1. Motivation

Representation theory, informally, is a way to transfer properties of a group G into the language of linear algebra. It started as study of symmetries – as discribtion of rotation and refectional matrices in euclidean, spherical and hyperbolic geometry. Right now, one of the biggest applications in physics is either the symmetry of the system to some gauge transformations (charge, color, etc) or to geometric setup. The symmetry plays a huge role in physics, allowing to simplify the calculations and express equations more generally.

But representation theory appeard to be useful in different topics of pure mathematics. In 1904, Burnisde proved his famous lemma about simplicity of a group of order $p^q q^b$ using results from finite group representation theory.

Later in algebraic number theory we have representation theory appearing in Galois theory since extensions of \mathbb{Q} are descbrided by finite groups.

Representation theory also has a lot of applications in PDEs and ODEs as the set of solutions of the equations form a representation of the group symmetry group.

Following physics, applications representation theory of geometric symmetries (mostly character theory) is very useful in chemstry to classify and descibe moleculas

Theory also applies to Lie Groups, which is very interesting object as it is both geometrical object (as manifold) and algebraic (as group).

So I would generalize above to two main reasons – it helps understands the structure of a group or some class of the groups and it appear in wide a variety of contexts. As a lot of algebraic structure it's a way to get an abstract way of some property accuring in different problems.

2. Introduction

Unless specified other, suppose G is a *finite* group and V to be a vector space over a field k. We mainly will focus on the case $k = \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 1. Given a vector space V we will denote all automorphisms Aut(V) with GL(V)

Definition 2. A linear representation of G of G in vector space V is homomorphism $\rho: G \to GL(V), g \mapsto \rho(g)$ or ρ_g for simplicity.

V then is called representation space of G. The representation is the discribed pair (V, ρ) .

We shall also define morphism startucre between represntations.

Definition 3. Representation homoprhism T between (V, ρ) and (W, τ) is vector space homomoprhism $T: V \to W$ that make following diagram commute:

$$V \xrightarrow{\rho(g)} V$$

$$\downarrow T \qquad \qquad \downarrow T$$

$$W \xrightarrow{\tau(g)} W$$

If T is isomorphism of vector spaces, then induced (by the property above) representation homorphism is $representation\ isomorphism$.

Definition 4. Let V be a representation. We call W a subrepresentation of representation V if it's a subspace $W \subset V$ as a vector space and invariant under G. That is, for all $g \in G$ and for all $w \in W$ $g.w \in W$.

Lemma 1. Let W, V be two representation and consider f being representation homomorphism. Then $Ker f \subset V$ and $Imf \subset W$ are subrepresentations.

Proof. By linearity we know that Ker $f \subset V$ and Imf $\subset W$ as vector spaces. Now consider arbitary $v \in Ker f$ and arbitary $g \in G$, we have:

$$f(g.u) = g.f(u) = g.0 = 0$$

Meaning that it is also a subrepresentation. Same way:

$$f(g.v) = g.f(v) = g.w$$

since for any $w \in W : \exists v \in V \text{ s.t. } f(v) = w$. But $g.w \in W$ and thus the image is subrepresentation of W.

Assume that V is n-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{C} . We can pick a basis $v_1, v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_n$. Then since for finite dimensional spaces we have $GL(n, C) \simeq GL(C^n)$. Then there's natural map $\rho: G \to GL_n$, which maps basis vector to corresponding column.

Basic example: trivial homomorphism that send everything to unity, which we will call corresponding representation trivial. To give a more constructive example consider S_3 acting on a set e_1, e_2, e_3 . As we know S_3 has six elements, namely $\{(), (12), (23), (13), (132), (123)\}$. Besides the trivial representation we also have a very common homomorphism to \mathbb{Z}_2 usually intoduced during a first encounter of S_n , namely sign representation. Assuming $\operatorname{char}(k) \neq 2$, the homomorphism is not trivial.

We will talk about representations of S_n specifically later in the paper.

