

PFL

December 5, 2024

1 Introduction

xxx (Collins et al., 2021)

Algorithm 1

Input: Participation rate r , step size η , number of local updates for the head τ_w , for the shortcut τ_s and for the representation τ_b , number of communication rounds T .

```
1: Initialize  $\mathbf{B}^0, \mathbf{w}_1^0, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n^0, \mathbf{s}_1^0, \dots, \mathbf{s}_n^0$ 
2: for  $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots, T - 1$  do
3:   Server receives a batch of clients  $\mathcal{I}^t$  of size  $rn$ 
4:   Server sends current representation  $\phi^t$  to clients in  $\mathcal{I}^t$ 
5:   for each client  $i$  in  $\mathcal{I}^t$  do
6:     Client  $i$  initializes  $\mathbf{w}_i^{t,0} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}_i^{t-1, \tau_h}$ 
7:     Client updates its head for  $\tau_h$  steps:
8:     for  $\tau = 1$  to  $\tau_w$  do
9:        $\mathbf{w}_i^{t,\tau} \leftarrow \text{GRD} \left( f_i \left( \mathbf{w}_i^{t,\tau-1}, \mathbf{B}^{t-1}, \mathbf{s}_i^{t-1, \tau_s} \right), \mathbf{w}_i^{t,\tau-1}, \eta \right)$ 
10:    end for
11:    Client  $i$  initializes  $\mathbf{s}_i^{t,0} \leftarrow \mathbf{s}_i^{t-1, \tau_s}$ 
12:    Client  $i$  updates its shortcut for  $\tau_s$  steps:
13:    for  $\tau = 1$  to  $\tau_s$  do
14:       $\mathbf{s}_i^{t,\tau} \leftarrow \text{GRD} \left( f_i \left( \mathbf{w}_i^{t-1}, \mathbf{B}^{t-1}, \mathbf{s}_i^{t,\tau-1} \right), \mathbf{s}_i^{t,\tau-1}, \eta \right)$ 
15:    end for
16:    Client  $i$  initializes  $\mathbf{B}_i^{t,0} \leftarrow \mathbf{B}^{t-1}$ 
17:    Client  $i$  updates its representation for  $\tau_b$  steps:
18:    for  $\tau = 1$  to  $\tau_b$  do
19:       $\mathbf{B}_i^{t,\tau} \leftarrow \text{GRD} \left( f_i \left( \mathbf{w}_i^{t,\tau_w}, \mathbf{B}_i^{t,\tau-1}, \mathbf{s}_i^{t,\tau_s} \right), \mathbf{B}_i^{t,\tau-1}, \eta \right)$ 
20:    end for
21:    Client  $i$  sends updated representation  $\mathbf{B}_i^{t,\tau_b}$  to server
22:  end for
23:  for each client  $j$  not in  $\mathcal{I}^t$  do
24:    Set  $\mathbf{w}_i^{t,\tau_w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}_i^{t-1, \tau_w}$  and  $\mathbf{s}_i^{t,\tau_s} \leftarrow \mathbf{s}_i^{t-1, \tau_s}$ 
25:  end for
26:  Server computes new representation:  $\mathbf{B}^t = \frac{1}{rn} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}^t} \mathbf{B}_i^{t,\tau_b}$ 
27: end for
```

1.1 Preliminaries

First, we establish the notations that will be used throughout our proof. Let $\mathbf{S} := [\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_{rn}] \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times rn}$ represent the personalized layers, and let $\mathbf{W} := [\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{rn}] \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times rn}$ denote the personalized heads, which follow the global representation \mathbf{B} . Since our algorithm updates \mathbf{w}_i and \mathbf{s}_i for each client i simultaneously, we define $\mathbf{h}_i^\top := [\mathbf{w}_i^\top, \mathbf{s}_i^\top]$ and $\mathbf{H} := [\mathbf{h}_1, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{rn}] \in \mathbb{R}^{(k+d) \times rn}$.

...
The global objective can be rewritten as

$$\min_{\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}, \mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times rn}, \mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times rn}} \left\{ F(\hat{\mathbf{B}}, \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{S}) := \frac{1}{2rnm} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{I}} \left\| \mathbf{Y} - \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{W}_{\mathcal{I}}^\top \hat{\mathbf{B}}^\top + \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{I}}^\top) \right\|_2^2 \right\}, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{Y} = \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{W}_{\mathcal{I}}^{*\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{*\top} + \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{I}}^{*\top}) \in \mathbb{R}^{rnm}$. Then we give the update rules of our algorithm:

$$\mathbf{W}^{t+1} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times rn}} \frac{1}{2rnm} \left\| \mathcal{A}^t \left(\mathbf{W}^{*\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{*\top} - \mathbf{W}^\top \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} + \mathbf{S}^{*\top} - \mathbf{S}^{t\top} \right) \right\|_2^2, \quad (2)$$

$$\mathbf{S}^{t+1} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times rn}} \frac{1}{2rnm} \left\| \mathcal{A}^t \left(\mathbf{W}^{*\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{*\top} - \mathbf{W}^{t\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} + \mathbf{S}^{*\top} - \mathbf{S}^\top \right) \right\|_2^2, \quad (3)$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{B}} = \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t - \frac{\eta}{rnm} \left((\mathcal{A}^t)^\dagger \mathcal{A}^t (\mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} - \mathbf{W}^{*\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{*\top} + \mathbf{S}^{t+1\top} - \mathbf{S}^{*\top}) \right)^\top \mathbf{W}_{\mathcal{I}^t}^{t+1\top}, \quad (4)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t+1}, \mathbf{R}^{t+1} = \text{QR}(\bar{\mathbf{B}}^t). \quad (5)$$

1.2 Auxiliary Lemmas

We first consider the update for \mathbf{W} . According to the update rule of (2), \mathbf{W}^{t+1} minimizes the function of $\tilde{F}(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^t, \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{S}^t) := \frac{1}{2rnm} \left\| \mathcal{A} \left(\mathbf{W}^{*\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{*\top} - \mathbf{W}^\top \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} + \mathbf{S}^{*\top} - \mathbf{S}^{t\top} \right) \right\|_2^2$.

