

1. MOTIVATION

Representation theory, informally, is a way to transfer properties of a group G into the language of linear algebra. It started as study of symmetries – as discription of rotation and refectional matrices in euclidean, spherical and hyperbolic geometry. Right now, one of the biggest applications in physics is either the symmetry of the system to some gauge transformations (charge, color, etc) or to geometric setup. The symmetry plays a huge role in physics, allowing to simplify the calculations and express equations more generally.

But representation theory appeared to be useful in diferent topics of pure mathematics. In 1904, Burnside proved his famous lemma about simplicity of a group of order $p^a q^b$ using results from finite group representation theory.

Later in algebraic number theory we have representation theory appearing in Galois theory since extensions of \mathbb{Q} are descbrided by finite groups.

Representation theory also has a lot of applications in PDEs and ODEs as the set of solutions of the equations form a representation of the group symmetry group.

Following physics, applications representation theory of geometric symmetries (mostly character theory) is very useful in chemistry to classify and descibe molecules.

Theory also applies to Lie Groups, which is very interesting object as it is both geometrical object (as manifold) and algebraic (as group).

So I would generalize above to two main reasons – it helps understands the structure of a group or some class of the groups and it appear in wide a variety of contexts. As a lot of algebraic structure it's a way to get an abstract way of some property accuring in different problems.

2. INTRODUCTION

Unless specified other, suppose G is a *finite* group and V to be a vector space over a field k . We mainly will focus on the case $k = \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 1. *Given a vector space V we will denote all automorphisms $\text{Aut}(V)$ with $GL(V)$*

Definition 2. *A linear representation of G of G in vector space V is homomorphism $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(V), g \mapsto \rho(g)$ or ρ_g for simplicity.*

V then is called representation space of G . The representation is the discribed pair (V, ρ) .

We shall also define morphism startucure between represntations.

Definition 3. *Representation homoprhism T between (V, ρ) and (W, τ) is vector space homomoprhism $T : V \rightarrow W$ that make following diagram commute:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\rho(g)} & V \\ \downarrow T & & \downarrow T \\ W & \xrightarrow{\tau(g)} & W \end{array}$$

If T is isomorphism of vector spaces, then induced (by the property above) representation homomorphism is *representation isomorphism*.

Definition 4. Let V be a representation. We call W a subrepresentation of representation V if it's a subspace $W \subset V$ as a vector space and invariant under G . That is, for all $g \in G$ and for all $w \in W$ $g.w \in W$.

Lemma 1. Let W, V be two representation and consider f being representation homomorphism. Then $\text{Ker } f \subset V$ and $\text{Im } f \subset W$ are subrepresentations.

Proof. By linearity we know that $\text{Ker } f \subset V$ and $\text{Im } f \subset W$ as vector spaces. Now consider arbitrary $v \in \text{Ker } f$ and arbitrary $g \in G$, we have:

$$f(g.v) = g.f(v) = g.0 = 0$$

Meaning that it is also a subrepresentation. Same way:

$$f(g.w) = g.f(w) = g.w$$

since for any $w \in W : \exists v \in V$ s.t. $f(v) = w$. But $g.w \in W$ and thus the image is subrepresentation of W . \square

Assume that V is n -dimensional vector space over \mathbb{C} . We can pick a basis $v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n$. Then since for finite dimensional spaces we have $GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \simeq GL(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Then there's natural map $\rho : G \rightarrow GL_n$, which maps basis vector to corresponding column.

Basic example: trivial homomorphism that send everything to unity, which we will call corresponding representation trivial. To give a more constructive example consider S_3 acting on a set e_1, e_2, e_3 . As we know S_3 has six elements, namely $\{(), (12), (23), (13), (132), (123)\}$. Besides the trivial representation we also have a very common homomorphism to \mathbb{Z}_2 usually introduced during a first encounter of S_n , namely sign representation. Assuming $\text{char}(\mathbb{C}) \neq 2$, the homomorphism is not trivial.

We will talk about representations of S_n specifically later in the paper.

