Equalizer

Parallel OpenGL Application Framework



Outline

- Overview
 - High-Performance Visualization
 - Equalizer
 - Competitive Environment
- Equalizer
 - Features
 - Scalability
 - Outlook



HPV

- High-Performance Visualization like
 HPC but for interactive 3D applications
- Address the demand to visualize huge data sets using COTS clusters
- Issue is to *scale* rendering performance using multiple GPU's and CPU's



HPC Analogy

	HPC	HPV
What?	Parallel computation across multiple CPU's	Parallel 3D rendering across multiple GPU's and CPU's
How?	Mostly non-interactive batch processing	Highly interactive, real-time rendering
Hardware	Cluster or Supercomputers typically using fast interconnects	Graphics Cluster, Supercomputers, display hardware, input devices




Equalizer

"GLUT for multi-GPU systems and visualization clusters"




History





Selected Use Cases



Competitive Environment


- Transparent solutions
 - Based on OpenGL interception
- Programming interfaces
 - Distributed Scene Graphs
 - Middleware

HPV Transparent Solutions

- Chromium, ModViz VGP, OMP, ...
 - Operate on OpenGL command stream (HPC analogy: auto-parallelizing compilers)
 - Provide programming extensions to improve performance and scalability (semitransparent)
 - Performance and compatibility issues

HPV Transparent Solutions

Eyescale)



HPV Programming Interfaces

- ScaleViz, Vega Prime, OpenSG
 - Impose invasive programming model and data structure (HPC analogy: CFD codes)
 - Best for developing from scratch
- Equalizer, Cavelib, VRJuggler, MPK
 - Limited to HPV-critical areas of the code (HPC analogy: MPI, PVM)
 - Best for porting existing applications



Compositing Libraries

- Paracomp, NVIDIA Multi-GPU SDK
 - Address the backend part of an HPV application
 - Equalizer makes use of these libraries



GPGPU Frameworks

- CUDA, RMDP, CTM
 - HPC tools to use GPUs for data processing
 - Do not address parallel rendering
 - Can be integrated with OpenGL and Equalizer



Equalizer

- Minimally invasive
- Runtime configuration
- Runtime scalability
- Asynchronous execution
- Clusters and SSI
- Open Source



Minimally Invasive


- "Make everything as simple as possible, but not simpler." -- Albert Einstein
- Porting is as easy as possible
- Work is limited to visualizationrelevant parts
- Read <u>Programming Guide</u> or <u>Parallel</u>
 Graphics Programming presentation



Equalizer Application

 Typical OpenGL application structure

Separate rendering and application code






Equalizer Application

 Instantiate rendering multiple times

Optional: data
 distribution for clusters






Runtime Configuration

- Hierarchical resource description:
 Node→Pipe→Window→Channel
 - Node: single system of the cluster
 - Pipe: graphic card
 - Window: drawable and context
 - Channel: view
- Resource usage: compound tree

Eyescale

Runtime Configuration





Runtime Scalability

- Parallel execution of the application's rendering code
- One thread per graphics card, one process per node
- Decomposition of rendering for one view




Runtime Scalability

- 2D, DB, Stereo, DPlex, Pixel compounds
- Flexible configuration of decomposition and recomposition
- Compatible with compositing hardware
- Hardware-specific optimizations



2D/Sort-First


- Scales fillrate
- Scales vertex processing if view frustum culling is efficient
- Parallel overhead due to primitive overlap limits scalability





DB/Sort-Last


- Scales all aspects of rendering pipeline
- Application needs to be adapted to render subrange of data
- Recomposition relatively expensive





Parallel Compositing


- Compositing cost grows linearly for DB
- Parallelize compositing
- Flexible configuration
- Constant per-node cost
- Details in <u>EGPGV'07</u> presentation





Eye/Stereo


- Stereo rendering
- Active, passive and anaglyphic stereo
- quasi-linear scalability and loadbalancing
- Limited by number of eye views





DPlex/Time-Multiplex


- quasi-linear scalability and loadbalancing
- Increased latency may be an issue
- Increased framerate
 often compensates
 for latency





Pixel


- Scales fillrate perfectly
- Similar to 2D
- Raytracing, Volume
 Rendering





Multilevel Compounds

- Compounds allow any combination of modes
- Combine different
 algorithm to address
 and balance bottlenecks
- Example: use DB to fit data on GPU, then use
 2D to scale further






Compounds

- 2D: low IO overhead, limited scalability
- DB: high IO overhead, great scalability
- Eye, DPlex: quasi-linear scalability
- Pixel: linear fill-rate scalability
- → Combine modes
- → DB: use parallel compositing


Asynchronous Execution

- Improves scalability on bigger clusters
- Latency between last draw and main
- Hides imbalance in load distribution
- Optional per-node synchronization



Asynchronous Execution

- Example: 5-node sort-last, direct-send
- 15% speedup




Multi-GPU and Clusters

- Equalizer runs on both architectures
- Execution model is the same
- Shared memory systems allow additional optimisations
- Porting for SSI simpler than full port



Equalizer Vision

- Equalizer: Scalable rendering engine
- Chromium: OpenGL single virtual screen
- Scenegraphs: Distributed data management





Near Future

- DB compositing optimizations
- Examples, demos, applications
- Server extensions
- Failure robustness



Open Source

- LGPL license: commercial use welcome
- Open standard for scalable graphics
- Minimally invasive: easy porting
- Clusters and shared memory systems
- Linux, Windows, Mac OS X
- More on: www.equalizergraphics.com