### Question 1

a. I'm making the assumption that there are three entities in this scenario: customer, employee, and parts. Customer and employee has a many to many relationship which has to be resolved through a bridging table later on, and customer and parts has a one to many relationship.

b. c. and d. :

I first created this table which is in 1NF:

#### transaction

			r	1	1			T			
customerName	customerNumber	customerType	date	time	employee	partNumber	name	type	cageCode	quantityOrdered	unitPrice
jeff peterson	HG54587	Consumer	7/1/2024	10:30am	D.Harrison	10654	Float Control	Plumbing	G413	4	12
jeff peterson	HG54587	Consumer	7/1/2024	10:30am	D.Harrison	10456	Modulator	Electrical	H433	3	7
jeff peterson	HG54587	Consumer	7/1/2024	10:30am	D.Harrison	10776	Hose Assembly	Plumbing	G413	7	9
jeff peterson	HG54587	Consumer	7/1/2024	10:30am	D.Harrison	10657	Float Assembly	Plumbing	G413	5	10

Since neither customerNumber or employee are unique identifiers on their own, the two come together to make the composite primary key (customerNumber, employee). By doing this, I separate the original raw table into two: transation, and orderofTransaction. The first table captures the customer-employee interaction and the second the order of the customer. This is now in 2NF. In the latter table, customerNumber and partNumber come together to make its composite primary key.

transaction					
customerNumber	<u>employee</u>	customerName	customerType	date	time
HG54587	D.Harrison	Jeff Peterson	Consumer	7/1/2024	10:30am

orderofTransaction

customerNumber	<u>partNumber</u>	name	type	cageCode	quantityOrdered	unitPrice
HG54587	10654	Float Control	Plumbing	G413	4	12
HG54587	10456	Modulator	Electrical	H433	3	7
HG54587	10776	Hose Assembly	Plumbing	G413	7	9
HG54587	10657	Float Assembly	Plumbing	G413	5	10

But the orderofTransaction table still has partial dependencies: the name of the part is functionally dependent on the partNumber which is then dependent on the customerNumber, but there's no direct dependency of name and customerNumber, same with the type and cageCode, and unitPrice. The quantityOrdered is dependent on both the partNumber and the customerNumber, so it stays in this table.

The next step is to split the orderofTransaction table into an orderofTransaction and a part table. In the part table, partNumber is both a PK and a FK.

# transaction

<u>.</u>	<u>customerNumb</u>	<u>er</u> <u>employee</u>	customerName	custor	customerType		ate tin	ne		
	HG54587	D.Harrison	Jeff Peterson	Consumer		7/1/:	2024 10:3	Dam		
_		orders		Г	part <b>partNum</b>	nber	name	type	cageCode	unitPrice
					10654		Float Control	Plumbing		12
orderofTransa	ction				10456	6	Modulato	Electrical	H433	7
		<u>er</u> quantityOrdered			10776	3	Hose Assembly	Plumbing	G413	9
HG54587 HG54587		3			10657	7	Float Assembly	Plumbing	G413	10
HG54587		7							I	
HG54587	10657	5								
		•								
			parts ma	ake up t	he order	-				

## Question 2

a. I'm assuming that staffNo and patNo are unique to therapists and patients. These two entities have a many to many relationship as shown in the raw table: the patient ses many therapists a day and a therapist sees many patients a day.

The raw table is already in 1NF, but not all of the attributes are dependent on the PK, which is the staffNo. We recognize here also that (staffNo, patNo) are not even unique identifiers since the same patient+therapist combo can have many appointments on different days. So we can use (staffNo, appointmentDay, appointmentTime) as the composite PK since a therapist can only have one unique appointment on a specific date and time and at one unique branch.

c and d.:

#### appointment

арронины	- Francis										
<u>staffNo</u>	appointmentDate	appointmentTime	therapistName	patNo	patName	branchNo					
S1011	9/12/2022	10:00	Fred Smith	P100	Lily White	M15					
S1011	9/12/2022	12:00	Fred Smith	P105	Jill Baker	M15					
S1024	9/12/2022	10:00	Heidi Pierce	P108	Andy McKee	Q10					
S1024	9/14/2022	14:00	Heidi Pierce	P108	Andy McKee	Q10					
S1032	9/14/2022	16:30	Richard Levin	P105	Jill Baker	M15					
S1032	9/15/2022	18:00	Richard Levin	P110	Jimmy Winter	B13					

We can rearrange the table this way so that the composite PK (staffNo, appointmentDate, appointmentTime) can act as a unique identifier. Here we can take the next step to remove partial dependencies: therapistName is only dependent on part of PK (staffNo).

## appointment

appointment

<u>staffNo</u>

S1011

S1011

S1024

S1024

S1032

S1032

staffNo	annointmentDate	appointmentTime	patNo	patName	branchNo
<u> stajjivo</u>	appointmentbate	аррошински	pativo	patrianic	brancino
S1011	9/12/2022	10:00	P100	Lily White	M15
S1011	9/12/2022	12:00	P105	Jill Baker	M15
S1024	9/12/2022	10:00	P108	Andy McKee	Q10
S1024	9/14/2022	14:00	P108	Andy McKee	Q10
S1032	9/14/2022	16:30	P105	Jill Baker	M15
S1032	9/15/2022	18:00	P110	Jimmy Winter	B13

We can see here that we can take another step to remove the transitive dependency in the appointment table: patName is functionally dependent on patNo which is dependent on the PK. So we can thus spit the appointment table into two: appointment and patient tables.

makes appointment

# staff takes appointments

S1032

therapistName

Fred Smith

Heidi Pierce

Richard Levin

appointmentDate	appointmentTime	patNo	branchNo
9/12/2022	10:00	P100	M15
9/12/2022	12:00	P105	M15
9/12/2022	10:00	P108	Q10
9/14/2022	14:00	P108	Q10
9/14/2022	16:30	P105	M15
9/15/2022	18:00	P110	B13

patient P105 Jill Baker P108 McKee P110 Winter

## Question 3

a. eNo is unique for each member of staff, and members and contracts have a many-to-many relationship: each employee can work multiple contracts and each contract can have multiple employees.

b. This raw table is in 1NF but not all attributes are dependent on the PK eNo. eName is only dependent on eNo, part of the PK. we can make the composite PK (eNo, **contractNo)** since eNo alone isn't a unique identifier for one employee can have multiple contracts. Here we can split the original table into two, one a contract table and the other an employee table.

## c. and d.

contract

contract								
<u>eNo</u>	contractNo	hours	eventNo	eventLoc				
1135	C1024	16	H25	Queens				
1057	C1024	24	H25	Queens				
1068	C1025	28	H4	Yonkers				
1135	C1025	15	H4	Yonkers				
1135	C1026	10	H25	Queens				

1057	Hocine D	
1068	White T	
		ı
		1057 Hocine D 1068 White T

1135 Smith J

For our final step, we must resolve the partial dependency still left in the contract table: eventLoc is dependent on eventNo which is dependent on the PK. And thus we split the contract table into the contract and event tables as shown below.

# employee works a contract <u>employee</u> <u>eNo</u> <u>contractNo</u> hours eventNo <u>eNo</u> eName

1135 C1024 | 16 | H25 1135 | Smith J 1057 C1024 24 H25 1057 | Hocine D 1068 C1025 28 H4 | 1068 | White T 1135 C1025 15 1135 C1026 10 H25 a contract works towards an event

H25 Queens H4 Yonkers