# Simple essence of AD

Artur Ezequiel Nelson

Universidade do Minho

26 de Abril

## Index

- Categories
- 2 Fork and Join
- Numeric operations
- Generalizing Automatic Differentiation
- Reverse-Mode Automatic Differentiation(RAD)
- 6 Gradient and Duality
- Foward-Mode Automatic Differentiation(FAD)
- Scaling Up
- Related Work and confusion
- 10 Bibliography

#### Definition

Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function. The derivative of f at point  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is defined the following way:

$$f'(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{f(x+\varepsilon) - f(x)}{\varepsilon}$$

This definition will also work with functions of types  $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ 

#### Definition

Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function. The derivative of f at point  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is defined the following way:

$$f'(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{f(x+\varepsilon) - f(x)}{\varepsilon}$$

This definition will also work with functions of types  $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ .

For functions F of types  $\mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$  (with n > 1), we need a different definition.

- For functions of type  $\mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ , it is necessary the introduction of the notion of parcial derivatives,  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j}$ , with  $j \in \{1, ..., m\}$ .
- For functions of type  $\mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$  (with n > 1), apart from the use of parcial derivatives, it is necessary the use of Jacobian matrices  $\mathbf{J}_{i,j} = \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j}$ , where  $i \in \{1,...,n\}$  and  $F_i$  is a function  $\mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ .

For functions F of types  $\mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$  (with n > 1), we need a different definition.

- For functions of type  $\mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ , it is necessary the introduction of the notion of parcial derivatives,  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j}$ , with  $j \in \{1, ..., m\}$ .
- For functions of type  $\mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$  (with n > 1), apart from the use of parcial derivatives, it is necessary the use of Jacobian matrices  $\mathbf{J}_{i,j} = \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j}$ , where  $i \in \{1,...,n\}$  and  $F_i$  is a function  $\mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$

For functions F of types  $\mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$  (with n > 1), we need a different definition.

- For functions of type  $\mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ , it is necessary the introduction of the notion of parcial derivatives,  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j}$ , with  $j \in \{1, ..., m\}$ .
- For functions of type  $\mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$  (with n > 1), apart from the use of parcial derivatives, it is necessary the use of Jacobian matrices  $\mathbf{J}_{i,j} = \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j}$ , where  $i \in \{1,...,n\}$  and  $F_i$  is a function  $\mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ .

### Generalization and Chain Rule

Let **A** and **B** be two Jacobian matrices.

The chain rule in  $\mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is:

$$(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B})_{i,j} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \mathbf{A}_{i,k} \cdot \mathbf{B}_{k,j}$$

## Generalization and Chain Rule

Assuming that the notion of derivatives that we need matches with a linear map, where it is accepted the chain rule previously seen, we will define a new generalization:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{f(x+\varepsilon) - f(x)}{\varepsilon} - f'(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{f(x+\varepsilon) - (f(x)) + \varepsilon \cdot f'(x)}{\varepsilon} = 0$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\|f(x+\varepsilon) - (f(x)) + \varepsilon \cdot f'(x)\|}{\|\varepsilon\|} = 0$$

## Generalization and Chain Rule

Assuming that the notion of derivatives that we need matches with a linear map, where it is accepted the chain rule previously seen, we will define a new generalization:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{f(x+\varepsilon) - f(x)}{\varepsilon} - f'(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{f(x+\varepsilon) - (f(x)) + \varepsilon \cdot f'(x)}{\varepsilon} = 0$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\|f(x+\varepsilon) - (f(x)) + \varepsilon \cdot f'(x)\|}{\|\varepsilon\|} = 0$$

# Derivate as a linear map

#### Definition

Let  $f:a \to b$  be a function, where a and b are vectorial spaces that share a common underlying field. The first derivative definition is the following:

$$\mathcal{D}::(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow (a \multimap b))$$

If we differentiate two times, we have:

$$\mathcal{D}^2 = \mathcal{D} \circ \mathcal{D} :: (a \to b) \to (a \to (a \multimap a \multimap b))$$

#### Theorem

Let  $f :: a \to b$  and  $g :: b \to c$  be two functions. Then the derivative of the composition of f and g is:

$$\mathcal{D}(g \circ f) a = \mathcal{D}g(fa) \circ \mathcal{D}fa$$

Unfortunately the previous theorem isn't a efficient recipe for composition. As such we will introduce a second derivative definition:

$$\mathcal{D}_0^+ :: (a \to b) \to ((a \to b) \times (a \to (a \multimap b)))$$

$$\mathcal{D}_0^+ f = (f, \mathcal{D} f)$$

With this, the chain rule will have the following expression:

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{D}_0^+\left(g\circ f\right)\\ \{\text{definition of }\mathcal{D}_0^+\}\\ =\left(g\circ f,\mathcal{D}\left(g\circ f\right)\right)\\ \{\text{theorem and definition of }g\circ f\}\\ =\left(\lambda a\to g(f\,a),\lambda a\to \mathcal{D}\,g\,(f\,a)\circ\mathcal{D}\,f\,a\right) \end{array}$$

