

What the ratings won't tell you

Based on the Chronicle survey, Wave #3 26.03-29.03

Recently, research centers have been publishing exceptionally high political ratings. They include the level of support for the military operation, which is largely the result of support for the government, and the dynamics of the two are in sync.

The phenomenon of these polls lies not only in the high numbers, but also in the rapid dynamics, literally in a matter of weeks. Virtually all liberal commentators talk about the social desirability effect of military self-censorship and the unreliability of these numbers, but immediately move on to discuss the reasons for such high support.

Today's situation should encourage the research audience to accept figures and analysis critically, but without prejudice, without losing the hope of extracting predictive knowledge from them.

For this purpose, it is useful to consider persistent stereotypes in the perception of political sociology.

Stereotype #1. Opinion polls cannot be conducted in a country that is undergoing a military operation/a war

The need to understand social processes is now extremely high, so we cannot give up on research. We need to look for ways to overcome the technical and psychological difficulties of conducting surveys.

Political (and not only) decisions in Russia are accompanied by public opinion polls. It is impossible to establish a moratorium on the production of numbers, especially for propaganda purposes. In order to maintain a balance, independent data must too be produced and analyzed.

The numbers are a necessary but a superficial picture of reality. What does the figure of 60% support for the military operation today, on the 38th day of it, tell us? About the effectiveness of the propaganda of the military campaign in Ukraine, about the high rating of Putin himself, about the fact that there are more people who support it than those who do not? About the willingness to enlist in a volunteer battalion or to endure economic hardship?

About the fact that the deterioration of the economic situation and of one's personal financial status, the loss of jobs, the impending isolation of the country and the rapid evacuation of hundreds of thousands of more mobile compatriots abroad - all these consequences in the perception of many people exist separately from the military action to "protect the Russians in the Donbas".

We are still surveying the reflection of the television picture. According to 29 percent of the respondents, Ukrainians greet the Russian troops in a friendly manner, while 15 percent claim that combat operations are only taking place on the territory of the Donbas.

Stereotype #2. The institutions under government control manipulate the data, while independent companies would provide numbers that are less positive for the government

Below are data from several research centers.

The wording of the questions differs slightly and is fairly comparable.

So, the question of support for the military operation in Ukraine.

	WCIOM		FOM			
	Wave 1	Wave 3	Wave 1	Wave 2	Wave 3	Wave 4
	Feb 25	Mar 30	Feb 27	Mar 6	Mar 13	Mar 20
Yes, I support it	65%	76%	65%	68%	68%	73%
No, I don't support it	25%	15%	17%	15%	12%	14%
Difficult to answer	10%	9%	18%	17%	20%	13%
Refuse to answer						

	LEVADA	Practices	
	Wave 3	Wave 2	Wave 3
	Mar 24-30	Mar 10-12	Mar 18-20
Yes, I support it	81%	80%	78%
No, I don't support it	14%	14%	17%
Difficult to answer	5%	5%	5%
Refuse to answer		1%	

High numbers and sharp dynamics are shown by almost all measurements. At the beginning of the military operation, the support numbers were in the range of 58-65%.

On March 4, 2022, the president of Russia signed a law criminalizing fakes about the actions of the Russian military in special operations as well as calls for anti-Russian sanctions.

Support, like other political ratings, rises to a level of **73-81%** in subsequent measurements.

Here we give detailed data for the three waves of the Chronicles project, including individual data for the three experimental subsamples of the second wave.

	Athena	Chronicles				
	Wave 1	Wave 1	Wave 2			Wave 3
	Feb 28 - Mar 1	Feb 28 - Mar 2	Mar 10 -13			Mar 26-28
			Wording			
			New	Old (end of the interview)	Old (beginning of the interview)	
Yes, I support it	58%	59%	63%	72%	61%	60%
No, I don't support it	23%	22%	7%	13%	17%	10%
Difficult to answer	18%	19%	17%	15%	22%	18%
Refuse to answer	1%		12%	0%	0%	12%

The first part of the experiment entailed the different positioning of our symbolic question about support for the military operation at the beginning of the interview versus at the end.

The second experiment consisted in changing the wording of the question about the support of the military operation.

For 50% of the sample, the question was as follows:

"Please answer, do you support or do you not support Russia's military operation in Ukraine?"

The other half of the respondents were asked the question differently:

"Please answer, do you support, do you not support Russia's military operation on the territory of Ukraine, do you find it difficult to answer unequivocally or do you not want to answer this question?"

Table 2.1. Distribution of responses about the support depending on the wording of the question (%)

Weighted		Old wording (n=922)	New wording (n=867)
Please answer, do you support, do you not support Russia's military operation on the territory of Ukraine, do you find it difficult to answer unequivocally or do you not want to answer this question?	Yes, I support it	70%	63%
	No, I don't support it	14%	7%
	Difficult to answer	16%	17%
	Refuse to answer	0%	12%
Bcero:		100%	100%

As a result, 12% of respondents exercised their articulated right not to answer this question.

