

Reading List

Perspectives of Undergraduate Physics Students in 2024

Joe Marsh Rossney Sarah Hogarth
Polux Gabriel Garcia Elizondo

August 29, 2025

- Abbas, M., Jam, F. A., & Khan, T. I. (2024). Is it harmful or helpful? Examining the causes and consequences of generative AI usage among university students. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 21(1), 10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-024-00444-7>
- Ajzen, I. (1985). From Intentions to Actions: A Theory of Planned Behavior. In J. Kuhl & J. Beckmann (Eds.), *Action Control* (pp. 11–39). Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-69746-3_2
- Anderson, L. W. (Ed.). (2009). *A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives* (Abridged ed., [Nachdr.]). Longman.
- Anderson, L., Krathwohl, D., Airasian, P., Cruikshank, K., Mayer, R., Pintrich, P., Rath, J., & Wittrock, M. (2001). *A Taxonomy for Learning, Teaching, and Assessing: A Revision of Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives, Complete Edition* (1st ed.). Pearson.
- Bagozzi, R. P., Davis, F. D., & Warshaw, P. R. (1992). Development and Test of a Theory of Technological Learning and Usage. *Human Relations*, 45(7), 659–686. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001872679204500702>
- Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Psychological Review*, 84(2), 191–215. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.84.2.191>
- Bates, T., Cobo, C., Mariño, O., & Wheeler, S. (2020). Can artificial intelligence transform higher education? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 17(1), 42, s41239-020-00218-x. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-020-00218-x>
- Becker, B. A., Denny, P., Finnie-Ansley, J., Luxton-Reilly, A., Prather, J., & Santos, E. A. (2022). *Programming Is Hard – Or at Least It Used to Be: Educational Opportunities And Challenges of AI Code Generation* (arXiv:2212.01020). arXiv. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2212.01020>
- Becker, B. A., Denny, P., Finnie-Ansley, J., Luxton-Reilly, A., Prather, J., & Santos, E. A. (2023). Programming is hard - or at least it used to be: Educational opportunities and challenges of AI code generation. *Proceedings of the 54th ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education v. 1*, 500–506. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3545945.3569759>

- Bender, E. M., Gebru, T., McMillan-Major, A., & Shmitchell, S. (2021). On the Dangers of Stochastic Parrots: Can Language Models Be Too Big? . *Proceedings of the 2021 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency*, 610–623. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3442188.3445922>
- Bergdahl, J., Latikka, R., Celuch, M., Savolainen, I., Soares Mantere, E., Savela, N., & Oksanen, A. (2023). Self-determination and attitudes toward artificial intelligence: Cross-national and longitudinal perspectives. *Telematics and Informatics*, 82, 102013. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2023.102013>
- Biggs, J. B., & Collis, K. F. (2014). *Evaluating the quality of learning: The SOLO taxonomy (structure of the observed learning outcome)*. Academic Press.
- Biggs, J. B., Tang, C. S., & Kennedy, G. (2022). *Teaching for quality learning at university* (Fifth edition). Open University Press, McGraw Hill.
- Bloom, B. S., Engelhart, M. D., Furst, E. J., Hill, W. H., & Krathwohl, D. R. (1956). *Taxonomy of educational objectives: The classification of educational goals. Handbook 1, Cognitive domain*. David McKay Company.
- Bodin, M. (2012). Mapping university students’ epistemic framing of computational physics using network analysis. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 8(1), 010115. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.8.010115>
- Boguslawski, S., Deer, R., & Dawson, M. G. (2024). Programming education and learner motivation in the age of generative AI: Student and educator perspectives. *Information and Learning Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ILS-10-2023-0163>
- Bond, M., Khosravi, H., De Laat, M., Bergdahl, N., Negrea, V., Oxley, E., Pham, P., Chong, S. W., & Siemens, G. (2024). A meta systematic review of artificial intelligence in higher education: A call for increased ethics, collaboration, and rigour. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 21(1), 4. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00436-z>
- Brookfield, S. (1995). *Becoming a critically reflective teacher* (1. ed). Jossey-Bass.
- Brookfield, S. D. (2017). *Becoming a critically reflective teacher* (Second Edition). John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated.
- Caballero, M. D., & Merner, L. (2018). Prevalence and nature of computational instruction in undergraduate physics programs across the United States. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 14, 020129. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevPhysEducRes.14.020129>
- Carmi, G. (2024). E-Learning using zoom: A study of students’ attitude and learning effectiveness in higher education. *Heliyon*, 10(11). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e30229>
- Chonacky, N., & Winch, D. (2008). Integrating computation into the undergraduate curriculum: A vision and guidelines for future developments. *American Journal of Physics*, 76(4), 327–333. <https://doi.org/10.1119/1.2837811>
- Crompton, H., & Burke, D. (2023). Artificial intelligence in higher education: The state of the field. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 20(1), 22. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00392-8>
- Crow, T., Luxton-Reilly, A., & Wuensche, B. (2018). Intelligent tutoring systems for programming education: A systematic review. *Proceedings of the 20th Australasian Computing*