Unfortunately the previous theorem isn't a efficient recipe for composition. As such we will introduce a second derivative definition:

$$\mathcal{D}_0^+ :: (a \to b) \to ((a \to b) \times (a \to (a \multimap b)))$$

$$\mathcal{D}_0^+ f = (f, \mathcal{D} f)$$

With this, the chain rule will have the following expression:

$$\mathcal{D}_0^+(g \circ f)$$
 {definition of  $\mathcal{D}_0^+$ } =  $(g \circ f, \mathcal{D}(g \circ f))$  {theorem and definition of  $g \circ f$ } =  $(\lambda a \to g(f a), \lambda a \to \mathcal{D} g(f a) \circ \mathcal{D} f a)$ 

Having in mind optimizations, we introduce the third and last derivative definition:

$$\mathcal{D}^+ :: (a \to b) \to (a \to (b \times (a \multimap b)))$$
  
$$\mathcal{D}^+ f a = (f a, \mathcal{D} f a)$$

As imes has more priority than o and o, we can rewrite  $\mathcal{D}^+$  as:

$$\mathcal{D}^+ :: (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b \times (a \multimap b))$$
  
 $\mathcal{D}^+ f a = (f a, \mathcal{D} f a)$ 

Having in mind optimizations, we introduce the third and last derivative definition:

$$\mathcal{D}^+ :: (a \to b) \to (a \to (b \times (a \multimap b)))$$
  
$$\mathcal{D}^+ f a = (f a, \mathcal{D} f a)$$

As  $\times$  has more priority than  $\to$  and  $\multimap$ , we can rewrite  $\mathcal{D}^+$  as:

$$\mathcal{D}^+ :: (a \to b) \to (a \to b \times (a \multimap b))$$
  
 $\mathcal{D}^+ f a = (f a, \mathcal{D} f a)$ 

#### Corollary

 $\mathcal{D}^+$  is efficiently compositional in relation to  $(\circ)$ , that is, in Haskell:

$$\mathcal{D}^+$$
  $(g \circ f)$   $a =$ let  $\{(b, f') = \mathcal{D}^+$   $f$   $a$ ;  $(c, g') = \mathcal{D}^+$   $g$   $b$  $)$  in  $(c, g' \circ f')$ 

$$(C \times C^B) \times B^A \stackrel{\mathcal{D}^+g \times id}{\longleftarrow} B \times B^A \stackrel{\mathcal{D}^+f}{\longleftarrow} A$$

$$(id \times (uncurry \ (\circ))) \circ assocr$$

$$C \times C^A \stackrel{\mathcal{D}^+g \times id}{\longleftarrow} B \times B^A \stackrel{\mathcal{D}^+f}{\longleftarrow} A$$

# Rules for Differentiation - Parallel Composition

Another important way of combining functions is the operation cross, that combines two functions in parallel:

$$(\times) :: (a \to c) \to (b \to d) \to (a \times b \to c \times d)$$
$$f \times g = \lambda(a, b) \to (f \ a, g \ b)$$

#### Theorem

Let  $f :: a \to c$  and  $g :: b \to d$  be two function. Then the cross rule is the following:

$$\mathcal{D}(f \times g)(a, b) = \mathcal{D}f \ a \times \mathcal{D}g \ b$$

# Rules for Differentiation - Parallel Composition

Another important way of combining functions is the operation cross, that combines two functions in parallel:

$$(\times) :: (a \to c) \to (b \to d) \to (a \times b \to c \times d)$$
$$f \times g = \lambda(a, b) \to (f \ a, g \ b)$$

#### **Theorem**

Let  $f :: a \to c$  and  $g :: b \to d$  be two function. Then the cross rule is the following:

$$\mathcal{D}(f \times g)(a, b) = \mathcal{D}f \ a \times \mathcal{D}g \ b$$

# Rules for Differentiation - Parallel Composition

#### Corollary

The function  $\mathcal{D}^+$  is compositional in relation to  $(\times)$ 

$$\mathcal{D}^+ \; (f \times g) \; (a,b) = \textbf{let} \; \{ (c,f') = \mathcal{D}^+ \; f \; a; (d,g') = \mathcal{D}^+ \; g \; b \}$$
 
$$\textbf{in} \; ((c,d),f' \times g')$$

# **Derivative and Linear Functions**

## **Definition**

A function f is said to be linear when preserves addition and scalar multiplication.

$$f(a + a') = f a + f a'$$
  
 $f(s \cdot a) = s \cdot f a$ 

#### Theorem

For all linear functions f,  $\mathcal{D} f$  a = f.

## Corollary

For all linear functions f,  $\mathcal{D}^+ f = \lambda a \rightarrow (fa, f)$ 

## **Derivative and Linear Functions**

#### Definition

A function f is said to be linear when preserves addition and scalar multiplication.

$$f(a+a') = f a + f a'$$
  
 $f(s \cdot a) = s \cdot f a$ 

#### Theorem

For all linear functions f,  $\mathcal{D} f$  a = f.

