

# ...Machine Learning...

Artur Ezequiel Nelson

Universidade do Minho

26 de Abril

# Indice

## 1 Categories

# A short introduction

- We want to calculate  $\mathcal{D}$ .
- However,  $\mathcal{D}$  is not computable.
- Solution: reimplement corollaries using category theory

# A short introduction

- We want to calculate  $\mathcal{D}$ .
- However,  $\mathcal{D}$  is not computable.
- Solution: reimplement corollaries using category theory

# A short introduction

- We want to calculate  $\mathcal{D}$ .
- However,  $\mathcal{D}$  is not computable.
- Solution: reimplement corollaries using category theory

# A short introduction

## Corollary 1.1

NOTA: adicionar definição do corolário 1.1 aqui

## Corollary 2.1

NOTA: adicionar definição do corolário 2.1 aqui

## Corollary 3.1

NOTA: adicionar definição do corolário 3.1 aqui

# Categories

A category is a collection of objects(sets and types) and morphisms(operation between objects), with 2 basic operations(identity and composition) of morfisms, and 2 laws:

- (C.1)  $id \circ f = id \circ f = f$
- (C.2)  $f \circ (g \circ h) = (f \circ g) \circ h$

## Note

For this paper, objects are data types and morfisms are functions

**class** *Category* *k* **where**

$id :: (a' \rightarrow k' a)$

$(\circ) :: (b' \rightarrow k' c) \rightarrow (a' \rightarrow k' b) \rightarrow (a' \rightarrow k' c)$

**instance** *Category*  $(\rightarrow)$  **where**

$id = \lambda a \rightarrow a$

$g \circ f = \lambda a \rightarrow g (f a)$

# Functors

A functor  $F$  between 2 categories  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  is such that:

- given any object  $t \in \mathcal{U}$  there exists an object  $F t \in \mathcal{V}$
- given any morphism  $m :: a \rightarrow b \in \mathcal{U}$  there exists a morphism  $F m :: F a \rightarrow F b \in \mathcal{V}$
- $F \text{ id } (\in \mathcal{U}) = \text{id } (\in \mathcal{V})$
- $F (f \circ g) = F f \circ F g$

## Note

Given this papers category properties(objects are data types)  
functors map types to themselves



# Objective

Let's start by defining a new data type:  
 $\text{newtype } \mathcal{D} \text{ } a \text{ } b = \mathcal{D} (a \rightarrow b \times (a \multimap b))$   
 ,and adapting  $\mathcal{D}^+$  to use it:

## Adapted definition

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathcal{D}} &:: (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \text{ } a \text{ } b \\ \hat{\mathcal{D}} \text{ } f &= \mathcal{D} (\mathcal{D}^+ \text{ } f)\end{aligned}$$

Our objective is to deduce an instance of a Category for  $\mathcal{D}$  where  $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$  is a functor.

# Instance deduction

Before deducing our instance we must first note that using corollaries 3.1 and 1.1 we can determine that

- (DP.1)  $\mathcal{D}^+ id = \lambda a \rightarrow (id\ a, id)$
- (DP.2)  $\mathcal{D}^+(g \circ f) = \lambda a \rightarrow \text{let}(b, f') = \mathcal{D}^+ f\ a; (c, g') = \mathcal{D}^+ g\ b$   
in  $(c, g' \circ f')$

Saying that  $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$  is a functor is equivalent to, for all  $f$  and  $g$  functions of appropriate types:

$$id = \hat{\mathcal{D}}\ id = \mathcal{D}\ (\mathcal{D}^+ id)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}\ g \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}}\ f = \hat{\mathcal{D}}\ (g \circ f) = \mathcal{D}\ (\hat{\mathcal{D}}\ (g \circ f))$$

# Instance deduction

Based on (DP.1) and (DP.2) we'll rewrite the above into the following definition:

$$\text{id} = \mathcal{D} (\lambda a \rightarrow (\text{id } a, \text{id}))$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}} g \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}} f = \mathcal{D} (\lambda a \rightarrow \text{let}(b, f') = \mathcal{D}^+ f a; (c, g') = \mathcal{D}^+ g b \text{ in } (c, g' \circ f'))$$

The first equation shown above has a trivial solution (define id of instance as  $\mathcal{D}(\lambda a \rightarrow (\text{id } a, \text{id}))$ )

To solve the second we'll first solve a more general one:

$$\mathcal{D} g \circ \mathcal{D} f = \mathcal{D}(\lambda a \rightarrow \text{let}(b, f') = f a; (c, g') = g b \text{ in } (c, g' \circ f'))$$

This condition also leads us to a trivial solution inside our instance.

# Instance deduction

## $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ definition for linear functions

$$\begin{aligned} \text{linearD} &:: (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \ a \ b \\ \text{linearD } f &= \mathcal{D} \ (\lambda a \rightarrow (f \ a, f)) \end{aligned}$$

## Categorical instance we've deduced

**instance** *Category*  $\mathcal{D}$  **where**

$$\text{id} = \text{linearD id}$$

$$\mathcal{D} \ g \circ \mathcal{D} \ f =$$

$$\mathcal{D} \ (\lambda a \rightarrow \text{let } \{(b, f') = f \ a; (c, g') = g \ b\} \text{ in } (c, g' \circ f'))$$

# Instance proof

In order to prove that the instance is correct we must check if it follows laws (C.1) and (C.2).

First we must make a concession: that we only use morfisms arising from  $\mathcal{D}^+$  (we can force this by transforming  $\mathcal{D}$  into an abstract type). If we do, then  $\mathcal{D}^+$  is a functor.