Let \mathcal{W}_p^{t+1} be the p -th column of $\mathbf{W}^{t+1\top}$, \mathcal{W}_p^* denote the p -th column of $\mathbf{W}^{*\top}$, \mathcal{S}_l^t denote the l -th column of $\mathbf{S}^{t\top}$, \mathcal{S}_l^* denote the l -th column of $\mathbf{S}^{*\top}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{b}}_p^t$ be the p -th column of $\hat{\mathbf{B}}^t$, then for any $p \in [k]$, $l \in [d]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0} &= \nabla_{\mathcal{W}_p} \tilde{F}(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^t, \mathbf{W}^{t+1}, \mathbf{S}^t) \\ &= \frac{1}{rnm} \sum_{i=1}^{rn} \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\langle \mathbf{A}_{i,j}, \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} - \mathbf{W}^{*\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{*\top} + \mathbf{S}^{t\top} - \mathbf{S}^{*\top} \rangle \right) \mathbf{A}_{i,j} \hat{\mathbf{b}}_p^t \\ &= \frac{1}{rnm} \sum_{i=1}^{rn} \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\langle \mathbf{A}_{i,j}, \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} - \mathbf{W}^{*\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{*\top} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{A}_{i,j}, \mathbf{S}^{t\top} - \mathbf{S}^{*\top} \rangle \right) \mathbf{A}_{i,j} \hat{\mathbf{b}}_p^t \\ &= \frac{1}{rnm} \sum_{i=1}^{rn} \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\sum_{q=1}^k \hat{\mathbf{b}}_q^{t\top} \mathbf{A}_{i,j}^\top \mathcal{W}_q^{t+1} - \sum_{q=1}^k \hat{\mathbf{b}}_q^{*\top} \mathbf{A}_{i,j}^\top \mathcal{W}_q^* + \sum_{l=1}^d \mathbf{e}_l^\top \mathbf{A}_{i,j}^\top \mathcal{S}_l^t - \sum_{l=1}^d \mathbf{e}_l^\top \mathbf{A}_{i,j}^\top \mathcal{S}_l^* \right) \mathbf{A}_{i,j} \hat{\mathbf{b}}_p^t, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

which means

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{m} \sum_{q=1}^k \left(\sum_{i=1}^{rn} \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{A}_{i,j} \hat{\mathbf{b}}_p^t \hat{\mathbf{b}}_q^{t\top} \mathbf{A}_{i,j}^\top \right) \mathcal{W}_q^{t+1} \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{q=1}^k \left(\sum_{i=1}^{rn} \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{A}_{i,j} \hat{\mathbf{b}}_p^t \hat{\mathbf{b}}_q^{*\top} \mathbf{A}_{i,j}^\top \right) \mathcal{W}_q^* + \frac{1}{m} \sum_{l=1}^d \left(\sum_{i=1}^{rn} \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{A}_{i,j} \hat{\mathbf{b}}_p^t \mathbf{e}_l^\top \mathbf{A}_{i,j}^\top \right) (\mathcal{S}_l^t - \mathcal{S}_l^*). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Then, define $\mathbf{G}_{pq} := \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{rn} \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{A}_{i,j} \hat{\mathbf{b}}_p^t \hat{\mathbf{b}}_q^{t\top} \mathbf{A}_{i,j}^\top$, $\mathbf{C}_{pq} := \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{rn} \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{A}_{i,j} \hat{\mathbf{b}}_p^t \hat{\mathbf{b}}_q^{*\top} \mathbf{A}_{i,j}^\top$ and $\mathbf{D}_{pq} := \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{rn} \sum_{j=1}^m \langle \hat{\mathbf{b}}_p^t, \hat{\mathbf{b}}_q^* \rangle \mathbf{I}_{rn}$, for all $p, q \in [k]$, and define $\mathbf{E}_{pl} := \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{rn} \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{A}_{i,j} \hat{\mathbf{b}}_p^t \mathbf{e}_l^\top \mathbf{A}_{i,j}^\top$, for all $p \in [k], l \in [d]$. Further, we define block matrices $\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{rnk \times rnk}$ and $\mathbf{E} \in \mathbb{R}^{rnk \times rnd}$, which are formed by $\mathbf{G}_{pq}, \mathbf{C}_{pq}, \mathbf{D}_{pq}$ and \mathbf{E}_{pl} , respectively. In detail, take \mathbf{G} and \mathbf{E} for example,

$$\mathbf{G} := \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{G}_{11} & \cdots & \mathbf{G}_{1k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{G}_{k1} & \cdots & \mathbf{G}_{kk} \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{E} := \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{E}_{11} & \cdots & \mathbf{E}_{1d} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{E}_{k1} & \cdots & \mathbf{E}_{kd} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

Then we define $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}^{t+1} := \text{vec}(\mathbf{W}^{t+1\top}) \in \mathbb{R}^{rnk}$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}^* := \text{vec}(\mathbf{W}^{*\top}) \in \mathbb{R}^{rnk}$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}^t := \text{vec}(\mathbf{S}^{t\top}) \in \mathbb{R}^{rnd}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}^* := \text{vec}(\mathbf{S}^{*\top}) \in \mathbb{R}^{rnd}$. From (7) we reach,

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}^{t+1} &= \mathbf{G}^{-1} \mathbf{C} \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}^* - \mathbf{G}^{-1} \mathbf{E} (\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}^* - \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}^t) \\ &= \mathbf{D} \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}^* - \mathbf{G}^{-1} (\mathbf{G} \mathbf{D} - \mathbf{C}) \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}^* - \mathbf{G}^{-1} \mathbf{E} (\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}^* - \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}^t), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where \mathbf{G} is invertible will be proved in the following lemma. Here, we consider \mathbf{G}_{pq} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}_{pq} &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{rn} \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{A}_{i,j} \hat{\mathbf{b}}_p \hat{\mathbf{b}}_q^\top \mathbf{A}_{i,j}^\top \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{rn} \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{e}_i (\mathbf{x}_i^j)^\top \hat{\mathbf{b}}_p \hat{\mathbf{b}}_q^\top \mathbf{x}_i^j \mathbf{e}_i^\top, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

meaning that \mathbf{G}_{pq} is diagonal with diagonal entries

$$(\mathbf{G}_{pq})_{ii} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m (\mathbf{x}_i^j)^\top \hat{\mathbf{b}}_p \hat{\mathbf{b}}_q^\top \mathbf{x}_i^j = \hat{\mathbf{b}}_p^\top \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{x}_i^j (\mathbf{x}_i^j)^\top \right) \hat{\mathbf{b}}_q. \quad (11)$$