- Education Conference*, 53–62. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3160489.3160492>
- Cui, Z., Demirer, M., Jaffe, S., Musolff, L., Peng, S., & Salz, T. (2024). *The Effects of Generative AI on High Skilled Work: Evidence from Three Field Experiments with Software Developers*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4945566>
- Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use, and User Acceptance of Information Technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 319. <https://doi.org/10.2307/249008>
- Dewey, J. (1916). *Democracy and Education*. Macmillan.
- Dillon, A., & Morris, M. G. (1996). User Acceptance of Information Technology: Theories and Models. *Annual Review of Information Science and Technology*, 31.
- Dolmans, D. H. J. M., Loyens, S. M. M., Marcq, H., & Gijbels, D. (2016). Deep and surface learning in problem-based learning: A review of the literature. *Advances in Health Sciences Education*, 21(5), 1087–1112. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10459-015-9645-6>
- Eccles, J. S. (1983). Expectancies, values, and academic behaviors. In J. T. Spence (Ed.), *Achievement and achievement motives: Psychological and sociological approaches* (pp. 75–146). W. H. Freeman.
- English, A. (2016). The 'in-between' of learning: (Re)valuing the process of learning. In *Dewey in our Time* (pp. 128–143). UCL Institute of Education Press.
- Entwistle, N. (2005). Constrasting Perspectives on Learning. In *The Experience of Learning* (3rd ed., pp. 3–22). University of Edinburgh, Centre for Teaching, Learning and Assessment.
- Entwistle, N., Hanley, M., & Hounsell, D. (1979). Identifying distinctive approaches to studying. *Higher Education*, 8(4), 365–380. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01680525>
- Fengchun, M., & Holmes, W. (2023). *Guidance for generative AI in education and research*. UNESCO. <https://doi.org/10.54675/ewzm9535>
- Finnie-Ansley, J., Denny, P., Becker, B. A., Luxton-Reilly, A., & Prather, J. (2022). The Robots Are Coming: Exploring the Implications of OpenAI Codex on Introductory Programming. *Proceedings of the 24th Australasian Computing Education Conference*, 10–19. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3511861.3511863>
- Fu, Y., Liang, P., Tahir, A., Li, Z., Shahin, M., Yu, J., & Chen, J. (2024). *Security Weaknesses of Copilot Generated Code in GitHub* (arXiv:2310.02059). arXiv. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2310.02059>
- Giddens, A. (2003). *Modernity and self-identity: Self and society in the late modern age*. Stanford Univ. Press.
- Girdharry, K., & Khachatryan, D. (2024). Meaningful Writing in the Age of Generative Artificial Intelligence. *Double Helix*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.37514/DBH-J.2023.11.1.04>
- Grundy, S. (2013). *Curriculum: Product Or Praxis?* (0th ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203058848>
- Hanauer, D. I., & Dolan, E. L. (2014). The Project Ownership Survey: Measuring Differences in Scientific Inquiry Experiences. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, 13(1), 149–158. <https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.13-06-0123>
- Hanauer, D. I., Frederick, J., Fotinakes, B., & Strobel, S. A. (2012). Linguistic Analysis of Project Ownership for Undergraduate Research Experiences. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, 11(4), 378–385. <https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.12-04-0043>
- Harter, S. (1978). Effectance Motivation Reconsidered Toward a Developmental Model. *Human*

