

...Machine Learning...

Artur Ezequiel Nelson

Universidade do Minho

26 de Abril

Indice

1 Categorías

A short introduction

- We want to calculate \mathcal{D} .
- However, \mathcal{D} is not computable.
- Solution: reimplement corollaries using category theory

A short introduction

- We want to calculate \mathcal{D} .
- However, \mathcal{D} is not computable.
- Solution: reimplement corollaries using category theory

A short introduction

- We want to calculate \mathcal{D} .
- However, \mathcal{D} is not computable.
- Solution: reimplement corollaries using category theory

A short introduction

Corollary 1.1

NOTA: adicionar definição do corolário 1.1 aqui

Corollary 2.1

NOTA: adicionar definição do corolário 2.1 aqui

Corollary 3.1

NOTA: adicionar definição do corolário 3.1 aqui

Categories

A category is a collection of objects(sets and types) e morphisms(operation between objects), with 2 basic operations(identity and composition) of morfisms, and 2 laws:

- (C.1) $id \circ f = id \circ f = f$
- (C.2) $f \circ (g \circ h) = (f \circ g) \circ h$

Note

For this paper, objects are data types and morfisms are functions

class *Category* *k* **where**

$id :: (a' \rightarrow k' a)$

$(\circ) :: (b' \rightarrow k' c) \rightarrow (a' \rightarrow k' b) \rightarrow (a' \rightarrow k' c)$

instance *Category* (\rightarrow) **where**

$id = \lambda a \rightarrow a$

$g \circ f = \lambda a \rightarrow g (f a)$

Functors

A functor F between 2 categories \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} is such that:

- given any object $t \in \mathcal{U}$ there exists a object $F t \in \mathcal{V}$
- given any morphism $m :: a \rightarrow b \in \mathcal{U}$ there exists a morphism $F m :: F a \rightarrow F b \in \mathcal{V}$
- $F \text{ id } (\in \mathcal{U}) = \text{id } (\in \mathcal{V})$
- $F (f \circ g) = F f \circ F g$

Note

Given this papers category properties(objects are data types) we have that functors map types to themselves

Objective

Let's start by defining a new data type:
 $\text{newtype } \mathcal{D} \text{ a b} = \mathcal{D} (a \rightarrow b \times (a \multimap b))$
and adapting \mathcal{D}^+ to use it:

Adapted definition

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathcal{D}} &:: (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \text{ a b} \\ \hat{\mathcal{D}} \text{ f} &= \mathcal{D} (\mathcal{D}^+ \text{ f})\end{aligned}$$

Our objective is to deduce an instance of Category for \mathcal{D} where $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ is a functor.

Instance deduction

Using corollaries 3.1 and 1.1 we deduce that

- (DP.1) - $\mathcal{D}^+ \text{id} = \lambda a \rightarrow (\text{id } a, \text{id})$
- (DP.2) - $\mathcal{D}^+(g \circ f) = \lambda a \rightarrow \text{let}(b, f') = \mathcal{D}^+ f \ a; (c, g') = \mathcal{D}^+ g \ b$
in $(c, g' \circ f')$

saying that $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ a functor is equivalent to, for all f e g functions of appropriate types:

$$\text{id} = \hat{\mathcal{D}} \text{id} = \mathcal{D} (\mathcal{D}^+ \text{id}) \quad \hat{\mathcal{D}} g \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}} f = \hat{\mathcal{D}} (g \circ f) = \mathcal{D} (\hat{\mathcal{D}} (g \circ f))$$

Instance deduction

Based on (DP.1) e (DP.2) we'll rewrite the above into the following definition:

$$\text{id} = \mathcal{D} (\lambda a \rightarrow (\text{id } a, \text{id}))$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}} g \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}} f = \mathcal{D} (\lambda a \rightarrow \text{let}(b, f') = \mathcal{D}^+ f a; (c, g') = \mathcal{D}^+ g b \text{ in } (c, g' \circ f'))$$

The first equation has a trivial solution (define id of instance as $\mathcal{D}(\lambda a \rightarrow (\text{id } a, \text{id}))$)

To solve the second we'll first solve a more general one:

$$\mathcal{D} g \circ \mathcal{D} f = \mathcal{D}(\lambda a \rightarrow \text{let}(b, f') = f a; (c, g') = g b \text{ in } (c, g' \circ f'))$$

, and this has an equivalently trivial solution in our instance.

Instance deduction

$\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ definition for linear functions

$$\begin{aligned} \text{linearD} &:: (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \ a \ b \\ \text{linearD } f &= \mathcal{D} \ (\lambda a \rightarrow (f \ a, f)) \end{aligned}$$

Categorical instance we've deduced

instance *Category* \mathcal{D} **where**

$$\text{id} = \text{linearD id}$$

$$\mathcal{D} \ g \circ \mathcal{D} \ f =$$

$$\mathcal{D} \ (\lambda a \rightarrow \text{let } \{(b, f') = f \ a; (c, g') = g \ b\} \text{ in } (c, g' \circ f'))$$

Instance proof

In order to prove that the instance is correct we must observe if it follows laws (C.1) and (C.2).

First we must make a concession: that we only use morfisms arising from \mathcal{D}^+ (we can force this by transforming \mathcal{D} into an abstract type). If we do, then \mathcal{D}^+ is a functor.