Define $\mathbf{\Pi}^i := \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{x}_i^j (\mathbf{x}_i^j)^\top$ for all $i \in [rn]$, then \mathbf{C}_{pq} is diagonal with entries $(\mathbf{C}_{pq})_{ii} = \hat{\mathbf{b}}_p^\top \mathbf{\Pi}^i \hat{\mathbf{b}}_q^*$, and \mathbf{E}_{pl} is diagonal with entries $(\mathbf{E}_{pl})_{ii} = \hat{\mathbf{b}}_p^\top \mathbf{\Pi}^i \mathbf{e}_l$. Note that $\mathbf{D}_{pq} = \langle \hat{\mathbf{b}}_p, \hat{\mathbf{b}}_q^* \rangle \mathbf{I}_{rn}$ is also diagonal, then we define

$$\mathbf{G}^i := \left[\hat{\mathbf{b}}_p^\top \mathbf{\Pi}^i \hat{\mathbf{b}}_q \right]_{1 \leq p, q \leq k+d} = \hat{\mathbf{B}}^\top \mathbf{\Pi}^i \hat{\mathbf{B}}, \quad \mathbf{C}^i := \left[\hat{\mathbf{b}}_p^\top \mathbf{\Pi}^i \hat{\mathbf{b}}_q^* \right]_{1 \leq p, q \leq k+d} = \hat{\mathbf{B}}^\top \mathbf{\Pi}^i \hat{\mathbf{B}}^*, \quad (12)$$

$$\mathbf{D}^i := \left[\langle \hat{\mathbf{b}}_p, \hat{\mathbf{b}}_q^* \rangle \right]_{1 \leq p, q \leq k+d} = \hat{\mathbf{B}}^\top \hat{\mathbf{B}}^*, \quad \mathbf{E}^i := \left[\hat{\mathbf{b}}_p^\top \boldsymbol{\Pi}^i \mathbf{e}_l \right]_{1 \leq p \leq k, 1 \leq l \leq d} = \hat{\mathbf{B}}^\top \boldsymbol{\Pi}^i, \quad (13)$$

where \mathbf{G}^i , \mathbf{C}^i and \mathbf{D}^i are the $k \times k$ matrices that formed by taking the i -th diagonal entry of each block \mathbf{G}_{pq} , \mathbf{C}_{pq} and \mathbf{D}_{pq} , respectively. Similarly, \mathbf{E}^i is the $k \times d$ matrix that formed by taking the i -th diagonal entry of each block \mathbf{E}_{pl} . Then we can decouple the term of $\mathbf{G}^{-1}(\mathbf{GD} - \mathbf{C})\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}^*$ in (9) into i vectors, defined as

$$\mathbf{f}_i := (\mathbf{G}^i)^{-1} (\mathbf{G}^i \mathbf{D}^i - \mathbf{C}^i) \mathbf{w}_i^*, \quad (14)$$

where $\mathbf{w}_i^* \in \mathbb{R}^k$ is the vector formed by taking the $((p-1)rn + i)$ -th elements of $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}^*$ for $p = 1, \dots, k$, which indeed is the i -th column of \mathbf{W}^* . Similarly, we can decouple $\mathbf{G}^{-1}\mathbf{E}(\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}^* - \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}^t)$ into i vectors, defined as

$$\mathbf{h}_i = (\mathbf{G}^i)^{-1} \mathbf{E}^i (\mathbf{s}_i^* - \mathbf{s}_i^t), \quad (15)$$

where $\mathbf{s}_i^t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mathbf{s}_i^* \in \mathbb{R}^d$ are vectors formed by taking the $((l-1)rn + i) - th$ elements of $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}^t$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}^*$, respectively.

Next, we consider the vector \mathbf{w}_i^{t+1} formed by taking the $((p-1)rn + i)$ -th elements of $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}^{t+1}$ for $p = 1, \dots, k$, which is also the i -th column of \mathbf{W}^{t+1} from (9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}_i^{t+1} &= \mathbf{D}^i \mathbf{w}_i^* - (\mathbf{G}^i)^{-1} (\mathbf{G}^i \mathbf{D}^i - \mathbf{C}^i) \mathbf{w}_i^* - (\mathbf{G}^i)^{-1} \mathbf{E}^i (\mathbf{s}_i^* - \mathbf{s}_i^t) \\ &= \hat{\mathbf{B}}^\top \hat{\mathbf{B}}^* \mathbf{w}_i^* - \mathbf{f}_i - \mathbf{h}_i. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Finally, we reach the update of \mathbf{W}^{t+1} as

$$\mathbf{W}^{t+1} = \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^* \mathbf{W}^* - \mathbf{F} - \mathbf{H}, \quad (17)$$

where $\mathbf{F} := [\mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2, \dots, \mathbf{f}_{rn}]$ and $\mathbf{H} := [\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{rn}]$. Then, we consider the update for \mathbf{S} . Similarly, \mathbf{S}^{t+1} minimizes $\Phi(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^t, \mathbf{W}^t, \mathbf{S}) := \frac{1}{2rnm} \left\| \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{W}^{*\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{*\top} - \mathbf{W}^{t\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} + \mathbf{S}^{*\top} - \mathbf{S}^\top) \right\|_2^2$, therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0} &= \nabla_{\mathbf{S}} \Phi(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^t, \mathbf{W}^t, \mathbf{S}^{t+1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{rnm} \sum_{i=1}^{rn} \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\langle \mathbf{A}_{i,j}, \mathbf{W}^{t\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} - \mathbf{W}^{*\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{*\top} + \mathbf{S}^{t+1\top} - \mathbf{S}^{*\top} \rangle \right) \mathbf{A}_{i,j} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Then we reach

$$\mathbf{S}^{t+1} = \mathbf{S}^* + \hat{\mathbf{B}}^* \mathbf{W}^* - \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \mathbf{W}^t. \quad (19)$$

Next, we recall three lemmas from (Collins et al., 2021) to bound \mathbf{F} .

Lemma 1 (Collins et al., 2021) *Let $\delta_k = c \frac{k^{3/2} \sqrt{\log(rn)}}{\sqrt{m}}$ for some absolute constant c , then*

$$\|\mathbf{G}^{-1}\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{1 - \delta_k} \quad (20)$$

with probability at least $1 - e^{-111k^3 \log(rn)}$.

Lemma 2 (Collins et al., 2021) Let $\delta_k = c \frac{k^{3/2} \sqrt{\log(rn)}}{\sqrt{m}}$ for some absolute constant c , then

$$\left\| (\mathbf{G}\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{C}) \widetilde{\mathbf{W}}^* \right\|_2 \leq \delta_k \|\mathbf{W}^*\|_2 \text{dist}(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^t, \hat{\mathbf{B}}^*) \quad (21)$$

with probability at least $1 - e^{-111k^2 \log(rn)}$.

Lemma 3 (Collins et al., 2021) Let $\delta_k = c \frac{k^{3/2} \sqrt{\log(rn)}}{\sqrt{m}}$ for some absolute constant c , then

$$\|\mathbf{F}\|_F \leq \frac{\delta_k}{1 - \delta_k} \|\mathbf{W}^*\|_2 \text{dist}(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^t, \hat{\mathbf{B}}^*) \quad (22)$$

with probability at least $1 - e^{-110k^2 \log(rn)}$.

Next, we focus on bounding $\|\mathbf{H}\|_2$.

