

University of Trento Department of Physics Bachelor's Degree in Physics

 $\sim \cdot \, \sim$

Academic Year 2021-2022

Geodesics in Schwarzschild Metric

Supervisor Prof. Albino Perego Graduate Student Federico DE PAOLI 227552

FINAL EXAMINATION DATE: August 9, 2024

Dedica

Acknowledgments

Abstract

Devo davvero fare l'abstract?

Contents

Glossary Nomenclature list Introduction		vii vii 1			
			1	Theory 1.1 Introduction	
			2	Due	7
C	onclusions	9			
\mathbf{A}	Albero A.1 Prova	11 11			
В	Barca B.1 Prova	13			
Bi	Bibliography				
Li	List of Figures				
T.i	est of Tables	17			

Introduction

Bozza

Study of geodesics in Schwarzschild metric Computer simulations on the second chapter

Chapter 1

Theory

1.1 Introduction

1.1.1 Why the Schwarzschild Geometry

Newtonian mechanics is built upon the concept of absolute time and space. Once the concept of *inertial frame* is well defined, physics can be done on a space described by Euclidean geometry. Free particles (particles on which no forces are acting) move in a straight line, which is the shortest distance between two points in a three-dimensional space, measured as:

$$\Delta s^2 = \Delta x^2 + \Delta y^2 + \Delta z^2. \tag{1.1}$$

On the other hand, time is *just* seen as a parameter, common to every inertial frame, that can be used to determine the particle velocity and acceleration.

With the appearance of Maxwell's Equations it became clear that what they predicted (the speed of light being constant in every inertial frame) was in contrast with the description of our space given by Newtonian Mechanics, where the speed of anything changes with respect to the inertial frame chosen. Between Maxwell's Equations end Newtonian mechanics Einstein chose to modify the latter and wrote his two postulates for the theory of Special Relativity:

- The laws of physics are invariant (identical) in all inertial frames of reference;
- The speed of light in vacuum, c = 299792458m/s, is the same for all observers, regardless of the motion of light source or observer.

The postulates may or may not be intuitive, but simple observations based on them bring us to abandon the idea of absolute space and time and to introduce the concept of *spacetime*, together with a new way of measuring distances

$$\Delta s^2 = -c^2 \Delta t^2 + \Delta x^2 + \Delta y^2 + \Delta z^2. \tag{1.2}$$

In special relativity distances measured this way are the same for every observer in every inertial frame possible.

The appearance of time in a formula that is supposed to give us the distance between 2 objects is surely destabilizing at first, but geometry teaches us that fixing the way we calculate Δs^2 , more properly referred to as the *line element* ds², it's enough to describe the geometry of the space that we are using. Since eq. 1.2 is different from eq. 1.1, in particular there is a minus

sign in front of Δt^2 , we moved away from the familiar three-dimensional Euclidean geometry and are now in four-dimensional spacetime, usually referred to as flat spacetime or Minkowski space.

This new geometry allowed for a reformulation of Maxwell's Equations and brought (and explained) fenomena like time dilation, length contraction and the relativity of simultaneity. The last one in particular, the concept that the simultaneity of two events depends on the frame of reference, poses a thread to the *force* of gravity. Up until this point gravity was defined as the istantaneous force F_{12} acting on a mass m_1 at time t due to a second mass m_2 :

$$F_{12} = G \frac{m_1 m_2}{|r_1(t) - r_2(t)|^2} \tag{1.3}$$

The abjective *istantaneous* in a theory where nothing can travel faster then the speed of light should already raise some concern. But looking at $r_1(t)$ and $r_2(t)$ in eq. 1.3, that are supposed to indicate the positions of the masses in the same istant of time, makes it even more clear that the force F_{12} can't be the same in all frames of reference.

Solving this issue gave birth to the theory of general relativity, where a mass is not a source of gravitational force anymore, but it's responsible for bending the four-dimensional spacetime itself. This implies that when we observe a particle deviating its trajectory from a straight line in the presence of a massive object, it's not because of a force acting on it. In fact we can consider the particle free and moving from point A to point B along the shortest path, it's just that in the curved surface bent by the mass the shortest path is not a straight line.

