AVL Trees



AVL Tree Definition

- An AVL Tree is a balanced binary search tree such that for every internal node v of T, the heights of the children of v can differ by at most 1 (**Height-Balance Property**)



Height of an AVL Tree (Page 444)



- Fact: The height of an AVL tree storing n keys is O(log n).
- Proof: Let us bound n(h): the minimum number of internal nodes of an AVL tree of height h.
- We easily see that n(1) = 1 and n(2) = 2
- For n > 2, an AVL tree of height h contains the root node, one AVL subtree of height n-1 and another of height n-2.
- That is, n(h) = 1 + n(h-1) + n(h-2)
- Knowing n(h-1) > n(h-2), we get n(h) > 2n(h-2). So
  n(h) > 2n(h-2), n(h) > 4n(h-4), n(h) > 8n(n-6), … (by induction),
  n(h) > 2^in(h-2i)
- Solving the base case we get: n(h) > $2^{h/2-1}$
- Taking logarithms: h < 2log n(h) +2
- Thus the height of an AVL tree is O(log n)

Insertion

- Insertion is as in a binary search tree
- Always done by expanding an external node.
- Example:



before insertion after insertion

Violation of HBP

Assume that "z" is the first unbalanced node encountered after insertion

- Case I



- Case II



The height of "z" will become n+3 after insertion!

Case I. Node w is added to P





Can't be both n+1. Why?

Case II. Node w is added to Q



Can't be both n+1. Why?

Case I-1: R : n+1, S : n (w is added to R)



Case I-2: R : n, S : n+1
(w is added to S)



Case II-1: R : n+1, S : n
(w is added to R)



Case II-2: R : n, S : n+1
(w is added to S)



Trinode Restructuring

- Let *z* be the first node we encounter in going up from w towards the root
- Let *y* be the child of z with higher height (y must be an ancestor of w)
- Let *x* be the child of y with higher height
  - There cannot be a tie and node x must be an ancestor of w including itself

Trinode Restructuring (Cont'd)

- Let a, b, c be a left-to-right (inorder) listing of the nodes x, y, z.
- Let T_0, T_1, T_2, T_3 be a left-to-right (inorder) listing of the four subtrees of x, y, and z
- Make node b as the new root.
- Let a be the left child of b and let T_0 and T_1 be the left and right child of b, respectively.
- Let c be the right child of b and let T_2 and T_3 be the left and right child of c, respectively.

Case I-1:
Restructure ➔ Single Rotation Right



Case I-2:
Restructure ➔ Double Rotation Left-Right



Case II-1:
Restructure ➔ Double Rotation Right-Left



Case II-2:
Restructure ➔ Single Rotation Left



Insertion Example (Cont'd)



Removal

- Removal begins as in a binary search tree. However, Its parent, w, may cause an imbalance.
- Example:



before deletion of 32 after deletion

Rebalancing after a Removal

- Let z be the first unbalanced node encountered while travelling up the tree from w. Also, let y be the child of z with the larger height, and let x be the child of y with the larger height
- We perform restructure(x) to restore balance at z
- As this restructuring may upset the balance of another node higher in the tree, we must continue checking for balance until the root of T is reached



AVL Tree Performance



- a single restructure takes O(1) time
  - using a linked-structure binary tree
- get takes O(log n) time
  - height of tree is O(log n), no restructures needed
- put takes O(log n) time
  - initial find is O(log n)
  - Restructuring up the tree, maintaining heights is O(log n)
- remove takes O(log n) time
  - initial find is O(log n)
  - Restructuring up the tree, maintaining heights is O(log n)