Lemma 4 ...

Proof: Recall that $\mathbf{H} := [\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{rn}]$ and

$$\mathbf{h}_i = (\mathbf{G}^i)^{-1} \mathbf{E}^i (\mathbf{s}_i^* - \mathbf{s}_i^t) = \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} (\mathbf{s}_i^* - \mathbf{s}_i^t) - (\mathbf{G}^i)^{-1} (\mathbf{G}^i \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} - \mathbf{E}^i) (\mathbf{s}_i^* - \mathbf{s}_i^t), \quad (23)$$

then we focus on the term of $\mathbf{G}^i \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} - \mathbf{E}^i$, for which we have

$$\mathbf{G}^i \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} - \mathbf{E}^i = \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} \left(\frac{1}{m} \mathbf{X}_i^\top \mathbf{X}_i \right) (\hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} - \mathbf{I}_d). \quad (24)$$

Let $\mathbf{U} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \mathbf{X}_i (\hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} - \mathbf{I}_d)$ and $\mathbf{V} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \mathbf{X}_i \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t$, then we have the j -th row of \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{V} as the following, respectively:

$$\mathbf{u}_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} (\hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} - \mathbf{I}_d) \mathbf{x}_i^j, \quad \mathbf{v}_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} \mathbf{x}_i^j. \quad (25)$$

Note that \mathbf{u}_j is $\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} (\hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} - \mathbf{I}_d)$ -sub-gaussian and \mathbf{v}_j is $\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t$ -sub-gaussian, therefore we can argue similarly as the derivatives for Theorem 4.4.5 in (Vershynin, 2018). First, let \mathcal{S}^{d-1} be the d -dimension unit sphere and \mathcal{S}^{k-1} be the k -dimension unit sphere, then let \mathcal{N}_d be the $\frac{1}{4}$ -th net on \mathcal{S}^{d-1} and \mathcal{N}_k be the $\frac{1}{4}$ -th net on \mathcal{S}^{k-1} , such that $|\mathcal{N}_d| \leq 9^d$ and $|\mathcal{N}_k| \leq 9^k$, which exists according to Corollary 4.2.13 in (Vershynin, 2018). Next, by leveraging inequality 4.13 in (Vershynin, 2018), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (\hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} - \mathbf{I}_d) \left(\frac{1}{m} \mathbf{X}_i^\top \mathbf{X}_i \right) \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \right\|_2 &= \left\| \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{V} \right\|_2 \leq 2 \max_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{N}_d, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{N}_k} \mathbf{z}^\top \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{V} \mathbf{y} \\ &= 2 \max_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{N}_d, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{N}_k} \mathbf{z}^\top \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{u}_j \mathbf{v}_j^\top \right) \mathbf{y} \\ &= 2 \max_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{N}_d, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{N}_k} \sum_{j=1}^m \langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u}_j \rangle \langle \mathbf{v}_j, \mathbf{y} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

By the definition of sub-gaussianity, $\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u}_j \rangle$ is sub-gaussian with norm at most $\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \left\| \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} - \mathbf{I}_d \right\|_2 \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{m}}$ and $\langle \mathbf{v}_j, \mathbf{y} \rangle$ is sub-gaussian with norm at most $\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \left\| \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} \right\|_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}$. Therefore, $\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u}_j \rangle \langle \mathbf{v}_j, \mathbf{y} \rangle$ is sub-exponential with norm at most $\frac{c}{m}$ for some absolute constant c , for all $j \in [m]$. Also, for any $j \in [m]$ and any $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{N}_d, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{N}_k$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u}_j \rangle \langle \mathbf{v}_j, \mathbf{y} \rangle] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{z}^\top \left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} - \mathbf{I}_d \right) \frac{1}{m} \mathbf{X}_i^\top \mathbf{X}_i \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t] = 0. \quad (27)$$

Thus, we obtain a sum of m mean-zero, independent sub-exponential random variables, for which we apply Bernstein's inequality, for any $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{N}_d, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{N}_k$,

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u}_j \rangle \langle \mathbf{v}_j, \mathbf{y} \rangle \geq s \right) \leq e^{-c' m \min(s^2, s)}. \quad (28)$$

Union bounding over all $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{N}_d, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{N}_k$, we obtain

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\left\| \left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} - \mathbf{I}_d \right) \left(\frac{1}{m} \mathbf{X}_i^\top \mathbf{X}_i \right) \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \right\|_2 \geq 2s \right) \leq 9^{d+k} e^{-c' m \min(s^2, s)}. \quad (29)$$

Here, let $s = \max(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^2)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, then we have $\min(s^2, s) = \varepsilon^2$. Then we reach

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\left\| \left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} - \mathbf{I}_d \right) \left(\frac{1}{m} \mathbf{X}_i^\top \mathbf{X}_i \right) \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \right\|_2 \geq 2 \max(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^2) \right) \leq 9^{d+k} e^{-c' m \varepsilon^2}. \quad (30)$$

Further, let $\varepsilon = \sqrt{\frac{ck^2 \log(rn)}{4m}}$ for some constant c , and $m \geq ck^2 \log(rn)$, we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\left\| \left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} - \mathbf{I}_d \right) \left(\frac{1}{m} \mathbf{X}_i^\top \mathbf{X}_i \right) \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \right\|_2 \geq \sqrt{\frac{ck^2 \log(rn)}{m}} \right) \leq 9^{d+k} e^{-c_1 k^2 \log(rn)} \leq e^{-111k^2 \log(rn)}, \quad (31)$$

for a large enough constant c_1 . According to (23),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{h}_i\|_2 &\leq \left\| \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} \right\|_2 \|\mathbf{s}_i^* - \mathbf{s}_i^t\|_2 + \left\| (\mathbf{G}^i)^{-1} \right\|_2 \left\| \mathbf{G}^i \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} - \mathbf{E}^i \right\|_2 \|\mathbf{s}_i^* - \mathbf{s}_i^t\|_2 \\ &= \left(1 + \left\| (\mathbf{G}^i)^{-1} \right\|_2 \left\| \mathbf{G}^i \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} - \mathbf{E}^i \right\|_2 \right) \|\mathbf{s}_i^* - \mathbf{s}_i^t\|_2. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

