

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/230677248>

The Knockdown Resistance Mutation and Knockdown Time in Anopheles gambiae Collected from Mali Evaluated Through a Bottle Bioassay and a Novel Insecticide-Treated N....

Article in Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association · June 2012

DOI: 10.2987/11-6216R.1 · Source: PubMed

CITATIONS

2

READS

47

6 authors, including:



Stephanie N Seifert

National Institutes of Health

27 PUBLICATIONS 1,536 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Yoosook Lee

University of California, Davis

133 PUBLICATIONS 1,038 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Adama Sacko

University of Bamako

9 PUBLICATIONS 123 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Gregory C Lanzaro

University of California, Davis

216 PUBLICATIONS 4,356 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Elucidating the contribution of African fruit bats in the ecological maintenance of Ebola virus [View project](#)



Population genomics in mosquitoes [View project](#)

The Knockdown Resistance Mutation and Knockdown Time in *Anopheles gambiae* Collected from Mali Evaluated Through a Bottle Bioassay and a Novel Insecticide-Treated Net Bioassay

Author(s): Rebecca T. Trout Fryxell, Stephanie N. Seifert, Yoosook Lee, Adama Sacko, Gregory Lanzaro, and Anthony Cornel

Source: Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association, 28(2):119-122. 2012.

Published By: The American Mosquito Control Association

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2987/11-6216R.1>

URL: <http://www.bioone.org/doi/full/10.2987/11-6216R.1>

BioOne (www.bioone.org) is a nonprofit, online aggregation of core research in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. BioOne provides a sustainable online platform for over 170 journals and books published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Web site, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/page/terms_of_use.

Usage of BioOne content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

SCIENTIFIC NOTE

THE KNOCKDOWN RESISTANCE MUTATION AND KNOCKDOWN TIME IN *ANOPHELES GAMBIAE* COLLECTED FROM MALI EVALUATED THROUGH A BOTTLE BIOASSAY AND A NOVEL INSECTICIDE-TREATED NET BIOASSAY

REBECCA T. TROUT FRYXELL,¹ STEPHANIE N. SEIFERT,² YOOSOOK LEE,³ ADAMA SACKO,⁴
GREGORY LANZARO³ AND ANTHONY CORNEL²

ABSTRACT. Successful malaria management in Mali includes the use of pyrethroids and insecticide-treated nets (ITNs) for mosquito control; however, management is threatened by the spread of insecticide resistance detected via the knockdown resistance (*kdr*) allele. In a preliminary study, we compared the knockdown times of *Anopheles gambiae* from Mali using a novel ITN bioassay and the World Health Organization (WHO) bottle bioassay. Additionally, the frequency and relationship between *kdr* genotypes, molecular forms, and pyrethroid resistance were analyzed. The S molecular form was predominant and accounted for 76% of the assayed population. Both *kdr* resistant alleles, West Africa resistant (*kdr-w*) and East Africa resistant (*kdr-e*), were observed. There was no significant difference in knockdown time based on *kdr* genotype or molecular form of individual mosquitoes, but mosquitoes in the ITN bioassay homozygous for the *kdr-w* allele were knocked down significantly faster than those in the WHO bottle bioassay. The ITN bioassay provides an additional indicator of insecticide efficacy because ITNs, frequently used within homes, are the most common form of vector control and malaria prevention, and the ITN bioassays can evaluate seasonal field effects.

KEY WORDS *Anopheles gambiae*, insecticide resistance, Mali, knockdown resistance, insecticide-treated nets, bioassay

The notable increase in insecticide resistance, the cost of mosquito and malaria management, and the biological complexities of the *Anopheles gambiae* Giles s.s. and *Plasmodium* organisms has made both malaria and mosquito reduction a challenge. Pyrethroid insecticides combined with insecticide-treated nets (ITNs) are used to reduce both M and S molecular forms of *An. gambiae* (Favia et al. 1997) because of their strong residual properties, quick speed to knockdown, and low mammalian toxicity (Diabaté et al. 2002). Molecular detection of pyrethroid resistance occurred first in West Africa (*kdr-w*) (Martinez-Torres et al. 1998) and later in East Africa (*kdr-e*) (Ranson et al. 2000). Due to the increase in pyrethroid resistance, we conducted a preliminary study to determine if a new bioassay using ITNs was feasible, and if knockdown time and Knockdown resistance (*kdr*) genotype were correlated in either the bottle bioassay or the ITN bioassay. Our 1st objective was to compare the standard bottle bioassay design to a novel and

inexpensive ITN bioassay because insecticide-treated bed-nets are the primary form of vector control in homes. Our 2nd objective was to identify the relationship of knockdown time to the frequency of the *kdr* resistant alleles in the M and S molecular forms of *An. gambiae* in the village of Pimperena, Mali.

