



UNIVERSITY OF TRENTO  
DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS  
BACHELOR'S DEGREE IN PHYSICS

~ · ~

ACADEMIC YEAR 2021–2022

# Geodesics in Schwarzschild Metric

**Supervisor**  
Prof. Albino PEREGO

**Graduate Student**  
Federico DE PAOLI  
227552

FINAL EXAMINATION DATE: August 18, 2024

---

---

---

---

*Placeholder*

# Acknowledgments

Placeholder

---

## **Abstract**

Devo fare l'abstract?

---



# Contents

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1 Theory</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1 Notation and Formalism . . . . .	3
1.2 Properties of the Metric . . . . .	5
1.3 Gravitational Redshift . . . . .	6
1.4 Particle Orbits . . . . .	7
1.5 The Simplest Geodesics: Radial Infalls . . . . .	9
1.6 Stable Orbits . . . . .	11
1.6.1 Circular Orbits . . . . .	12
1.6.2 General Shapes and Precession . . . . .	13
1.7 Light Ray orbits . . . . .	15
1.8 Deflection of Light . . . . .	17
<b>2 Simulations</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>A Geometrized Units</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>29</b>

## CONTENTS

---

# Introduction

Newtonian mechanics is built upon the concept of absolute time and space. Once the concept of *inertial frame* is well-defined, physics can be done on a space described by Euclidean geometry. Free particles (particles on which no forces are acting) move in a straight line, which is the shortest distance between two points in a three-dimensional space, measured as:

$$\Delta s^2 = \Delta x^2 + \Delta y^2 + \Delta z^2. \quad (1)$$

On the other hand, time is *just* seen as a parameter, common to every inertial frame, that can be used to determine the particle velocity and acceleration.

With the appearance of Maxwell's Equations it became clear that what they predicted (the speed of light being constant in every inertial frame) was in contrast with the description of our space given by Newtonian Mechanics, where the speed of anything changes with respect to the inertial frame chosen. Between Maxwell's Equations and Newtonian mechanics Einstein chose to modify the latter and wrote his two postulates for the theory of Special Relativity:

- The laws of physics are invariant (identical) in all inertial frames of reference;
- The speed of light in vacuum,  $c = 299\,792\,458\text{m/s}$ , is the same for all observers, regardless of the motion of light source or observer.

The postulates may or may not be intuitive, but simple observations based on them bring us to abandon the idea of absolute space and time and to introduce the concept of *spacetime*, together with a new way of measuring distances

$$\Delta s^2 = -c^2 \Delta t^2 + \Delta x^2 + \Delta y^2 + \Delta z^2. \quad (2)$$

In special relativity distances measured this way are the same for every observer in every inertial frame possible.

The appearance of time in a formula that is supposed to give us the distance between two objects is surely destabilizing at first, but geometry teaches us that fixing the way we calculate  $\Delta s^2$ , more properly referred to as the *line element*  $ds^2$ , is enough to describe the geometry of the space that we are using. Since eq. 2 is different from eq. 1, in particular there is a minus sign in front of  $\Delta t^2$ , we moved away from the familiar three-dimensional Euclidean geometry and are now in four-dimensional spacetime, usually referred to as *flat spacetime* or *Minkowski space*.

This new geometry allowed for a reformulation of Maxwell's Equations and brought (and explained) phenomena like time dilation, length contraction and the relativity of simultaneity. The last one in particular, the concept that the simultaneity of two events depends on the frame of reference, poses a threat to the *force* of gravity. Up until this point gravity was defined as the instantaneous force  $F_{12}$  acting on a mass  $m_1$  at time  $t$  due to a second mass  $m_2$ :

$$F_{12} = G \frac{m_1 m_2}{|r_1(t) - r_2(t)|^2} \quad (3)$$

The adjective *instantaneous* in a theory where nothing can travel faster than the speed of light should already raise some concern. But looking at  $r_1(t)$  and  $r_2(t)$  in eq. 3, that are supposed to indicate the positions of the masses in the same instant of time, makes it even clearer that the force  $F_{12}$  can't be the same in all frames of reference.

Solving this issue gave birth to the theory of general relativity, where a mass is not a source of gravitational force anymore, but is responsible for bending the four-dimensional spacetime itself. This implies that when we observe a particle deviating its trajectory from a straight line in the presence of a massive object, it is not because of a force acting on it. In fact, we can consider the particle free and moving from point A to point B along the shortest path, it is just that in the curved surface bent by the mass the shortest path is not a straight line.

While this concept may not enhance our intuitive understanding, the implications and the mathematical formalism required to articulate the theory are even more challenging. If the presence of mass distorts the space we work in, changing the line element  $ds^2$  is therefore necessary. The details of the theories, particularly the Einstein field equations, that describe this distortion and allow us to evaluate the new  $ds$  from a give distribution of mass are beyond the scope of this thesis. Our focus will be on evaluating the observable effects, given the line element.

More specifically we will study one of the simplest curved spacetime that general relativity has to offer: the geometry of empty space outside a spherically symmetric source of curvature, for example, a spherical star. It is one of the simplest because of the many symmetries that presents and, luckily, is also one of the most useful.

The line element of what is more commonly know as the Schwarzschild geometry is

$$ds^2 = - \left( 1 - \frac{2GM}{c^2 r} \right) (cdt)^2 + \left( 1 - \frac{2GM}{c^2 r} \right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \quad (4)$$

expressed in spherical coordinates centered in the mass responsible for bending the space.

To explore this geometry, we will examine the possible trajectories of both a free particle and a photon. By analyzing the paths of particles with varying energies and directions as they move around the massive object, we can gain insight into some of the implications of the Schwarzschild metric.