### Corollary

For all linear functions f,  $\mathcal{D}^+ f = \lambda a \rightarrow (fa, f)$ 

## **Derivative and Linear Functions**

#### Definition

A function f is said to be linear when preserves addition and scalar multiplication.

$$f(a+a') = f a + f a'$$
  
 $f(s \cdot a) = s \cdot f a$ 

#### Theorem

For all linear functions f,  $\mathcal{D} f$  a = f.

### Corollary

For all linear functions f,  $\mathcal{D}^+ f = \lambda a \rightarrow (fa, f)$ .

- We want to calculate  $\mathcal{D}^+$ .
- However,  $\mathcal{D}$  is not computable.
- Solution: reimplement corollaries using category theory

- We want to calculate  $\mathcal{D}^+$ .
- However,  $\mathcal{D}$  is not computable.
- Solution: reimplement corollaries using category theory

- We want to calculate  $\mathcal{D}^+$ .
- However,  $\mathcal{D}$  is not computable.
- Solution: reimplement corollaries using category theory

### Corollary 1.1

$$\mathcal{D}^+ \; (g \circ f) \; a = \text{let} \; \{(b,f') = \mathcal{D}^+ \; f \; a; (c,g') = \mathcal{D}^+ \; g \; b\}$$
 in  $(c,g' \circ f')$ 

### Corollary 2.1

$$\mathcal{D}^+ \; (f \times g) \; (a,b) = \text{let} \; \{(c,f') = \mathcal{D}^+ \; f \; a; (d,g') = \mathcal{D}^+ \; g \; b\}$$
 in  $((c,d),f' \times g')$ 

### Corollary 3.1

For all linear functions f,  $\mathcal{D}^+ f = \lambda a \rightarrow (fa, f)$ .

# Categories

A category is a collection of objects (sets and types) and morphisms(operation between objects), with 2 basic operations (identity and composition) of morfisms, and 2 laws:

- (C.1)  $id \circ f = f \circ id = f$
- (C.2)  $f \circ (g \circ h) = (f \circ g) \circ h$

#### Note

For this paper, objects are data types and morfisms are functions

#### class Category k where

$$id :: (a'k'a)$$
  
 $(\circ) :: (b'k'c) \rightarrow (a'k'b) \rightarrow (a'k'c)$ 

instance Category 
$$(\rightarrow)$$
 where  $id - \lambda a \rightarrow a$ 

$$id = \lambda a \rightarrow a$$
  
 $g \circ f = \lambda a \rightarrow g (f a)$ 

## **Functors**

A functor F between 2 categories  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  is such that:

- given any object  $t \in \mathcal{U}$  there exists an object F  $t \in \mathcal{V}$
- given any morphism m :: a  $\rightarrow$  b  $\in$   $\mathcal U$  there exists a morphism F m :: F a  $\rightarrow$  F b  $\in$   $\mathcal V$
- F id  $(\in \mathcal{U})$  = id  $(\in \mathcal{V})$
- $F(f \circ g) = Ff \circ Fg$

#### Note

Given this papers category properties (objects are data types) functors map types to themselves

# Objective

#### $\mathcal{D}$ definition

**newtype** 
$$\mathcal{D}$$
  $a$   $b$  =  $\mathcal{D}$   $(a \rightarrow b \times (a \multimap b))$ 

#### Adapted definition for $\mathcal{D}$ type

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}$$
 ::  $(\mathbf{a} \to \mathbf{b}) \to \mathcal{D}$   $\mathbf{a}$   $\mathbf{b}$   $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$   $\mathbf{f} = \mathcal{D} (\mathcal{D}^+ \mathbf{f})$ 

Our objective is to deduce an instance of a Category for  $\mathcal D$  where  $\hat{\mathcal D}$  is a functor.

Using corollaries 3.1 and 1.1 we can determine that

- (DP.1)  $\mathcal{D}^+$   $id = \lambda a \rightarrow (id \ a, id)$
- (DP.2)

$$\mathcal{D}^+ (g \circ f) = \lambda a \rightarrow \text{let } \{(b, f') = \mathcal{D}^+ f \ a; (c, g') = \mathcal{D}^+ g \ b\}$$
$$\text{in } (c, g' \circ f')$$

Saying that  $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$  is a functor is equivalent to, for all f and g functions of apropriate types:

$$id = \hat{\mathcal{D}} id = \hat{\mathcal{D}} (\hat{\mathcal{D}}^+ id)$$
  
 $\hat{\mathcal{D}} g \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}} f = \hat{\mathcal{D}} (g \circ f) = \mathcal{D} (\hat{\mathcal{D}} (g \circ f))$ 

Based on (DP.1) and (DP.2) we'll rewrite the above into the following definition:

$$egin{aligned} id &= \mathcal{D} \ (\lambda a 
ightarrow (id \ a, id)) \ \hat{\mathcal{D}} \ g \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}} \ f &= \mathcal{D} \ (\lambda a 
ightarrow \ \text{let} \ \{(b, f') = \mathcal{D}^+ \ f \ a; (c, g') = \mathcal{D}^+ \ g \ b\} \ \text{in} \ (c, g' \circ f')) \end{aligned}$$

The first equation shown above has a trivial solution.