(C.1) proof

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{id} \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}} \\
 &= \hat{\mathcal{D}} \text{id} \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}} f - \text{functor law for id (specification of } \hat{\mathcal{D}}) \\
 &= \hat{\mathcal{D}} (\text{id} \circ f) - \text{functor law for } (\circ) \\
 &= \hat{\mathcal{D}} f - \text{cathegorical law}
 \end{aligned}$$

Instance proof

(C.2) proof

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \hat{\mathcal{D}} h \circ (\hat{\mathcal{D}} g \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}} f) \\
 &= \hat{\mathcal{D}} h \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}} (g \circ f) - \text{functor law for } (\circ) \\
 &= \hat{\mathcal{D}} (h \circ (g \circ f)) - \text{functor law for } (\circ) \\
 &= \hat{\mathcal{D}} ((h \circ g) \circ f) - \text{categorical law} \\
 &= \hat{\mathcal{D}} (h \circ g) \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}} f - \text{functor law for } (\circ) \\
 &= (\hat{\mathcal{D}} h \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}} g) \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}} f - \text{functor law for } (\circ)
 \end{aligned}$$

Note

This proofs don't require anything from \mathcal{D} and $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ aside from functor laws. As such, all other instances of categories created from a functor won't require further proofs.

Monoidal categories and functors

Generalized parallel composition will be defined using a monoidal category:

class *Category* $k \Rightarrow \text{Monoidal } k$ **where**
 $(x) :: (a \text{ ' } k' \text{ } c) \rightarrow (b \text{ ' } k' \text{ } d) \rightarrow ((a \times b) \text{ ' } k' \text{ } (c \times d))$

instance *Monoidal* (\rightarrow) **where**
 $f \times g = \lambda(a, b) \rightarrow (f \text{ } a, g \text{ } b)$

Monoidal Functor definition

A monoidal functor F between categories \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} is such that:

- F is a functor
- $F(f \times g) = F f \times F g$

Instance deduction

From corollary 2.1 we can deduce that:

$$\mathcal{D}^+ (f \times g) =$$

$$\lambda (a,b) \rightarrow \text{let}(c,f')=\mathcal{D}^+ f \ a; (d,g')=\mathcal{D}^+ g \ b \text{ in } ((c,d),f' \times g')$$

Defining F from $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ leaves us with the following definition:

$$\mathcal{D} (\mathcal{D}^+ f) \times \mathcal{D} (\mathcal{D}^+ g) = \mathcal{D} (\mathcal{D}^+ (f \times g))$$

Using the same method as before, we replace \mathcal{D}^+ with it's definition and generalize the condition:

$$\mathcal{D} f \times \mathcal{D} g =$$

$$\mathcal{D} (\lambda (a,b) \rightarrow \text{let}(c,f') = f \ a; (d,g') = g \ b \text{ in } ((c,d),f' \times g'))$$

and this is enough for our new instance.

Instance deduction

Categorical instance we've deduced

instance *Monoidal* \mathcal{D} **where**

$$\mathcal{D} f \times \mathcal{D} g = \mathcal{D} (\lambda(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbf{let} \{ (c, f') = f a; (d, g') = g b \} \\ \mathbf{in} ((c, d), f' \times g'))$$

Cartesian categories and functors

class *Monoidal* $k \Rightarrow \text{Cartesian } k$ **where**

$\text{exl} :: (a, b) \rightarrow k \rightarrow a$

$\text{exr} :: (a, b) \rightarrow k \rightarrow b$

$\text{dup} :: a \rightarrow k \rightarrow (a, a)$

instance *Cartesian* (\rightarrow) **where**

$\text{exl} = \lambda(a, b) \rightarrow a$

$\text{exr} = \lambda(a, b) \rightarrow b$

$\text{dup} = \lambda a \rightarrow (a, a)$

A cartesian functor F between categories \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} is such that:

- F is a monoidal functor
- $F \text{ exl} = \text{exl}$
- $F \text{ exp} = \text{exp}$
- $F \text{ dup} = \text{dup}$

Instance deduction

From corollary 3.1 and from exl, exr and dup being linear function we can deduce that:

$$\mathcal{D}^+ \text{exl} = \lambda p \rightarrow (\text{exl } p, \text{exl})$$

$$\mathcal{D}^+ \text{exr} = \lambda p \rightarrow (\text{exr } p, \text{exr})$$

$$\mathcal{D}^+ \text{dup} = \lambda p \rightarrow (\text{dup } a, \text{dup})$$

With this in mind we'll deduce the instance:

$$\text{exl} = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D}^+ \text{exl})$$

$$\text{exr} = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D}^+ \text{exr})$$

$$\text{dup} = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D}^+ \text{dup})$$

Instance deduction

Replacing \mathcal{D}^+ with its definition and remembering linearD we can obtain:

$exl = \text{linearD } exl$

$exr = \text{linearD } exr$

$dup = \text{linearD } dup$

and we can directly convert this into a new instance:

Categorical instance we've deduced

instance *Cartesian D* where

exl = *linearD exl*

exr = *linearD exr*

dup = *linearD dup*

Cocartesian category

This type of categories are the dual of the cartesian categories.

Note

In this paper coproducts are categorical products, i.e., biproducts

Definition

```
class Category  $k \Rightarrow \text{Cocartesian } k$  where :  
  inl ::  $a'k' (a, b)$   
  inr ::  $b'k' (a, b)$   
  jam ::  $(a, a) 'k' a$ 
```

Cocartesian functors

Cocartesian functor definition

A cocartesian functor F between categories \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} is such that:

- F is a functor
- $F \text{ inl} = \text{inl}$
- $F \text{ inr} = \text{inr}$
- $F \text{ jam} = \text{jam}$