From (31), we know that

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\left\| \mathbf{G}^i \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} - \mathbf{E}^i \right\|_2 \geq \delta_k \right) \leq e^{-111k^2 \log(rn)}, \quad (33)$$

and from equation (43) in (Collins et al., 2021) we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\left\| (\mathbf{G}^i)^{-1} \right\|_2 \geq \frac{1}{1 - \delta_k} \right) \leq e^{-121k^3 \log(rn)} \quad (34)$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{h}_i\|_2 \leq \left(1 + \frac{\delta_k}{1 - \delta_k} \right) \|\mathbf{s}_i^* - \mathbf{s}_i^t\|_2 = \frac{1}{1 - \delta_k} \|\mathbf{s}_i^* - \mathbf{s}_i^t\|_2 \quad (35)$$

with probability at least $1 - e^{-111k^2 \log(rn)}$. Finally we take a union bound over $i \in [rn]$, leading to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{1}{rn} \|\mathbf{H}\|_2^2 \geq \frac{1}{(1-\delta_k)^2} \|\mathbf{S}^* - \mathbf{S}^t\|_F^2 \right) &\leq \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{1}{rn} \sum_{i=1}^{rn} \|\mathbf{h}_i\|_2^2 \geq \frac{1}{(1-\delta_k)^2} \sum_{i=1}^{rn} \|\mathbf{s}_i^* - \mathbf{s}_i^t\|_2^2 \right) \\ &\leq rn \mathbb{P} \left(\|\mathbf{h}_i\|_2^2 \geq \frac{1}{(1-\delta_k)^2} \|\mathbf{s}_i^* - \mathbf{s}_i^t\|_2^2 \right) \\ &\leq e^{-110k^2 \log(rn)} \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

and thus completing the proof. \square

Lemma 5 *Let $\delta'_k = \dots$ for some absolute constant c . Then for any t ,*

$$\frac{1}{rn} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{m} \mathcal{A}^\top \mathcal{A} (\mathbf{Q}^{t\top}) - \mathbf{Q}^{t\top} \right)^\top \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} \right\|_2 \leq \quad (37)$$

Proof: Let $\mathbf{Q}^t = \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \mathbf{W}^{t+1} - \hat{\mathbf{B}}^* \mathbf{W}^* + \mathbf{S}^{t+1} - \mathbf{S}^*$. To bound $\frac{1}{rn} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{m} \mathcal{A}^\top \mathcal{A} (\mathbf{Q}^{t\top}) - \mathbf{Q}^{t\top} \right)^\top \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} \right\|_2$, we first consider the bound of the columns of \mathbf{Q} . Let $\mathbf{q}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be the i -th column of \mathbf{Q} , for all $i \in [rn]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{q}_i &= \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \mathbf{w}_i^{t+1} - \hat{\mathbf{B}}^* \mathbf{w}_i^* + \mathbf{s}_i^{t+1} - \mathbf{s}_i^* \\ &= \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^* \mathbf{w}_i^* - \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \mathbf{f}_i - \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \mathbf{h}_i - \hat{\mathbf{B}}^* \mathbf{w}_i^* + \hat{\mathbf{B}}^* (\mathbf{w}_i^* - \mathbf{w}_i^t) \\ &= \left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} - \mathbf{I}_d \right) \hat{\mathbf{B}}^* \mathbf{w}_i^* - \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \mathbf{f}_i - \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \mathbf{h}_i + \hat{\mathbf{B}}^* (\mathbf{w}_i^* - \mathbf{w}_i^t) \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{q}_i\|_2 &= \left\| \left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} - \mathbf{I}_d \right) \hat{\mathbf{B}}^* \mathbf{w}_i^* - \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \mathbf{f}_i - \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \mathbf{h}_i + \hat{\mathbf{B}}^* (\mathbf{w}_i^* - \mathbf{w}_i^t) \right\|_2 \\ &\leq \left\| \left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} - \mathbf{I}_d \right) \hat{\mathbf{B}}^* \right\|_2 \|\mathbf{w}_i^*\|_2 + \|\mathbf{f}_i\|_2 + \|\mathbf{h}_i\|_2 + \|\mathbf{w}_i^* - \mathbf{w}_i^t\|_2 \\ &\leq 2\sqrt{k} \text{dist} \left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^t, \hat{\mathbf{B}}^* \right) + 2 \|\mathbf{s}_i^* - \mathbf{s}_i^t\|_2 + \|\mathbf{w}_i^* - \mathbf{w}_i^t\|_2 \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

$$\leq 2\sqrt{k} \text{dist} \left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^t, \hat{\mathbf{B}}^* \right) + 2 \|\mathbf{S}^* - \mathbf{S}^t\|_F + \|\mathbf{W}^* - \mathbf{W}^t\|_F, \quad (40)$$

where (39) holds with probability at least $1 - e^{-110k^2 \log(rn)}$, by combining equation (44) in (Collins et al., 2021) and (35), conditioned on $\delta_k \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Similarly, combining equation (45) and (35), conditioned on $\delta_k \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{w}_i^{t+1}\|_2 &\leq \left\| \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^* \mathbf{w}_i^* \right\|_2 + \|\mathbf{f}_i\|_2 + \|\mathbf{h}_i\|_2 \\ &\leq 2\sqrt{k} + 2 \|\mathbf{s}_i^* - \mathbf{s}_i^t\|_2 \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

$$\leq 2\sqrt{k} + 2 \|\mathbf{S}^* - \mathbf{S}^t\|_F, \quad (42)$$

with probability at least $1 - e^{-110k^2 \log(rn)}$.

Next, just for simple notation, let $\Delta \mathbf{S}^t$ denote $\mathbf{S}^* - \mathbf{S}^t$ and $\Delta \mathbf{W}^t$ denote $\mathbf{W}^* - \mathbf{W}^t$. and in the following proof, we condition on the event

$$\mathcal{E} := \bigcap_{i=1}^{rn} \left\{ \|\mathbf{q}_i\|_2 \leq 2\sqrt{k} \text{dist}(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^t, \hat{\mathbf{B}}^*) + 2\|\Delta \mathbf{S}^t\|_{\text{F}} + \|\Delta \mathbf{W}^t\|_{\text{F}} \cap \|\mathbf{w}_i^{t+1}\|_2 \leq 2\sqrt{k} + 2\|\Delta \mathbf{S}^t\|_{\text{F}} \right\}, \quad (43)$$

which holds with probability at least $1 - e^{-109k^2 \log(rn)}$. Next, we consider the following matrix:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{m} \mathcal{A}^\dagger \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{Q}^{t\top}) - \mathbf{Q}^{t\top} &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{rn} \sum_{j=1}^m \left\langle \mathbf{e}_i(\mathbf{x}_i^j)^\top, \mathbf{Q}^{t\top} \right\rangle \mathbf{e}_i(\mathbf{x}_i^j)^\top - \mathbf{Q}^{t\top} \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{rn} \sum_{j=1}^m \langle \mathbf{x}_i^j, \mathbf{q}_i \rangle \mathbf{e}_i(\mathbf{x}_i^j)^\top - \mathbf{Q}^{t\top}, \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

further, we have

$$\frac{1}{rn} \left(\frac{1}{m} \mathcal{A}^\dagger \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{Q}^{t\top}) - \mathbf{Q}^{t\top} \right)^\top \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} = \frac{1}{rnm} \sum_{i=1}^{rn} \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\langle \mathbf{x}_i^j, \mathbf{q}_i \rangle \mathbf{x}_i^j \mathbf{w}_i^\top - \mathbf{q}_i \mathbf{w}_i^\top \right). \quad (45)$$