To solve the second we'll first solve a more general one  $\mathcal{D} g \circ \mathcal{D} f = \mathcal{D} (\lambda a \to \text{let } \{(b, f') = f \ a; (c, g') = g \ b\} \text{ in } (c, g' \circ f'))$ 

This condition also leads us to a trivial solution inside our instance.

Based on (DP.1) and (DP.2) we'll rewrite the above into the following definition:

$$egin{aligned} id &= \mathcal{D} \ (\lambda a 
ightarrow (id \ a, id)) \ \hat{\mathcal{D}} \ g \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}} \ f &= \mathcal{D} \ (\lambda a 
ightarrow \mathbf{let} \ \{(b, f') = \mathcal{D}^+ \ f \ a; (c, g') = \mathcal{D}^+ \ g \ b\} \ \mathbf{in} \ (c, g' \circ f')) \end{aligned}$$

The first equation shown above has a trivial solution.

To solve the second we'll first solve a more general one  $\mathcal{D} g \circ \mathcal{D} f = \mathcal{D} (\lambda a \to \text{let } \{(b, f') = f \ a; (c, g') = g \ b\} \text{ in } (c, g' \circ f'))$ 

This condition also leads us to a trivial solution inside our instance.

Based on (DP.1) and (DP.2) we'll rewrite the above into the following definition:

$$egin{aligned} id &= \mathcal{D} \ (\lambda a 
ightarrow (id \ a, id)) \ \hat{\mathcal{D}} \ g \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}} \ f &= \mathcal{D} \ (\lambda a 
ightarrow \mathbf{let} \ \{(b, f') = \mathcal{D}^+ \ f \ a; (c, g') = \mathcal{D}^+ \ g \ b\} \ \mathbf{in} \ (c, g' \circ f')) \end{aligned}$$

The first equation shown above has a trivial solution.

To solve the second we'll first solve a more general one:

$$\mathcal{D} \ g \circ \mathcal{D} \ f = \mathcal{D} \ (\lambda a \rightarrow \text{let } \{(b, f') = f \ a; (c, g') = g \ b\} \ \text{in} \ (c, g' \circ f'))$$

This condition also leads us to a trivial solution inside our instance.

### $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ definition for linear functions

linearD :: 
$$(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$$
 a b linearD  $f = \mathcal{D} (\lambda a \rightarrow (f \ a, f))$ 

### Categorical instance we've deduced

### instance $Category \ \mathcal{D}$ where

$$id = linearD id$$
  
 $\mathcal{D} g \circ \mathcal{D} f =$ 

$$\mathcal{D}\left(\lambda a \rightarrow \text{let }\{(b,f')=f \text{ } a;(c,g')=g \text{ } b\} \text{ in } (c,g'\circ f')\right)$$

# Instance proof

In order to prove that the instance is correct we must check if it follows laws (C.1) and (C.2).

First we must make a concession: that we only use morfisms arising from  $\mathcal{D}^+$ . If we do, then  $\mathcal{D}^+$  is a functor.

```
(C.1) proof
```

```
\begin{split} & \text{id} \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}} \text{ f} \\ & \{ \text{ functor law for id (specification of } \hat{\mathcal{D}}) \, \} \\ & = \hat{\mathcal{D}} \text{ id } \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}} \text{ f} \\ & \{ \text{ functor law for } (\circ) \, \} \\ & = \hat{\mathcal{D}} \text{ (id } \circ \text{ f)} \\ & \{ \text{ categorical law } \} \\ & = \hat{\mathcal{D}} \text{ f} \end{split}
```

# Instance proof

## (C.2) proof

```
\hat{\mathcal{D}} \ h \circ (\hat{\mathcal{D}} \ g \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}} \ f)
{ 2x functor law for (o) }
= \hat{\mathcal{D}} \ (h \circ (g \circ f))
{ categorical law }
= \hat{\mathcal{D}} \ ((h \circ g) \circ f)
{ 2x functor law for (o) }
= (\hat{\mathcal{D}} \ h \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}} \ g) \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}} \ f
```

#### Note

This proofs don't require anything from  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$  aside from functor laws. As such, all other instances of categories created from a functor won't require further proving like this one did.

# Monoidal categories and functors

Generalized parallel composition shall be defined using a monoidal category:

class Category 
$$k \Rightarrow$$
 Monoidal  $k$  where  $(\times) :: (a' k' c) \rightarrow (b' k' d) \rightarrow ((a \times b)' k' (c \times d))$  instance Monoidal  $(\rightarrow)$  where  $f \times g = \lambda(a, b) \rightarrow (f a, g b)$ 

#### Monoidal Functor definition

A monoidal functor F between categories  $\mathcal U$  and  $\mathcal V$  is such that:

- F is a functor
- $F(f \times q) = Ff \times Fq$

From corollary 2.1 we can deduce that:

$$\mathcal{D}^+ \ (f \times g) = \lambda(a,b) \rightarrow \text{let} \ \{(c,f') = \mathcal{D}^+ \ f \ a; (d,g') = \mathcal{D}^+ \ g \ b\}$$
 in  $((c,d),f' \times g')$ 

