

period, the whole period of the present session, to complete the work.

Mr. ELLENDER. On page 2 of the report, the committee has indicated how \$150,000 of the amount asked for will be spent. As I have just indicated, five persons will be employed. A chief counsel and staff director, an assistant chief counsel, a chief investigator, an actuarial specialist, and an insurance consultant, each at \$11,646.

In addition, there will be administrative and clerical help, all of which, if added together, will necessitate an aggregate amount of \$150,000.

How will the \$40,000, which the Senator from Alabama is asking in addition to the \$150,000, be spent?

Mr. HILL. The distinguished senior Senator from Illinois [Mr. DOUGLAS], who is chairman of the subcommittee, is on the floor. I shall ask him if he will answer the Senator's question. The Senator from Illinois, as chairman of the subcommittee, is more intimately associated with the work than is the Senator from Alabama, and has a more detailed knowledge of the activities of the subcommittee.

Mr. DOUGLAS. The committee contemplates expenditures at approximately the same monthly rate as occurred during the start of the committee's work in the 83d Congress. The committee spent \$100,000 in 8 months, or at the rate of \$12,500 a month.

There was a demand from all quarters, including the present minority, the former majority, that the work of the committee should be continued. So what we are proposing is simply that we be permitted to continue to spend at the same rate for 12 months as was expended during the preceding 8 months; namely, \$12,500 a month for 12 months, or a total of \$150,000.

The \$40,000, to which the Senator from Louisiana has referred, is the unexpended balance appropriated for the committee in the previous Congress, but which was not actually spent.

Mr. ELLENDER. The question I asked was, How will the \$40,000 be spent? The Senator from Illinois has indicated that he expects to spend during this year at the same rate as was spent last year. It strikes me that \$150,000 should be sufficient with which to do the job; instead, the Senator is asking for \$190,000. Will the Senator not agree to \$150,000?

Mr. President, if it is in order to do so, I move that on line 17 the amount "\$190,000" be reduced to "\$150,000."

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The clerk will state the amendment offered by the Senator from Louisiana.

The LEGISLATIVE CLERK. On page 2, line 17, it is proposed to strike out "\$190,000" and insert in lieu thereof "\$150,000."

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The question is on agreeing to the amendment offered by the Senator from Louisiana to the committee amendment.

Mr. DOUGLAS. First, I may say to my good friend from Louisiana that if he will consult the committee's budget, he will observe that salaries will absorb \$130,000, leaving only \$20,000 for traveling expenses, hearings, witness fees, and so forth.

Mr. ELLENDER. One hundred and fifty thousand dollars happens to be the amount asked for, and those items are all covered. I wonder if the Senator from Illinois would not be willing to have the amount reduced to \$150,000, and let it go at that. The committee would be getting \$25,000 more than it received last year.

Mr. DOUGLAS. Yes; but not at a greater monthly rate. I think the committee ought to have some leeway it had last year so as to provide for extraordinary emergencies which may develop.

Mr. ELLENDER. The Senator can make another request of the Senate if he finds that he needs additional funds.

Mr. DOUGLAS. I have a good deal of Scotch blood in my veins. I can assure the Senator that the committee will not expend money unnecessarily. I hope that we may be able to turn back to the Senate a large portion of the total sum requested. It is intended to turn back any unexpended balance, and we hope the unexpended balance will be large.

Mr. ELLENDER. The chairmen of the other subcommittees, in discussing their resolutions, have also expressed the same hope, namely, that they would not spend all their funds, but that they would have a large amount left over. But the point is that the actual breakdown of the committee's own budget shows a need for \$150,000. Why not let the amount stand at \$150,000? Why add \$40,000 to the estimated expenditure needs? Why not save this \$40,000? It is a small amount, but it is worth saving.

Mr. LANGER. Mr. President, will the Senator from Illinois yield?

Mr. DOUGLAS. I yield.

Mr. LANGER. It is my understanding that last year the committee was so economical that it saved \$40,000. Certainly the Senate knows that there is not a Member who has strived more earnestly for economy than has the distinguished senior Senator from Illinois. He has a record for trying to effect economies on the floor.

Although I speak from the opposite side of the aisle from the Senator from Illinois, I believe we can rely on the Senator from Illinois not to allow his committee to waste any money. The committee returned to the Treasury \$40,000 last year. If they do not need the money, they will not spend it. Certainly the committee should not be limited in its attempts to secure adequate personnel. If they can locate a first class person at a little higher rate, they should not have to say, "We can pay only \$9,000 or \$8,500."