Next, we establish similar arguments as the derivatives for Theorem 4.4.5 in (Vershynin, 2018) to bound $\left\| \frac{1}{rnm} \sum_{i=1}^{rn} \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\langle \mathbf{x}_i^j, \mathbf{q}_i \rangle \mathbf{x}_i^j \mathbf{w}_i^\top - \mathbf{q}_i \mathbf{w}_i^\top \right) \right\|_2$. let \mathcal{S}^{d-1} be the d -dimension unit sphere and \mathcal{S}^{k-1} be the k -dimension unit sphere, then let \mathcal{N}_d be the $\frac{1}{4}$ -th net on \mathcal{S}^{d-1} and \mathcal{N}_k be the $\frac{1}{4}$ -th net on \mathcal{S}^{k-1} , such that $|\mathcal{N}_d| \leq 9^d$ and $|\mathcal{N}_k| \leq 9^k$, which exists according to Corollary 4.2.13 in (Vershynin, 2018). Using equation 4.13 in (Vershynin, 2018), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \frac{1}{rnm} \sum_{i=1}^{rn} \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\langle \mathbf{x}_i^j, \mathbf{q}_i \rangle \mathbf{x}_i^j \mathbf{w}_i^\top - \mathbf{q}_i \mathbf{w}_i^\top \right) \right\|_2 \\ &\leq 2 \max_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{N}_d, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{N}_k} \mathbf{z}^\top \left(\sum_{i=1}^{rn} \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{rnm} \langle \mathbf{x}_i^j, \mathbf{q}_i \rangle \mathbf{x}_i^j \mathbf{w}_i^\top - \frac{1}{rnm} \mathbf{q}_i \mathbf{w}_i^\top \right) \right) \mathbf{y} \\ &= 2 \max_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{N}_d, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{N}_k} \sum_{i=1}^{rn} \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{rnm} \langle \mathbf{x}_i^j, \mathbf{q}_i \rangle \langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x}_i^j \rangle \langle \mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{y} \rangle - \frac{1}{rnm} \langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{q}_i \rangle \langle \mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{y} \rangle \right) \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Since \mathbf{x}_i^j is \mathbf{I}_d -sub-gaussian, $\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x}_i^j \rangle$ is sub-gaussian with norm at most $c\|\mathbf{z}\|_2 = c$ for some absolute constant c and any $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{N}_d$. Also $\langle \mathbf{x}_i^j, \mathbf{q}_i \rangle$ is sub-gaussian with norm at most $\|\mathbf{q}_i\|_2$. Therefore, $\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x}_i^j \rangle \langle \mathbf{x}_i^j, \mathbf{q}_i \rangle$ is sub-exponential with norm at most $c\|\mathbf{q}_i\|_2$, which indicates $\frac{1}{rnm} \langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x}_i^j \rangle \langle \mathbf{x}_i^j, \mathbf{q}_i \rangle \langle \mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{y} \rangle$ is sub-exponential with norm at most

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c}{rnm} \|\mathbf{q}_i\|_2 \langle \mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{y} \rangle &\leq \frac{c}{rnm} \|\mathbf{q}_i\|_2 \|\mathbf{w}_i\|_2 \\ &\leq \frac{c}{rnm} \left(2\sqrt{k} \text{dist}(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^t, \hat{\mathbf{B}}^*) + 2\|\Delta \mathbf{S}^t\|_{\text{F}} + \|\Delta \mathbf{W}^t\|_{\text{F}} \right) \left(2\sqrt{k} + 2\|\Delta \mathbf{S}^t\|_{\text{F}} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{c_2}{rnm} \left(2k \text{dist}(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^t, \hat{\mathbf{B}}^*) + 2\sqrt{k} \|\Delta \mathbf{S}^t\|_{\text{F}} + \sqrt{k} \|\Delta \mathbf{W}^t\|_{\text{F}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

$$+ \frac{c_2}{rnm} \left(2\sqrt{k} \text{dist}(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^t, \hat{\mathbf{B}}^*) \|\Delta \mathbf{S}^t\|_F + 2 \|\Delta \mathbf{S}^t\|_F^2 + \|\Delta \mathbf{S}^t\|_F \|\Delta \mathbf{W}^t\|_F \right) \quad (48)$$

Since $\mathbb{E}[\frac{1}{rnm} \langle \mathbf{x}_i^j, \mathbf{q}_i \rangle \langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x}_i^j \rangle \langle \mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{y} \rangle - \frac{1}{rnm} \langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{q}_i \rangle \langle \mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{y} \rangle] = 0$, we have a sum of rnm independent, mean zero, sub-exponential random variables, for which we can apply Bernstein's inequality and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{rn} \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{rnm} \langle \mathbf{x}_i^j, \mathbf{q}_i \rangle \langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x}_i^j \rangle \langle \mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{y} \rangle - \frac{1}{rnm} \langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{q}_i \rangle \langle \mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{y} \rangle \right) \geq s \right) \\ & \leq \exp() \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

□

1.3 Main Result

Recall that $\mathbf{Q}^{t\top} = \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} - \mathbf{W}^{*\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{*\top} + \mathbf{S}^{t+1\top} - \mathbf{S}^{*\top}$, plugging this into (4), and without losing generality, we drop the subscripts of \mathcal{I}^t and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbf{B}}^{t+1} &= \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t - \frac{\eta}{rnm} \left((\mathcal{A}^t)^\top \mathcal{A}^t (\mathbf{Q}^{t\top}) \right)^\top \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} \\ &= \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t - \frac{\eta}{rn} \mathbf{Q}^t \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} - \frac{\eta}{rn} \left(\frac{1}{m} (\mathcal{A}^t)^\top \mathcal{A}^t (\mathbf{Q}^{t\top}) - \mathbf{Q}^{t\top} \right)^\top \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top}. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Since $\bar{\mathbf{B}}^{t+1} = \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t+1} \mathbf{R}^{t+1}$, we right multiply $(\mathbf{R}^{t+1})^{-1}$ and left multiply $\hat{\mathbf{B}}_\perp^{*\top}$ on both sides to get

$$\hat{\mathbf{B}}_\perp^{*\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t+1} = \left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}_\perp^{*\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t - \frac{\eta}{rn} \hat{\mathbf{B}}_\perp^{*\top} \mathbf{Q}^t \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} - \frac{\eta}{rn} \hat{\mathbf{B}}_\perp^{*\top} \left(\frac{1}{m} (\mathcal{A}^t)^\top \mathcal{A}^t (\mathbf{Q}^{t\top}) - \mathbf{Q}^{t\top} \right)^\top \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} \right) (\mathbf{R}^{t+1})^{-1}. \quad (51)$$

