#### Exhibit B

#### DELPHI CONFIDENTIAL Case 1:12-mc-00100-EGS Document 19-2 Filed 10/25/13 Page 2 of 6

From:

Butler, Jr., John (Jack) Wm <Jack.Butler@skadden.com>

Sent: Friday, May 22, 2009 2:06 PM To: Sheehan, John <a href="mailto:stipp@delphi.com">">">">", Stipp, Keith <a href="mailto:keith.stipp@delphi.com">">", Sherbin, David <a href="mailto:david.sherbin@delphi.com">">", Corcoran, Sean P." | "All Sherbin, David <a href="mailto:david.sherbin@delphi.com">", Corcoran, Sean P." | "All Sherbin, David <a href="mailto:david.sherbin@delphi.com">", Corcoran, Sean P." | "All Sherbin, David <a href="mailto:david.sherbin@delphi.com">", Corcoran, Sean P." | "All Sherbin, David <a href="mailto:david.sherbin@delphi.com">", Corcoran, Sean P." | ", Corcoran, Sea <scan.p.corcoran@dclphi.com> Cochran, Eric L <Eric.Cochran@skadden.com>; Marafioti, Kayalyn A <Kayalyn.Marafioti@skadden.com>; Eisenberg, Randall <Randall.Eisenberg@FTIConsulting.com>; 'Shaw, William' <william.shaw@us.rothschild.com> Cc: Subject: Delphi - Proposed Submissions to Judge Morris Attach: Delphi Mediation Submission.pdf Attached is the revised mediation submission. Please email me any comments that you may have by 4:00 p.m. EDT today. We will be making the submission late this afternoon. Thanks, Jack John Wm. ("Jack") Butler, Jr. Partner and Co-Practice Leader, Corporate Restructuring Skadden, Arps, Slate, Neagher & Flom LLP 333 West Wacker Drive ( Chicago | Illinois | 60606-1285 0: 312.407.0730 | M: 312.498.6691 | F: 312.407.8501 jack.butle@skadden.com Skadden To ensure compliance with Treasury Department regulations, we advise you that, unless otherwise expressly indicated, any federal tax advice contained in this message was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or applicable state or local tax law provisions or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matters addressed herein. This email and any attachments thereto, is intended only for use by the addressee(s) named herein and may contain legally privileged and/or confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are hereby notified any dissemination, distribution or copying of this email, and any attachments thereto, is strictly prohibited. If you receive this email in error please immediately notify me at (212) 735-3000 and permanently delete the original copy and any copy of any email, and any printout thereof. Further information about the firm, a list of the Partners and their professional qualifications will be provided upon request.

Note: If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended re

# Case 1:12-mc-00100-EGS Document 19-2 Filed 10/25/13 Page 3 of 6

## CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO MEDIATION PRIVILEGE - MAY 22, 2009

### Delphi Corporation and Affiliated Debtors Stakeholder Mediation – May 26, 2009 Debtors' Submission – Perceived Stakeholders' Motivations

Stakeholder	Perceived Objectives	Perceived Concerns
US Treasury Auto Task Force (Capital Provider)	Continuation (protection) of supply for GM  Maximize feasibility and speed of execution of transaction  Minimize transaction economics  Induce PBGC to waive alleged "rest of world" liens against Delphi's non-debtor affiliates in order	<ul> <li>Delphi's chapter 11 cases remain unresolved and interfere with GM restructuring (including launch of potential GM chapter 11 cases)</li> <li>GM's parts supply is disrupted</li> <li>Delphi solution "costs too much" or is perceived as direct support of automotive supplier base (as opposed to Chrysler/GM centric actions)</li> <li>Criticized or sucd for orchestrating a "government</li> </ul>
General Motors Corporation (Principal Customer)	Continuation (protection) of supply even adopting new strategic alternatives to increase vertical integration (engineering and production) to insure supply in harsh economic climate	Delphi loses liquidity and enters into uncontrolled liquidation/shutdown disrupting supply (at likely resourcing cost of several billion dollars to GM and production shutdown of up to 11 months or more)
	Mitigate GSA/MRA funding commitments agreed to in 2008 to procure Delphi releases  Minimize debt repayments to US Treasury (and therefore minimize payments to Delphi	Delphi's assets become controlled by recalcitrant Tranche C lenders and supply is held hostage for repayment of entire DIP outstandings  CM management is perceived by TIST Auto Tack Force  CM management is perceived by TIST Auto Tack Force
	Avoid Delphi assets being controlled by Delphi's Tranche C Lenders	that the Auto Task Force has blocked the three most recent Delphi-GM agreements from becoming effective)
	Satisfy GM-side of dysfunctional Delphi-GM commercial relationship	

## CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO MEDIATION PRIVILEGE - MAY 22, 2009

### Delphi Corporation and Affiliated Debtors Stakeholder Mediation – May 26, 2009 Debtors' Submission -- Perceived Stakeholders' Motivations

