

$$(f \times g) (a, b) = (f a, g b)$$

$$(f = \perp)$$

Instance of \rightarrow^+

newtype $a \rightarrow^+ b = \text{AddFun } (a \rightarrow b)$

instance *Category* (\rightarrow^+) **where**

type *Obj* $(\rightarrow^+) = \text{Additive}$

$\text{id} = \text{AddFun id}$

$\text{AddFun } g \circ \text{AddFun } f = \text{AddFun } (g \circ f)$

instance *Monoidal* (\rightarrow^+) **where**

$\text{AddFun } f \times \text{AddFun } g = \text{AddFun } (f \times g)$

instance *Cartesian* (\rightarrow^+) **where**

$\text{exl} = \text{AddFun exl}$

$\text{exr} = \text{AddFun exr}$

$\text{dup} = \text{AddFun dup}$

- $\nabla :: \text{Cartesian } k \Rightarrow (a \text{ ' } k \text{ ' } c) \rightarrow (a \text{ ' } k \text{ ' } d) \rightarrow (a \text{ ' } k \text{ ' } (c \times d))$
- $\Delta :: \text{Cartesian } k \Rightarrow (c \text{ ' } k \text{ ' } a) \rightarrow (d \text{ ' } k \text{ ' } a) \rightarrow ((c + d) \text{ ' } k \text{ ' } a)$

...Machine Learning...

Artur Ezequiel Nelson

Universidade do Minho

26 de Abril

A short introduction

- We want to calculate \mathcal{D} .
- However, \mathcal{D} is not computable.
- Solution: reimplement corollaries using category theory

A short introduction

- We want to calculate \mathcal{D} .
- However, \mathcal{D} is not computable.
- Solution: reimplement corollaries using category theory

A short introduction

- We want to calculate \mathcal{D} .
- However, \mathcal{D} is not computable.
- Solution: reimplement corollaries using category theory

A short introduction

Corollary 1.1

NOTA: adicionar definição do corolário 1.1 aqui

Corollary 2.1

NOTA: adicionar definição do corolário 2.1 aqui

Corollary 3.1

NOTA: adicionar definição do corolário 3.1 aqui

Categories

A category is a collection of objects(sets and types) e morphisms(operation between objects), with 2 basic operations(identity and composition) of morfisms, and 2 laws:

- (C.1) $id \circ f = id \circ f = f$
- (C.2) $f \circ (g \circ h) = (f \circ g) \circ h$

N

ote: for this paper, objects are data types and morfisms are functions

class *Category* *k* **where**

$id :: (a' k' a)$

$(\circ) :: (b' k' c) \rightarrow (a' k' b) \rightarrow (a' k' c)$

instance *Category* (\rightarrow) **where**

$id = \lambda a \rightarrow a$

$g \circ f = \lambda a \rightarrow g (f a)$

Functors

A functor F between 2 categories \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} is such that:

- given any object $t \lambda \text{in } \mathcal{U}$ there exists a object $F t \lambda \text{in } \mathcal{V}$
- given any morphism $m :: a \rightarrow b \lambda \text{in } \mathcal{U}$ there exists a morphism $F m :: F a \rightarrow F b \lambda \text{in } \mathcal{V}$
- $F id (\lambda \text{in } \mathcal{U}) = id (\lambda \text{in } \mathcal{V})$
- $F (f \$ \backslash circ \$ g) = F f \$ \backslash circ \$ F g$

Note

Given this papers category properties(objects are data types) we have that functors map types to themselves

Let's start by defining a new data type:

newtype $\mathcal{D} \ a \ b = \mathcal{D} \ (a \rightarrow b \times (a \$ \backslash \text{multimap} \$ b))$

and adapting \mathcal{D}^+ to use it:

Adapted definition

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}} :: (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \ a \ b$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}} \ f = \mathcal{D} \ (\mathcal{D}^+ \ f)$$

Our objective is to deduce an instance of Category for \mathcal{D} **where** $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ is a functor.