Then we consider the term of $\hat{\mathbf{B}}_\perp^{*\top} \mathbf{Q}^t \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{B}}_\perp^{*\top} \mathbf{Q}^t \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} &= \hat{\mathbf{B}}_\perp^{*\top} \left(\mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} - \mathbf{W}^{*\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{*\top} + \mathbf{S}^{t+1\top} - \mathbf{S}^{*\top} \right) \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} \\ &= \hat{\mathbf{B}}_\perp^{*\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} - \hat{\mathbf{B}}_\perp^{*\top} (\mathbf{S}^* - \mathbf{S}^{t+1}) \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top}, \end{aligned}$$

plugging this into (51) then we reach

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{B}}_\perp^{*\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t+1} &= \left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}_\perp^{*\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \left(\mathbf{I}_k - \frac{\eta}{rn} \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} \right) + \frac{\eta}{rn} \hat{\mathbf{B}}_\perp^{*\top} (\mathbf{S}^* - \mathbf{S}^{t+1}) \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\eta}{rn} \hat{\mathbf{B}}_\perp^{*\top} \left(\frac{1}{m} (\mathcal{A}^t)^\top \mathcal{A}^t (\mathbf{Q}^{t\top}) - \mathbf{Q}^{t\top} \right)^\top \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} \right) (\mathbf{R}^{t+1})^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

Therefore,

$$\text{dist}(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t+1}, \hat{\mathbf{B}}^*) = \left\| \hat{\mathbf{B}}_\perp^{*\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t+1} \right\|_2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left\| \hat{\mathbf{B}}_{\perp}^{*\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \left(\mathbf{I}_k - \frac{\eta}{rn} \mathbf{W}^{t+1} \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} \right) \right\|_2 \|(\mathbf{R}^{t+1})^{-1}\|_2 \\
&\quad + \frac{\eta}{rn} \left\| \hat{\mathbf{B}}_{\perp}^{*\top} \left(\frac{1}{m} (\mathcal{A}^t)^\top \mathcal{A}^t (\mathbf{Q}^{t\top}) - \mathbf{Q}^{t\top} \right)^\top \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} \right\|_2 \|(\mathbf{R}^{t+1})^{-1}\|_2 \\
&\quad + \frac{\eta}{rn} \left\| \hat{\mathbf{B}}_{\perp}^{*\top} (\mathbf{S}^* - \mathbf{S}^{t+1}) \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} \right\|_2 \|(\mathbf{R}^{t+1})^{-1}\|_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

Next, we focus on the term of $\left\| \hat{\mathbf{B}}_{\perp}^{*\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \left(\mathbf{I}_k - \frac{\eta}{rn} \mathbf{W}^{t+1} \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} \right) \right\|_2$, for which we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \hat{\mathbf{B}}_{\perp}^{*\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \left(\mathbf{I}_k - \frac{\eta}{rn} \mathbf{W}^{t+1} \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} \right) \right\|_2 &\leq \left\| \hat{\mathbf{B}}_{\perp}^{*\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \right\|_2 \left\| \mathbf{I}_k - \frac{\eta}{rn} \mathbf{W}^{t+1} \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} \right\|_2 \\
&\leq \text{dist}(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^t, \hat{\mathbf{B}}^*) \left\| \mathbf{I}_k - \frac{\eta}{rn} \mathbf{W}^{t+1} \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} \right\|_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

To bound the term of $\left\| \mathbf{I}_k - \frac{\eta}{rn} \mathbf{W}^{t+1} \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} \right\|_2$, first we consider

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \mathbf{I}_k - \frac{\eta}{rn} \mathbf{W}^{t+1} \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} \right\|_2 \\
&= \left\| \mathbf{I}_k - \frac{\eta}{rn} \left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^* \mathbf{W}^* - \mathbf{F} - \mathbf{H} \right) \left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^* \mathbf{W}^* - \mathbf{F} - \mathbf{H} \right)^\top \right\|_2 \\
&= \left\| \mathbf{I}_k - \frac{\eta}{rn} \left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^* \mathbf{W}^* - \mathbf{F} \right) \left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^* \mathbf{W}^* - \mathbf{F} \right)^\top \right\|_2 \\
&\quad + \frac{\eta}{rn} \left\| \mathbf{H} \left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^* \mathbf{W}^* - \mathbf{F} \right)^\top - \left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^* \mathbf{W}^* - \mathbf{F} \right) \mathbf{H}^\top + \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}^\top \right\|_2
\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

As for the first term, combining (66) and (67) in (Collins et al., 2021), we have

$$\left\| \mathbf{I}_k - \frac{\eta}{rn} \left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^* \mathbf{W}^* - \mathbf{F} \right) \left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^* \mathbf{W}^* - \mathbf{F} \right)^\top \right\|_2 \leq 1 - \eta \bar{\sigma}_{\min,*}^2 E_0 + 2\eta \frac{\delta_k}{1 - \delta_k} \bar{\sigma}_{\max,*}^2. \tag{56}$$

As for the second term,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\eta}{rn} \left\| \mathbf{H} \left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^* \mathbf{W}^* - \mathbf{F} \right)^\top - \left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^* \mathbf{W}^* - \mathbf{F} \right) \mathbf{H}^\top + \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}^\top \right\|_2 \\
&\leq \frac{2\eta}{rn} \left\| \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^* \mathbf{W}^* - \mathbf{F} \right\|_2 \|\mathbf{H}\|_2 + \frac{\eta}{rn} \|\mathbf{H}\|_2^2
\end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

$$\leq 2\eta \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{rn}} \left\| \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^* \mathbf{W}^* \right\|_2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{rn}} \|\mathbf{F}\|_2 \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{rn}} \|\mathbf{H}\|_2 + \frac{\eta}{rn} \|\mathbf{H}\|_2^2 \tag{58}$$

$$\leq 2\eta \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{rn}} \|\mathbf{W}^*\|_2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{rn}} \frac{\delta_k}{1 - \delta_k} \|\mathbf{W}^*\|_2 \text{dist}(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^t, \hat{\mathbf{B}}^*) \right) \frac{1}{1 - \delta_k} \|\Delta \mathbf{S}^t\|_{\text{F}} + \frac{\eta}{(1 - \delta_k)^2} \|\Delta \mathbf{S}^t\|_{\text{F}}^2 \tag{59}$$