Deriving F from  $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$  leaves us with the following definition:

$$\mathcal{D}\left(\mathcal{D}^{+}|f
ight) imes\mathcal{D}\left(\mathcal{D}^{+}|g
ight)=\mathcal{D}\left(\mathcal{D}^{+}|(f imes g)
ight)$$

Using the same method as before, we replace  $\mathcal{D}^+$  with it's definition and generalize the condition:

$$\mathcal{D} f \times \mathcal{D} g =$$

$$\mathcal{D}(\lambda(a,b) \to \text{let } \{(c,f') = f \text{ } a; (d,g') = g \text{ } b\} \text{ in } ((c,d),f' \times g'))$$
 and this is enough for our new instance

From corollary 2.1 we can deduce that:

$$\mathcal{D}^+ \ (f \times g) = \lambda(a,b) \rightarrow \text{let} \ \{(c,f') = \mathcal{D}^+ \ f \ a; (d,g') = \mathcal{D}^+ \ g \ b\}$$
 in  $((c,d),f' \times g')$ 

Deriving F from  $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$  leaves us with the following definition:

$$\mathcal{D}\left(\mathcal{D}^{+}|f
ight) \times \mathcal{D}\left(\mathcal{D}^{+}|g
ight) = \mathcal{D}\left(\mathcal{D}^{+}|(f \times g)\right)$$

Using the same method as before, we replace  $\mathcal{D}^+$  with it's definition and generalize the condition:

$$\mathcal{D} f \times \mathcal{D} g =$$

 $\mathcal{D}(\lambda(a,b) \to \text{let } \{(c,f') = f \text{ } a; (d,g') = g \text{ } b\} \text{ in } ((c,d),f' \times g'))$  and this is enough for our new instance.

From corollary 2.1 we can deduce that:

$$\mathcal{D}^+ \ (f \times g) = \lambda(a,b) \rightarrow \text{let} \ \{(c,f') = \mathcal{D}^+ \ f \ a; (d,g') = \mathcal{D}^+ \ g \ b\}$$
 in  $((c,d),f' \times g')$ 

Deriving F from  $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$  leaves us with the following definition:

$$\mathcal{D}\left(\mathcal{D}^{+} f\right) imes \mathcal{D}\left(\mathcal{D}^{+} g\right) = \mathcal{D}\left(\mathcal{D}^{+} \left(f imes g\right)\right)$$

Using the same method as before, we replace  $\mathcal{D}^+$  with it's definition and generalize the condition:

$$\mathcal{D}\ f \times \mathcal{D}\ g = \mathcal{D}\ (\lambda(a,b) \to \mathbf{let}\ \{(c,f') = f\ a; (d,g') = g\ b\}\ \mathbf{in}\ ((c,d),f' \times g'))$$
 and this is enough for our new instance.

### Categorical instance we've deduced

#### instance Monoidal $\mathcal{D}$ where

$$\mathcal{D} \ f \times \mathcal{D} \ g = \mathcal{D} \ (\lambda(a,b) \to \text{let} \ \{(c,f') = f \ a; (d,g') = g \ b\}$$
 in  $((c,d),f' \times g'))$ 

# Cartesian categories and functors

```
class Monoidal k \Rightarrow Cartesian k where exl :: (a, b) ' k' a exr :: (a, b) ' k' b dup :: a ' k' (a, a) instance Cartesian (\rightarrow) where exl = \lambda(a, b) \rightarrow a exr = \lambda(a, b) \rightarrow b dup = \lambda a \rightarrow (a, a)
```

A cartesian functor F between categories  $\mathcal U$  and  $\mathcal V$  is such that:

- F is a monoidal functor
- F exl = exl
- F exp = exp
- F dup = dup

From corollary 3.1 and from exl, exr and dup being linear functions we can deduce that:

$$\mathcal{D}^{+}$$
 exl =  $\lambda p \rightarrow$  (exl p, exl)  
 $\mathcal{D}^{+}$  exr =  $\lambda p \rightarrow$  (exr p, exr)  
 $\mathcal{D}^{+}$  dup =  $\lambda p \rightarrow$  (dup a, dup)

With this in mind we can arrive at our instance:

$$exl = \mathcal{D} (\mathcal{D}^+ exl)$$
  
 $exr = \mathcal{D} (\mathcal{D}^+ exr)$   
 $dup = \mathcal{D} (\mathcal{D}^+ dup)$ 

Replacing  $\mathcal{D}^+$  with it's definition and remembering linearD's definition we can obtain:

```
exl = linearD exl
```

exr = linearD exr

dup = linearD dup

and convert this directly into a new instance:

### Categorical instance we've deduced

### instance Cartesian D where

exl = linearD exl

exr = linearD exr

dup = linearD dup

# Cocartesian category

This type of categories is the dual of the cartesian type of categories.