Using corollaries 3.1 and 1.1 we deduce that

- (DP.1) $-- \text{bigDplus id} = \lambda a \rightarrow (\text{id } a, \text{id})$
- (DP.2) $\text{--- } \mathcal{D}^+ (g \$ \backslash \text{circ} \$ f) = \$ \backslash \text{lambda} \$ a \rightarrow$
 $\text{let } \{(b, f') = \mathcal{D}^+ f a; (c, g') = \mathcal{D}^+ g b\} \text{ in } (c, g' \$ \backslash \text{circ} \$ f')$

saying that $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ a functor is equivalent to, for all f e g functions **of** corre
 $\text{id} = \hat{\mathcal{D}} \text{id} = \mathcal{D} (\mathcal{D}^+ \text{id})$

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}} g \$ \backslash \text{circ} \$ \hat{\mathcal{D}} f = \hat{\mathcal{D}} (g \$ \backslash \text{circ} \$ f) = \mathcal{D} (\hat{\mathcal{D}} (g \$ \backslash \text{circ} \$ f))$$

Instance deduction

Based on (DP.1) e (DP.2) we'll rewrite the above into the following definition:

$$id = \mathcal{D} (\$ \backslash \lambda bda \$ a \rightarrow (id\ a, id))$$

$$bidDhat\ g\ \$ \backslash\ circ\ \$\ \hat{D}\ f = \mathcal{D} (\$ \backslash \lambda bda \$ a \rightarrow \mathbf{let}\ \{(b, f') = \mathcal{D}^+ f\ a; (c, g') = \mathcal{D}^+ g\ b\} \mathbf{in}\ (c, g'\ \$ \backslash\ circ\ \$\ f'))$$

The first equasion has a trivial solution(define id of instance as $\mathcal{D} (\$ \backslash \lambda bda \$ a \rightarrow (id\ a, id))$)

To solve the secound we'll first solve a more general one:

$\mathcal{D}\ g\ \$ \backslash\ circ\ \$\ \mathcal{D}\ f = \mathcal{D} (\$ \backslash \lambda bda \$ a \rightarrow \mathbf{let}\ \{(b, f') = f\ a; (c, g') = g\ b\} \mathbf{in}\ (c, g'\ \$ \backslash\ circ\ \$\ f'))$, and this has an equivalently trivial solution in our instance.

Instance deduction

$\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ definition for linear functions

$linearD :: (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow \mathcal{D} a b$
 $linearD f = \mathcal{D} (\lambda a \rightarrow (f a, f))$

S

Categorical instance we've deduced

instance *Category* \mathcal{D} **where**

$id = linearD id$

$\mathcal{D} g \circ \mathcal{D} f = \mathcal{D} (\lambda a \rightarrow \mathbf{let} \{ (b, f') = f a; (c, g') = g b \} \mathbf{in} (c, g' \circ f'))$

Instance proof

In order to prove that the instance is correct we must observe if it follows laws (C.1) and (C.2).

First we must make a concession: that we only use morfisms arising from \mathcal{D}^+ (we can force this by transforming \mathcal{D} into an abstract type). If we do, then \mathcal{D}^+ is a functor.

(C.1) proof

$$\begin{aligned} & id \$ \backslash circ \$ \hat{\mathcal{D}} \\ &= \hat{\mathcal{D}} id \$ \backslash circ \$ \hat{\mathcal{D}} f - \text{functor law for } id \text{ (specification of } \hat{\mathcal{D}}) \\ &= \hat{\mathcal{D}} (id \$ \backslash circ \$ f) - \text{functor law for } (\$ \backslash circ \$) \\ &= \hat{\mathcal{D}} f - \text{cathegorical law} \end{aligned}$$

Instance proof

(C.2) proof

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{\mathcal{D}} h \circ (\hat{\mathcal{D}} g \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}} f) \\ &= \hat{\mathcal{D}} h \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}} (g \circ f) - \text{functor law for } \circ \\ &= \hat{\mathcal{D}} (h \circ (g \circ f)) - \text{functor law for } \circ \\ &= \hat{\mathcal{D}} ((h \circ g) \circ f) - \text{categorical law} \\ &= \hat{\mathcal{D}} (h \circ g) \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}} f - \text{functor law for } \circ \\ &= (\hat{\mathcal{D}} h \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}} g) \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}} f - \text{functor law for } \circ \end{aligned}$$

Note

This proofs don't require anything from \mathcal{D} and $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ aside from functor laws. As such, all other instances of categories created from a functor won't require further proofs.