<u>Stakeholder</u>	Delphi Corporation (Debtors)							
Perceived Objectives	Maximize business enterprise value and related recoveries for Delphi's stakeholders	<ul> <li>Maximize feasibility and speed of execution of transaction (including provision of sufficient interim liquidity runway)</li> <li>Protect franchise value through continuation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Protect franchise value through continuation (protection) of supply for all customers, preservation of supplier tiers and protection of jobs / retention of human capital</li> </ul>	Consummate transaction through POR modifications to achieve comprehensive	resolution of Delphi's chapter 11 cases and consummate Delphi's transformation objectives (as modified by the transaction)			
Perceived Concerns	Delphi runs out of liquidity and is forced into uncontrolled liquidation	Delphi is pressured into transaction that does not maximize stakeholder recoveries (i.e., is not reasonably related to hypothetical liquidation outcome) and Ds&Os are sued	Delphi is pressured into a form of transaction (i.e., 363 sale) that "strips" assets away from Delphi's estates without taking administrative claims that have been	incurred in "continuity of supply" arrangement with GM since October 2005	GM uses Delphi's liquidity constraints to successfully mitigate approximately \$2 billion of future obligations under GSA/MRA that were the basis of GM releases from Delphi	Delphi's former and current salaried workforce are     "thrown under the bus" and lose pensions, retiree     healthcare, other benefits and severance even though     they preserved continuity of supply for customers while	successfully executing a portfolio rationalization that sold or closed \$5 billion in annual revenue businesses white also [maintaining] business enterprise value for stakeholders	Pressured to sell company at 30 year historical economic trough resulting is significant impairment to

## CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO MEDIATION PRIVILEGE - MAY 22, 2009

### Delphi Corporation and Affiliated Debtors Stakeholder Mediation – May 26, 2009 Debtors' Submission – Perceived Stakeholders' Motivations

Tranche A/B outstandings are repaid in full in	Agent may
Tranche A/B outstandings are repaid in full in cash	<ul> <li>Agent may be sued by Tranche C Lenders if non- consensual deal is forced on Tranche C</li> </ul>
Hedge obligations are repaid in full or cash collateralized (i.e., owned by Tranche A/B lenders)	Non-consensual deal complicates Tranche A/B repayment because of adverse reactions of lenders holding multiple A/B/C tranche investments
Releases are obtained to protect Agent and Tranche A/B Lenders	Tranche A/B Lenders which are TARP recipients are perceived as acting contrary to US Treasury's goals and objectives and governmental relationship is damaged
(given multiple A/B/C tranche ownership by various lenders including Agent)	Forced into foreclosure or pursuit of remedies to obtain repayment and there is substantial adverse publicity
Non-consensual foreclosure of assets can be avoided	and/or Congress
Obtain adequate recovery (i.e., adequate total value and appropriate "composition" of elements in recovery package)	Delphi will enter into non-consensual transaction with GM and Tranche A/B Lenders that will be "forced on" Tranche C Lenders
Obtain equity in the reorganized enterprise (even if sold) in order to participate in "upside" when industry stabilizes and, eventually, returns to more	Tranche C recovery will be below estimated value of recovery in "most likely" alternative consensual liquidation scenario
normalized production volumes	<ul> <li>Forced into foreclosure or pursuit of remedies to obtain repayment and there is substantial adverse publicity including direct criticism by Obama Administration</li> </ul>
	Tranche A/B outstandings are repaid in full in cash Hedge obligations are repaid in full or cash collateralized (i.e., owned by Tranche A/B lenders) Releases are obtained to protect Agent and Tranche A/B Lenders Tranche C Lenders obtain reasonable settlement (given multiple A/B/C tranche ownership by various lenders including Agent) Non-consensual foreclosure of assets can be avoided Obtain adequate recovery (i.e., adequate total value and appropriate "composition" of elements in recovery package) Obtain equity in the reorganized enterprise (even if sold) in order to participate in "upside" when industry stabilizes and, eventually, returns to more normalized production volumes

## CONFIDENTIAL – SUBJECT TO MEDIATION PRIVILEGE – MAY 22, 2009

### Delphi Corporation and Affiliated Debtors Stakeholder Mediation – May 26, 2009 Debtors' Submission – Perceived Stakeholders' Motivations

Stakeholder	Perceived Objectives	Perceived Concerns
Creditors' Committee (Prepetition Creditors)	Obtain warrant structure (or equivalent "hope certificate") that would pay out recoveries if business enterprise value returns to \$7.2 billion range estimated at time of September, 2008	Criticized or sued for being shut out of any recovery after having participated in Confirmed Plan that would have provided "par plus accrued" recovery at negotiated business enterprise value
	settlement hetween IICC and GM	Cuomena emeripana come
		<ul> <li>Forced into litigation against GM and others for fraudulent conduct arising out of Confirmed Plan and/or September 2008 GM Settlement</li> </ul>
Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation	<ul> <li>Minimize exposure for potential termination of hourly and salaried defined benefit programs</li> </ul>	Obtain adverse litigation determination regarding enforceability of alleged "cst of world" liens against
(Guarantor of HRP and SRP) [Note: GM is likely to assume	Obtain recovery on alleged "rest of world" liens against Delphi's non-debtor affiliates in order to reduce exposure and "legitimize" foreign liens as a major PBGC "program" objective	Delphi's non-debtor attiliates
union benefit guaranties.]	Obtain "pro-rata" recovery with any Tranche C :Lender recovery	