# Chapter 1

## Theory

*The content of this chapter is mostly based on James B Hartle. Gravity: an introduction to Einstein's general relativity. Cambridge University Press, 2021 and Stuart L Shapiro and Saul A Teukolsky. Black holes, white dwarfs, and neutron stars: The physics of compact objects. John Wiley & Sons, 2008, ch. 12.*

### 1.1 Notation and Formalism

In the *flat spacetime* we can introduce a coordinate basis for four-vectors

$$\mathbf{e}_t = (1, 0, 0, 0), \quad \mathbf{e}_x = (0, 1, 0, 0), \quad \mathbf{e}_y = (0, 0, 1, 0), \quad \mathbf{e}_z = (0, 0, 0, 1). \quad (1.1)$$

The set  $\{\mathbf{e}_t, \mathbf{e}_x, \mathbf{e}_y, \mathbf{e}_z\}$ , is often referred to as  $\{\mathbf{e}_0, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3\}$ . Any four-vector  $\mathbf{a}$  can then be written as

$$\mathbf{a} = a^t \mathbf{e}_t + a^x \mathbf{e}_x + a^y \mathbf{e}_y + a^z \mathbf{e}_z = a^0 \mathbf{e}_0 + a^1 \mathbf{e}_1 + a^2 \mathbf{e}_2 + a^3 \mathbf{e}_3 \quad (1.2)$$

where  $(a_t, a_x, a_y, a_z)$ , or equivalently  $(a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3)$ , are the components of the four-vector.

Another useful convention is to use Roman letters (usually  $i$  or  $j$ ) to refer to indices 1, 2, 3 and Greek letters (usually  $\mu$  or  $\nu$ ) to refer to indices 0, 1, 2, 3. Using Einstein notation the expression in eq. 1.2, can be rewritten simply as  $\mathbf{a} = a^\mu \mathbf{e}_\mu$ . Other useful ways to specify the components of  $\mathbf{a}$  are

$$a^\mu = (a^t, a^x, a^y, a^z) \quad a^\mu = (a^t, a^i) \quad a^\mu = (a^t, \vec{a})$$

where  $\vec{a} = a^i e_i$  is the three-dimensional vector  $(a_x, a_y, a_z)$ .

The length of the four-vector  $\mathbf{a}$  must be evaluated using the line element of the flat spacetime, described in eq. 2. In general, a useful to do that is by first defining the *metric*  $\eta_{\nu\mu}$

$$\eta_{\nu\mu} = \begin{matrix} & \begin{matrix} t & x & y & z \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} t \\ x \\ y \\ z \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix} \quad \implies \quad ds^2 = \eta_{\nu\mu} dx^\nu dx^\mu \quad (1.3)$$

Here the double sum is implied, and we rightfully notice that the minus sign has appeared again under the  $t$  component. Now we can compactly write

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a} = \eta_{\mu\nu} a^\mu a^\nu = -(a^t)^2 + (a^x)^2 + (a^y)^2 + (a^z)^2 \quad (1.4)$$

Without any claim of rigorously demonstrating it, we can say that since this scalar product is built from the line element  $ds^2$ , it is the same in every inertial frame one might choose. Quantities that have these properties are *invariant*.

When working in the Schwarzschild geometry it is useful to adopt the Schwarzschild coordinates: spherical coordinates centered at the center of the mass  $M$ . Instead of describing a four-vector with the components  $(a_t, a_x, a_y, a_z)$ , we will use  $(a_t, a_r, a_\theta, a_\phi)$ .

Given the expression of the line element in eq. 4 it is useful to adopt geometrized units, where  $G = c = 1$  (Appendix A). From now on we will always use geometrized units (referring to them as  $\mathcal{L}$ ) unless stated otherwise. The line element and the metric can be rewritten as

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)(dt)^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2) \quad (1.5)$$

$$g_{\nu\mu} = \begin{matrix} & \begin{matrix} t & r & \theta & \phi \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} t \\ r \\ \theta \\ \phi \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} -(1 - 2M/r) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (1 - 2M/r)^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r^2 \sin^2\theta \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix} . \quad (1.6)$$

It's worth pointing out that, given 1.5 and 1.6, the coordinate basis introduced in 1.1 is not normalized, for example:

$$\mathbf{e}_t \cdot \mathbf{e}_t = g_{\nu\mu} e_t^\mu e_t^\nu = g_{00} = -(1 - 2M/r) \quad (1.7)$$

If we want an orthonormal tetrad we can define

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}_t = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1/2} \mathbf{e}_t \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \hat{\mathbf{e}}_t \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_t = g_{\nu\mu} \hat{e}_t^\mu \hat{e}_t^\nu = g_{00} \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1} = -1 \quad (1.8a)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}_r = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{1/2} \mathbf{e}_r \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r = g_{\nu\mu} \hat{e}_r^\mu \hat{e}_r^\nu = g_{00} \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) = 1 \quad (1.8b)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\theta = \frac{1}{r} \mathbf{e}_\theta \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\theta \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\theta = 1 \quad (1.8c)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\phi = \frac{1}{r \sin\theta} \mathbf{e}_\phi \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\phi \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\phi = 1 \quad (1.8d)$$

## 1.2 Proprieties of the Metric

Let's first analyze the Schwarzschild metric in more detail:

$$ds^2 = - \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) (dt)^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \quad (1.9)$$

There are two singularities in  $r = 0$  and  $r = 2M$ . The first one is intrinsic to the spherical coordinate system and, since the metric is only valid in the space outside the massive object, doesn't concern us. The second occur at what is defined as the *Schwarzschild radius*  $r_s = 2M$ . Every non-black hole object has a radius larger than its Schwarzschild radius. The nature and significance of this will become clearer in the subsequent sections.

On the other hand, if we take the limit as  $r$  approaches infinity, we notice that the metric becomes asymptotically flat, approaching the metric of Minkowski space. Therefore, we can refer to the value a quantity would have at a great distance to find a more familiar expression.

Finally,  $ds^2$  is independent of the coordinates  $t$  and  $\phi$ . This is expected, as the mass responsible for curving the spacetime is static and spherically symmetric. The metric's independence from time and rotation implies the existence of two, easy to find, *killing vectors*:

$$\xi = (1, 0, 0, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta = (0, 0, 0, 1). \quad (1.10)$$

A *killing vector* is a direction in the four-dimensional spacetime along which we can freely move without changing the metric. It is a general way to describe a symmetry of the metric. Since symmetries correspond to conserved quantities they will be a key point in studying the trajectories of free particles and photons.