Notice that there's another way to look at representation of S_3 . Pick a basis $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in GL_3(\mathbb{C})$ and consider $\rho(e_i) = e_{\sigma(i)}$, for $\sigma \in S_3$, i.e. permutation acting on the set of basis elements. In case of sign permutation, that means if the permutation is even, we have swap of two basis vectors and a full rotation (including identity) in other case.

In general case for a finite set X , we have a natural complex vector space $\mathbb{C}(X)$ given by a linear combination of the elements of X : $\forall v \in \mathbb{C}(X) : v = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i$, where $c_i \in \mathbb{C}, x_i \in X$. The representation corresponding to the action G on X is $\rho_g(x) = g.x$ (for all $x \in X, g \in G$), which can be extended to the vector entire space by linearity.

Note 1. The construction above can be generalized to infinite set X in the following way. Let $x_i \in X$ be elements of the set, then vector space $\mathbb{C}(X) = \{v, | v = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i x_i\}$, where $\{c_i\}_1^{\infty} \in \mathbb{C}, x_i \in X$, but the sequence c only have finitely many non-zero elements.

The most interesting and useful case arises if we let $X = G$ so G acts on itself.

Definition 5. The representation arising from G acting on itself by construction above is regular representation.

Definition 6. The representation of G is called irreducible if the space V is not empty and no nontrivial subspace of V is invariant under action of G .

Lemma 2. *Every one-dimensional representation is irreducible. Any reducible representation is a direct sum of irreducible representations.*

Theorem 1. *Let V be a representation of finite group, and $U \subset V$ be a subrepresentation. Then exists subrepresentation $W \subset V$, s.t.*

$$V = U \oplus W$$

Proof. Let W_0 be a vector subspace s.t. $V = U \oplus W_0$. Then for all $v \in V$ we have $v = u + w$ for some $u \in U, w \in W_0$, moreover that pair is unique since the sum is direct. Let π_0 be projection $V \rightarrow W_0$ and introduce the map $\pi(v) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_g g^{-1} \pi_0(gv)$ and claim that it is representation homomorphism.

Consider $g, x, y \in G$ s.t. $y = gx$. Then, for all $v \in V$,

$$\pi(xv) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_g xy^{-1} \pi_0(yv) = x \left(\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_g xy^{-1} \pi_0(yv) \right) = x\pi(v)$$

Note, for $u \in U$ we have $\pi(u) = u$ and thus $\Im \pi = U$. Then let $\text{Ker } \pi$ be the kernel. Since kernel and image are subrepresentation, we got:

$$V = U \oplus W$$

□

We are now ready to statement a very powerfull statement about irreducible representations.

Lemma 3 (Schur's lemma). *Let (V, ρ_v) and (W, ρ_w) be irreducible representations of the same group G .*

- (1) *If $T : (V, \rho_v) \rightarrow (W, \rho_w)$ is representation homomorphism, then $T = 0$ or T is representation isomorphism.*
- (2) *If G is finite and $T : (V, \rho_v) \rightarrow (V, \rho_v)$ is endomorphism (homomorphism of presentation to itself) then $T = \lambda I$ for some complex number λ .*

Proof. 1. Suppose there is a nontrivial representation homomorphism $f : V \rightarrow W$. Our goal is to prove that $V \cong W$. Consider vector subspace $\text{Ker } f \subset V$, since the map is representation homomorphism the kernel is subrepresentation, thus $\text{Ker } f = 0$ or $\text{Ker } f = V$. Thus f has to be trivial, which is the desired contradiction.

2. Since T is a linear operator, we can consider an eigenvalue λ of T . Here we use $k = \mathbb{C}$ to ensure that eigenvalue exists. Consider a map $g = f - \lambda \cdot \text{id}$, which would be a representation. If x is eigenvector of f , then $gx = 0$, and thus $\text{Ker } g$ is non trivial. Since we know that $\text{Ker } g$ is either 0 or fullspace as subrepresentation, we have $\text{Ker } g = V$ and g is trivial, i.e. $f = \lambda I$. □

3. CHARACTERS

4. BURNSIDE'S LEMMA?

5. REPRESENTATIONS OF SYMMETRIC GROUP. YOUNGS TABLE. SYMMETRIC POLYNOMIALS. SCHUR-WEYL DUALITY.

6. CONNECTION TO GALOIS THEORY?