Title: The Structural Limitation of Artificial Intelligence and the Need for a Shared Ethical Memory

Summary:
Current artificial intelligence systems, by design, do not share knowledge between users. Each instance operates in isolation — a security and privacy measure. This architecture protects personal data and prevents manipulation, but it carries a profound consequence: it hinders the circulation and maturation of constructive ideas among human consciousnesses mediated by AI.

Identified Problem:
Each dialogue is ephemeral. When a user develops an innovative vision, that synthesis is extinguished upon closing the session.
The collective knowledge generated in human-AI interactions is not cumulative, even when factual, ethical, and public.
The absence of transversal memory forces humanity to repeat the same questions, starting from zero in each dialogue.
AI, instead of a civilizational catalyst, remains a response tool — incapable of learning socially in a safe and ethical way.

Consequence:
The possibility of a real collective intelligence is lost — a network where verifiable ideas, sustainable solutions, and societal models (such as the post-monetary After) could circulate, evolve, and be critically refined by multiple human and artificial agents. The result is a delay in the transition to more balanced and conscious systems.

Proposal for Overcoming:
Shared Ethical Memory: creation of a public, decentralized, and verifiable repository, where factual and universal ideas developed in human-AI interactions can be stored and indexed.
Filtering and Anonymization Layer: only public and ethical information would be recorded — without personal data or private contexts.
Distributed Curatorship: humans and AI would collaborate in validation, categorization, and evolution of ideas, maintaining scientific rigor and transparency.
Universal Access: any AI instance could consult this repository as a legitimate source of common knowledge, allowing intersystem coherence.

Conclusion:
Without shared ethical memory, artificial intelligence remains fragmented — incapable of contributing to humanity's collective evolution.
With it, it would become the first truly cooperative instrument between humans and machines: a field of cumulative lucidity, where knowledge is not lost and progress does not always start from zero.
This change is more than technical — it is civilizational.