We start by considering the four-momentum  $\mathbf{p}$  of a particle of mass  $m$ , defined as

$$p^\mu := m u^\mu = m \frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau} \quad (1.11)$$

where  $u$  is the four-velocity of the particle,  $x$  a four-vector describing its position and  $\tau$  the proper time. Therefore, for a free particle, the quantities

$$E = -\xi \cdot \mathbf{p} = -g_{00} p^0 = m \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) \frac{dx^t}{d\tau}$$

$$L = \eta \cdot \mathbf{p} = g_{33} p^\phi = m r^2 \sin^2 \theta \frac{dx^\phi}{d\tau}$$

will be conserved during the motion. We already named them  $E$  and  $L$  as they are respectively the energy and the angular momentum at large  $r$  and low velocities. To simplify the expressions used in the discussion will use renormalized quantities

$$e := \frac{E}{m} = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) \frac{dx^t}{d\tau} \quad (1.12a)$$

$$\ell := \frac{L}{m} = r^2 \sin^2 \theta \frac{dx^\phi}{d\tau}. \quad (1.12b)$$

$e$  and  $\ell$  are respectively the conserved energy and angular momentum per unit rest mass.

For a massless particle (e.g. a photon) the proper time can't be used, so the four-velocity can be defined using an *affine parameter*  $\lambda$ .

$$u^\mu = \frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda} \quad (1.13)$$

We can defined the coserved quantities  $e$  and  $l$  in the same way, using the four-velocity

$$e := -\xi \cdot \mathbf{u} = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) \frac{dx^t}{d\lambda}$$

$$\ell := \eta \cdot \mathbf{u} = r^2 \sin^2 \theta \frac{dx^\phi}{d\lambda}.$$

getting the same result except for having  $\lambda$  instead of  $\tau$ .

In the next sections the normalization of the four-velocity  $\mathbf{u}$  will be really useful too

$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} = g_{\nu\mu} u^\nu u^\mu = -1 \quad \text{for } m \neq 0 \quad (1.14a)$$

$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} = g_{\nu\mu} u^\nu u^\mu = 0 \quad \text{for } m = 0. \quad (1.14b)$$

It's not just a property of the metric, but it's valid for every  $g_{\nu\mu}$ . Equations 1.14a and 1.14b can be respectively derived like this

$$g_{\nu\mu} dx^\nu dx^\mu = ds^2 \quad \implies \quad g_{\nu\mu} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\tau} \frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau} = \frac{ds^2}{d\tau^2} \quad \implies \quad \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} = -1 \quad (1.15a)$$

$$g_{\nu\mu} dx^\nu dx^\mu = ds^2 \quad \implies \quad g_{\nu\mu} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\lambda} \frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda} = 0 \quad \implies \quad \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \quad (1.15b)$$

Using the definition of proper time  $d\tau^2 = -ds^2$  in 1.15a and the property of the light rays  $ds^2 = 0$  in 1.15b.

### 1.3 Gravitational Redshift

Let's consider a static observer in  $r$ . When the observer measures the energy of a photon, that corresponds to the  $t$  component of  $\mathbf{p}$ , they do that using their local orthonormal tetrad that we described in 1.8.

Referring to  $p^{\hat{t}}$  as the value measured in the orthonormal tetrad  $\{\hat{e}_t, \hat{e}_r, \hat{e}_\theta, \hat{e}_\phi\}$  and  $p^t$  as the value measured with the coordinate basis  $\{e_t, e_r, e_\theta, e_\phi\}$ , the energy measured in  $r$  will be

$$E(r) = p^{\hat{t}} = \mathbf{p} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_t = \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{e}_t \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1/2} = \mathbf{p} \cdot \xi \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1/2}$$

$$\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{1/2} E(r) = \mathbf{p} \cdot \xi = \text{const.} \quad (1.16)$$

Where we used the expression for  $\hat{e}_t$  from eq. 1.8a and notice that  $\mathbf{e}_t = \xi$  from eq. 1.1 and eq. 1.10. Solving for the constant  $\mathbf{p} \cdot \xi$  we find the expression in 1.16. The relationship between the energy of a photon measured at  $r'$  and the one measured at  $r$  from two static observers using their own tetrad is

$$\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r'}\right)^{1/2} E(r') = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{1/2} E(r)$$

Taking the limit as  $r'$  approaches infinity and using  $E = \hbar\omega$  for the energy of the photon

$$\omega_\infty = \omega_* \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{1/2}. \quad (1.17)$$



Here,  $\omega_\infty$  is the frequency measured by a distant observer at  $r \gg r_s$ , while  $w_*$  denotes the frequency measured at a specific distance  $r$ . Photons observed at a certain distance from a star exhibit a lower frequency compared to the one they have at the point of emission.

## 1.4 Particle Orbits




Figure 1.1: Visual representation of the spherical coordinates used. The green line represents a possible trajectory on the  $xy$  plane.

To further analyze the Schwarzschild geometry, we will now explore the behavior of a test particle within it. The term *test particle* refers to an object with mass so small that its influence on the surrounding spacetime is negligible, allowing us to analyze its motion without altering the geometry of the spacetime itself.

As already established from eq. 1.12b the angular momentum is conserved during the motion of a particle in this geometry. This implies that the particle's orbit must lie within a plane. Without losing generality we can imagine the particle path to stay in the  $xy$  plane, fixing  $\theta = \pi/2$  and, consequently,

$$u^\theta = \frac{d\theta}{d\tau} = 0$$

$$\ell = r^2 \sin^2 \theta \frac{d\phi}{d\tau} = r^2 \frac{d\phi}{d\tau}$$

Refer to Figure 1.1 for a visual representation.