#### Note

In this paper coproducts are categorical products, i.e., biproducts

### Definition

```
class Category k \Rightarrow Cocartesian k where inl :: a' k' (a,b) inr :: b' k' (a,b) jam :: (a,a)' k' a
```

## Cocartesian functors

### Cocartesian functor definition

A cocartesian functor F between categories  $\mathcal U$  and  $\mathcal V$  is such that:

- F is a functor
- F inl = inl
- F inr = inr
- F jam = jam

## Fork and Join

- $\Delta$  :: Cartesian  $k \Rightarrow (a' k' c) \rightarrow (a' k' d) \rightarrow (a' k' (c \times d))$
- $\nabla$  :: Cartesian  $k \Rightarrow (c' k' a) \rightarrow (d' k' a) \rightarrow ((c \times d)' k' a)$

## Instance of $\rightarrow^+$

```
newtype a \rightarrow^+ b = AddFun (a \rightarrow b)
instance Category (\rightarrow^+) where
  type Obj (\rightarrow^+) = Additive
  id = AddFun id
  AddFun g \circ AddFun f = AddFun (g \circ f)
instance Monoidal (\rightarrow^+) where
  AddFun f \times AddFun \ g = AddFun \ (f \times g)
instance Cartesian (\rightarrow^+) where
  exl = AddFun exl
  exr = AddFun exr
  dup = AddFun dup
```

## Instance of $\rightarrow^+$

```
instance Cocartesian (\rightarrow^+) where
   inl = AddFun inlF
   inr = AddFun inrF
   iam = AddFun jamF
in F · · Additive b \Rightarrow a \rightarrow a \times b
inrF :: Additive a \Rightarrow b \rightarrow a \times b
jamF :: Additive \ a \Rightarrow a \times a \rightarrow a
inlF = \lambda a \rightarrow (a, 0)
inrF = \lambda b \rightarrow (0, b)
iamF = \lambda(a,b) \rightarrow a+b
```

### NumCat definition

```
class NumCat k a where
  negateC :: a ' k ' a
  addC :: (a \times a) \cdot k \cdot a
  mulC :: (a \times a) \cdot k \cdot a
instance Num a \Rightarrow NumCat (\rightarrow) a where
  negateC = negate
  addC = uncurry(+)
  mulC = uncurry(*)
```

$$\mathcal{D}$$
 (negate  $u$ ) = negate ( $\mathcal{D}$   $u$ )  
 $\mathcal{D}$  ( $u + v$ ) =  $\mathcal{D}$   $u + \mathcal{D}$   $v$   
 $\mathcal{D}$  ( $u * v$ ) =  $u * \mathcal{D}$   $v + v * \mathcal{D}$   $u$ 

- Imprecise on the nature of u and v.
- A precise and simpler definition would be to differentiate the operations themselves.

```
class Scalable k a where
  scale :: a \rightarrow (a' k' a)
instance Num a \Rightarrow Scalable (\rightarrow^+) a where
   scale a = AddFun (\lambda da \rightarrow a * da)
instance NumCat D where
  negateC = linearD negateC
  addC = linearD addC
  mulC = D(\lambda(a,b) \rightarrow (a*b, scale b \nabla scale a))
instance FloatingCat D where
  sinC = D (\lambda a \rightarrow (sin \ a, scale (cos \ a)))
  cosC = D (\lambda a \rightarrow (cos \ a, scale (-sin \ a)))
  expC = D \ (\lambda a \rightarrow let \ e = exp \ a \ in \ (e, scale \ e))
```

## Examples

```
sqr :: Num \ a \Rightarrow a \rightarrow a

sqr \ a = a * a

magSqr :: Num \ a \Rightarrow a \times a \rightarrow a

magSqr \ (a,b) = sqr \ a + sqr \ b

cosSinProd :: Floating \ a \Rightarrow a \times a \rightarrow a \times a

cosSinProd \ (x,y) = (cos \ z, sin \ z) where z = x * y
```

### With a compiler plugin we can obtain

```
sqr = mulC \circ (id \Delta id)

magSqr = addC \circ (mulC \circ (exl \Delta exl) \Delta mulC \circ (exr \Delta exr))

cosSinProd = (cosC \Delta sinC) \circ mulC
```

# Generalizing Automatic Differentiation

```
newtype D_k a b = D (a \rightarrow b \times (a \cdot k \cdot b))
linearD :: (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (a' k' b) \rightarrow D_k a b
linearD f f' = D (\lambda a \rightarrow (f a, f'))
instance Category k \Rightarrow Category D_k where
  type Obj D_k = Additive \wedge Obj k ...
instance Monoidal k \Rightarrow Monoidal D_k where ...
instance Cartesian k \Rightarrow Cartesian D_k where ...
instance Cocartesian k \Rightarrow Cocartesian D_k where
  inl = linearD inlF inl
  inr = linearD inrF inr
  iam = linearD jamF jam
```

```
instance Scalable k \ s \Rightarrow NumCat \ D_k \ s where negateC = linearD \ negateC \ negateC addC = linearD \ addC \ addC mulC = D \ (\lambda(a,b) \rightarrow (a*b,scale \ b \ \nabla \ scale \ a))
```

### Matrices

There exists three, non-exclusive, possibilities for a nonempty matrix W:

- width W = height W = 1;
- W is the horizontal juxtaposition of two matrices U and V, where height W = height U = height V and width W = width U + width V;
- W is the vertical juxtaposition of two matrices U and V, where width W = width U = width V and height W = height U + height V.