- Development*, 21(1), 34–64. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000271574>
- Isomottonen, V., Lakanen, A.-J., & Nieminen, P. (2020). Exploring Creativity Expectation in CS1 Students’ View of Programming. *2020 IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference (FIE)*, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1109/FIE44824.2020.9274134>
- Jenkins, T. (2001). The motivation of students of programming. *Proceedings of the 6th Annual Conference on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education*, 53–56. <https://doi.org/10.1145/377435.377472>
- Kaplan, J., McCandlish, S., Henighan, T., Brown, T. B., Chess, B., Child, R., Gray, S., Radford, A., Wu, J., & Amodei, D. (2020). *Scaling Laws for Neural Language Models* (arXiv:2001.08361). arXiv. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2001.08361>
- Kazemitabaar, M., Chow, J., Ma, C. K. T., Ericson, B. J., Weintrop, D., & Grossman, T. (2023). Studying the effect of AI Code Generators on Supporting Novice Learners in Introductory Programming. *Proceedings of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3544548.3580919>
- Kelly, S., Kaye, S.-A., & Oviedo-Trespalacios, O. (2023). What factors contribute to the acceptance of artificial intelligence? A systematic review. *Telematics and Informatics*, 77, 101925. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2022.101925>
- Kiesler, N. (2020). On Programming Competence and its Classification. *Koli Calling ’20: Proceedings of the 20th Koli Calling International Conference on Computing Education Research*, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3428029.3428030>
- Klimmt, C., & Hartmann, T. (2006). Effectance, Self-Efficacy, and the Motivation to Play Video Games. In *Playing video games: Motives, responses, and consequences* (pp. 133–145). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers.
- Kolb, D. A. (1984). *Experimental learning: Experience as the source of learning and development*. Prentice-Hall.
- Krupp, L., Steinert, S., Kiefer-Emmanouilidis, M., Avila, K. E., Lukowicz, P., Kuhn, J., Küchemann, S., & Karolus, J. (2023). *Unreflected Acceptance – Investigating the Negative Consequences of ChatGPT-Assisted Problem Solving in Physics Education* (arXiv:2309.03087). arXiv. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2309.03087>
- Landau, R. H. (2007). Computational Physics Education; why, what and how. *Computer Physics Communications*, 177(1-2), 191–194. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2007.02.040>
- Larsen, G. (2024). *Supporting the Creative Side of Creative Coding: Helping Students Wield Code in the Pursuit of Their Own Dreams* [PhD thesis]. The University of Nebraska.
- Lau, S., & Guo, P. (2023). From ”Ban It Till We Understand It” to ”Resistance is Futile”: How University Programming Instructors Plan to Adapt as More Students Use AI Code Generation and Explanation Tools such as ChatGPT and GitHub Copilot. *Proceedings of the 2023 ACM Conference on International Computing Education Research V.1*, 106–121. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3568813.3600138>
- Lave, J., & Wenger, E. (1991). *Situated Learning: Legitimate Peripheral Participation* (1st ed.). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511815355>
- Lindell, R. (2014). Crafting interaction: The epistemology of modern programming. *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*, 18(3), 613–624. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00779-013-0687-6>
- Lister, R., Fidge, C., & Teague, D. (2009). Further evidence of a relationship between explaining,