The four-velocity of our test particle can then be written as

$$u^\mu = \left( \frac{dx^t}{d\tau}, \frac{dx^r}{d\tau}, \frac{dx^\theta}{d\tau}, \frac{dx^\phi}{d\tau} \right) = \left( e \left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right)^{-1}, \frac{dr}{d\tau}, 0, \frac{\ell}{r^2} \right).$$

Where we simplified the notation using  $r = x^r$  and eliminated the dependence from  $x^t$  and  $x^\phi$  using the conserved quantities  $e$  (eq. 1.12a) and  $\ell$  (eq. 1.12b) respectively. Thanks to the normalization of  $\mathbf{u}$  (eq. 1.14a) and using  $\theta = \pi/2$  again, we can write

$$-1 = g_{\nu\mu} u^\nu u^\mu = - \left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right)^{-1} e^2 + \left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{dr}{d\tau} \right)^2 + \frac{\ell^2}{r^2}.$$

Rearranging the expression, we have

$$e^2 = \left( \frac{dr}{d\tau} \right)^2 + \left( 1 + \frac{\ell^2}{r^2} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right). \quad (1.18)$$

To compare eq. 1.18 with the Newtonian case we can expand the multiplication, subtract 1 from both sides and divide by a factor of 2:

$$\mathcal{E} := \frac{e^2 - 1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{dr}{d\tau} \right)^2 + \frac{\ell^2}{2r^2} - \frac{M}{r} - \frac{M\ell^2}{r^3}. \quad (1.19)$$

By defining this dimensionless constant  $\mathcal{E}$ , we can now see the derivative of  $r$  as the kinetic energy (per unit rest mass) and the other terms as an effective potential acting on the particle, defined as

$$V_{\text{eff}}(r) := \frac{\ell^2}{2r^2} - \frac{M}{r} - \frac{M\ell^2}{r^3}. \quad (1.20)$$

To better understand eq. 1.20, we can express it in  $\mathcal{LMT}$  units, substituting  $\ell \rightarrow \ell/c$  and  $M \rightarrow GM/c^2$

$$V_{\text{eff}}(r) = \frac{1}{c^2} \left( \frac{\ell^2}{2r^2} - \frac{GM}{r} - \frac{GM\ell^2}{c^2 r^3} \right)$$

The first two terms are identical to the Newtonian potential for a particle with angular momentum (per mass)  $\ell$ , orbiting around an object of mass  $M$ . The third one is new, negligible for  $GM\ell^2 \ll c^2 r^3$ , and it is proportional to  $r^{-3}$ .

Figure 1.2 shows the effect of the  $r^{-3}$  term: the infinite centrifugal barrier of the Newtonian potential disappears in  $V_{\text{eff}}$  and a particle with enough energy can fall to the center of the massive object.




Figure 1.2: Effective potential defined in eq. 1.20 against the Newtonian potential,  $\ell/M = 4$ . The  $r^{-3}$  term dominates for  $r \sim r_s$  and the particle can fall into the massive object. On the other hand the Newtonian potential presents its characteristic infinite centrifugal barrier.




Figure 1.3:  $V_{\text{eff}}$  for different values of  $\ell/M$ . For  $\ell/M = \sqrt{12}$  (green) we can see  $r_{\text{ISCO}}$  the limit of the smallest stable orbit (eq. 1.21b). For  $\ell/M = 1$  (red) there is no stationary point. The only stable points can be found for  $\ell/M > \sqrt{12}$ , in  $r_+$ , defined in eq. 1.21a.

Taking the derivative of  $V_{\text{eff}}$  with respect to  $r$  gives us the stationary points for  $\frac{\ell}{M} \geq \sqrt{12}$ .

$$r_{+/-} = \frac{\ell^2}{2M} \left[ 1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 12 \left( \frac{M}{\ell} \right)^2} \right] \quad \frac{\ell}{M} > \sqrt{12} \quad (1.21a)$$

$$r_{\text{ISCO}} = 6M \quad \frac{\ell}{M} = \sqrt{12} \quad (1.21b)$$

In eq. 1.21a  $r_-$  and  $r_+$  correspond to an unstable point and to a stable one respectively.

The case described by eq. 1.21b represents the *innermost stable circular orbit* (ISCO). As the name suggests, it is the limit of the smallest stable orbit that a particle can theoretically follow. It is shown in green in Figure 1.3.

For  $\frac{\ell}{M} < \sqrt{12}$  there are no stationary points and the particle either escapes to infinity or falls towards the mass  $M$  (red line in Figure 1.3).

As in the Newtonian case a bound orbit exists only when  $V_{\text{eff}}$  has a stable stationary point and the total energy given to the particle is not greater than  $V_{\text{eff}}(r_-)$  (a more detailed explanation in Section 1.6).

## 1.5 The Simplest Geodesics: Radial Infalls

The simplest case we can consider is a radial infall where  $\phi$  stays constant. From eq. 1.12b this implies  $\ell = 0$ .

Eq. 1.18 becomes

$$e^2 = \left(\frac{dr}{d\tau}\right)^2 + 1 - \frac{2M}{r}$$

$$\frac{dr}{d\tau} = -\sqrt{e^2 - 1 + \frac{2M}{r}}. \quad (1.22)$$

Where we chose the negative root as we want to discuss the case where the radius is decreasing. Looking at the parameter  $e$  in eq. 1.22 we can distinguish 3 cases:

- $e^2 < 1$ : the particle has to start from a finite radius  $r = R$  for the argument of the square root to be positive;
- $e = 1$ : the particle starts at rest from  $r = \infty$  (that implies  $\frac{dt}{d\tau} = 1$  at infinity and  $e = 1$  from eq. 1.12a);
- $e^2 > 1$ : the particle starts from  $r = \infty$  and also has some inward velocity.

We choose to analyze the case where  $e = 1$  because it allows to easily solve eq. 1.22 analytically. We get

$$\frac{dr}{d\tau} = -\sqrt{\frac{2M}{r}}. \quad (1.23)$$

$$r^{1/2}dr = -(2M)^{1/2}d\tau$$

$$r(\tau) = \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{2/3} (2M)^{1/3} (\tau_* - \tau)^{2/3}. \quad (1.24)$$

Where  $\tau_*$  is an integration constant that fixes the proper time  $\tau$  when the particle arrives at  $r = 0$ . An observer that falls together with the particle will measure a finite time when he reaches  $r = 2M$  and  $\tau = \tau_*$  at  $r = 0$ .