A total of 198 female *An. gambiae* mosquitoes were collected from indoor resting sites over 5 mornings from at least 20 homes in October 2009 from Pimperena, Mali (11°28'N, 05°42'W). The collections were separated into 2 groups for 12-h bottle bioassays and 1-h knockdown trials (bottle bioassay and ITN bioassay) conducted in a temperature-controlled room (21°C) at the Malaria Research and Training Center in Bamako, Mali. For the 12-h susceptibility bottle bioassays, 3 replicates of approximately 20 mosquitoes ($n = 61$) were subjected to bottles coated with 0.05% deltamethrin (diluted in 1 ml of acetone) (Brogdon and McAllister 1998). Mosquitoes were held in the bottle bioassay for 3 h, and then transferred to insecticide-free cups for 12 additional hours with a constant source of sugar water. After 12 h, they were identified as dead or alive (Brogdon and McAllister 1998).

Knockdown experiments were conducted using the previously described bottle bioassay (Brogdon and McAllister 1998) or a modified ITN bioassay. The ITN bioassay used a single PermaNet 2.0-LLIN mosquito net (Vestergaard Frandsen,

¹ Department of Entomology and Plant Pathology, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37920.

² Department of Entomology, University of California–Davis, Davis, CA 95616.

³ School of Veterinary Medicine, Department of Pathology, Microbiology and Immunology, University of California–Davis, Davis, CA 95616.

⁴ Malaria Research and Training Center, University of Bamako, Bamako 1805, Mali.

Lausanne, Switzerland), purchased from a local market within a year of being manufactured, with a targeted pretreatment dose of 1.8 g/kg of deltamethrin. The netting was lined on all sides of a 1-gallon (3.7-liter) cardboard carton, so that if a mosquito were to land, it landed only on netting. Individual bioassays were conducted with a total of 27 mosquitoes subjected individually to 1-h knockdown bottle bioassays and 110 mosquitoes subjected individually to 1-h knockdown ITN bioassays. Concurrently, known pyrethroid-susceptible *An. gambiae* (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's G3 colony) were subjected for 3 h in the ITN susceptibility bioassay (lined with unimpregnated netting) and in bottle bioassays coated with acetone; no mortality was recorded for these controls. After the bioassays, mosquitoes were stored individually in tubes with 70% ethanol for further molecular analyses to correlate knockdown time with the observed *kdr* genotype. Mosquito DNA was extracted (Reimer et al. 2008), diagnostic polymerase chain reaction was used to identify the M/S molecular forms (Scott et al. 1993, Fanello et al. 2002), and the fragment analysis procedure (Reimer et al. 2008) was used to identify the *kdr* genotype of each mosquito. Statistical analyses were performed using SAS 9.2 software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). To compare genotype effects, descriptive statistics were used and knockdown times were log transformed and all data were analyzed with a combination of Fisher Exact Tests for direct effects and with an ANOVA (SAS Institute 2001). To determine if there was a difference in knockdown time based on molecular form, bioassay used, or *kdr* genotype, separate 1-way ANOVAs were done with the knockdown bioassay results. Only mosquitoes that were both *kdr* genotyped and identified to molecular form were used in the final analyses.

Descriptive statistics for each of the bioassays are presented in Table 1. The mean percent mortality for the 12-h bottle bioassay was 62.3% (\pm 6.26% SE) and a majority of the mosquitoes were homozygous for the *kdr-w* alleles (91%). Mortality comparisons of molecular forms of the 12-h bottle bioassay indicated that S-form mosquitoes had significantly more alive numbers than M-form mosquitoes (χ^2 = 6.16, df = 1, P = 0.013).

The mean percent mortality for the 1-h knockdown bottle bioassay (n = 27) was 29.6% (\pm 8.96% SE), and the 1-h ITN knockdown bioassay (n = 110) was 100% (\pm 0% SE). A majority of the mosquitoes in the 1-h bottle bioassay (74%) and 1-h ITN bioassay (64%) were S molecular form; of which these were primarily homozygous for the *kdr-w* alleles (90.1%). The ITN bioassay knockdown times were shorter than those observed in the bottle bioassay,

Table 1. Summary statistics of knockdown (KD) time (min) of *Anopheles gambiae*, comparing bottle bioassay to insecticide-treated net (ITN) bioassay.

