Exploring A* Search For Single and Multi Layer Routing

Mohamed Shawky
Computer Engineering
Cairo University
Email: mohamed.sabae99@eng-st.cu.edu.eg

Remonda Talaat
Computer Engineering
Cairo University

Email: Remonda.Bastawres99@eng-st.cu.edu.eg

Mahmoud Adas
Computer Engineering
Cairo University
Email: mahmoud.ibrahim97@eng-st.cu.edu.eg

Evram Youssef Computer Engineering Cairo University

Email: evram.narouz00@eng-st.cu.edu.eg

Abstract—
Index Terms—

I. Introduction

II. RELATED WORK

A. Basic History

In 1959, Moore, Edward F. presented one of the first shortest path through a maze algorithm [1], after a couple of years in 1961 Lee, C. Y. presented the idea of simulating the board wiring on electronics board as a Maze [2]. Starting from there the idea of Lee Maze has been revisited many times, in 1983 Hightower, D. made more contribution to the idea such that using modern computers and virtual memories we can memic the routing problem precisely providing different techniques [3].

B. Router Anatomy

The Routing problem has many sections and subsections, in this paper we are mainly concerned with Detailed routing. Detailed routing is divided into many subsections, as you can see in fig 1 and we are exploring Maze and Line Search subsections.




Fig. 1. Anatomy of various routing techniques.

In the next section we are showing how various algorithms work, and discussing three algorithms, first the Lee algorithm it's a Maze based algorithm, second the Mikami algorithm it's a Line-Probe algorithm, and finally the Steiner algorithm it's a baseline algorithm.

C. Explored Techniques

- 1) Lee algorithm:
- 2) Mikami algorithm:
- 3) Steiner algorithm:

III. METHODOLOGY

A. Motivation

Metal routing is a critical step in systems integration process, where each source is connected to its fan-outs using non-crossing metal. Routing in a modern chip, with millions or even billions of transistors, can be very complicated and cumbersome, so the manufacture process has moved to automatic routing.

Automatic routing is a very vast field, with several techniques being developed through time. Automatic routing involves lots of problems like finding shortest non-blocked path and VIAs in multi-layer routing.

Automatic routing techniques are always a trade-off between optimality and speed. Some techniques target execution time by reaching a sub-optimal solution. Others target optimal solution with very high execution time.

In this work, we seek a good balance between performance and optimality of solution by introducing the usage of A* search for automatic routing with a modified cost function.

B. Formulation




Fig. 2. Example of occupancy grid map (OGM), where S is the source, D is the destination, white cells aren't occupied, black cells are occupied and blue cells represent the path.

Routing is formulated as a grid search problem 2, where an occupancy grid map (OGM) is provided with some source and destination cells to be joined. The OGM cells can have the value of 1 for occupied cell or 0 for empty cell. The OGM can be of multiple levels, in case of multi-layer routing, where the cell can have a third value to indicate that the cell can be used as a VIA. Only 4-neighbor cells are considered, so the path can move up, down, left or right. The path can also move from one level to another through VIA cells.

Given this problem formulation, several grid search and shortest path algorithms can be used to find the optimal path between each source and its given destinations. These algorithms can vary based on optimality and performance.

The two main metrics considered in this work are path length and execution time. Total length of metal, covering the grid, is used as a measure of solution optimality. It's defined as the number of grid cells, on which the metal is placed for routing.

Execution time is used as a measure of algorithm performance. It's simply the total elapsed time by the algorithm to find all required paths.

C. Baseline

To measure the validity and performance of our proposed method, three main baselines are used. These baselines are well-established algorithms that are currently used in industry.

- 1) Maze Routing (Lee's Algorithm): The first baseline algorithm, to be considered, is maze routing. Maze routing is one of the most well-established and widely-used algorithms in metal routing. It consists of a wide range of algorithms and techniques. Lee's algorithm is the basic maze routing solution and it's considered as our first baseline for comparison. This algorithm is basically a grid search algorithm for routing.
- 2) Mikami-Tabuchi's Algorithm: The second considered baseline algorithm is Mikami-Tabuchi's algorithm. Unlike Lee's algorithm, this algorithm is a line search technique that adopts line-search operations. It can find a path between source and destination cells, but it doesn't guarantee the shortest possible path.
- 3) Steiner Tree Algorithm: Steiner tree spans though the given subset of vertices in a given graph. Steiner tree is suitable for any situation, where the task is minimize cost of connection among some important locations, like VLSI Design, Computer Networks, etc. So, Steiner tree is considered as our final baseline algorithm.

D. Modified A* Search




Fig. 3. An illustrative example for A* search process and cost function.

The main contribution of this work is the usage of A^* search as a new routing technique. The main idea of A^* search, as shown in fig.3, is the usage of some heuristic

function to assign a cost for each node. To define a path from a source node to a destination node, the nodes that minimizes the estimated path cost are chosen at each step. The main objective is to minimize the following function:

$$f(n) = g(n) + h(n) \tag{1}$$

Where f(n) is the total cost of the path from a specific node, g(n) is the cost of the edge between current node and next chosen node and h(n) is the estimated cost of the next chosen node based on the used heuristic function.

Thus, the required information to solve an A^* search problem is the cost of edge between each two nodes and the heuristic function to get an estimated cost for each node.




Fig. 4. An illustrative example for the new heuristic function based on Euclidean distance.

The OGM representation of the routing problem can be formulated to become an A^* search problem. The edge cost g(n) is always 1, as the path can only move from one cell to an adjacent cell. The heuristic function, proposed in this work, is Euclidean distance between next chosen cell and destination cell, as shown in fig.4. So, the cost of taking any of the adjacent cells is Euclidean distance + 1, which can be simplified to Euclidean distance between an adjacent cell and destination cell.

The proposed algorithm can be summarized as follows:

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS
V. CONCLUSION

REFERENCES

[1] Edward F. Moore. The shortest path through a maze, 1959.

Algorithm 1: Modified A* Search For Automatic Routing

```
Result: Optimal path between source and destination cells.

append source cell to the path;

while destination not reached do

get adjacent cell that minimizes euclidean distance;
append cell to the path;
if current cell is blocked then

remove current cell from path;
backtrack to the previous cell;
continue;
end
end
```

- [2] C. Y. Lee. An algorithm for path connections and its applications. IRE Transactions on Electronic Computers, (EC-10 (2): 346–365, doi:10.1109/TEC.1961.), 1961.
- [3] D. Hightower. The lee router revisited. *IEEE international Conference on computer design: 136-139*, 1983.