# LLM Alignment Week 4 Progression

Yuanqi Zhao

University of Oxford

12.Aug.2024

### catalogue

- DPO review and the code implementation.
- The whole procedure for a DPO Project.

#### **DPO** Review

Take TRL library DPO\_trainer as an example; The Objective Function to be optimized:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{DPO}}(\pi_{\theta}; \pi_{\mathsf{ref}}) = -\mathbb{E}_{(\mathsf{x}, \mathsf{y}_{\mathsf{w}}, \mathsf{y}_{\mathsf{l}}) \sim \mathcal{D}} \left[ \log \sigma \left( \beta \log \frac{\pi_{\theta}(\mathsf{y}_{\mathsf{w}} \mid \mathsf{x})}{\pi_{\mathsf{ref}}(\mathsf{y}_{\mathsf{w}} \mid \mathsf{x})} - \beta \log \frac{\pi_{\theta}(\mathsf{y}_{\mathsf{l}} \mid \mathsf{x})}{\pi_{\mathsf{ref}}(\mathsf{y}_{\mathsf{l}} \mid \mathsf{x})} \right) \right]$$

#### where:

 $y_w$ : The preferred response.

 $y_l$ : The rejected response.

x : The prompt.

 $\pi_{\theta}$ : The Policy waited to be tuned.

 $\pi_{ref}$ : The Reference Policy (Frozen LLM).

 $\beta$ : Hyper-parameter.

 $\sigma$ : Sigmoid.

# From Bradley-Terry model to Reward Modelling

The Bradley-Terry (BT) model mentioned is used to estimate the human preference distribution  $P(y_w > y_l)$ :

$$P(y_w > y_l) = \frac{e^{r\phi(x,y_w)}}{e^{r\phi(x,y_w)} + e^{r\phi(x,y_l)}}$$

By the property of sigmoid function:

$$\frac{e^A}{e^A + e^B} \to \sigma(A - B)$$

And meanwhile apply the MLE technique, we get the reward loss function:

$$L = -\mathbb{E}_{(x, y_w, y_l) \sim D} \left[ \log \sigma \left( r_{\phi}(x, y_w) - r_{\phi}(x, y_l) \right) \right]$$

Recall the PPO objective function:

$$\max_{\pi} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathcal{D}, y \sim \pi} \left[ r(x, y) \right] - \beta \mathbb{D}_{\mathsf{KL}} \left[ \pi(y|x) \mid\mid \pi_{\mathsf{ref}}(y|x) \right]$$

By KL divergence formula:

$$\max_{\pi} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{D}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{y} \sim \pi(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})} \left[ r(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) - \beta \log \frac{\pi(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})}{\pi_{\mathsf{ref}}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})} \right]$$

Since  $\mathit{Max}(\mathit{f}(\mathit{x})) = \mathit{Max}(\beta * \mathit{f}(\mathit{x}))$ , we multiply a  $\frac{1}{\beta}$ 

$$\max_{\pi} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathcal{D}} \mathbb{E}_{y \sim \pi(y|x)} \left[ \frac{1}{\beta} r(x, y) - \log \frac{\pi(y|x)}{\pi_{\mathsf{ref}}(y|x)} \right]$$

which is equivalent as:

$$\min_{\pi} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathcal{D}} \mathbb{E}_{y \sim \pi(y|x)} \left[ -\frac{1}{\beta} r(x, y) + \log \frac{\pi(y|x)}{\pi_{\mathsf{ref}}(y|x)} \right]$$

By a = log(exp(a)):

$$\min_{\pi} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{D}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{y} \sim \pi(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})} \left[ log(exp(-\frac{1}{\beta}r(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}))) + \log \frac{\pi(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})}{\pi_{\mathsf{ref}}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})} \right]$$

Followed by a simple simplification:

$$\min_{\pi} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathcal{D}} \mathbb{E}_{y \sim \pi(y|x)} \left[ log(\frac{\pi(y|x)}{\frac{Z(x)}{Z(x)} * \pi_{ref} * exp(\frac{1}{\beta} * r(x, y))} \right]$$

Similarly, where  $Z(x) = \sum_y \pi_{\mathsf{ref}}(y|x) \exp\left(\frac{1}{\beta} r(x,y)\right)$  .

$$\min_{\pi} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathcal{D}} \mathbb{E}_{y \sim \pi(y|x)} \left[ \log \frac{\pi(y|x)}{\frac{1}{Z(x)} \pi_{\mathsf{ref}}(y|x) \exp\left(\frac{1}{\beta} r(x,y)\right)} - \log Z(x) \right]$$

We can define  $\pi^*$  as a distribution, taking Z(x) as a normalizing constant.

$$\pi^*(y|x) = \frac{1}{Z(x)} \pi_{\mathsf{ref}}(y|x) \exp\left(\frac{1}{\beta} r(x, y)\right)$$

Note,  $\pi^*$  is a function of x, and it's independent of  $\pi$ . Then we can rewrite the objective function as:

$$\min_{\pi} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathcal{D}} \left[ \mathbb{E}_{y \sim \pi(y|x)} \left[ \log \frac{\pi(y|x)}{\pi^*(y|x)} \right] - \log Z(x) \right]$$

The inner Expectation is in the form of KL-Divergency:

$$\min_{\pi} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathcal{D}} \left[ \mathbb{D}_{\mathsf{KL}}(\pi(y|x) \mid\mid \pi^*(y|x)) - \log Z(x) \right]$$