- tracing and writing skills in introductory programming. *Proceedings of the 14th Annual ACM SIGCSE Conference on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education*, 161–165. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1562877.1562930>
- Lister, R., Simon, B., Thompson, E., Whalley, J. L., & Prasad, C. (2006). Not seeing the forest for the trees: Novice programmers and the SOLO taxonomy. *ACM SIGCSE Bulletin*, 38(3), 118–122. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1140123.1140157>
- Lopez, M., Whalley, J., Robbins, P., & Lister, R. (2008). Relationships between reading, tracing and writing skills in introductory programming. *Proceedings of the Fourth International Workshop on Computing Education Research*, 101–112. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1404520.1404531>
- Mahon, J., Mac Namee, B., & Becker, B. A. (2024). Guidelines for the Evolving Role of Generative AI in Introductory Programming Based on Emerging Practice. *Proceedings of the 2024 on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education V. 1*, 10–16. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3649217.3653602>
- Maloney, J., Resnick, M., Rusk, N., Silverman, B., & Eastmond, E. (2010). The Scratch Programming Language and Environment. *ACM Transactions on Computing Education*, 10(4), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1868358.1868363>
- Martin, R. F. (2016). Undergraduate computational physics education: Uneven history and promising future. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 759, 012005. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/759/1/012005>
- McGregor, S. L. T. (2020). Emerging from the Deep: Complexity, Emergent Pedagogy and Deep Learning. *Northeast Journal of Complex Systems*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.22191/nejcs/vol2/iss1/2>
- Mitchell, M., Wu, S., Zaldivar, A., Barnes, P., Vasserman, L., Hutchinson, B., Spitzer, E., Raji, I. D., & Gebru, T. (2019). Model Cards for Model Reporting. *Proceedings of the Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency*, 220–229. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3287560.3287596>
- Murdoch, D., English, A. R., Hintz, A., & Tyson, K. (2020). *Feeling Heard* : Inclusive Education, Transformative Learning, and Productive Struggle. *Educational Theory*, 70(5), 653–679. <https://doi.org/10.1111/edth.12449>
- Nelson, G. L., Xie, B., & Ko, A. J. (2017). Comprehension First: Evaluating a Novel Pedagogy and Tutoring System for Program Tracing in CS1. *Proceedings of the 2017 ACM Conference on International Computing Education Research*, 2–11. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3105726.3106178>
- Olitsky, S. (2007). Structure, agency, and the development of students’ identities as learners. *Cultural Studies of Science Education*, 1(4), 745–766. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11422-006-9033-x>
- Pan, W. H., Chok, M. J., Wong, J. L. S., Shin, Y. X., Poon, Y. S., Yang, Z., Chong, C. Y., Lo, D., & Lim, M. K. (2024). Assessing AI Detectors in Identifying AI-Generated Code: Implications for Education. *Proceedings of the 46th International Conference on Software Engineering: Software Engineering Education and Training*, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3639474.3640068>
- Parlett, M., & Hamilton, D. (1972). *Evaluation as Illumination: A New Approach to the Study*

of Innovatory Programs.