Statistic	S molecular form				M molecular form			
	All genotypes		<i>kdr-w/kdr-w</i>		All genotypes		<i>kdr-w/kdr-w</i>	
	Bottle	ITN	Bottle	ITN	Bottle	ITN	Bottle	ITN
No. mosquitoes	23	76	20	70	4	30	1	6
Mean _{KD}	92.10 (\pm 11.33)	22.67 (\pm 0.86)	95.18 (\pm 12.01)	22.25 (\pm 0.90)	104.81 (\pm 15.83)	20.62 (\pm 1.06)	82.67	23.5 (\pm 3.06)
(\pm SE)	16.75	2	23.67	2	75.67	9	—	13
Min _{KD}	180	39	180	39	143.67	32	—	32
Max _{KD}								
Two-tailed t -test _{df}	t_{97} = 10.92 (P < 0.0001)		t_{88} = 11.13 (P < 0.0001)		t_{32} = 14.18 (P < 0.0001)		—	
(P -value)								

regardless of *kdr* genotype ($P < 0.0001$) (Table 1). The ANOVA results indicated molecular form ($F = 0.11$; $df = 1, 118$; $P = 0.7446$) and *kdr* genotype ($F = 0.28$; $df = 4, 118$; $P = 0.8926$) did not significantly affect mortality, as 96% of the M forms and 76% of the S forms died. Only the M molecular form had representatives of each *kdr* genotype, and there was no association between knockdown time and genotype ($P > 0.05$) (sample size for each molecular form and each genotype was insufficient to investigate all possible associations). Only bioassay (bottle or ITN bioassay) had a significant effect on knockdown times (Table 1).

Neither bioassay detected an effect of *kdr* genotype on knockdown time. In Pimperena only 6 homozygous susceptible individuals (*kdr-sus/kdr-sus*) were collected, 5 M molecular forms and 1 S molecular form (4%). To our knowledge, this is the first report of the *kdr-w* allele in the M molecular form and the first reports of the presence of the *kdr-e* allele in Mali. Our *kdr* resistant frequency data were similar to others in Pimperena (Reimer et al. 2008) and in Burkina Faso (Corbel et al. 2010). These data generate new questions regarding *kdr* and insecticide resistance and reasons why neither of these assays detected resistance. Often the *kdr* allele is genotyped and researchers assume resistance based on allelic frequency (Stump et al. 2004, Pinto et al. 2006, Reimer et al. 2008), even though heterozygous individuals are susceptible (Chandre et al. 2000). The *kdr* resistant allele does not guarantee resistance; rather, it increases the likelihood and/or opportunity for resistance as demonstrated in this study and others (Donnelly et al. 2009). In this study, resistance may have been missed due to age variation, field collecting conditions, and the small sample size. It is clear we still do not have a thorough understanding of the *kdr* allele, its role, and the potential impacts it has in conferring resistance.

The efficacy of ITNs is a concern to many, so it may be best to subject mosquitoes to a variety of bioassays to determine efficacy and resistance/susceptible rates. The ITNs are frequently used within homes and this new bioassay uses ITNs; consequently, the ITN bioassay may provide additional information on insecticide and net efficacy, net-to-net variation, and perhaps predict field resistance and susceptibility. Bottle bioassays if conducted in the standard manner are used as an early warning of insecticide resistance and can provide reliable comparison studies (e.g., time and space). Additional research comparing these 2 bioassays in the laboratory with known strains (e.g., resistant/susceptible) could lead to additional field trials. The ITN bioassays for insecticide resistance and ITN efficacy tests will be important as new and improved technologies are developed. Already, tunnel and hut tests have been conducted to compare the ability of different

ITNs to reduce mosquito populations (Corbel et al. 2010). Evaluations have also been conducted to determine net efficacy after household use and washing (Lindblade et al. 2005), net comparison studies between different ITN manufacturers and models (Dabire et al. 2006), and in overall malaria prevention studies (Kilian et al. 2008). Insecticide resistance is occurring within the *An. gambiae* complex and it is critical to continue to monitor all forms of resistance, within all members of the complex, using a variety of methods to manage mosquito populations and minimize malaria transmission.

We are grateful to the field collectors and the Mali Malaria Research and Training Center (MRTC-Bamako) for support and assistance during field collections. This research was supported by the Fogarty Training Grant (D43 TW007390). Rebecca Trout Fryxell was supported by the NIH T32 AI074550 Training Grant.