Now, since Z(x) does not depend on  $\pi$ , the minimum is achieved by the policy that minimizes the first KL term. Gibbs' inequality tells us that the KL-divergence is minimized at 0 if and only if the two distributions are identical. Hence we have the optimal solution:

$$\pi(y|x) = \pi^*(y|x) = \frac{1}{Z(x)} \pi_{\mathsf{ref}}(y|x) \exp\left(\frac{1}{\beta} r(x, y)\right)$$

Followed by a simple simplification:

$$r(x, y) = \beta \log \frac{\pi_r(y \mid x)}{\pi_{ref}(y \mid x)} + \beta \log Z(x).$$

Recall the Bradley-Terry Model:

$$p^*(y_1 \succ y_2 \mid x) = \frac{\exp(r^*(x, y_1))}{\exp(r^*(x, y_1)) + \exp(r^*(x, y_2))}$$

Using the same argument as previous:

$$\rho^*(y_1 \succ y_2 \mid x) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(\beta \log \frac{\pi^*(y_2|x)}{\pi_{ref}(y_2|x)} - \beta \log \frac{\pi^*(y_1|x)}{\pi_{ref}(y_1|x)}\right)}$$

which is:

$$\sigma\left(\beta\log\frac{\pi^*(y_1\mid x)}{\pi_{\mathsf{ref}}(y_1\mid x)} - \beta\log\frac{\pi^*(y_2\mid x)}{\pi_{\mathsf{ref}}(y_2\mid x)}\right)$$

Using MLE on  $p^*(y_1 \succ y_2 \mid x)$ , we finally get the loss function:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{DPO}}(\pi_{\theta}; \pi_{\mathsf{ref}}) = -\mathbb{E}_{(\mathsf{x}, \mathsf{y}_{\mathsf{w}}, \mathsf{y}_{\mathsf{l}}) \sim \mathcal{D}} \left[ \log \sigma \left( \beta \log \frac{\pi_{\theta}(\mathsf{y}_{\mathsf{w}} \mid \mathsf{x})}{\pi_{\mathsf{ref}}(\mathsf{y}_{\mathsf{w}} \mid \mathsf{x})} - \beta \log \frac{\pi_{\theta}(\mathsf{y}_{\mathsf{l}} \mid \mathsf{x})}{\pi_{\mathsf{ref}}(\mathsf{y}_{\mathsf{l}} \mid \mathsf{x})} \right) \right]$$

Before consider the derivative, recall the chain rule at first:

$$\frac{d}{dx}f(g(h(x))) = f'(g(h(x))) \cdot g'(h(x)) \cdot h'(x)$$

Meanwhile, consider the sigmoid property:

$$\sigma'(x) = \sigma(x) * (1 - \sigma(x)) \Leftrightarrow (1 - \sigma(x)) = \frac{\sigma'(x)}{\sigma(x)}$$

Therefore, the derivative is:

$$\nabla_{\theta} \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{DPO}}(\pi_{\theta}; \pi_{\mathsf{ref}}) = \\ -\nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{(\mathsf{x}, \mathsf{y}_{\mathsf{w}}, \mathsf{y}_{\mathsf{l}}) \sim \mathcal{D}} \left[ \log \sigma \left( \beta \log \frac{\pi_{\theta}(\mathsf{y}_{\mathsf{l}} \mid \mathsf{x})}{\pi_{\mathsf{ref}}(\mathsf{y}_{\mathsf{l}} \mid \mathsf{x})} - \beta \log \frac{\pi_{\theta}(\mathsf{y}_{\mathsf{w}} \mid \mathsf{x})}{\pi_{\mathsf{ref}}(\mathsf{y}_{\mathsf{w}} \mid \mathsf{x})} \right) \right]$$

# The corresponding code

```
To get log(\frac{\pi_{\theta}(y_I|x)}{\pi_{ref}(y_I|x)}), log(\frac{\pi_{\theta}(y_w|x)}{\pi_{ref}(y_w|x)}):
```

```
chosen_logratios = policy_chosen_logps.to(self.accelerator.device) - (
    not self.reference_free
) * reference_chosen_logps.to(self.accelerator.device)

rejected_logratios = policy_rejected_logps.to(self.accelerator.device) - (
    not self.reference_free
) * reference_rejected_logps.to(self.accelerator.device)
```

Figure 1

## The corresponding code

To get 
$$log(\frac{\pi_{\theta}(y_l|x)}{\pi_{ref}(y_l|x)}) - log(\frac{\pi_{\theta}(y_w|x)}{\pi_{ref}(y_w|x)})$$
:

```
else:
    pi_logratios = policy_chosen_logps - policy_rejected_logps
    if self.reference_free:
        ref_logratios = torch.tensor([0], dtype=pi_logratios.dtype, device=pi_logratios.device)
    else:
        ref_logratios = reference_chosen_logps - reference_rejected_logps

pi_logratios = pi_logratios.to(self.accelerator.device)
    ref_logratios = ref_logratios.to(self.accelerator.device)
logits = pi_logratios - ref_logratios
```

Figure 2

## The corresponding code

Since PPO using the LogSigmoid (we dont consider other variants like IPO, SSO)

Figure 3: Enter Caption

#### Reference

 $\bullet \ \ Hugging Face \ TRL \ library: \ https://hugging face.co/docs/trl/en/index$