# Chapter 2 Logic

## §2.1. Propositions

<u>Definition</u>: A <u>proposition</u> (also called <u>statement</u>) is a declarative sentence that is either <u>true</u> or <u>false</u>.

#### **Examples**

1) The following are propositions:

"The earth is round"

"The earth is moving around the sun"

$$^{\circ}2+3=5$$
"

"
$$\sqrt{3}$$
 is rational"

The above propositions, except the last one, are true.

2) The following are not propositions:

"Do you speak English?"

"Take two aspirins"

"3
$$-x=5$$
"

The last sentence is not a proposition because it has no definite truth value, i.e., it is true or false depending on the actual value of the unknown x.

#### **Notations**

- 1) Usually we use p, q, r, ... to represent propositions. They are also called <u>logical variables</u>.
- 2) The truth values "true" and "false" are abbreviated as "T" and "F" respectively.
- 3) We use the following way

p: The sun is shinning today

*q*: 24 is even

to mean "p denotes the proposition 'The sun is shinning today" and "q denotes the proposition '24 is even".

#### §2.2. Compound Propositions

<u>Definition</u>: A <u>compound proposition</u> is formed from existing propositions using logical connectives, such as <u>not</u>, <u>and</u>, <u>or</u>, <u>if</u> ... <u>then</u>, etc.

e.g. The following are compound propositions:

"The earth is not flat"

"2 is prime or 3 is even"

"If  $a^2$  is even, then a is even"  $(a \in \mathbb{Z})$ 

The truth value of a compound proposition depends only on the truth values of the propositions being combined and on the type of the connectives used. Details are given in the next two sections.

## §2.3. Logical Operations

## 2.3.1. Negation

<u>Definition</u>: <u>Negation of p</u> is a proposition which is true when p is false and is false when p is true. Negation of p is denoted by  $\sim p$  (read as "not p").

The above definition can be summarized in the following truth table:

e.g. Let p: All students of this class wear glasses.

Then  $\sim p$ : There is at least one student of this class who does not wear glasses.

Remark Let q: All students of this class do not wear glasses.

Note that q is not the negation of p, because p and q could be both false.

#### 2.3.2. Conjunction

<u>Definition</u>: <u>Conjunction of p and q is a proposition which is true when both p and q are true, and false otherwise. Conjunction of p and q is denoted by  $\underline{p \land q}$  (read as " $\underline{p}$  and  $\underline{q}$ ").</u>

p	q	$p \wedge q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	F

e.g. Let *p*: It is snowing, and *q*: I am cold.

Then  $p \wedge q$ : It is snowing and I am cold.

### 2.3.3. Disjunction

<u>Definition</u>: <u>Disjunction of p and q is a proposition which is false when both p and q are false, and true otherwise. Disjunction of p and q is denoted by  $\underline{p \lor q}$  (read as " $\underline{p}$  or  $\underline{q}$ ").</u>

 $\begin{array}{c|ccc} p & q & p \vee q \\ \hline T & T & T \\ T & F & T \\ F & T & T \\ F & F & F \end{array}$ 

e.g. Let p: 2 is a positive integer, and q:  $\sqrt{2}$  is a rational number.

Then  $p \lor q$ : 2 is a positive integer or  $\sqrt{2}$  is a rational number.

## 2.3.4. Conditional (Implication)

<u>Definition</u>: <u>Implication of q by p is a proposition which is false when p is true and q is false, and true otherwise. Implication of q by p is denoted by  $p \rightarrow q$ .</u>

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

" $p \rightarrow q$ " is read as

"If 
$$p$$
 then  $q$ "

or "
$$p$$
 implies  $q$ "

or "
$$p$$
 is a sufficient condition of  $q$ "

or "
$$q$$
 is a necessary condition of  $p$ ".

### **Examples**

1) Let p: a is even, and q:  $a^2$  is even ( $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ).

Then  $p \rightarrow q$ : If a is even, then  $a^2$  is even, and  $q \rightarrow p$ : If  $a^2$  is even, then a is even.

<u>Remark</u> i<sub>/</sub>. In this example, both  $p \rightarrow q$  and  $q \rightarrow p$  are proved to be true.

ii<sub>/</sub>. Generally speaking, it is possible that  $p \rightarrow q$  is true, but  $q \rightarrow p$  is false (consider, e.g. p: x=2 and q:  $x^2=4$ ; here the universal set is  $\mathbb{R}$ ).

2) Let  $p: a^2$  is a multiple of 3, and q: a is a multiple of 3.