REFERENCES CITED

- Brogdon WG, McAllister JC. 1998. Simplification of adult mosquito bioassays through use of time-mortality determinations in glass bottles. *J Am Mosq Control Assoc* 14:159–164.
- Chandre F, Darriet F, Duchon S, Finot L, Manguin S, Carnevale P, Guillet P. 2000. Modifications of pyrethroid effects associated with *kdr* mutation in *Anopheles gambiae*. *Med Vet Entomol* 14:81–88.
- Corbel V, Chabi J, Dabire RK, Etang J, Nwane P, Pigeon O, Akogbeto M, Hougard JM. 2010. Field efficacy of a new mosaic long-lasting mosquito net (PermaNet® 3.0) against pyrethroid-resistant malaria vectors: a multi centre study in Western and Central Africa. *Malar J* 9:113–125.
- Dabire RK, Diabaté A, Baldet T, Pare-Toe L, Guiguemde RT, Ouedraogo JB, Skovmand O. 2006. Personal protection of long lasting insecticide-treated nets in areas of *Anopheles gambiae* s.s. resistance to pyrethroids. *Malar J* 5:12–20.
- Diabaté A, Baldet T, Chandre F, Akoobeto M, Guiguemé TR, Darriet F, Brengues C, Guillet P, Heningway J, Small GJ, Hougard JM. 2002. The role of agriculture use of insecticides in resistance to pyrethroids in *Anopheles gambiae* s.l. in Burkina Faso. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 67:617–622.
- Donnelly MJ, Corbel V, Weetman D, Wilding CS, Williamson MS, Black WC IV. 2009. Does *kdr* genotype predict insecticide-resistance phenotype in mosquitoes? *Trends Parasitol* 25:213–219.
- Fanello C, Santolamazza F, della Torre A. 2002. Simultaneous identification of species and molecular forms of the *Anopheles gambiae* complex by PCR-RFLP. *Med Vet Entomol* 16:461–464.
- Favia G, della Torre A, Bagayoko M, Lanfrancotti A, Sagnon NF, Touré YT, Coluzzi M. 1997. Molecular identification of sympatric chromosomal forms of *Anopheles gambiae* and further evidence of their reproductive isolation. *Insect Mol Biol* 6:377–383.
- Kilian A, Byamukama W, Pigeon O, Atieli F, Duchon S, Phan C. 2008. Long-term field performance of a polyester-based long-lasting insecticidal mosquito net in rural Uganda. *Malar J* 7:49–71.

- Lindblade KA, Dotson E, Hawley WA, Bayoh N, Williamson J, Mount D, Olang G, Vulule J, Slutsker L, Gimnig J. 2005. Evaluation of long-lasting insecticidal nets after 2 years of household use. *Trop Med Int Health* 10:1141–1150.
- Martinez-Torres D, Chandre F, Williamson MS, Darriet F, Bergé JB, Devonshire AL, Guillet P, Pasteur N, Pauron D. 1998. Molecular characterization of pyrethroid knockdown resistance (kdr) in the major malaria vector *Anopheles gambiae* s.s. *Insect Mol Biol* 7:179–184.
- Pinto J, Lynd A, Elissa N, Donnelly MJ, Costa C, Gentile G, Caccone A, do Rosario VE. 2006. Co-occurrence of the East and West African *kdr* mutations suggests high levels of resistance to pyrethroid insecticides in *Anopheles gambiae* from Libreville, Gabon. *Med Vet Entomol* 20:27–32.
- Ranson H, Jensen B, Vulule JM, Wang X, Hemingway J, Collins FH. 2000. Identification of a point mutation in the voltage-gated sodium channel gene of Kenyan *Anopheles gambiae* associated with resistance to DDT and pyrethroids. *Insect Mol Biol* 9:491–497.
- Reimer L, Fondjo E, Patchoke S, Diallo B, Lee Y, Ng A, Ndjemai HM, Atangana J, Traore SF, Lanzaro GC, Cornel AJ. 2008. Relationship between *kdr* mutation and resistance to pyrethroid and DDT insecticides in natural populations of *Anopheles gambiae*. *J Med Entomol* 45:260–266.
- SAS Institute. 2001. PROC users manual, version 6th edition. Cary, NC: SAS Institute.
- Scott JA, Brogdon WG, Collins FH. 1993. Identification of single specimens of the *Anopheles gambiae* complex by the polymerase chain reaction. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 49:520–529.
- Stump AD, Atieli FK, Vulule JM, Besansky NJ. 2004. Dynamics of the pyrethroid knockdown resistance allele in western Kenyan populations of *Anopheles gambiae* in response to insecticide-treated bed net trials. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 70:591–596.