## (C.1) proof

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{id} \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}} \\
 &= \hat{\mathcal{D}} \text{id} \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}} f - \text{functor law for id (specification of } \hat{\mathcal{D}}) \\
 &= \hat{\mathcal{D}} (\text{id} \circ f) - \text{functor law for } (\circ) \\
 &= \hat{\mathcal{D}} f - \text{categorical law}
 \end{aligned}$$

# Instance proof

## (C.2) proof

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \hat{\mathcal{D}} h \circ (\hat{\mathcal{D}} g \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}} f) \\
 &= \hat{\mathcal{D}} h \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}} (g \circ f) - \text{functor law for } (\circ) \\
 &= \hat{\mathcal{D}} (h \circ (g \circ f)) - \text{functor law for } (\circ) \\
 &= \hat{\mathcal{D}} ((h \circ g) \circ f) - \text{categorical law} \\
 &= \hat{\mathcal{D}} (h \circ g) \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}} f - \text{functor law for } (\circ) \\
 &= (\hat{\mathcal{D}} h \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}} g) \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}} f - \text{functor law for } (\circ)
 \end{aligned}$$

## Note

This proofs don't require anything from  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$  aside from functor laws. As such, all other instances of categories created from a functor won't require further proving like this one did.

# Monoidal categories and functors

Generalized parallel composition shall be defined using a monoidal category:

**class** *Category*  $k \Rightarrow \text{Monoidal } k$  **where**

$(x) :: (a \text{ ' } k' \text{ } c) \rightarrow (b \text{ ' } k' \text{ } d) \rightarrow ((a \times b) \text{ ' } k' \text{ } (c \times d))$

**instance** *Monoidal*  $(\rightarrow)$  **where**

$f \times g = \lambda(a, b) \rightarrow (f \text{ } a, g \text{ } b)$

## Monoidal Functor definition

A monoidal functor  $F$  between categories  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  is such that:

- $F$  is a functor
- $F(f \times g) = F f \times F g$

# Instance deduction

From corollary 2.1 we can deduce that:

$$\mathcal{D}^+ (f \times g) = \lambda (a,b) \rightarrow \text{let}(c,f')=\mathcal{D}^+ f \ a; (d,g')=\mathcal{D}^+ g \ b \\ \text{in } ((c,d),f' \times g')$$

Deriving  $F$  from  $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$  leaves us with the following definition:

$$\mathcal{D} (\mathcal{D}^+ f) \times \mathcal{D} (\mathcal{D}^+ g) = \mathcal{D} (\mathcal{D}^+ (f \times g))$$

Using the same method as before, we replace  $\mathcal{D}^+$  with it's definition and generalize the condition:

$$\mathcal{D} f \times \mathcal{D} g =$$

$$\mathcal{D} (\lambda (a,b) \rightarrow \text{let}(c,f') = f \ a; (d,g') = g \ b \text{ in } ((c,d),f' \times g'))$$

and this is enough for our new instance.



# Instance deduction

Categorical instance we've deduced

**instance** *Monoidal*  $\mathcal{D}$  **where**

$$\mathcal{D} f \times \mathcal{D} g = \mathcal{D} (\lambda(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbf{let} \{ (c, f') = f a; (d, g') = g b \} \\ \mathbf{in} ((c, d), f' \times g'))$$

# Cartesian categories and functors

**class** *Monoidal*  $k \Rightarrow$  *Cartesian*  $k$  **where**

$exl :: (a, b) \rightarrow k \rightarrow a$

$exr :: (a, b) \rightarrow k \rightarrow b$

$dup :: a \rightarrow k \rightarrow (a, a)$

**instance** *Cartesian*  $(\rightarrow)$  **where**

$exl = \lambda(a, b) \rightarrow a$

$exr = \lambda(a, b) \rightarrow b$

$dup = \lambda a \rightarrow (a, a)$

A cartesian functor  $F$  between categories  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  is such that:

- $F$  is a monoidal functor
- $F \circ exl = exl$
- $F \circ exp = exp$
- $F \circ dup = dup$

# Instance deduction

From corollary 3.1 and from  $\text{exl}, \text{exr}$  and  $\text{dup}$  being linear functions we can deduce that:

$$\mathcal{D}^+ \text{exl} = \lambda p \rightarrow (\text{exl } p, \text{exl})$$

$$\mathcal{D}^+ \text{exr} = \lambda p \rightarrow (\text{exr } p, \text{exr})$$

$$\mathcal{D}^+ \text{dup} = \lambda p \rightarrow (\text{dup } a, \text{dup})$$

With this in mind we can arrive at our instance:

$$\text{exl} = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D}^+ \text{exl})$$

$$\text{exr} = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D}^+ \text{exr})$$

$$\text{dup} = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D}^+ \text{dup})$$

# Instance deduction

Replacing  $\mathcal{D}^+$  with it's definition and remembering linearD's definition we can obtain:

$exl = \text{linearD } exl$

$exr = \text{linearD } exr$

$dup = \text{linearD } dup$

and convert this directly into a new instance:

Categorical instance we've deduced

**instance** *Cartesian D* where

*exl* = *linearD exl*

*exr* = *linearD exr*

*dup* = *linearD dup*

# Cocartesian category

This type of categories is the dual of the cartesian type of categories.

## Note

In this paper coproducts are categorical products, i.e., biproducts

## Definition

```
class Category  $k \Rightarrow \text{Cocartesian } k$  where :  
  inl ::  $a'k' (a, b)$   
  inr ::  $b'k' (a, b)$   
  jam ::  $(a, a) 'k' a$ 
```

# Cocartesian functors

## Cocartesian functor definition

A cocartesian functor  $F$  between categories  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  is such that:

- $F$  is a functor
- $F \text{ inl} = \text{inl}$
- $F \text{ inr} = \text{inr}$
- $F \text{ jam} = \text{jam}$