}, \mathbf{x}_{\neq g_i}) \leq f(\mathbf{x}_{g_i}^{\sim}, \mathbf{x}_{\neq g_i}), \forall \mathbf{x}_{g_i}^{\sim} \in \mathbb{R}^{|g_i|} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}_{g_i}\},$$

namely, for any $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $\mathbf{x}_{g_i}^{\sim} \in \mathbb{R}^{|g_i|} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}_{g_i}\}$, $\max\{\max_{j \in g_i} |\mathbf{x}_j|, \max_{j \in \neq g_i} |\mathbf{x}_j|\} \leq \max\{\max_{j \in g_i} |\mathbf{x}_{g_i}^{\sim}|, \max_{j \in \neq g_i} |\mathbf{x}_j|\}$, only if, $\max\{\max_{j \in g_i} |\mathbf{x}_j|, \max_{j \in \neq g_i} |\mathbf{x}_j|\} \leq \min\{\max_{j \in g_i} |\mathbf{x}_{g_i}^{\sim}|, \max_{j \in \neq g_i} |\mathbf{x}_j|\}$, only if,

$$\begin{cases} \max_{j \in g_i} |\mathbf{x}_j| \leq \min\{\max_{j \in g_i} |\mathbf{x}_{g_i}^{\sim}|\} \\ \max_{j \in g_i} |\mathbf{x}_j| \leq \max_{j \in \neq g_i} |\mathbf{x}_j| \end{cases},$$

owing to the definition of PNE,

$$\max_{j \in g_i} |\mathbf{x}_j| \leq \min\{\max_{j \in g_i} |\mathbf{x}_{g_i}^{\sim}|\},$$

so, we only need for any $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$,

$$\max_{j \in g_i} |\mathbf{x}_j| \leq \max_{j \in \neq g_i} |\mathbf{x}_j|,$$

we have $\max_{j \in g_1} |\mathbf{x}_j| = \dots = \max_{j \in g_m} |\mathbf{x}_j|$.

Since $f(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$, $\mathbf{x}_0 = (0, \dots, 0)$ is a PNE, and $f(\mathbf{x}_0) = f(0, \dots, 0) = 0$, \mathbf{x}_0 is the unique global optimum, thus it is a unique strict global Nash equilibrium, and vice versa.

¹Here it is implicitly assumed that there is (at least) one global optimum in this open set.