- Perry, N., Srivastava, M., Kumar, D., & Boneh, D. (2023). Do Users Write More Insecure Code with AI Assistants? *Proceedings of the 2023 ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security*, 2785–2799. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3576915.3623157>
- Robins, A., Rountree, J., & Rountree, N. (2003). Learning and Teaching Programming: A Review and Discussion. *Computer Science Education*, 13(2), 137–172. <https://doi.org/10.1076/csed.13.2.137.14200>
- Rogers, C. (1969). *Freedom to Learn*. Merrill.
- Sanders, K., Boustedt, J., Eckerdal, A., McCartney, R., Moström, J. E., Thomas, L., & Zander, C. (2012). Threshold concepts and threshold skills in computing. *Proceedings of the Ninth Annual International Conference on International Computing Education Research*, 23–30. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2361276.2361283>
- Selby, C. C. (2015). Relationships: Computational thinking, pedagogy of programming, and Bloom’s Taxonomy. *Proceedings of the Workshop in Primary and Secondary Computing Education*, 80–87. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2818314.2818315>
- Serhan, D. (2020). Transitioning from Face-to-Face to Remote Learning: Students’ Attitudes and Perceptions of Using Zoom during COVID-19 Pandemic. *International Journal of Technology in Education and Science*, 4(4), 335–342.
- Sheard, J., Carbone, A., Lister, R., Simon, B., Thompson, E., & Whalley, J. L. (2008). Going SOLO to assess novice programmers. *Proceedings of the 13th Annual Conference on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education*, 209–213. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1384271.1384328>
- Sheard, J., Denny, P., Hellas, A., Leinonen, J., Malmi, L., & Simon. (2024). Instructor Perceptions of AI Code Generation Tools - A Multi-Institutional Interview Study. *Proceedings of the 55th ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education V. 1*, 1223–1229. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3626252.3630880>
- Spence, J. T. (Ed.). (1983). *Achievement and achievement motives: Psychological and sociological approaches*. W.H. Freeman.
- Stanley, J. T., Dounas-Frazer, D. R., Kiepora, L., & Lewandowski, H. J. (2015). *Investigating student ownership of projects in an upper-division physics lab course*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.1507.03947>
- Stein, J.-P., Messingschlager, T., Gnambs, T., Hutmacher, F., & Appel, M. (2024). Attitudes towards AI: Measurement and associations with personality. *Scientific Reports*, 14(1), 2909. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-53335-2>
- Steinert, S., Avila, K. E., Ruzika, S., Kuhn, J., & Küchemann, S. (2023). *Harnessing Large Language Models to Enhance Self-Regulated Learning via Formative Feedback* (arXiv:2311.13984). arXiv. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2311.13984>
- Styve, A., Virkki, O. T., & Naeem, U. (2024). Developing Critical Thinking Practices Interwoven with Generative AI Usage in an Introductory Programming Course. *2024 IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference (EDUCON)*, 01–08. <https://doi.org/10.1109/EDUCON60312.2024.10578746>
- Sun, D., Boudouaia, A., Zhu, C., & Li, Y. (2024). Would ChatGPT-facilitated programming mode impact college students’ programming behaviors, performances, and perceptions?

- An empirical study. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 21(1), 14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-024-00446-5>
- Templeton, A., Conerly, C., & et. al. (2024). Scaling Monosemanticity: Extracting Interpretable Features from Claude 3 Sonnet. *Anthropic, Transformer Circuits Thread*.
- Thiry, H., Laursen, S. L., & Hunter, A.-B. (2011). What Experiences Help Students Become Scientists? A Comparative Study of Research and other Sources of Personal and Professional Gains for STEM Undergraduates. *The Journal of Higher Education*, 82(4), 357–388. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00221546.2011.11777209>
- Tian, M., Gao, L., Zhang, S. D., Chen, X., Fan, C., Guo, X., Haas, R., Ji, P., Krongchon, K., Li, Y., Liu, S., Luo, D., Ma, Y., Tong, H., Trinh, K., Tian, C., Wang, Z., Wu, B., Xiong, Y., ... Peng, H. (2024). *SciCode: A Research Coding Benchmark Curated by Scientists* (arXiv:2407.13168). arXiv. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2407.13168>
- Ulfesnes, R., Moe, N. B., Stray, V., & Skarpen, M. (2024). *Transforming Software Development with Generative AI: Empirical Insights on Collaboration and Workflow* (arXiv:2405.01543). arXiv. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2405.01543>
- Venables, A., Tan, G., & Lister, R. (2009). A closer look at tracing, explaining and code writing skills in the novice programmer. *Proceedings of the Fifth International Workshop on Computing Education Research Workshop*, 117–128. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1584322.1584336>
- Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., David, G. B., & David, F. D. (2003). User Acceptance of Information Technology: Toward a Unified View. *MIS Quarterly*, 27, 425–478.
- Wang, J., & Fan, W. (2025). The effect of ChatGPT on students' learning performance, learning perception, and higher-order thinking: Insights from a meta-analysis. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-025-04787-y>
- Wenger, E. (2000). Communities of Practice and Social Learning Systems. *Organization*, 7(2), 225–246. <https://doi.org/10.1177/135050840072002>
- Werse, N. R. (2023). What Will Be Lost? Critical Reflections on ChatGPT, Artificial Intelligence, and the Value of Writing Instruction. *Double Helix*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.37514/DBH-J.2023.11.1.07>
- Whalley, J. L., Lister, R., Thompson, E., Clear, T., Robbins, P., Kumar, P. K. A., & Prasad, C. (2006). An Australasian study of reading and comprehension skills in novice programmers, using the bloom and SOLO taxonomies. *Eights Australasian Computing Education Conference (ACE2006)*, 52.
- Wheeler, S., & Scherr, R. E. (2023). ChatGPT reflects student misconceptions in physics. *2023 Physics Education Research Conference Proceedings*, 386–390.
- White, R. (1971). The urge towards competence. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 25(6), 271–280.
- White, R. W. (1959). Motivation reconsidered: The concept of competence. *Psychological Review*, 66(5), 297–333. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0040934>
- Wiley, J. (2009). *Student ownership of learning: An analysis* [Master's thesis]. University of Hawai'i at Manoa.
- Williamson, B. (2023a). The Social life of AI in Education. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-023-00342-5>

