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# Introduction

With the rising of the **Continuous Deployment** [1] activity, the frequency at which of web applications (website, api, etc.) are deployed have significantly increased. Today is common to see companies deploying a new version of a web application several time by weeks/months [2].

# Continuous deployment activity has a price to pay

With the increase of the frequency of deployment as well as the full automation of the deployment process, the risk to introduce a problem allowing to attack the web application significantly increase. To be honest, the validation steps (unit test, integration test, etc.) in a continuous deployment pipeline, are critical because they represent the “watch dog” before the exposure of the application to end users.

Common continuous deployment pipeline :
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Often the test steps (Unit, Integration, Acceptance, etc.) are focused on the objective to ensure that the version deployed is functional from a business point of view (features does what they are expected to perform without bug).

It is technically possible to add security focused tests in these test steps to cover the security aspect. It is not the objective of this post to present possible tests, an interesting talk about this topic, by the WE45 company (<https://we45.com/>), is provided here [3].

However, when the deployment on production step is finished, doubts like the following will remain:

* Does the version deployed only expose content that is expected to be accessible by end-users?
* Does the production configuration is hardened as expected?

# Doubt removal

To try to remove these mentioned doubts, it is possible to add a final validation step to reach this continuous deployment pipeline:
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This step, triggered automatically once the application is deployed, apply different security focused validation. The objective is to ensure that the application is in a state consistent with a production environment.

If issues are detected, then either two options are possible depending on the issues and level of automation achieved by the company in its continuous deployment activity:

* Option 1: Fix the detected issues leveraging automation on the components affected.
* Option 2: Trigger a continuous deployment pipeline to deploy the previous version.

If no issue is detected, then access to end-users (or no action) is performed depending on the deployment model of the application [4].

# Technical proposal

The table below show a list a validation that can be performed in this final post deployment step. In this table every tool leveraged were chosen to allow validation without depending on a online service to open the capability to either target an internal (Intranet) or an external (Internet) applications. All chose tools are free and open source.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Validation identifier | Validation objective | Tool used |
| VAL00 | Ensure that all HTTP security headers applicable for the application topology are present and correctly defined. | * <https://github.com/ovh/venom> * Venom test plan following the *OWASP Secure Headers Project* recommendations: https://gist.github.com/righettod/f63548ebd96bed82269dcc3dfea27056 |
| VAL01 | Ensure that only a secure protocol is used (HTTPS). | * Curl combined with some bash commands: <https://github.com/curl/curl> |
| VAL02 | Ensure that the TLS configuration is secure according to current standard. | * <https://github.com/drwetter/testssl.sh> * JQ for results handling: <https://github.com/stedolan/jq> |
| VAL03 | Ensure that no sensitive content or secrets is exposed. | * <https://github.com/ffuf/ffuf> * Custom dictionary (text file specific to the application) of items (path/file) that must not be present after the deployment * Curl commands to verify some potential information disclosure |
| VAL04 | Ensure that a security.txt file is present to allow security bug reporting in a secure way. |  |
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