Then, we focus on bounding $\left\| (\mathbf{R}^{t+1})^{-1} \right\|_2$. Just for simple notation, let $\mathbf{U}^t := \frac{1}{m} \mathcal{A}^\dagger \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{Q}^{t\top})$, then we have

$$\mathbf{R}^{t+1\top} \mathbf{R}^{t+1} = \bar{\mathbf{B}}^{t+1\top} \bar{\mathbf{B}}^{t+1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t - \frac{\eta}{rn} \left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} \mathbf{U}^{t\top} \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} + \mathbf{W}^{t+1} \mathbf{U}^t \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \right) + \frac{\eta^2}{(rn)^2} \mathbf{W}^{t+1} \mathbf{U}^t \mathbf{U}^{t\top} \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} \\
&= \mathbf{I}_k - \frac{\eta}{rn} \left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} \mathbf{U}^{t\top} \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} + \mathbf{W}^{t+1} \mathbf{U}^t \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \right) + \frac{\eta^2}{(rn)^2} \mathbf{W}^{t+1} \mathbf{U}^t \mathbf{U}^{t\top} \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top}. \tag{60}
\end{aligned}$$

Using Weyl's Inequality, we reach

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{\min}^2(\mathbf{R}^{t+1}) &\geq 1 - \frac{\eta}{rn} \lambda_{\max} \left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} \mathbf{U}^{t\top} \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} + \mathbf{W}^{t+1} \mathbf{U}^t \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \right) + \frac{\eta^2}{(rn)^2} \lambda_{\min} \left(\mathbf{W}^{t+1} \mathbf{U}^t \mathbf{U}^{t\top} \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} \right) \\
&\geq 1 - \frac{\eta}{rn} \lambda_{\max} \left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} \mathbf{U}^{t\top} \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} + \mathbf{W}^{t+1} \mathbf{U}^t \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \right) \tag{61}
\end{aligned}$$

where (61) holds since $\mathbf{W}^{t+1} \mathbf{U}^t \mathbf{U}^{t\top} \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top}$ is positive semi-definite. Further,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\eta}{rn} \lambda_{\max} \left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} \mathbf{U}^{t\top} \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} + \mathbf{W}^{t+1} \mathbf{U}^t \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \right) \\
&= \max_{\mathbf{z}: \|\mathbf{z}\|_2=1} \frac{\eta}{rn} \left(\mathbf{z}^\top \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} \mathbf{U}^{t\top} \mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{z}^\top \mathbf{W}^{t+1} \mathbf{U}^t \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \mathbf{z} \right) \\
&= \max_{\mathbf{z}: \|\mathbf{z}\|_2=1} \frac{2\eta}{rn} \mathbf{z}^\top \mathbf{W}^{t+1} \mathbf{U}^t \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \mathbf{z} \\
&= \max_{\mathbf{z}: \|\mathbf{z}\|_2=1} \left(\frac{2\eta}{rn} \mathbf{z}^\top \mathbf{W}^{t+1} \left(\frac{1}{m} \mathcal{A}^\dagger \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{Q}^{t\top}) - \mathbf{Q}^{t\top} \right) \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \mathbf{z} + \frac{2\eta}{rn} \mathbf{z}^\top \mathbf{W}^{t+1} \mathbf{Q}^{t\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \mathbf{z} \right) \tag{62}
\end{aligned}$$

When considering the first term, we have

$$\max_{\mathbf{z}: \|\mathbf{z}\|_2=1} \frac{2\eta}{rn} \mathbf{z}^\top \mathbf{W}^{t+1} \left(\frac{1}{m} \mathcal{A}^\dagger \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{Q}^{t\top}) - \mathbf{Q}^{t\top} \right) \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \mathbf{z} \leq \frac{2\eta}{rn} \left\| \mathbf{W}^{t+1} \left(\frac{1}{m} \mathcal{A}^\dagger \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{Q}^{t\top}) - \mathbf{Q}^{t\top} \right) \right\|_2 \left\| \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \right\|_2 \tag{63}$$

Then we consider the second term in (62),

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_{\mathbf{z}: \|\mathbf{z}\|_2=1} \frac{2\eta}{rn} \mathbf{z}^\top \mathbf{W}^{t+1} \mathbf{Q}^{t\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \mathbf{z} &\leq \max_{\mathbf{z}: \|\mathbf{z}\|_2=1} \frac{2\eta}{rn} \mathbf{z}^\top \left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^* \mathbf{W}^* - \mathbf{F} \right) \left(\mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} - \mathbf{W}^{*\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{*\top} \right) \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \mathbf{z} \\
&\quad + \max_{\mathbf{z}: \|\mathbf{z}\|_2=1} \frac{2\eta}{rn} \mathbf{z}^\top \left(\left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^* \mathbf{W}^* - \mathbf{F} \right) \left(\mathbf{S}^{t+1\top} - \mathbf{S}^{*\top} \right) + \mathbf{H} \mathbf{Q}^{t\top} \right) \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \mathbf{z} \tag{64}
\end{aligned}$$

As for the first term in (64), from equation (81) in (Collins et al., 2021) we have

$$\max_{\mathbf{z}: \|\mathbf{z}\|_2=1} \frac{2\eta}{rn} \mathbf{z}^\top \left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^* \mathbf{W}^* - \mathbf{F} \right) \left(\mathbf{W}^{t+1\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} - \mathbf{W}^{*\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{*\top} \right) \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \mathbf{z} \leq 4\eta \frac{\delta_k}{(1 - \delta_k)^2} \bar{\sigma}_{\max, *}^2 \tag{65}$$

As for the second term in (64),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{2\eta}{rn} \left\| \left(\left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^* \mathbf{W}^* - \mathbf{F} \right) \left(\mathbf{S}^{t+1\top} - \mathbf{S}^{*\top} \right) + \mathbf{H} \mathbf{Q}^{t\top} \right) \hat{\mathbf{B}}^t \right\|_2 \\
&\leq \frac{2\eta}{rn} \left\| \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{t\top} \hat{\mathbf{B}}^* \mathbf{W}^* - \mathbf{F} \right\|_2 \left\| \mathbf{S}^{t+1\top} - \mathbf{S}^{*\top} \right\|_2 + \frac{2\eta}{rn} \left\| \mathbf{H} \mathbf{Q}^{t\top} \right\|_2 \tag{66}
\end{aligned}$$

References

- Liam Collins, Hamed Hassani, Aryan Mokhtari, and Sanjay Shakkottai. Exploiting shared representations for personalized federated learning. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 2089–2099. PMLR, 2021.
- Roman Vershynin. *High-dimensional probability: An introduction with applications in data science*, volume 47. Cambridge university press, 2018.

A Proofs