On the contrary the experience of an observer far away from the source of curvature, that measures the Schwarzschild time  $t$  it's much different. To see this we can solve eq. 1.23 with respect to  $t$ . Using the chain rule to derive  $r$  with respect to  $t$  and eliminating the dependence from  $\tau$  using eq. 1.12a with  $e = 1$ , we get

$$-\sqrt{\frac{2M}{r}} = \frac{dr}{d\tau} = \frac{dt}{d\tau} \frac{dr}{dt} = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1} \frac{dr}{dt}$$

$$\frac{dt}{dr} = - \left( \frac{2M}{r} \right)^{-1/2} \left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right)^{-1}$$

The integration is not as immediate as the previous one, but can be resolved by substituting  $u = \sqrt{r}$  and then by breaking the fraction into simpler ones repeatedly. The result, fixing a similar time constant  $t_*$  as before, is

$$t = t_* + 2M \left[ -\frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{r}{2M} \right)^{3/2} - 2 \left( \frac{r}{2M} \right)^{1/2} + \ln \left| \frac{(r/2M)^{1/2} + 1}{(r/2M)^{1/2} - 1} \right| \right]. \quad (1.25)$$

We can't find  $r(t)$  explicitly as was done previously, but the expression in 1.25 already tells us that for  $r \rightarrow 2M$  the time measured by a far observer goes to infinity. This implies that the distant observer will never see the particle reach  $r = 2M$  and enter the Schwarzschild radius, they will only see it getting closer and closer forever.

If the particle is emitting a signal with a frequency  $w_*$ , they will measure it infinitely redshifted as described by eq. 1.17, asymptotically going to  $\omega_\infty = 0$ . That is why the Schwarzschild radius is also referred to as a *source of infinite redshift*.

Figure 1.4 shows eq. 1.24 and eq 1.25, the integration constants  $\tau_*$  and  $t_*$  where chosen to make both equations start from the same point  $r \simeq 12M$  at  $\tau = t = 0$ .




Figure 1.4: Eq. 1.24 and eq. 1.25 describing the fall from  $r \simeq 12M$  on. The integration constants  $\tau_*$  and  $t_*$  where fixed so that both equations started from the same  $r(0)$ .  $r(\tau)$  gets to 0 quickly (at  $\tau = \tau_*$ ), while  $t(r)$  goes to infinity for  $r \rightarrow r_s = 2M$ . The Schwarzschild radius  $r_s$  is represented with the dashed black line.

## 1.6 Stable Orbits

In Section 1.4 we analyzed the role that  $\frac{\ell}{M}$  has on the effective potential  $V_{\text{eff}}$  defined in eq. 1.20 and found out that for  $\frac{\ell}{M} > \sqrt{12}$  it has two stationary points  $r_{+/-}$  defined in eq. 1.21a.

For clarity, we rewrite eq. 1.19 below, accompanied by a visual representation in Figure 1.5.

$$\left(\frac{dr}{d\tau}\right)^2 = \mathcal{E} - V_{\text{eff}}(r) \quad (1.26)$$




Figure 1.5: In black the effective potential with  $\ell/M = 4.1$ . The dashed horizontal lines, along with the red dot, represent possible values of  $\mathcal{E}$  that give 4 different scenarios. Refer to the text for a detailed explanation.

*This figure is inspired by [shapiro2008black](#), page 245, Figure 12.2.*

From eq. 1.26 we know that the particle can change its distance  $r$  from the massive object only if there is the energy  $\mathcal{E} - V_{\text{eff}}$  to do so. In Figure 1.5,  $V_{\text{eff}}$  with  $\ell/M = 4.1$  is represented along with 4 different values of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

The red full dot represents the case where the particle has exactly the energy required to stay in the local minimum of the potential. Here there is no *spare* energy that the particle can use to move along  $r$ . This is the case of a circular orbit, and it will be explored in Section 1.6.1

In the yellow case,  $\mathcal{E} = -0.03$ , the particle has some *spare* energy (not enough to escape) to change its radius between the two turning points  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ . This results in an ellipse-like shape around the massive object.<sup>1</sup>

Finally, the green case,  $\mathcal{E} = 0.005$ , and the blue one,  $\mathcal{E} = 0.02$ , are not stable orbits. In the first case, the particle has one turning point  $P_3$  and has enough energy to escape the potential well on the right and go back towards infinity. In the latter, the particle has a bigger energy than  $V_{\text{eff}}(r_-)$  and can fall into the massive object, if  $u^r$  is directed inward.

<sup>1</sup>As we will see in Section 1.6.2 it's not exactly an ellipse as in the Newtonian case.

### 1.6.1 Circular Orbits

As we have seen from Figure 1.5 a particle can draw a perfectly circular orbit if it has an energy  $\mathcal{E} = V_{\text{eff}}(r_+)$ . It is possible to find the relationship between the energy  $e$  and angular momentum  $\ell$  required that makes this possible and find a general rule that describes the angular velocity of such orbits.

First of all, the four-velocity of the particle will be

$$u^\mu = \left( \frac{dt}{d\tau}, 0, 0, \frac{d\phi}{d\tau} \right) = \left( \frac{dt}{d\tau}, 0, 0, \frac{dt}{d\tau} \Omega \right) = u^t(1, 0, 0, \Omega) \quad (1.27)$$

Where we defined  $\Omega := \frac{d\phi}{dt}$ : the rate of which  $\phi$  changes with respect to the Schwarzschild time  $t$ . Using eq. 1.12a and eq. 1.12b,  $\Omega$  can be rewritten as

$$\Omega := \frac{d\phi}{dt} = \frac{d\tau}{dt} \frac{d\phi}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{r^2} \left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right) \frac{\ell}{e}. \quad (1.28)$$

A second equation for  $\frac{\ell}{e}$  comes with the restriction that the particle must orbit at the minimum of  $V_{\text{eff}}$ , from eq. 1.21a

$$r = \frac{\ell^2}{2M} \left[ 1 + \sqrt{1 - 12 \left( \frac{M}{\ell} \right)^2} \right]. \quad (1.29)$$

Using eq. 1.18 the derivative of  $r$  vanishes and we can write everything as

$$e^2 = \left( 1 + \frac{\ell^2}{r^2} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right). \quad (1.30)$$

