

Artificial Intelligence Science Program

Chapter 4: Learning from Examples

Bayesian Classification: Why?

- <u>A statistical classifier</u>: performs *probabilistic prediction*, *i.e.*, predicts class membership probabilities
- <u>Performance</u>: A simple Bayesian classifier, *naïve Bayesian classifier*, has comparable performance with decision tree and selected neural network classifiers
- <u>Incremental</u>: Each training example can incrementally increase/decrease the probability that a hypothesis is correct prior knowledge can be combined with observed data
- <u>Standard</u>: Even when Bayesian methods are high computationally, they can provide a standard of optimal decision making against which other methods can be measured

Bayes' Theorem: Basics

• Total probability Theorem:

$$P(B) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} P(B|A_i)P(A_i)$$

• Bayes' Theorem:

$$P(H|\mathbf{X}) = \frac{P(\mathbf{X}|H)P(H)}{P(\mathbf{X})} = P(\mathbf{X}|H) \times P(H)/P(\mathbf{X})$$

- Let **X** be a data sample ("evidence"): class label is unknown
- Let H be a *hypothesis* that X belongs to class C
- Classification is to determine $P(H|\mathbf{X})$, (i.e., *posteriori probability*): the probability that the hypothesis holds given the observed data sample \mathbf{X}
- P(H) (*prior probability*): the initial probability
 - E.g., X will buy computer, regardless of age, income, ...
- P(X): probability that sample data is observed
- P(X|H) (likelihood): the probability of observing the sample X, given that the hypothesis holds
 - E.g., Given that **X** will buy computer, the prob. that X is 31..40, medium income



Classification is to Derive the Maximum Posteriori

- Let D be a training set of samples and their associated class labels, and each sample is represented by an n-D attribute vector $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, ..., \mathbf{x}_n)$
- Suppose there are *m* classes C₁, C₂, ..., C_m.
- Classification is to derive the maximum posteriori, i.e., the maximal $P(C_i | \mathbf{X})$
- This can be derived from Bayes' theorem

$$P(C_i|\mathbf{X}) = \frac{P(\mathbf{X}|C_i)P(C_i)}{P(\mathbf{X})}$$

• Since P(X) is constant for all classes, only

needs to be maximized

$$P(C_i|\mathbf{X}) = P(\mathbf{X}|C_i)P(C_i)$$



Naïve Bayes Classifier

• A simplified assumption: attributes are conditionally independent (i.e., no dependence relation between attributes):

$$P(\mathbf{X} \mid C_i) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} P(x_k \mid C_i) = P(x_1 \mid C_i) \times P(x_2 \mid C_i) \times ... \times P(x_n \mid C_i)$$

 This greatly reduces the computation cost: Only counts the class distribution



Naïve Bayes Classifier: Training Dataset

Class:

C1:buys_computer = 'yes'

C2:buys_computer = 'no'

Data to be classified:

X = (age <= 30,

Income = medium,

Student = yes

Credit_rating = Fair)

$$P(C_i|\mathbf{X}) = P(\mathbf{X}|C_i)P(C_i)$$

age	income	<mark>studen</mark> t	credit_rating	_com
<=30	high	no	fair	no
<=30	high	no	excellent	no
3140	high	no	fair	yes
>40	medium	no	fair	yes
>40	low	yes	fair	yes
>40	low	yes	excellent	no
3140	low	yes	excellent	yes
<=30	medium	no	fair	no
<=30	low	yes	fair	yes
>40	medium	yes	fair	yes
<=30	medium	yes	excellent	yes
3140	medium	no	excellent	yes
3140	high	yes	fair	yes
>40	medium	no	excellent	no



Naïve Bayes Classifier: An Example

- $P(C_i)$: $P(buys_computer = "yes") = 9/14 = 0.643$ $P(buys_computer = "no") = 5/14 = 0.357$
- Compute $P(X|C_i)$ for each class

```
P(age = "<=30" | buys_computer = "yes") = 2/9 = 0.222

P(age = "<= 30" | buys_computer = "no") = 3/5 = 0.6

P(income = "medium" | buys_computer = "yes") = 4/9 = 0.444

P(income = "medium" | buys_computer = "no") = 2/5 = 0.4

P(student = "yes" | buys_computer = "yes) = 6/9 = 0.667

P(student = "yes" | buys_computer = "no") = 1/5 = 0.2

P(credit_rating = "fair" | buys_computer = "yes") = 6/9 = 0.667

P(credit_rating = "fair" | buys_computer = "no") = 2/5 = 0.4
```