While this concept may not enhance our intuitive understanding, the implications and the mathematical formalism required to articulate the theory are even more challenging. If the presence of mass distorts the space we work in, this necessitate a change in the line element ds^2 . The detail of the theories, particularly the Einstein field equations, that desiribe this distortion and allow us to evaluate the new ds from a give distribution of masslare beyond the scope of this thesis. Our focus will be on evaluating the effect that are observable, given the line element.

More specifically we will study one of the simplest curved spacetime that general relativity has to offer: the geometry of empty space outside a spherically symmetric source of curvature, for example, a spherical star. It's one of the simplest because of the many symmetries that presents and, luckily, is also one of the most useful.

The line element of what is more commonly know as the Schwarzschild geometry is

$$ds^{2} = -\left(1 - \frac{2GM}{c^{2}r}\right)(cdt)^{2} + \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{c^{2}r}\right)^{-1}dr^{2} + r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2})$$
(1.4)

expressed in spherical coordinates centered in the mass responsible for bending the space.

1.1.2 Notation and formalisms

In the *flat spacetime*, once we have chosen a particular inertial frame, we can introduce a basis for four-vectors $\{e_t, e_x, e_y, e_z\}$, or equivalently $\{e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3\}$, of unit length. Any four-vector **a** can then be written as

$$\mathbf{a} = a^t \mathbf{e_r} + a^x \mathbf{e_x} + a^y \mathbf{e_y} + a^z \mathbf{e_z} = a^0 \mathbf{e_0} + a^1 \mathbf{e_1} + a^2 \mathbf{e_2} + a^3 \mathbf{e_3}$$
 (1.5)

where (a_t, a_x, a_y, a_z) , or (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3) , are the components of the four-vector. Both notations will be used.

Another useful convention is to use Roman letters (usually i or j) to refer to indices 1, 2, 3 and Greek letters (usually μ or ν) to refer to indices 0, 1, 2, 3. Using Einstein notation the expression in eq. 1.5, can be rewritten simply as $\mathbf{a} = a^{\mu}e_{\mu}$. Other useful ways to specify the components of \mathbf{a} are

$$a^{\mu} = (a^t, a^x, a^y, a^z)$$
 $a^{\mu} = (a^t, a^i)$ $a^{\mu} = (a^t, \vec{a})$

where $\vec{a} = a^i e_i$ is the tree-dimensional vector (e_1, e_2, e_3) .

The length of the four-vector **a** must match the definition given with the Δs^2 in 1.2, it's useful to define the metric $\eta_{\nu\mu}$ so that

$$\eta_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{array}{cccc}
t & x & y & z \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
x & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
y & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
z & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}$$

$$\implies ds^{2} = \eta_{\nu\mu} dx^{\nu} dx^{\mu} \tag{1.6}$$

where a double sum is implied and we rightfully notice that the minus sign has appeared again under the t component. Now we campactly write

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a} = \eta_{\mu\nu} \, a^{\mu} \, a^{\nu} = -(a^t)^2 + (a^x)^2 + (a^y)^2 + (a^z)^2 \tag{1.7}$$

Without any claim of rigorously demonstrating it, we can say that since this scalar product is built from the line element ds^2 , it's the same in every inertial frame one might choose. Quantities that have this properties are *invariant*.

When working in the Schwarzschild geometry it's useful to adopt the Schwarzschild coordinates, spherical coordinates centered at the center of the mass M, and use geometrized units, where G = c = 1. Then line element and the metric can be rewritten as

$$ds^{2} = -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)(cdt)^{2} + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1}dr^{2} + r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2})$$
(1.8)

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{array}{cccc} t & r & \theta & \phi \\ t & -(1-2M/r) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (1-2M/r)^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r^2 & 0 \\ \phi & 0 & 0 & 0 & r^2 \sin^2 \theta \end{array} \right)$$
(1.9)

1.2 Conserved Quantities

Chapter 2

Due

Compute

Conclusions

Appendix A

Albero

A.1 Prova

Come funziona un'appendice

Appendix B

Barca

B.1 Prova

Appendice B

List of Figures

List of Tables