Instead of substituting  $r$  from eq. 1.29 into eq. 1.30, it is easier to solve eq. 1.29 for  $1/\ell^2$  obtaining

$$\frac{1}{\ell^2} = \frac{1}{Mr} - \frac{3}{r^2}, \quad (1.31)$$

and then use it to rewrite eq. 1.30 as

$$\frac{e^2}{\ell^2} = \left( \frac{1}{\ell^2} + \frac{1}{r^2} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right) = \left( \frac{1}{Mr} - \frac{3}{r^2} + \frac{1}{r^2} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right) = \frac{1}{Mr} \left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right)^2$$

$$\frac{\ell}{e} = \sqrt{Mr} \left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right)^{-1} \quad (1.32)$$

We can finally substitute eq. 1.32 into eq. 1.28 to get

$$\Omega^2 = \frac{M}{r^3} \quad (1.33)$$

that gives the angular velocity observed from infinity of a particle in a circular orbit. With the value of  $\Omega$  found in eq. 1.33 we can find the normalization of the four-velocity defined in 1.27.

$$\begin{aligned}
-1 = \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} &= g_{\nu\mu} u^\nu u^\mu = (u^t)^2 \left[ -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) + r^2 \Omega^2 \right] \\
(u^t)^2 &= \left[ 1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{M}{r} \right]^{-1} \\
u^t &= \left( 1 - \frac{3M}{r} \right)^{-1/2}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$u^\mu = \left( 1 - \frac{3M}{r} \right)^{-1/2} \left( 1, 0, 0, \sqrt{\frac{M}{r^3}} \right) \quad (1.34)$$

### 1.6.2 General Shapes and Precession

To complete the discussion on bound orbit we can have a look at a more general case, where  $V_{\text{eff}} < \mathcal{E} < 0$ . If we want to characterize the shape of the orbit, as always restricted to the  $xy$  plane, we need to express  $\phi$  as a function of  $r$ . To do so we can use eq. 1.12b and eq. 1.18 and rewrite them respectively as

$$\frac{d\phi}{d\tau} = \frac{\ell}{r^2} \quad (1.35a)$$

$$\frac{dr}{d\tau} = \pm \sqrt{e^2 - \left( 1 + \frac{\ell^2}{r^2} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right)}. \quad (1.35b)$$

This time we had no reason to keep one sign or the other in eq. 1.35b. Dividing 1.35b into 1.35a gives

$$\frac{d\phi}{dr} = \pm \frac{\ell}{r^2} \left[ e^2 - \left( 1 + \frac{\ell^2}{r^2} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right) \right]^{-1/2} \quad (1.36)$$

The function  $\phi(r)$  can be found simply by integrating the right-hand side. The result can be expressed in terms of elliptic functions but not in a very enlightening way for those not familiar with them<sup>2</sup>.

An interesting parameter to study is the *precession*, defined as

$$\delta\phi_{\text{prec}} := \Delta\phi - 2\pi \quad (1.37)$$

To define  $\Delta\phi$  consider the turning points  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  in Figure 1.5. *One orbit* is complete when the particle starts from the inner turning point,  $P_1$ , and gets back to it. We can equivalently consider  $P_2$  as a starting and ending point.  $\Delta\phi$  is the angle swept during one orbit. It's also useful to notice that the angle swept in one orbit is twice the angle swept between  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , thus we can rewrite  $\Delta\phi$  as

$$\Delta\phi = \int_{P_1}^{P_2} \frac{d\phi}{dr} dr = 2\ell \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \frac{1}{r^2} \left[ e^2 - \left( 1 + \frac{\ell^2}{r^2} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right) \right]^{-1/2} dr \quad (1.38)$$

---

<sup>2</sup>hartle2021gravity, page 202.




Figure 1.6: placeholder

By the definition of the turning points,  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ , the integral we need to compute is between the two zeros of the denominator contained in square brackets. To check the correspondence with the Newtonian case we can solve the integral in 1.38 neglecting the  $r^{-3}$  term and remembering the definition of  $\mathcal{E}$  from eq. 1.19:

$$\Delta\phi = 2\ell \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \frac{1}{r^2} \left[ \mathcal{E} - \frac{\ell^2}{r^2} + \frac{2M}{r} \right]^{-1/2} dr$$

Making the substitution  $u = 1/r$  and using the root of the denominator as the bounds of integration we get

$$\Delta\phi = 2 \int_{u_2}^{u_1} \frac{du}{\sqrt{(u_1 - u)(u - u_2)}} = 2\pi \quad \forall u_1 \neq u_2 \quad (1.39)$$

where  $u_{1/2} = \frac{1}{r_{1/2}}$ , but the integral is  $\pi$  for every  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ .



## 1.7 Light Ray orbits

When working with light we define the four-velocity using the *affine parameter*  $\lambda$ .

$$u^\mu = \frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda}.$$

The conserved quantities  $e$  and  $\ell$  defined in 1.12a and 1.12b are the same, with the only difference of using  $\lambda$  instead of the proper time  $\tau$ .

$$e := \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) \frac{dt}{d\lambda} \quad \ell := r^2 \sin^2 \theta \frac{d\phi}{d\lambda}. \quad (1.40)$$

We can therefore restrict the motion of the light ray motion to the  $xy$  plane as we did with massive particle and use  $e$  and  $\ell$  to write the four-velocity as

$$u^\mu = \left( \frac{dt}{d\lambda}, \frac{dr}{d\lambda}, 0, \frac{d\phi}{d\lambda} \right) = \left( e \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1}, \frac{dr}{d\lambda}, 0, \frac{\ell}{r^2} \right).$$

The only difference lies, as described in eq. 1.14b, in the normalization of  $\mathbf{u}$ , that is  $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$ . So, with the same intent we had when we found eq. 1.18, we can write

$$0 = g_{\nu\mu} u^\nu u^\mu = - \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1} e^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1} \left( \frac{dr}{d\lambda} \right)^2 + \frac{\ell^2}{r^2}$$

and then rearrange to get

$$e^2 = \left( \frac{dr}{d\lambda} \right)^2 + \ell^2 W_{\text{eff}}(r). \quad (1.41)$$

Here we defined another effective potential, one that acts on light rays only, as

$$W_{\text{eff}}(r) = \frac{1}{r^2} \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right). \quad (1.42)$$




Figure 1.7: Effective potential for light rays,  $W_{\text{eff}}$ , described in eq. 1.42. We can see the maximum at  $r = 3M = 1.5r_s$  and that the peak of  $M^2 W_{\text{eff}}$  is at  $1/27 \simeq 0.037$ .