- Williamson, B. (2023b, November 3). *The power of edtech investors in education*. <https://codeactsineducation.wordpress.com/2023/11/03/the-power-of-edtech-investors-in-education/>
- Williamson, B., Macgilchrist, F., & Potter, J. (2024). Against contextlessness in Learning, Media and Technology. *Learning, Media and Technology*, 49(3), 335–338. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2024.2374266>
- Wing, J. M. (2006). Computational thinking. *Communications of the ACM*, 49(3), 33–35. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1118178.1118215>
- Wingström, R., Hautala, J., & Lundman, R. (2024). Redefining Creativity in the Era of AI? Perspectives of Computer Scientists and New Media Artists. *Creativity Research Journal*, 36(2), 177–193. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10400419.2022.2107850>
- Wolsink, M. (2007). Wind power implementation: The nature of public attitudes: Equity and fairness instead of “backyard motives.” *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 11(6), 1188–1207. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2005.10.005>
- Wolsink, M. (2018). Social acceptance revisited: Gaps, questionable trends, and an auspicious perspective. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 46, 287–295. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2018.07.034>
- Xiao, P., Chen, Y., & Bao, W. (2023). *Waiting, Banning, and Embracing: An Empirical Analysis of Adapting Policies for Generative AI in Higher Education* (arXiv:2305.18617). arXiv. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2305.18617>
- Xie, B., Loksa, D., Nelson, G. L., Davidson, M. J., Dong, D., Kwik, H., Tan, A. H., Hwa, L., Li, M., & Ko, A. J. (2019). A theory of instruction for introductory programming skills. *Computer Science Education*, 29(2-3), 205–253. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08993408.2019.1565235>
- Yeadon, W., Inyang, O.-O., Mizouri, A., Peach, A., & Testrow, C. P. (2023). The death of the short-form physics essay in the coming AI revolution. *Physics Education*, 58(3), 035027. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6552/acc5cf>
- Young, J. R., Bevan, D., & Sanders, M. (2023). How Productive is the Productive Struggle? Lessons Learned from a Scoping Review. *International Journal of Education in Mathematics, Science and Technology*, 12(2), 470–495. <https://doi.org/10.46328/ijemst.3364>
- Zawacki-Richter, O., Bai, J. Y. H., Lee, K., Slagter Van Tryon, P. J., & Prinsloo, P. (2024). New advances in artificial intelligence applications in higher education? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 21(1), 32, s41239-024-00464-3. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-024-00464-3>
- Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education – where are the educators? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 16(1), 39. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0>