HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING: TOWARDS BETTER PERFORMANCE PREDICTIONS AND EXPERIMENTS

Tom Cornebize 2 June 2021, PhD defense







No science without computing



Arithmomètre (1851)



ENIAC (1945)



Fugaku (2021)

No science without computing







Arithmomètre (1851)


ENIAC (1945)

Fugaku (2021)

Last decades:

- Exponential performance improvements (e.g. sequencing an entire human genome costed \$100,000,000 in 2001, \$1000 now)
- At the price of complexity (both software and hardware)

EXPERIMENTAL STUDY OF COMPUTER PERFORMANCE




Similar to natural sciences

 ${\sf Complexity} \Rightarrow {\sf Variability} \ {\sf and} \ {\sf Opacity}$

 \Rightarrow No perfect model

 $\Rightarrow \text{Need for experiments}$

EXPERIMENTAL STUDY OF COMPUTER PERFORMANCE



Similar to natural sciences

 ${\sf Complexity} \Rightarrow {\sf Variability} \ {\sf and} \ {\sf Opacity}$

 \Rightarrow No perfect model

⇒ Need for experiments


Experiments can be carried in reality or in simulation

Typical Performance Evaluation Questions (Given my application and a supercomputer)




- · Before running
 - · How many nodes?
 - For how long?
 - Which parameters?

Typical Performance Evaluation Questions (Given my application and a supercomputer)



- · Before running
 - How many nodes?
 - For how long?
 - · Which parameters?
- After running
 - Performance as "expected"?
 - Problem in the app or the platform?


Typical Performance Evaluation Questions (Given my application and a supercomputer)



- · Before running
 - · How many nodes?
 - For how long?
 - Which parameters?
- After running
 - Performance as "expected"?
 - Problem in the app or the platform?

So many large-scale runs, solely to tune performance?!?

Typical Performance Evaluation Questions (Given my application and a supercomputer)



- · Before running
 - · How many nodes?
 - For how long?
 - Which parameters?
- After running
 - Performance as "expected"?
 - Problem in the app or the platform?

So many large-scale runs, solely to tune performance?!?

Holy Grail: Predictive Simulation on a "Laptop"

Capture the whole application and platform complexity

Thesis contributions (towards this goal)

- · Case study: High Performance Linpack (HPL)
- Extensive (in)validation, comparing simulations with reality
- Modeling correctly the platform variability is key

Thesis contributions (towards this goal)

- · Case study: High Performance Linpack (HPL)
- Extensive (in)validation, comparing simulations with reality
- · Modeling correctly the platform variability is key

Thesis contributions (made on the way)

- · Automation (of experiments, statistical analyzes, etc.)
- · Experiment methodology, to bias or not to bias
- Performance tests, to detect eventual platform changes

Thesis contributions (towards this goal)

- · Case study: High Performance Linpack (HPL)
- Extensive (in)validation, comparing simulations with reality
- · Modeling correctly the platform variability is key

Thesis contributions (made on the way)

- Automation (of experiments, statistical analyzes, etc.)
- Experiment methodology, to bias or not to bias
- Performance tests, to detect eventual platform changes

SIM(EM)ULATION: THE SMPI APPROACH





- · C/C++/F77/F90 codes run unmodified out of the box
- · Simply replace mpicc/mpirun by smpicc/smpirun



SIM(EM)ULATION: THE SMPI APPROACH



Full reimplementation of MPI on top of

- · C/C++/F77/F90 codes run unmodified out of the box
- Simply replace mpicc/mpirun by smpicc/smpirun



Emulation: how?

- · Computations run for real on a laptop
- · Communications are faked, good fluid network models
- · Performance model for the target platform

SIM(EM)ULATION: THE SMPI APPROACH



Full reimplementation of MPI on top of

- · C/C++/F77/F90 codes run unmodified out of the box
- Simply replace mpicc/mpirun by smpicc/smpirun




Emulation: how?


- Computations run for real on a laptop
- · Communications are faked, good fluid network models
- · Performance model for the target platform

Contribution: Skip the expensive computations (mostly **dgemm**) and replace them by performance models

dgemm(M, N, K) =




 $dgemm(M, N, K) = \alpha.M.N.K$




$$\mathsf{dgemm}_i(M,N,K) = \underbrace{\alpha_i.M.N.K}_{\mathsf{per\ host}}$$

Different color ⇒ different host




$$\mathsf{dgemm}_i(M,N,K) = \underbrace{\alpha_i.M.N.K}_{\mathsf{per}\;\mathsf{host}}$$

Different color ⇒ different host



For a particular host




$$\operatorname{dgemm}_{i}(M, N, K) = \underbrace{\alpha_{i}.M.N.K}_{\text{per host}} + \underbrace{\beta_{i}.M.N + \gamma_{i}.N.K + \dots}_{\text{polynomial model}}$$

Different color ⇒ different host


0.75 Duration (s) 0.25 0.0e+00 3.0e+09 9.0e+09 1,2e+10 M.N.K

For a particular host




$$\mathsf{dgemm}_i(M,N,K) = \underbrace{\alpha_i.M.N.K}_{\mathsf{per}\;\mathsf{host}} + \underbrace{\beta_i.M.N + \gamma_i.N.K + \dots}_{\mathsf{polynomial}\;\mathsf{model}} + \underbrace{\mathcal{N}(0,\alpha_i'.M.N.K + \dots)}_{\mathsf{polynomial}\;\mathsf{noise}}$$

Different color ⇒ different host



For a particular host



MODELING COMMUNICATIONS

Hand-crafted non-blocking collective operations intertwinned with computations

MODELING COMMUNICATIONS

Hand-crafted non-blocking collective operations intertwinned with computations