Monoidal categories and functors

Generalized parallel composition will be defined using a monoidal category:

class *Category* $k \Rightarrow \text{Monoidal } k$ **where instance** *Monoidal* (\rightarrow) **wh**
 $(x) :: (a \text{ ' } k \text{ ' } c) \rightarrow (b \text{ ' } k \text{ ' } d) \rightarrow ((a \times b) \text{ ' } k \text{ ' } (c \times d)) \rightarrow (f \ a, g \ b)$

Monoidal Functor definition

A monoidal functor F between categories \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} is such that:

- F is a functor
- $F(f \times g) = F f \times F g$

Instance deduction

From corollary 2.1 we can deduce that:

$$\mathcal{D}^+ (f \times g) = \lambda (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbf{let} \{ (c, f') = \mathcal{D}^+ f a; (d, g') = \mathcal{D}^+ g b \} \mathbf{in} ((c, d), f' \times g')$$

Defining F from $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ leaves us with the following definition:

$$\mathcal{D} (\mathcal{D}^+ f) \times \mathcal{D} (\mathcal{D}^+ g) = \mathcal{D} (\mathcal{D}^+ (f \times g))$$

Using the same method as before, we replace \mathcal{D}^+ with it's definition and generalize the condition:

$$\mathcal{D} f \times \mathcal{D} g = \mathcal{D} (\lambda (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbf{let} \{ (c, f') = f a; (d, g') = g b \} \mathbf{in} ((c, d), f' \times g'))$$

and this is enough for our new instance.

Categorical instance we've deduced

instance *Monoidal* \mathcal{D} **where**

$\mathcal{D} f \times \mathcal{D} g = \mathcal{D} (\lambda(a, b) \rightarrow \text{let } \{(c, f') = f a; (d, g') = g b\} \text{ in } ((c$

Cartesian categories and functors

```
class Monoidal  $k \Rightarrow$  Cartesean  $k$  where instance Cartesean  $(\rightarrow)$  where  
   $exl :: (a, b) \rightarrow k \rightarrow a$                  $exl = \lambda(a, b) \rightarrow a$   
   $exr :: (a, b) \rightarrow k \rightarrow b$                  $exr = \lambda(a, b) \rightarrow b$   
   $dup :: a \rightarrow k \rightarrow (a, a)$                  $dup = \lambda a \rightarrow (a, a)$ 
```

A cartesian functor F between categories \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} is such that:

- F is a monoidal functor
- $F \text{ exl} = \text{exl}$
- $F \text{ exp} = \text{exp}$
- $F \text{ dup} = \text{dup}$

Instance deduction

From corollary 3.1 and from exl , exr and dup being linear function we can deduce that:

$$\mathcal{D}^+ \text{exl} \lambda p \rightarrow (\text{exl } p, \text{exl}) \quad \mathcal{D}^+ \text{exr} \lambda p \rightarrow (\text{exr } p, \text{exr})$$

$$\mathcal{D}^+ \text{dup} \lambda p \rightarrow (\text{dup } a, \text{dup})$$

With this in mind we'll deduce the instance: $\text{exl} = \mathcal{D} (\mathcal{D}^+ \text{exl})$

$$\text{exr} = \mathcal{D} (\mathcal{D}^+ \text{exr}) \quad \text{dup} = \mathcal{D} (\mathcal{D}^+ \text{dup})$$

Instance deduction

Replacing \mathcal{D}^+ with it's definition and remembering linearD we can obtain:

$exl = \text{linearD } exl$ $exr = \text{linearD } exr$ $dup = \text{linearD } dup$

and we can directly convert this into a new instance:

Categorical instance we've deduced

instance *Cartesian D* where

exl = *linearD exl*

exr = *linearD exr*

dup = *linearD dup*

Cocartesian category

This type of categories are the dual of the cartesian categories.

Note

In this paper coproducts are categorical products, i.e., biproducts

Definition

```
class Category  $k \Rightarrow \text{Cocartesian } k$  where :  
   $inl :: a' k' (a, b)$   
   $inr :: b' k' (a, b)$   
   $jam :: (a, a) ' k' a$ 
```


Cocartesian functor definition

A cocartesian functor F between categories \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} is such that:

- F is a functor
- $F \text{ inl} = \text{inl}$
- $F \text{ inr} = \text{inr}$
- $F \text{ jam} = \text{jam}$