

For full credit, please adhere to the following:

- Unsupported answers receive no credit.
 - All answers can be typed or handwritten, and should be readable.
 - Submit the assignment in one pdf file
1. (30 points) Suppose you have the algorithms with the five running times listed below. (Assume these are the exact running times.)
 - (i) n^2
 - (ii) n^3
 - (iii) $100n^2$
 - (iv) $n \log n$
 - (v) 2^n

How much slower do each of these algorithms get when you do the following:

- (a) (15 points) Double the input size.
 - (b) (15 points) Increase the input size by 1.
2. (20 points) Take the following list of functions and arrange them in ascending order of growth rate. That is, if function $g(n)$ immediately follows function $f(n)$ in your list, then it should be the case that $f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$.
 - (i) $f_1(n) = n^{2.5}$
 - (ii) $f_2(n) = \sqrt{2n}$
 - (iii) $f_3(n) = n + 10$
 - (iv) $f_4(n) = 10^n$
 - (v) $f_5(n) = 100^n$
 - (vi) $f_6(n) = n^2 \log n$
3. (30 points) Consider the following basic problem. You're given an array A consisting of n integers $A[1], A[2], \dots, A[n]$. You'd like to output a two-dimensional n -by- n array B in which $B[i, j]$ (for $i < j$) contains the sum of array entries $A[i]$ through $A[j]$ - that is, the sum $A[i] + A[i + 1] + \dots + A[j]$. (The value of array entry $B[i, j]$ is left unspecified whenever $i < j$, so it doesn't matter what is output for these values.)

Here's a simple algorithm to solve this problem:

```
For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ 
  For  $j = i + 1, i + 2, \dots, n$ 
    Add up array entries  $A[i]$  through  $A[j]$ 
    Store the result in  $B[i, j]$ 
  Endfor
Endfor
```

- (a) (10 points) For some function f that you should choose, give a bound of the form $O(f(n))$ on the running time of this algorithm on an input of size n (i.e., a bound on the number of operations performed by the algorithm).
- (b) (10 points) For this same function f , show that the running time of the algorithm on an input of size n is also $\Omega(f(n))$. (This shows an asymptotically tight bound of $\Theta(f(n))$ on the running time.)
- (c) (10 points) Although the algorithm you analyzed in parts (a) and (b) is the most natural way to solve the problem - after all, it just iterates through the relevant entries of the array B , filling in a value for each - it contains some highly unnecessary sources of inefficiency. Give a different algorithm to solve this problem, with an asymptotically better running time. In other words, you should design an algorithm with running time $O(g(n))$, where

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(n)}{f(n)} = 0$$

4. (20 points) You're doing some stress-testing on various models of glass jars to determine the height from which they can be dropped and still not break. The setup for this experiment, on a particular type of jar, is as follows: You have a ladder with n rungs, and you want to find the highest rung from which you can drop a copy of the jar and not have it break. We call this the *highest safe rung*.

It might be natural to try binary search: drop a jar from the middle rung, see if it breaks, and then recursively try from rung $n/4$ or $3n/4$ depending on the outcome. But this has the drawback that you could break a lot of jars in finding the answer.

If your primary goal were to conserve jars, on the other hand, you could try the following strategy. Start by dropping a jar from the first rung, then the second rung, and so forth, climbing one higher each time until the jar breaks. In this way, you only need a single jar - at the moment it breaks, you have the correct answer - but you may have to drop it n times (rather than $\log n$ as in the binary search solution).

So here is the trade-off: it seems you can perform fewer drops if you're willing to break more jars. To understand better how this trade-off works at a quantitative level, let's consider how to run this experiment given a fixed "budget" of $k \geq 1$ jars. In other words,

you have to determine the correct answer – the highest rung – and can use at most k jars in doing so.

- (a) (10 points) Suppose you are given a budget of $k = 2$ jars. Describe a strategy for finding the highest safe rung that requires you to drop a jar at most $f(n)$ times, for some function $f(n)$ that grows slower than linearly. (In other words, it should be the case that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n)/n = 0$.)
- (b) (10 points) Now suppose you have a budget of $k > 2$ jars, for some given k . Describe a strategy for finding the highest safe rung using at most k jars. If $f_k(n)$ denotes the number of times you need to drop a jar according to your strategy, then the functions f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots should have the property that each grows asymptotically slower than the pervious one: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_k(n)/f_{k-1}(n) = 0$ for each k .