Speaking for myself, I hope the amount will not be reduced, and that the sum of \$190,000 will be granted to the subcommittee, which is headed by a very worthy Senator.

Mr. DOUGLAS. I wish to thank the Senator from North Dakota. I shall try to be worthy of his trust in my economical tendencies.

Mr. ELLENDER. Mr. President, I point out to the Senator from North Dakota that the committee's budget of \$150,000, originally asked for, provides

for the employment of 5 persons at the rate of \$11,646. I am not questioning that request. All I am asking is that the amount be reduced to the figure submitted in the committee's budget, namely, \$150,000—an appropriation which is \$25,000 more than the same committee received last year.

Mr. LANGER. I read the budget very carefully. The Senator from Louisiana also knows, because he has been a member of the Committee on Rules and Administration for a long time, that the budget does not confine the employment of personnel to 5 or 6 persons. He knows that if more persons are needed, it may be necessary to employ 6 or 7, because the work of the committee covers the entire United States of America.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The question is on agreeing to the amendment offered by the Senator from Louisiana to the amendment of the committee.

The amendment to the amendment was rejected.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore.—The question is on agreeing to the committee amendment.

The amendment was agreed to.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The question now is on agreeing to the resolution, as amended.

The resolution [S. Res. 40] was agreed to.

AUTHORIZATION FOR ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURES AND EMPLOYMENT OF FURTHER TEMPORARY ASSISTANTS BY THE COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS

Mr. CLEMENTS. Mr. President, I move that the Senate proceed to the consideration of Calendar No. 31, Senate Resolution 41.

The motion was agreed to, and the Senate proceeded to consider the resolution (S. Res. 41) authorizing additional expenditures and the employment of further temporary assistants by the Committee on Government Operations, which had been reported from the Committee on Rules and Administration with an amendment, on page 1, line 1, to strike out all after the word "Resolved", and insert:

That in holding hearings, reporting such hearings, and making investigations as authorized by section 134 of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 and in accordance with its jurisdictions under rule XXV of the Standing Rules of the Senate, the Committee on Government Operations, or any subcommittee thereof, is authorized from February 1, 1955, through January 31, 1956, (1) to make such expenditures as it deems advisable; (2) to employ upon a temporary basis such technical, clerical, and other assistants and consultants as it deems advisable; and (3) with the consent of the head of the department or agency concerned, to utilize the reimbursable services, information, facilities, and personnel of any of the departments or agencies of the Government.

SEC. 2. The expenses of the committee under this resolution, which shall not exceed \$190,000, shall be paid from the contingent fund of the Senate by vouchers approved by the chairman of the committee.

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. ELLENDER. Mr. President, I should like to ask a question of the Senator from Arkansas [Mr. McCLELLAN].

During the 83d Congress, when the Senator from Wisconsin [Mr. McCARTHY] was chairman of this same subcommittee, I asked whether or not there was any duplication of the work done by the subcommittee, which is now headed by the distinguished Senator from Arkansas, and the work of the Internal Security Subcommittee, which was then headed by the distinguished Senator from Indiana [Mr. JENNER]. In answer to my question the Senator from Indiana responded as follows, as appears in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD, volume 99, part 1, page 685:

As I read the Reorganization Act, I think the Committee on Government Operations, of which the Senator from Wisconsin [Mr. McCARTHY] is chairman, has a proper field of investigation without going into the field of communism or subversive activities in the Government. That is the view which our subcommittee intends to take of the situation.

I should like to ask the Senator if he agrees with that statement.

Mr. McCLELLAN. I agree with it substantially. I might say that if the Committee on Government Operations, in the course of investigating in the field of efficiency and economy in Government, should discover that any department had been infiltrated by Communists, I think it would be its duty to investigate the infiltration and expose it.

Mr. ELLENDER. Is the Senator of the opinion that that subject should be investigated by the Internal Security Subcommittee of the Committee on the Judiciary?

Mr. McCLELLAN. I may say to the Senator from Louisiana, as I have said a good many times publicly, that at the time the Committee on Government Operations was created and its jurisdiction assigned by the rules of the Senate, possibly no one had in mind that the committee was being created for the purpose of investigating communism as such. The Internal Security Subcommittee has a much broader jurisdiction, of course, but I think that under the broad terms of the general rules, and having in mind the duties with which the Committee on Governmental Operations is charged, it would be its duty to investigate Communists in Government, if it developed there were any, during the course of an investigation which it was undertaking. However, I may say to the Senator that, since I happen also to be a member of the Internal Security Subcommittee, I have in mind having conferences relative to the work of the respective committees. I have already had one conference along that line, and conferences will be held continually, with the distinguished Senator from Mississippi [Mr. EASTLAND], who is chairman of the Internal Security Subcommittee. We shall undertake to see to it that there will be no duplication of work.