# Extracting a Data Representation

In machine learning, a Gradient-based optimization works by searching for local minima in the domain of a differentiable function  $f:: a \to s$ . Each step in the search is in the direction opposite of the gradient of f, which is a vector form of  $\mathcal{D}$  f.

Given a linear map  $f' :: U \multimap V$  represented as a function, it is possible to extract a Jacobian matrix by applying f to every vector in a basis of U.

# Extracting a Data Representation

In machine learning, a Gradient-based optimization works by searching for local minima in the domain of a differentiable function  $f:: a \to s$ . Each step in the search is in the direction opposite of the gradient of f, which is a vector form of  $\mathcal{D}$  f.

Given a linear map  $f' :: U \multimap V$  represented as a function, it is possible to extract a Jacobian matrix by applying f to every vector in a basis of U.

## Generalized Matrices

Given a scalar field s, a free vector space has the form  $p \to s$  for some p, where the cardinality of p is the dimension of the vector space and there exists a finite number of values for p.

In particular, we can represent vector spaces over a given field as a representable functor, i.e., a functor F such that:

$$\exists p \ \forall s \ F \ s \cong p \rightarrow s$$

### Generalized Matrices

Given a scalar field s, a free vector space has the form  $p \to s$  for some p, where the cardinality of p is the dimension of the vector space and there exists a finite number of values for p.

In particular, we can represent vector spaces over a given field as a representable functor, i.e., a functor F such that:

$$\exists p \ \forall s \ F \ s \cong p \rightarrow s$$

### A short introdution

- We've derived and generalized an AD algorithm using categories
- With fully right-associated compositions this algorithm becomes a foward-mode AD and with fully left-associated becomes a reverse-mode AD
- We want to obtain generalized FAD and RAD algorithms
- How do we describe this in Categorical notation?

# Converting morfisms

Given a category k we can represent its morfisms the following way:

### Left-Compose functions

 $f :: a' k' b \Rightarrow (\circ f) :: (b' k' r) \rightarrow (a' k' r)$  where r is any object of k.

If h is the morfism we'll compose with f then h is the continuation of f.

### Defining new type

**newtype** 
$$Cont_r^k$$
  $a$   $b$  =  $Cont$   $((b ' k' r) \rightarrow (a ' k' r))$ 

### Functor derived from type

cont :: Category 
$$k \Rightarrow (a' k' b) \rightarrow Cont_r^k a b$$
 cont  $f = Cont(\circ f)$ 

```
instance Category k \Rightarrow Category Cont_r^k where
  id = Cont id
  Cont g \circ Cont f = Cont (f \circ g)
instance Monoidal k \Rightarrow Monoidal\ Cont_r^k where
  Conf f \times Cont g = Cont (join \circ (f \times g) \circ unjoin)
instance Cartesian k \Rightarrow Cartesian Cont_r^k where
  exl = Cont (join \circ inl); exr = Cont (join \circ inr)
  dup = Cont (iam \circ unjoin)
instance Cocartesian k \Rightarrow Cocartesian Cont_r^k where
  inl = Cont (exl \circ unjoin); inr = Cont (exr \circ unjoin)
  iam = Cont (ioin \circ dup)
instance Scalable k a \Rightarrow Scalable Cont_r^k a where
```

scale s = Cont (scale s)

### A short introdution

Due to it's widespread use in ML we'll talk about a specific case of RAD: computing gradients(derivatives of functions with scalar codomains)

A vector space A over a scalar field s has  $A \multimap s$  as its dual. Each linear map in  $A \multimap s$  can be represented in the form of dot u for some u :: A where

### Definition and instanciation

```
class HasDot(S) u where dot :: u \rightarrow (u \multimap s) instance HasDot(IR) IR where dot = scale instance (HasDot(S) \ a, HasDot(S) \ b) \Rightarrow HasDot(S) \ (a \times b) where dot(u, v) = dot \ u \ \Delta \ dot \ v
```

The internal representation of  $Cont_{-\infty}^s$  a b is  $(b \multimap s) \to (a \multimap s)$  which is isomorfic to  $(a \to b)$ .

### Type definition for duality

**newtype** 
$$Dual_k$$
  $a$   $b$  =  $Dual$   $(b' k' a)$ 

All we need to do to create dual representations of linear maps is to convert from  $Cont_k^S$  to  $Dual_k$  using a functor:

#### Functor definition

asDual :: (HasDot (S) a, HasDot (S) b) 
$$\Rightarrow$$
 ((b  $\multimap$  s)  $\rightarrow$  (a  $\multimap$  s))  $\rightarrow$  (b  $\multimap$  a) asDual (Cont f) = Dual (onDot f)