Notice that there's another way to look at representation of S_3 . Pick a basis $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in GL_3(\mathbb{C})$ and consider $\rho(e_i) = e_{\sigma}(i)$, for $\sigma \in S_3$, i.e. permutation acting on the set of basis elements. In case of sign permutation, that means if the permutation is even, we have swap of two basis vectors and a full rotation (including identity) in other case.

In general case for a finite set X, we have a natural complex vector space $\mathbb{C}(X)$ given by a linear combination of the elements of X: $\forall v \in \mathbb{C}(X) : v = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i x_i$, where $c_i \in \mathbb{C}, x_i \in X$ The representation corresponding to the action G on X is $\rho_g(x) = g.x$ (for all $x \in X, g \in G$), which can be extended to the vector entire space by linearity.

Note 1. The construction above can be generalized to infinite set X in the following way. Let $x_i \in X$ be elements of the set, then vector space $\mathbb{C}(X) = \{v, | v = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i x_i\}$, where $\{c\}_1^{\infty} \in \mathbb{C}, x_i \in X$, but the sequence c only have finitely many non-zero elements.

The most interesting and useful case arrises if we let X = G so G acts on itself.

Definition 5. The representation arrising from G acting on itself by construction above is regular representation.

Definition 6. The representation of G is called is irreducable if the space V is not empty and no nontrivial subspace of V is invariant under action of G.

Lemma 2. Every one-dimensional representation is irreducable. Any reducable representation is a direct sum of irreducable representations.

Theorem 1. Let V be a representation of finite group, and $U \subset V$ be a subrepresentation. Then exists subrepresentation $W \subset V$, s.t.

$$V=U\oplus W$$

Proof. Let W_0 be a vector subspace s.t. $V = U \oplus W_0$. Then for all $v \in V$ we have v = u + w for some $u \in U, w \in W_0$, moreover that pair is unique since the sum is direct. Let π_0 be projection $V \to W$ and introduce the map $\pi(v) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_g g^{-1} \pi_0(gv)$ and claim that it is representation homomorphism.

Consider $g, x, y \in G$ s.t. y = gx. Then, for all $v \in V$,

$$\pi(xv) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{q} xy^{-1} \pi_0(yv) = x(\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{q} xy^{-1} \pi_0(yv)) = x\pi(v)$$

Note, for $u \in U$ we have $\pi(u) = u$ and thus $\Im \pi = U$. Then let Ker π be the kernel. Since kernel and image are subrepresentation, we got:

$$V = U \oplus W$$

We are now ready to statement a very powerfull statement about irreducable representations.

Lemma 3 (Schur's lemma). Let (V, ρ_v) and (W, ρ_w) be irreducable representations of the same group G.

- (1) If $T:(V,\rho_v)\to (W,\rho_w)$ is representation homomorphism, then T=0 or T is representation isomorphism.
- (2) If G is finite and $T: (V, \rho_v) \to (V, rho_v)$ is endomorphism (homorphism of presentation to itself) then $T = \lambda I$ for some colmplex number λ .

Proof. 1. Suppose there is a nontrivial representation homomorphism $f: V \to W$. Our goal is to prove that $V \not\simeq W$. Consider vector subspace Ker $f \subset V$, since the map is representation homomorphism the kernel is subrepresentation, thus Ker f = 0 or Ker f = T. Thus f has to be trivial, which is the desired contradiction.

2. Since T is a linear operator, we can consider an eigenvalue λ of T. Here we use $k = \mathbb{C}$ to ensure that eigenvalue exists. Consider a map $g = f - \lambda \cdot \mathrm{id}$, which would be a representation. If x is eigenvector of f, then gx = 0, and thus Ker g is non trivial. Since we know that Ker g is either 0 or fullspace as subrepresentation, we have Ker g = V and g is trivial, i.e. $f = \lambda I$.

3. Characters

4. Burnside's Lemma?

- 5. Representations of symmetric group. Youngs table. Symmetric polynomials. Schur-Weyl duality.
 - 6. Connection to Galois thory?