Research Methods in Computer Science

An Introduction to Academic Presentations

Dr. Example Author

Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science

2026-01-29

Introduction

Motivation

- Academic presentations communicate research findings effectively
- A consistent visual identity strengthens institutional recognition
- This template follows the TU Berlin corporate design guidelines

Motivation

- Academic presentations communicate research findings effectively
- A consistent visual identity strengthens institutional recognition
- This template follows the TU Berlin corporate design guidelines
- Built on **Touying**, a modern presentation framework for Typst
- Supports animations, multi-column layouts, and structured slides

Agenda

1. Introduction and motivation
2. Research methodology
3. Results and discussion
4. Conclusion and future work

Methodology

Research Approach

We employ a mixed-methods approach combining:

1. **Quantitative analysis** — statistical evaluation of experimental data
2. **Qualitative review** — expert assessment of design patterns
3. **Comparative study** — benchmarking against existing solutions

Research Approach

We employ a mixed-methods approach combining:

1. **Quantitative analysis** — statistical evaluation of experimental data
2. **Qualitative review** — expert assessment of design patterns
3. **Comparative study** — benchmarking against existing solutions

The methodology follows established best practices in the field.

Comparison of Approaches

Traditional Methods

- Manual data collection
- Limited scalability
- High cost per sample
- Established validity

Modern Methods

- Automated pipelines
- Horizontally scalable
- Reduced marginal cost
- Requires validation

Results

Key Findings

Main Result: The proposed approach achieves a 35% improvement over the baseline while maintaining statistical significance ($p < 0.01$).

Supporting observations:

- Consistent performance across all test conditions
- Robust to variations in input parameters
- Generalizes well to unseen data distributions

Detailed Analysis

The combination of automated data collection and rigorous statistical testing enables reproducible research at scale.

The analysis reveals three key factors:

1. **Data quality** has the strongest effect on outcomes
2. **Sample size** matters beyond $n = 100$
3. **Method selection** has diminishing returns after optimization

Mathematical Framework

The optimization objective is defined as:

$$\min_{\theta} \mathcal{L}(\theta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \ell(f_\theta(x_i), y_i) + \lambda \|\theta\|_2^2$$

where:

- f_θ is the parameterized model
- ℓ is the loss function
- λ controls regularization strength

Conclusion

Summary

Contributions

- Novel methodology for data analysis
- Open-source implementation
- Reproducible experimental setup
- Comprehensive evaluation

Future Work

- Extension to larger datasets
- Cross-domain validation
- Real-time processing pipeline
- Community benchmarking

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by:

- TU Berlin Research Initiative
- German Research Foundation (DFG)
- Open-source contributors

All code and data are available at: github.com/example/project

Questions?