# **ExaNLA Survey Response Report**

Generated on: 10/17/2025 at 12:49:00 PM

#### **Submission Details**

Library Name: libNEGF

Versión: 1.3

Contact Name: Alessandro Pecchia Email: alessandro.pecchia@cnr.it

Organization: Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche

## **Selected NLA Operations**

1. Matrix Inversion

2. Linear System Solvers

3. Matrix-Matrix Multiplication (GEMM)

#### 1. Codes Information

Basic information about your application/simulation codes.

Library Name:

**IIDNEGF** 

**Current Version:** 

1.3

**Contact Information:** 

Not specified

Name:

Alessandro Pecchia

Email:

alessandro.pecchia@cnr.it

Organization:

Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche

Application Domain:

Not specified

What is the primary application domain of your codes?:

**Materials Science** 

Materials Science:

Not specified

What are the main functionalities of your code?:

**Quantum transport** 

If you selected "Other", please specify::

Not specified

Climate/Weather Modeling:

Not specified

What are the main functionalities of your code?:

Not specified

```
If you selected "Other", please specify::
            Not specified
Fluid Dynamics:
      Not specified
      What are the main functionalities of your code?:
            Not specified
      If you selected "Other", please specify::
            Not specified
```

Other Domain Functions:

Not specified

What are the main functionalities of your code?:

Not specified

Use Case Information:

Not specified

Does your codes have multiple distinct use cases?:

Yes, multiple distinct use cases

Which use case are you describing in this submission?:

Transport calculations in nanostructures

Library Description:

libNEGF is a general-purpose library to perform quantum transport calculations. It is agnostic of the underlying Hamiltonian formulation, provided it is a local basis representation (FD, FEM, LCAO, etc.). In recent years there was a big development of the code thanks to the Energy-Oriented Center of Excellence, involving a tight collaboration with JSC. Currently the codes relays on block-dense linear algebra also ported to GPUs. There is an activity to further improve scalability by distributing the spatial domain by Nested-Dissection or similar approaches. Mixed-precision and compression by low-rank approximations are also under scrutiny.

#### 2. Matrix Inversion

```
Matrix Inversion (A\{^1):
    Purpose and Use Cases of Matrix Inversion:
          Green's function calculation (ÉI-H-£(É)){1
     Matrix Properties:
          Not specified
          Matrix Structure:
                Dense, Low-rank updates (A + UCV@)
          Matrix Distribution:
               Distribution optimized for selected inversion
          Matrix Storage Format:
               Dense (column-major/row-major)
          Mathematical Properties:
               Complex valued
          Matrix Dimensions:
               Medium (1,000 - 10,000)
    Accuracy Requirements:
          Not specified
          Inverse Accuracy:
               Medium accuracy (10^-6)
```

Linear System Accuracy:

Medium accuracy (10^-6)

Working Precision:

Double precision (64-bit), Mixed precision (e.g., FP32 inversion with FP64 refinement)

Workload Characteristics:

Not specified

Computation Pattern: capability or capacity:

Many independent smaller inversions (e.g., batch processing multiple matrices simultaneously), Part of larger computation (e.g., Green's function calculation, preconditioner construction)

Dense Linear Algebra Libraries:

Not specified

**Currently Used Libraries:** 

ScaLAPACK, Other: cuSolver

Interested in Using: cuSolverMp

Sparse or Iterative Solver Libraries:

Not specified

**Currently Used Libraries:** 

SuperLU / SuperLU\_DIST

Interested in Using:

Not specified

Specialized and Domain-Specific Libraries:

Not specified

**Currently Used Libraries:** 

Domain-specific GPU libraries (e.g., custom Green's function solvers)

Interested in Using:

Not specified

Benchmarking Requirements:

Not specified

Benchmark Input Types:

Real matrices from application workloads

Can You Provide Data or Mini-apps?:

Yes, both matrices and mini-apps

Scaling Requirements:

Strong scaling (fixed total problem size), Weak scaling (fixed problem size per process/node)

#### 3. Linear System Solvers

Linear System Solvers:

Yés

Matrix Properties:

Not specified

Matrix Structure:

Not specified

Matrix Properties:

Not specified

Matrix Distribution:

Not specified

Matrix Storage Format: **Not specified** 

Matrix Size:

Not specified

Performance Requirements:

Not specified

Accuracy Requirements:

Not specified

Working Precision:

Not specified

Scaling Requirements:

Not specified

Parallelization Requirements:

Not specified

Workload Characteristics:

Not specified

Computation Pattern: capability or capacity:

Not specified

Library Usage:

Not specified

Dense Solver Libraries:

Not specified

Sparse Solver Libraries:

Not specified

Benchmarking Requirements:

Not specified

Benchmark Input Types:

Not specified

Can You Provide Data or Mini-apps?:

Not specified

Scaling Requirements:

Not specified

### 4. Matrix-Matrix Multiplication (GEMM)

Matrix-Matrix Multiplication (GEMM):

Yes

Matrix Properties:

Not specified

Matrix Structure:

Dense matrices, Distributed matrices, Block-structured matrices

Matrix Distribution:

**Custom domain decomposition** 

Matrix Storage Format:

Dense (column-major/row-major)

Which types of matrix multiplications do you perform?:

Full GEMM (±AB + 2C), Triple product (ABC), Mixed precision

**Typical Dimensions:** 

Not specified

Matrix Size Range:

Medium (100 - 1,000), Large (1,000 - 10,000)

Typical Matrix Shapes:

Square matrices (m "H n "H k)

Batch Size:

Not applicable

Distributed-Memory NLA Library Usage:

Not specified

General Distributed Memory Libraries (CPU/GPU):

Custom distributed implementation

Special/Advanced Implementations:

Algorithm-specific implementations (e.g., Strassen, communication-avoiding algorithms)

Are there any NLA libraries you are interested in using (but have not yet adopted)?: **Not specified** 

Future Requirements:

Not specified

**Desired Features:** 

Better mixed precision support, More flexible memory layouts

Benchmarking Requirements:

Not specified

Benchmark Input Types:

Real matrices from application workloads

Can You Provide Data or Mini-apps?:

Yes, both matrices and mini-apps

Scaling Requirements:

Strong scaling (fixed total problem size), Weak scaling (fixed problem size per process/node)

Working Precision:

Single precision (32-bit), Double precision (64-bit), Mixed precision (e.g., FP32 multiplication with FP64 accumulation), Tensor Core compatible precisions