Then  $p \rightarrow q$ : If  $a^2$  is a multiple of 3, then a is a multiple of 3.

Remark The above conditional can be proved to be true, and it plays a very important role in the proof of " $\sqrt{3} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ ".

### 2.3.5. <u>Biconditional (Equivalence)</u>

<u>Definition</u>: <u>Equivalence of p and q is a proposition which is true if both p and q are true or both p and q are false, and false otherwise. Equivalence of p and q is denoted by  $p \leftrightarrow q$  (read as "p if and only if q" or "p iff q").</u>

 $\begin{array}{c|cccc} p & q & p \leftrightarrow q \\ \hline T & T & T \\ T & F & F \\ F & T & F \\ F & F & T \end{array}$ 

e.g. Let p:  $a^2$  is a multiple of 3, and q: a is a multiple of 3.

Then  $p \leftrightarrow q$ :  $a^2$  is a multiple of 3 iff a is a multiple of 3.

Remark The above biconditional can be proved to be true.

## §2.4. Evaluate Compound Propositions

A compound proposition may have many logical variables.

For example, the compound proposition s:  $p \rightarrow (q \land (p \rightarrow r))$  involves three logical variables (namely p, q, and r) and two logical operations (namely  $\rightarrow$  and  $\land$ ).

Usually, the truth value of a compound proposition is given in the form of a table, as illustrated by the following examples.

#### Examples

1) Determine the truth table for  $(p \rightarrow q) \leftrightarrow (\sim q \rightarrow \sim p)$ .

Solution:

From the table, we see that  $(p \rightarrow q) \leftrightarrow (\sim q \rightarrow \sim p)$  is always true.

#### Remarks

- i/. A proposition that is always true is called a <u>tautology</u>. A tautology is denoted by T (boldface T).
- ii<sub>/</sub>. A proposition that is always false is called a <u>contradiction</u>. A contradiction is denoted by **F** (boldface F).
- iii<sub>/</sub>. We write " $p \Rightarrow q$ " to mean " $p \rightarrow q$  is a tautology".
- iv<sub>/</sub>. We write " $p \Leftrightarrow q$ " (read as "p is equivalent to q") to mean " $p \leftrightarrow q$  is a tautology". The above example shows that

$$p \rightarrow q \Leftrightarrow \sim q \rightarrow \sim p$$
.

- $v_{/}$ . We do not use the equal sign for equivalent propositions. For instance, we **do not write** " $p \rightarrow q = \sim q \rightarrow \sim p$ ".
- 2) Determine the truth table for  $((p \rightarrow q) \land (q \rightarrow r)) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$ .

Solution:

p	q	r	$(p \rightarrow q)$	$\wedge$	$(q \rightarrow r)$	$\rightarrow$	$(p \rightarrow r)$
T	T	T	Т	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	Т	F	F	T	F
T	F	T	F	F	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	F	T	T	F
F	T	T	Т	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	Т	F	F	T	T
F	F	T	Т	Т	T	T	T
F	F	F	Т	T	T	T	T
				•		<u>†</u>	<u> </u>

$$\therefore ((p \rightarrow q) \land (q \rightarrow r)) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$$
 is a tautology, i.e.  $((p \rightarrow q) \land (q \rightarrow r)) \Rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$ .

Remark If we take a closer look at the above table, we **do not** have  $(p \rightarrow q) \land (q \rightarrow r) \Leftrightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$ .

### §2.5. Properties of Logical Operations

In what follows, p, q, and r denote arbitrary propositions; T denotes a tautology, and F denotes a contradiction.

1.  $p \land p \Leftrightarrow p, p \lor p \Leftrightarrow p$  (Idempotent Law)

2.  $p \land q \Leftrightarrow q \land p, p \lor q \Leftrightarrow q \lor p$  (Commutative Law)

3.  $(p \wedge q) \wedge r \Leftrightarrow p \wedge (q \wedge r), (p \vee q) \vee r \Leftrightarrow p \vee (q \vee r)$  (Associative Law)

4.  $p \land (q \lor r) \Leftrightarrow (p \land q) \lor (p \land r), p \lor (q \land r) \Leftrightarrow (p \lor q) \land (p \lor r)$  (Distributive Law)

 $\begin{array}{cc} 5. & p \wedge \mathbf{T} \Leftrightarrow p \\ & p \vee \mathbf{F} \Leftrightarrow p \end{array} \} \ \underline{\text{Identity Law}} \qquad \qquad \begin{array}{c} p \vee \mathbf{T} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{T} \\ & p \wedge \mathbf{F} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{F} \end{array}$ 

Remark a/. T (tautology) plays the role of "identity" for conjunction.

b/. **F** (contradiction) plays the role of "identity" for disjunction.