It was mainly the share of those "not supporting" the military operation that decreased, but so did the share of "supporting" respondents, some of which shifted to refusals. Since the "supporting" respondents have no reason to hide their position out of fear, it can be assumed that these 7% of respondents were only expressing the "administratively approved" opinion, without actually sharing it.

There are no statistically significant differences in the gender and age of the respondents within either subgroup, i.e. the same audience was surveyed in both cases.

In the third wave, the tested wording was used with the explicit alternative of "you can refuse to answer this question," and the question was positioned at the end of the interview.

We hope that the Chronicles have succeeded in balancing out the social desirability effect of endorsing government policies to a significant degree.

The results of the experiment are illustrative of the impact of technical factors, in addition to criminal penalties for unauthorized interpretation of the military operation.

We invite key pollsters to an open discussion of the methodology for measuring public opinion in the current circumstances. A similar roundtable has shown its effectiveness following the 2013 Moscow mayoral elections, when standard approaches and models had shown the predictions that were far from the election results under the changed circumstances.

Stereotype #3. During a critical period, respondents tell untruths or refuse to participate in interviews

All the available experience of the researchers in Russia over the past month suggests that the refusal rate has increased, but remains at an acceptable level. This topic deserves a separate publication.

In the press there are comments saying "90% of respondents hang up at the beginning of the interview". Such refusal rate indicates the lack of professionalism of the call center, not the level of consent.

As long as people respond, we should benefit from their goodwill.

Stereotype #4. People are divided into monolithic parties supporting or not supporting the military operation

This concept is widely covered in propaganda texts.

Even if we take the current minimum estimate of 60% support for the military operation, this group is very heterogeneous. We are working on the necessary segmentation, the results of which we will present in the next publication.

Declaring support for the military operation does not prevent **32%** of this group from wanting to end the operation as quickly as possible without seeking a military surrender of Ukraine.

33% of them believe that for the economic development of Russia it is necessary to cooperate with the West, a third of them expect a strong negative impact of the sanctions.

37% of approvers expect Ukrainians to greet the Russian army in a friendly manner, compared to **22%** who expect them to be hostile.

As an additional illustration, we present the table showing the responses distribution only for the respondents who support the military operation.

Support of the military operation - Yes, I support it

Damage from the sanctions	
Strong	27%
Weak	62%
Conditions for terminating the military operation	
Recognition of LPR/DPR	45%
NATO accession ban	37%
Surrender of the Ukrainian army	46%
Unconditional termination of the military operation	13%
Acceptable justification for the use of nuclear weapons	
Further intensification of economic sanctions against Russia	2%
NATO troop buildup near the borders with Russia	4%
NATO's engagement in the combat operations in Ukraine	8%
Violation of Russian borders by armed forces of other countries	14%
Use of nuclear weapons against Russia	49%
Use of nuclear weapons is unacceptable under any circumstances	35%
Priorities of the Russian leadership	
Achieving the goals of the military operation in Ukraine	61%
Saving Russia's economy	19%

Stereotype #5. Russian society is rallying 'round the flag', and the resource for the approval of the military operation has not yet been exhausted

Foreign policy goals (almost in a Maslowian way) have a limited strength reserve.

It is very important to look at the "inner life" of Russians, because it is what remains a basic value for the people.

	Total	Military operation in Ukraine	
		Support	Don't support
Emigration of close relatives and loved ones from the Russian Federation			
Yes, emigrated	7%	3%	30%
No, not emigrated	92%	96%	68%
Readiness to emigrate from the Russian Federation			
Yes, I would like to, but I do not have the opportunity	10%	3%	50%
No, I don't want to	89%	96%	47%
Effect of the military operation			
Have been laid off at work	4%	2%	5%
The family's income has decreased	39%	36%	58%
Have been buying groceries and household goods in advance	15%	13%	26%
Have argued or quarreled with people close to me over political issues	20%	18%	45%
Have had bouts of anxiety or depression	32%	27%	58%

There are many changes in the personal lives of the Russians, which will evolve and affect the perception of the foreign policy.

The sources of approval have been used. The sources of disapproval are in growing dynamics. In this regard, positive research reports should be taken very carefully, they can be disorienting.

	Total	Military operation in Ukraine	
		Support	Don't support
Anticipating changes in the financial situation due to the sanctions			
Will become worse	48%	42%	82%
Will stay the same	35%	40%	11%
Will become better	10%	12%	2%
Anticipating social tensions in a month's time			
Will increase	28%	20%	68%
Will stay the same	27%	31%	16%
Will decrease	28%	33%	7%
Feeling anxiety or depression			
No	81%	86%	48%
Yes	15%	11%	50%
Need for Russia's cooperation with the West to develop the economy			
It's necessary	53%	48%	77%
It's not necessary	33%	40%	15%

Throughout the military operation, multidirectional factors are at work. The military consolidation around the leader is balanced by the unfavorable changes in the lives of Russians. As a result, there are virtually no dynamics at work.

Support of military operation		
Feb 28-Mar 2	Mar 10 -13	Mar 26-28
59%	63%	60%