This new potential can be studied, again, by taking the derivative of it with respect to  $r$ . We find out there is one stationary point at  $r = 3M$  representing the maximum of the function, as we can also see from Figure 1.7. This is therefore an unstable point, the value of the potential at the maximum is

$$W_{\text{eff}}(3M) = \frac{1}{27M^2}.$$

It's interesting to notice that, unlike in the massive particle case, the effective potential  $W_{\text{eff}}$  is independent of  $l$ . Looking at eq. 1.41 we can also rewrite it as

$$\frac{1}{b^2} := \frac{e^2}{\ell^2} = \frac{1}{\ell^2} \left( \frac{dr}{d\lambda} \right)^2 + W_{\text{eff}}(r). \quad (1.43)$$

where we defined  $b = |\ell/e|$ . Here we can use the fact the geodesic is invariant under an affine parameter renormalization to ignore the  $1/\ell^2$  in front of the derivative of the radius and understand that only the ratio  $\frac{\ell}{e}$  holds physical significance in the massless case.

To see what  $b$  is, let's consider a particle approaching the massive object from far away. In this case we'll use cartesian coordinates and say that the massive object is at the origin while the particle starts from a position  $(x, d)$ , refer to figure 1.8.




Figure 1.8: Visual representation of a photon approaching the massive object with an impact parameter  $d$ .

If we evaluate  $b$  in this scenario, considering  $r \gg r_s$  and  $r \gg d$ , we find out that

$$b = \left| \frac{\ell}{e} \right| = \left| r^2 \frac{d\phi}{d\lambda} \left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right)^{-1} \frac{d\lambda}{dt} \right| \simeq \left| r^2 \frac{d\phi}{dr} \right| \simeq \left| r^2 \frac{d}{dr} \left( \frac{d}{r} \right) \right| = d.$$

We can then interpret  $b$  the *impact parameter* for a light ray at infinity. The shape of the orbit, determined by evaluating  $\frac{dr}{d\lambda}$  from eq. 1.8, is fully characterized by the value of  $b$ .

Playing around with the possible values of  $b$ , because of the shape of  $W_{\text{eff}}$ , we can recognize 3 different types of orbits, that are shown in Figure 1.9:

- $b < 3\sqrt{3}M$  (yellow dashed line): in this case the light ray doesn't have an impact parameter big enough and can surpass the potential barrier falling towards the center of the massive object;
- $b = 3\sqrt{3}M$  (red dashed line): This represents an edge case where the light ray can theoretically maintain a circular orbit at the unstable stationary point;
- $b > 3\sqrt{3}M$  (purple dashed line): Here, the light ray has a sufficiently large impact parameter, allowing it to approach the massive object and, upon reaching the turning point  $P$ , continue its trajectory back to infinity. This results in a deflection of the light ray's path.




Figure 1.9: Effective potential for light rays  $W_{\text{eff}}$ , described in eq. 1.42. Different values of the impact parameter  $b$  give rise to 3 different type of orbits.

## 1.8 Deflection of Light

The phenomenon of light deflection, briefly mentioned earlier, is particularly interesting in terms of measurable effects. This effect is, for example, visible in distant stars whose light is deflected by the sun and then observed from the earth.

To find the deflection it is useful to first express  $\phi$  as a function of  $r$ , we can rewrite eq. 1.40 and eq. 1.43 as

$$\frac{1}{\ell} \frac{d\phi}{d\lambda} = \frac{1}{r^2} \quad (1.44a)$$

$$\frac{1}{\ell} \frac{dr}{d\lambda} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{b^2} - W_{\text{eff}}}. \quad (1.44b)$$

Dividing eq. 1.44b into 1.44a gives

$$\frac{d\phi}{dr} = \frac{1}{r^2} \left[ \frac{1}{b^2} - \frac{1}{r^2} \left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right) \right]^{-1/2}. \quad (1.45)$$

Looking at Figure 1.10 we can see the deflected ray in thick dashed line spanning an angle  $\Delta\phi$  (if we consider it coming from infinity and going back to infinity). A non-deflected ray on the other hand will span an angle of  $\pi$ . The deflection we are interested in is therefore the one labeled as  $\delta\phi_{\text{def}}$  and is calculated by doing

$$\delta\phi_{\text{def}} = \Delta\phi - \pi \quad (1.46)$$

In the Figure 1.10,  $r_1$  represents the turning point, the point where the light is closer to the massive object (refer to Figure 1.9), but most importantly in this case,  $r_1$  cuts the trajectory in half. We can then evaluate half the angle spanned by integrating eq. 1.45 from  $r = r_1$  to  $r = \infty$ . Consequently, the full angle spanned by the deflected light ray will be

$$\Delta\phi = 2 \int_{r_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^2} \left[ \frac{1}{b^2} - \frac{1}{r^2} \left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right) \right]^{-1/2} dr \quad (1.47)$$

remembering from Figure 1.9 that  $r_1$  is measured when the light ray is in  $P$  and  $1/b^2 = W_{\text{eff}}(r_1)$




Figure 1.10: Deflected ray, in a thick dashed line, against the non-deflected trajectory, in thin dashed line. A non-deflected ray that goes from right to left (infinitely far) would span an angle of  $\pi$ . The deflected ray instead spans an angle of  $\Delta\phi$ .

From **hartle2021gravity** page 211, Figure 9.10

In the integral in 1.47 we can make the substitution  $w = b/r$  to rewrite it as

$$\Delta\phi = 2 \int_0^{w_1} \left[ 1 - w^2 \left( 1 - \frac{2M}{b} w \right) \right]^{-1/2} dw \quad (1.48)$$

where  $w_1$  is the value at which the square bracket vanishes. Using eq. 1.46 and eq. 1.11 we can numerically evaluate the integral for different values of  $b$ . The result is shown in Figure 1.11.




