

2001 C1

Ezra Guerrero Alvarez

June 7, 2022

2001 C1

2001 C1

Let $A = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2001})$ be a sequence of positive integers. Let m be the number of 3-element subsequences (a_i, a_j, a_k) with $1 \leq i < j < k \leq 2001$, such that $a_j = a_i + 1$ and $a_k = a_j + 1$. Considering all such sequences A , find the greatest value of m .

We claim the answer is 667^3 . Indeed, we prove that replacing 2001 with $3x$, the answer is x^3 .

For both solutions, we present the construction:

$$A = (\underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_{x \text{ 1's}}, \underbrace{2, 2, \dots, 2}_{x \text{ 2's}}, \underbrace{3, 3, \dots, 3}_{x \text{ 3's}})$$

Solution 1 (Smart). Let a, b, c denote how many elements of A are $0, 1, 2 \pmod 3$ respectively. Note that $a + b + c = 3x$. A subsequence counted by m must contain exactly 1 of each residue class, so there are at most abc such subsequences. By AM-GM, $abc \leq \left(\frac{a+b+c}{3}\right)^3 = x^3$ as desired. ■

Solution 2 (Dumb). Suppose we have A such that m is maximal. Note that A must be non-decreasing, since if $a_i > a_{i+1}$, then switching these two increases m . Furthermore, if $a_i < a_{i+1}$, then $a_{i+1} - a_i = 1$, as otherwise we may increase m by subtracting 1 to a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{3x} . Hence, A is of the form

$$(\underbrace{n, \dots, n}_{s_0 \text{ times}}, \underbrace{n+1, \dots, n+1}_{s_1 \text{ times}}, \dots, \underbrace{n+r-1, \dots, n+r-1}_{s_{r-1} \text{ times}})$$

and $m = s_0 s_1 s_2 + \dots + s_{r-3} s_{r-2} s_{r-1}$. (Note that $r \geq 3$, since otherwise $m = 0$). Hence, we want to prove that if $s_0 + s_1 + \dots + s_{r-1} = 3x$, then $s_0 s_1 s_2 + \dots + s_{r-3} s_{r-2} s_{r-1} \leq x^3$. we proceed by induction on r . If $r = 3$ this is immediate by AM-GM as in solution 1. If $r = 4$, then

$$s_0 s_1 s_2 + s_1 s_2 s_3 = s_1 s_2 (s_0 + s_3) \leq x^3$$

where the inequality comes from $r = 3$ with $s_1, s_2, s_0 + s_3$. If $r = 5$, then

$$s_0 s_1 s_2 + s_1 s_2 s_3 + s_2 s_3 s_4 = s_1 s_2 (s_0 + s_3) + s_2 (s_0 + s_3) s_4 - s_2 s_0 s_4 < s_2 s_2 (s_0 + s_3) + s_2 (s_0 + s_3) s_4 \leq x^3$$

where the last inequality comes from $r = 4$ with $s_1, s_2, s_0 + s_3, s_4$. As our induction hypothesis, suppose the inequality holds for $r = k \geq 5$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} s_0 s_1 s_2 + \dots + s_{k-2} s_{k-1} s_k &= s_1 s_2 (s_0 + s_3) + s_2 (s_0 + s_3) s_4 + (s_0 + s_3) s_4 s_5 + \dots + s_{k-2} s_{k-1} s_k - s_2 s_0 s_4 - s_0 s_4 s_5 \\ &< s_1 s_2 (s_0 + s_3) + s_2 (s_0 + s_3) s_4 + (s_0 + s_3) s_4 + s_5 + \dots + s_{k-2} s_{k-1} s_k \leq x^3, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality comes from $r = k$ with $s_1, s_2, s_0 + s_3, s_4, \dots, s_k$. This concludes the induction. ■