age	income	student	redit_rating	_com
<=30	high	no	fair	no
<=30	high	no	excellent	no
3140	high	no	fair	yes
>40	medium	no	fair	yes
>40	low	yes	fair	yes
>40	low	yes	excellent	no
3140	low	yes	excellent	yes
<=30	medium	no	fair	no
<=30	low	yes	fair	yes
>40	medium	yes	fair	yes
<=30	medium	yes	excellent	yes
3140	medium	no	excellent	yes
3140	high	yes	fair	yes
>40	medium	no	excellent	no

Therefore, X belongs to class ("buys_computer = yes")

- X = (age <= 30, income = medium, student = yes, credit_rating = fair)
- $P(X|C_i)$: $P(X|buys_computer = "yes") = 0.222 x 0.444 x 0.667 x 0.667 = 0.044$ $<math>P(X|buys_computer = "no") = 0.6 x 0.4 x 0.2 x 0.4 = 0.019$
- $P(X|C_i)*P(C_i): P(X|buys_computer = "yes") * P(buys_computer = "yes") = 0.028$ $P(X|buys_computer = "no") * P(buys_computer = "no") = 0.007$



Python code

- import pandas as pd
- import numpy as np
- from sklearn.datasets import load_digits
- from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
- data = load_digits()
- # print('Classes to predict: ', data.images.shape)
- ###Extracting data attributes
- X = data.data
- #### Extracting target/ class labels
- y = data.target
- ## print('Number of examples in the data:', X.shape[0])
- X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, random_state = 47, test_size = 0.25)
- from sklearn.naive_bayes import GaussianNB #import DecisionTreeClassifier
- clf2 = GaussianNB()
- ##Training the decision tree classifier.
- clf2.fit(X_train, y_train)
- y_pred2 = clf2.predict(X_test)
- from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score
- print('NB', accuracy_score(y_test,y_pred2))



Model Evaluation and Selection

- Evaluation metrics: How can we measure accuracy? Other metrics to consider?
- Use validation test set of class-labeled instead of training set when assessing accuracy
- Methods for estimating a classifier's accuracy:
 - Holdout method, random subsampling
 - Cross-validation
- Comparing classifiers:
 - Confidence intervals
 - Cost-benefit analysis and ROC Curves



Classifier Evaluation Metrics: Confusion Matrix

Confusion Matrix:

Actual class\Predicted class	C ₁	¬ C ₁
C_1	True Positives (TP)	False Negatives (FN)
¬ C ₁	False Positives (FP)	True Negatives (TN)

Example of Confusion Matrix:

Actual class\Predicted	buy_computer	buy_computer	Total
class	= yes	= no	
buy_computer = yes	6954	46	7000
buy_computer = no	412	2588	3000
Total	7366	2634	10000

- Given m classes, an entry, $CM_{i,j}$ in a confusion matrix indicates # of tuples in class i that were labeled by the classifier as class j
- May have extra rows/columns to provide totals



Classifier Evaluation Metrics: Accuracy, Error Rate, Sensitivity and Specificity

A\P	С	¬C	
С	TP	FN	Р
¬C	FP	TN	N
	P'	N'	All

• Classifier Accuracy, or recognition rate: percentage of test set tuples that are correctly classified

Accuracy = (TP + TN)/All

• Error rate: 1 - accuracy, or Error rate = (FP + FN)/All

Class Imbalance Problem:

- One class may be rare, e.g. fraud, or HIV-positive
- Significant majority of the negative class and minority of the positive class
- Sensitivity: True Positive recognition rate
 - Sensitivity = TP/P
- Specificity: True Negative recognition rate
 - Specificity = TN/N



Summary (I)

- Classification is a form of data analysis that extracts models describing important data classes.
- Effective and scalable methods have been developed for decision tree induction, Naive Bayesian classification, rule-based classification, and many other classification methods.
- Evaluation metrics include: accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, precision, recall, F measure, and F_{β} measure.
- Stratified k-fold cross-validation is recommended for accuracy estimation.

 Bagging and boosting can be used to increase overall accuracy by learning and combining a series of individual models.