### where

onDot :: (HasDot (S) a, HasDot (S) b) 
$$\Rightarrow$$
 ((b  $\multimap$  s)  $\rightarrow$  (a  $\multimap$  s))  $\rightarrow$  (b  $\multimap$  a) onDot  $f = dot^{-1} \circ f \circ dot$ 

```
instance Category k \Rightarrow Category Dual_k where
  id = Dual id
  Dual g \circ Dual f = Dual (f \circ g)
instance Monoidal k \Rightarrow Monoidal Dual<sub>k</sub> where
  Dual f \times Dual g = Dual (f \times g)
instance Cartesian k \Rightarrow Cartesian Dual<sub>k</sub> where
  exl = Dual inl: exr = Dual inr
  dup = Dual iam
instance Cocartesian k \Rightarrow Cocartesian Dual_k where
  inl = Dual \ exl: inr = Dual \ exr
  jam = Dual dup
instance Scalable k \Rightarrow Scalable Dual_k where
  scale s = Dual (scale s)
```

### Final notes

- $(\nabla)$  and  $(\Delta)$  mutually dualize  $(\textit{Dual } f \ \nabla \ \textit{Dual } g) = \textit{Dual } (f \ \Delta \ g)$  and  $\textit{Dual } f \ \Delta \ \textit{Dual } g = \textit{Dual } (f \ \nabla \ g))$
- Using the definition from chapter 8 we can determine that the duality of a matrix corresponds to it's transposition

## Fowards-mode Automatic Differentiation(FAD)

We can use the same deductions we've done in Cont and Dual to derive a category with full right-side association, thus creating a generized FAD algorithm.

This algorithm is far more apropriated for low dimention domains.

### Type definition and functor from type

**newtype** 
$$Begin_r^k$$
  $a \ b = Begin ((r' k' a) \rightarrow (r' k' b))$   
 $begin :: Category \ k \Rightarrow (a' k' b) \rightarrow Begin_r^k \ a \ b$   
 $begin \ f = Begin \ (f \circ)$ 

We can derive categorical instances from the functor above and we can choose r to be the scalar field s, noting that  $s \multimap a$  is isomorfic to a.

# Scaling Up

- Practical applications often involve high-dimensional spaces.
- Binary products are a very inefficient and unwieldy way of encoding high-dimensional spaces.
- A practical alternative is to consider n-ary products as representable functors(?)

```
class Category k \Rightarrow Monoidall k h where crossl :: h(a \cdot k \cdot b) \rightarrow (h a \cdot k \cdot h b)
instance Zip h \Rightarrow Monoidall (\rightarrow) h where crossl = zipWith id
```

```
class Monoidall k \ h \Rightarrow Cartesianl \ k \ h where exl :: h \ (h \ a \ 'k \ 'a) repll :: a \ 'k \ 'h \ a class (Representable \ h, Zip \ h, Pointed \ h) \Rightarrow Cartesianl \ (\rightarrow) \ h where exl = tabulate \ (flip \ index) repll = point
```

The following is not the class the author was thinking

```
class Representable h where type Rep h :: * tabulate :: (Rep h \rightarrow a) \rightarrow h a index :: h a \rightarrow Rep h \rightarrow a
```

```
iaml :: h a ' k ' a
instance (Monoidall k h, Zip h) \Rightarrow Monoidall D<sub>k</sub> h where
  crossl fs = D((id \times crossl) \circ unzip \circ crossl(fmap unD fs))
instance (Cocartesianl (\rightarrow) h, Cartesianl k h, Zip h) \Rightarrow
  Cartesianl Dk h where
  exl = linearD exl exl
  repll = zipWith linearD repll repll
instance (Cocartesianl k h, Zip h) \Rightarrow Cocartesianl D_k h where
  inl = zipWith linearD inIF inl
  jaml = linearD sum jaml
```

class Monoidall k  $h \Rightarrow Cocartesianl k h$  where

inl :: h (a ' k ' h a)

```
inl :: h (a ' k ' h a)
  iaml :: h a ' k ' a
instance (Monoidall k h, Zip h) \Rightarrow Monoidall D<sub>k</sub> h where
  crossI fs = D ((id \times crossI) \circ unzip \circ crossI (fmap unD fs))
instance (Cocartesianl (\rightarrow) h, Cartesianl k h, Zip h) \Rightarrow
  Cartesianl Dk h where
  exI = zipWith\ linearD\ exI\ exI
  repll = linearD repll repll
instance (Cocartesianl k h, Zip h) \Rightarrow Cocartesianl D_k h where
  inl = zipWith linearD inIF inl
  jaml = linearD sum jaml
```

class Monoidall k  $h \Rightarrow Cocartesianl k h$  where

### Conclusion

- Suggests that some of the work referred to does just a part of this paper.
- This paper ([Elliott 2018][2]) is a follow up of [Elliott 2017][1]
- Suggests that this implementation is simple, efficient, it can free memory dinamically (RAD) and is naturally parallel.
- Future work are detailed performace analysis; higher-order differentiation and automatic incrementation (continuing previous work [Elliott 2017][1])



ELLIOTT, C.

Compiling to categories.

Proc. ACM Program. Lang. 1, ICFP (Aug. 2017), 27:1-27:27.



ELLIOTT. C.

The simple essence of automatic differentiation.

Proc. ACM Program. Lang. 2, ICFP (July 2018), 70:1-70:29.