《数据结构与算法》实验报告

实验名称	算法复杂度比较				
姓名	叶鹏	学号	20020007095	日期	2022/2/25
实验内容	动手撰写随机数生成算法,生成一组随机数 通过主函数调用两个排序算法,对随机数进行排序,并打印结果和运行时 间				
实验目的	根据运行时间的不同,体会算法复杂度的影响				

1. 定义数组(假定 10k 数据量), 获取用户输入的总量 n, 生成 n 位随机数 (0 <= num < 1000)
42 int main()

```
43
         int *arr = new int[100000];
44
45
46
        int n:
        cout << "enter the amount:" << endl;</pre>
47
48
        cin >> n;
49
50
        //get random numbers
51
        srand(unsigned(time));
52
53
        for (int i = 0; i < n; i++)
54
55
            arr[i] = rand() % 1000;
56
57
```

2. 导入 ppt 提供的两种排序算法

```
void BubbleSort(int a[], int n)
7
8
        int i, j, temp;
9
        for (i = 0; i < n - 1; i++)
10
            for (j = 0; j < n - 1 - i; j++)
11
12
13
                if (a[j] > a[j + 1])
14
15
                    temp = a[j];
16
                    a[j] = a[j + 1];
17
                    a[j + 1] = temp;
18
19
            }
20
21
23
    void QuickSort(int a[], int left, int right)
24
        if (left >= right)
26
           return;
        int i = left, j = right, key = a[left];
27
28
        while (i < j)
29
30
            while (i < j && key <= a[j])
31
            j--;
32
            a[i] = a[j];
33
            while (i < j \&\& key >= a[i])
```

i++;

a[i] = key;

a[j] = a[i];

QuickSort(a, left, i - 1);

QuickSort(a, i + 1, right);

设定计时器记录两种算法的运行时间,分别用两种算法对数据进行排序,观察执行时间

实验步

骤

34

35

36 37

38 39

40 }

```
58
          //set the clock
   59
          clock_t start, end;
   60
          start = clock();
   61
   62
          //bubble sort
          BubbleSort(arr, n);
   63
   64
   65
          end = clock();
   66
   67
          cout << "running time: " << (double)(end - start) / CLOCKS_PER_SEC << "s" << endl;</pre>
   68
   69
          //quick sort
          start = clock();
   70
   71
          QuickSort(arr, 0, n - 1);
   72
   73
          end = clock();
   74
   75
          cout << "running time: " << (double)(end - start) / CLOCKS_PER_SEC << "s" << endl;</pre>
   76
          return 0;
4. 测试数据
1) 20000
enter the amount:
20000
running time: 0.756s [BubbleSort]
running time: 0.002s [QuickSort]
2) 50000
enter the amount:
50000
running time: 5.243s [BubbleSort]
running time: 0.004s [QuickSort]
3) 90000
enter the amount:
90000
running time: 16.977s [BubbleSort]
running time: 0.014s [QuickSort]
5.
    原始代码
```

```
• • •
#include <iostream>
#include <cstdlib>
#include <time.h>
using namespace std;
        int i, j, temp;
for (i = 0; i < n - 1; i++)
{</pre>
                               temp = a[j];
a[j] = a[j + 1];
a[j + 1] = temp;
       return;
int i = left, j = right, key = a[left];
while (i < j)
{
while (i < j && key <= a[j])
               | j-;
| a[i] = a[j];
| while (i < j && key >= a[i])
| i++;
| a[j] = a[i];
       }
a[i] = key;
QuickSort(a, left, i - 1);
QuickSort(a, i + 1, right);
int main()
        int *arr = new int[100000];
int *arr_cp = new int[100000];
        int n;
cout << "enter the amount:" << endl;</pre>
       //get random numbers
srand(unsigned(time));
               arr[i] = rand() % 1000;
arr_cp[i] = arr[i];
        clock_t start, end;
start = clock();
        //bubble sort
BubbleSort(arr, n);
       //quick sort
start = clock();
QuickSort(arr_cp, 0, n - 1);
        delete arr;
delete arr_cp;
```

实 验 总

结

本次实验通过对两种排序算法进行实际观察,体会到数据规模对算法执 行时间的影响,以及不同的算法之间的时间复杂度的差异。