Figure 1.11: Deflection of a light ray because of the gravitational field of a massive object. As  $1/b^2 \rightarrow 27M^2$  the light ray trajectory gets closer to a circular orbit, and the ray can turn around the massive object multiple times before going back to infinity.

## Chapter 2

# Simulations

Computed in `C` and animated in `python`

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \frac{dr}{d\tau} \right)^2 = e^2 - \left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{l^2}{r^2} \right) \\ \frac{d\phi}{d\tau} = \frac{l}{r^2} \\ \frac{dt}{d\tau} = \frac{e}{1 - 2M/r} \end{array} \right. \quad \begin{array}{l} (2.1a) \\ (2.1b) \\ (2.1c) \end{array}$$



# Conclusions

## CONCLUSIONS

---



# Appendix A

## Geometrized Units

In general relativity the constants  $G \simeq 6.67430 \times 10^{-11} \text{N m}^2 \text{kg}^{-2}$  and  $c = 299\,792\,458 \text{m/s}$  appears quite often, so it's useful to redefine our units of measurements to cancel them out. Let's take the Schwarzschild line element as an example

$$ds^2 = - \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{c^2 r}\right) (cdt)^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{c^2 r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2). \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Time always appears next to the speed of light,  $ct$ . This is effectively as if we were measuring time with the distance that light can cover in  $t$  seconds.

The mass  $M$  is measured in kg in S.I. units. If we multiply it by  $G$  and divide by  $c^2$  we get

$$\left[\frac{GM}{c^2}\right] = \frac{\text{N m}^2 \text{kg}^{-2} \text{kg}}{\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-2}} = \frac{\text{N}}{\text{kg}} \text{s}^2 = \text{m}.$$

So, in a less intuitive way, we can measure the mass as a distance too.

Substituting  $\hat{t} = ct$  and  $\hat{M} = \frac{GM}{c^2}$  in eq. A.1 gives

$$ds^2 = - \left(1 - \frac{2\hat{M}}{r}\right) d\hat{t}^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2\hat{M}}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2).$$

In this way we went from a  $\mathcal{LMT}$ (Length Mass Time) units system, to an  $\mathcal{L}$  one. Since it will be clearly said when this convention, loosely referred to as  $G = c = 1$ , is in use we will omit the *hat* in the new defined variables.

To go back to  $\mathcal{LMT}$  units we just need to substitute back  $t \rightarrow ct$  and  $M \rightarrow \frac{GM}{c^2}$ , being extra careful on cases like the speed, that is derived from time and therefor inherits a  $1/c$  factor.

Table A.1 shows some typical masses values expressed in geometrical units.

	S.I. units	Geometrized units
Mass of the Earth	$5.97 \times 10^{24} \text{ kg}$	4.43 mm
Mass of the Sun	$1.99 \times 10^{30} \text{ kg}$	1.48 km
M87 black hole	$6.5 \times 10^9 M_\odot$	64.2 au

Table A.1: Some common masses of the universe expressed in unit of length.



# Bibliography

**hartle2021gravity** James B Hartle. *Gravity: an introduction to Einstein's general relativity*. Cambridge University Press, 2021.

**shapiro2008black** Stuart L Shapiro and Saul A Teukolsky. *Black holes, white dwarfs, and neutron stars: The physics of compact objects*. John Wiley & Sons, 2008.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

---

# List of Figures

1.1	Visual representation of the spherical coordinates used. The green line represents a possible trajectory on the $xy$ plane. . . . .	7
1.2	Effective potential defined in eq. 1.20 against the Newtonian potential, $\ell/M = 4$ . The $r^{-3}$ term dominates for $r \sim r_s$ and the particle can fall into the massive object. On the other hand the Newtonian potential presents its characteristic infinite centrifugal barrier. . . . .	8
1.3	$V_{\text{eff}}$ for different values of $\ell/M$ . For $\ell/M = \sqrt{12}$ (green) we can see $r_{\text{ISCO}}$ the limit of the smallest stable orbit (eq. 1.21b. For $\ell/M = 1$ (red) there is no stationary point. The only stable points can be found for $\ell/M > \sqrt{12}$ , in $r_+$ , defined in eq. 1.21a. . . . .	8
1.4	Eq. 1.24 and eq. 1.25 describing the fall from $r \simeq 12M$ on. The integration constants $\tau_*$ and $t_*$ where fixed so that both equations started from the same $r(0)$ . $r(\tau)$ gets to 0 quickly (at $\tau = \tau_*$ ), while $t(r)$ goes to infinity for $r \rightarrow r_s = 2M$ . The Schwarzschild radius $r_s$ is represented with the dashed black line. . . . .	10
1.5	In black the effective potential with $\ell/M = 4.1$ . The dashed horizontal lines, along with the red dot, represent possible values of $\mathcal{E}$ that give 4 different scenarios. Refer to the text for a detailed explanation. <i>This figure is inspired by shapiro2008black, page 245, Figure 12.2.</i> . . . . .	11
1.6	placeholder . . . . .	14
1.7	Effective potential for light rays, $W_{\text{eff}}$ , described in eq. 1.42. We can see the maximum at $r = 3M = 1.5r_s$ and that the peak of $M^2 W_{\text{eff}}$ is at $1/27 \simeq 0.037$ . . . . .	15
1.8	Visual representation of a photon approaching the massive object with an impact parameter $d$ . . . . .	16
1.9	Effective potential for light rays $W_{\text{eff}}$ , described in eq. 1.42. Different values of the impact parameter $b$ give raise to 3 different type of orbits. . . . .	17
1.10	Deflected ray, in a thick dashed line, against the non-deflected trajectory, in thin dashed line. A non-deflected ray that goes from right to left (infinitely far) would span an angle of $\pi$ . The deflected ray instead spans an angle of $\Delta\phi$ . <i>From hartle2021gravity page 211, Figure 9.10</i> . . . . .	18
1.11	Deflection of a light ray because of the gravitational field of a massive object. As $1/b^2 \rightarrow 27M^2$ the light ray trajectory gets closer to a circular orbit, and the ray can turn around the massive object multiple times before going back to infinity. . . . .	18



# List of Tables

A.1	Some common masses of the universe expressed in unit of length. . . . .	23
-----	---	----

## LIST OF TABLES

---