ORODOMAAM

FORMS &

STRUCTURE IN

ANNA MARMODORO

PLATO'S METAPHYSICS

his book investigates the thought of two of the most influential phil osophers of antiquity, Plato and his predecessor Anaxagoras, with respect

metaphysical concept is overlap: overlap between properties and objects in theory to be indebted to Anaxagoras's, and principally arguing that their core introduces a fresh perspective on these two thinkers' ideas, showing Plato's to their metaphysical accounts of objects and their properties. Marmodoro S'OTA

explanation of composition, and in his account of metaphysical emergence of Forms as causes, and more. Marmodoro's interpretation shows Plato to overlap. Overlap is the crux of our understanding of how for Plato objects be ground-breaking in his understanding of structure as a property, in his participate in Forms, of how objects are related without relations, of the role constitutional overlap, but subsequently develops his own model of qualitative the world. She contends that Plato initially endorses Anaxagoras's model of METAPHYSICS OXŁOKD STRUCTURE

www.oup.com

UNIVERSITY PRESS OXFORD

Forms and Structure in Plato's Metaphysics

Forms and Structure in Plato's Metaphysics

ANNA MARMODORO



OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS

Oxford University Press is a department of the University of Oxford. It furthers the University's objective of excellence in research, scholarship, and education by publishing worldwide. Oxford is a registered trade mark of Oxford University Press in the UK and certain other countries.

Published in the United States of America by Oxford University Press 198 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10016, United States of America.

© Oxford University Press 2021

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission in writing of Oxford University Press, or as expressly permitted by law, by license, or under terms agreed with the appropriate reproduction rights organization. Inquiries concerning reproduction outside the scope of the above should be sent to the Rights Department, Oxford University Press, at the address above.

You must not circulate this work in any other form and you must impose this same condition on any acquirer.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data
Names: Marmodoro, Anna, 1975- author.
Title: Forms and structure in Plato's metaphysics / Anna Marmodoro.

Description: New York, NY, United States of America: Oxford University Press, [2021] |
Series: Oxford scholarship online |
Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2021007930 (print) | LCCN 2021007931 (ebook) |
ISBN 9780197577158 (hb) | ISBN 9780197577172 (epub) |
ISBN 9780197577189 (online) | ISBN 9780197577165 (updf) |
Subjects: LCSH: Plato. | Form (Philosophy) | Anaxagoras.

Classification: LCC B398.F57 M37 2021 (print) | LCC B398.F57 (ebook) |
DDC 184—dc23

LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2021007930 LC ebook record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2021007931

DOI: 10.1093/oso/9780197577158.001.0001

1 3 5 7 9 8 6 4 2

Printed by Integrated Books International, United States of America

Contents

Ac	knowledgements	vii
In	troduction	1
1.	Anaxagoras's metaphysical foundations	12
	1.1. Introduction	12
	1.2. What there is	13
	1.3. Opposite properties and their causal efficacy	19
	1.4. Like causes like	27
	1.5. Gunky Opposites	29
	1.5.1. The Opposites exist as unlimitedly divided into parts	29
	1.5.2. The Opposites are homoeomers	32
	1.6. Closing remarks	34
2.	Making things up	35
	2.1. Introduction	35
	2.2. Presence and preponderance of Opposites	38
	2.3. A bundle theory of objects	43
	2.4. Seeds of structure	45
	2.5. The world is one	47
	2.6. The role of <i>nous</i>	53
	2.7. Closing remarks	59
	Appendix: What are Plato and Aristotle complaining about?	61
3.	Plato's Forms as powers	65
	3.1. Introduction	65
	3.2. The Eleatic Principle	66
	3.3. The Forms as causes	72
	3.4. Transcendent powers	77
	3.5. Closing remarks	81
4.	Forms in objects	83
	4.1. Introduction	83
	4.2. Being present in versus belonging to an object	84
	4.3. Presence or communion?	86

vi CONTENTS

	4.4.	The non-recurrence of Forms	88
	4.5.	The Forms' uniqueness	92
	4.6.	The Paradox of Smallness	94
		Is self-predication self-predication?	96
	4.8.	Quantitative or functional parts of Forms?	104
		Closing remarks	108
5.	Part	ts, or no parts?	114
		Introduction	114
		The Forms as logical fusions	115
	5.3.	Being monoeides versus being a homoeomer	138
	5.4.	Platonic hylomorphism	14]
	5.5.	Composite by becoming: the Third Man Argument	148
	5.6.	Closing remarks	154
6.	Ove	erlap, relations and relatives	156
	6.1.	Introduction	156
	6.2.	Plural partaking: joint-partaking and parallel-partaking	159
		6.2.1. Symmetric 'relations' as joint-partaking	159
		6.2.2. Asymmetric 'relations' as parallel-partaking	164
		6.2.3. Multigrade 'relations'	172
	6.3.	Necessity as plural partaking	174
	6.4.	Regresses of Great Kinds	176
	6.5.	Building the paradeigma	178
	6.6.	Closing remarks	179
		lem:appendix:Reifying relatives: the Forms of Master and Slave	179
7.	The	paradeigma shift	182
	7.1.	Introduction	182
	7.2.	The Third Man Argument resolved	187
		Being, becoming, and time	192
	7.4.	Transcendent necessity	193
	7.5.	The Demiurge and the paradeigma	195
	7.6.	Geometrical chemistry	197
	7.7.	Top-down and bottom-up structure	199
	7.8.	Closing remarks	201
Co	nclu	sion	203
Bib	liogr	raphy	207
	Index Locorum		
Index			217 219

Acknowledgements

I am very grateful to the editor Peter Ohlin for his unfailing encouragement, and to the Press's anonymous readers for raising the bar high with their comments on the manuscript. It has been a pleasure to work with Oxford University Press through the process that led to this book's publication. I also thank here the audiences near and far who provided feedback on talks based on the manuscript, at conferences, departmental seminars, and other various occasions, internationally. I gave graduate courses based on earlier versions of the manuscript at the Università della Svizzera Italiana in Lugano, while I was a visiting professor there between 2018 and 2020; I thank the students there collectively, for teaching them was helpful in thinking through my arguments. I am grateful also to Rich Neels, who read and offered comments on a late version of the manuscript; and to Udit Bery and Chiara Martini, who were writing with contagious gusto their BPhil theses under my supervision in Oxford in the Spring of 2018, when I started working on this book project.

This book is dedicated to my Mum and Dad, whom I thank for their heuristically divergent philosophies of life.