Mr. ELLENDER. Because of the fact that the distinguished Senator serves on both the Internal Security Subcommittee and the Committee on Government Operations, he will be in a position to

detect and avoid any duplication between the two Senate committees.

I express the hope that the chairman of the Internal Security Subcommittee, as well as the distinguished Senator to whom I am now speaking, will try to take the matter up with the Un-American Activities Committee of the House of Representatives with the view in mind of avoiding duplication of the work of that committee.

Mr. McCLELLAN. The chairman of the Internal Security Subcommittee, the distinguished Senator from Mississippi [Mr. EASTLAND], Representative WALTER, chairman of the House Un-American Activities Committee, and the chairman of the Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations of the Government Operations Committee, have already had a conference with such objective in mind.

Mr. ELLENDER. Has there been agreement among the chairmen of the three committees that duplication should be avoided?

Mr. McCLELLAN. There has been liaison established between all three committees, to the end that we shall undertake to avoid duplication. However, as I am sure the Senator from Louisiana knows, when an investigation starts, and it is anticipated that it will proceed in a certain direction, sometimes facts develop in the course of the investigation which make it necessary for the investigation to go a little beyond what was originally anticipated would be its scope. However, I again assure the distinguished Senator from Louisiana that the chairmen of the three respective committees have already had a conference with the idea and the view of making certain that there will be as little duplication as possible.

Mr. ELLENDER. As I understand, according to the report filed with the resolution, last year the committee spent \$213,812.90. The Senator is now asking for \$190,000.

Mr. McCLELLAN. I think the committee actually spent a little more than what the Senator has read, because certain amounts were used for personnel of the committee who were needed for its work. However, it is our purpose to perform the duties of the subcommittee as economically as possible. I regard the budget as a tight one, and the responsibility of the subcommittee to keep within it. It could very well develop that in the course of the labors of the subcommittee, it might have to come before the Senate and ask for more funds, but it is not my intention at this time to do so. The purpose is to do the best job the subcommittee can with the money for which it is asking.

Mr. ELLENDER. Since there has been a meeting of the chairmen of the three committees, I was hopeful that the subcommittee might be able to accomplish its purposes with an amount less than \$190,000.

Mr. McCLELLAN. It would depend on what kind of job the Senate desired done. Forgetting for the moment all about Communist infiltration, there is an unlimited field of work which should be covered and there are unlimited duties the subcommittee should perform.

I have stated before, and I say again, that I could very well use another 4 or 5 members as investigators on the committee staff. There is a great deal of work such additional personnel could do. However, after all, there is no point in this subcommittee's going forth and developing raw material, so to speak, if the members of the committee do not have time to refine the raw material into a committee investigation and report. However, I think the committee will do its work, and that the members of the staff will be able to do the work assigned to the committee, within the limits of the amount requested.

Mr. ELLENDER. The Senator from Arkansas has about the same number of investigators at his disposal as his predecessor had last year, does he not?

Mr. McCLELLAN. I think there were probably 24 or 25 staff members at one time last year. I have in mind operating with 16, although the budget calls for 18. I intend to start with a staff of 16.

Mr. ELLENDER. What was the number on the staff last year?

Mr. McCLELLAN. I do not wish to exaggerate, but I think at one time the staff consisted of as many as 24 members. Of course, I am including clerical help.

Mr. ELLENDER. I understand; and when the Senator from Arkansas mentions the staff of the present committee, he, of course, includes clerical help?

Mr. McCLELLAN. I do include clerical help. That is the number on the staff we intend to start with. I hope that number will be adequate.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The question is on agreeing to the resolution, as amended.

The resolution (S. Res. 41) was agreed to.

PRINTING OF ADDITIONAL COPIES OF THE REPORT ENTITLED "THE KOREAN WAR AND RELATED MATTERS"

Mr. CLEMENTS. Mr. President, I move that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of Calendar No. 32, Senate Resolution 56.

The motion was agreed to; and the Senate proceeded to consider the resolution (S. Res. 56) to print for the use of the Committee on the Judiciary additional copies of the report entitled "The Korean War and Related Matters."

Mr. KNOWLAND. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. KNOWLAND. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. CLEMENTS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that Senate Resolution 56, Calendar No. 32, be temporarily laid aside.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.