6.  $\sim (\sim p) \Leftrightarrow p, p \land (\sim p) \Leftrightarrow F, p \lor (\sim p) \Leftrightarrow T, \sim T \Leftrightarrow F, \sim F \Leftrightarrow T$  (Negation Law)

7.  $\sim (p \land q) \Leftrightarrow (\sim p) \lor (\sim q), \sim (p \lor q) \Leftrightarrow (\sim p) \land (\sim q)$  (De Morgan's Law)

### Notes

- i/. The properties listed here are the counterparts of the set operations, and they can be proved by using truth tables.
- ii/.  $\wedge$  is the counterpart of  $\cap$ , and  $\vee$  is the counterpart of  $\cup$ .
- iii/. T is the counterpart of U (universal set), and F is the counterpart of  $\emptyset$  (empty set).
- iv<sub>/·</sub>  $\sim$  (negation) is the counterpart of  $^c$  (complement).

## §2.6. Quantifiers

### 2.6.1. Predicate

<u>Definition</u>: A <u>predicate</u> is a sentence which involves variables and that this sentence becomes a proposition when specific values are assigned to the variables.

e.g. "
$$x < 8$$
", " $x^2 + 2x - 3 = 0$ ", and " $x - 4y = 5$ " are predicates.

Usually we use P(x), Q(x), and R(x, y), etc. to represent predicates.

Note A predicate is also called a <u>propositional function</u>. As a practice in mathematics, sometimes a predicate is also referred to as a "proposition".

### 2.6.2. *Universal Quantifier* $(\forall)$

A proposition involving the universal quantifier is usually written in the form  $\forall x \ P(x)$ , and is read as "For all x, P(x)". " $\forall x \ P(x)$ " is referred to as a proposition quantified by the universal quantifier.

When we talk about a quantified proposition, we must have a universal set lying at the back in the first place.

#### Examples

1) The quantified proposition " $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $1+2+\cdots+n=\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)$ " can be translated as "For all positive integers n,  $1+2+\cdots+n$  is equal to  $\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)$ ".

Here, 
$$U = \mathbb{Z}^+$$
, and  $P(n)$ :  $1 + 2 + \dots + n = \frac{1}{2} n(n+1)$ .

2) " $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + n^2 = \frac{1}{6} n(n+1)(2n+1)$ " is another similar example.

This example and the previous one can be proved to be true quantified propositions by using a method called *Mathematical Induction* (see §2.8).

3) Let U=the set of all students of this class, and let P(x): x is a boy.

Then " $\forall x P(x)$ " means "For all students x of this class, x is a boy", which can be translated further as (in the language of ordinary English) "All students of this class are boys".

#### 2.6.3. *Existential Quantifier* (∃)

A proposition involving the existential quantifier is usually written in the form  $\exists x \ P(x)$ , and is read as "There exists an x such that P(x)". " $\exists x \ P(x)$ " is referred to as a proposition quantified by the existential quantifier.

#### Examples

- 1) " $\exists x \in \mathbb{R} \ x^2 + 2x 3 = 0$ " means "There exists a real number x such that  $x^2 + 2x 3 = 0$ ". This proposition is true  $x^2 + 2x 3 = 0$  is satisfied when x = 1.
- 2) Let  $p: \text{``}\exists x \in \mathbb{R} \ x^2 = 2\text{''}$  and  $q: \text{``}\exists x \in \mathbb{Q} \ x^2 = 2\text{''}$ . Then p is true and q is false  $\because \sqrt{2}$  is a real number but  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational.
- 3) Let U=the set of all students of this class, and let P(x): x is a girl.

Then " $\exists x \ P(x)$ " means "There exists a student x of this class such that x is a girl", which can be translated further as "There is a girl in this class".

## 2.6.4. Negation of a Quantified Proposition

### (a) $\sim (\forall x P(x)) \Leftrightarrow \exists x (\sim P(x))$

e.g. Let U=the set of all prime numbers, and let P(x): x is odd.

Then  $\sim (\forall x \ P(x)) \Leftrightarrow \exists x \ (\sim P(x))$ . That is, the negation of "All prime numbers are odd" is "There is at least one prime number x such that x is not odd", which is equivalent to "There is at least one even prime number".

Note that this negation is **not** equivalent to "All prime numbers are even".

## (b) $\sim (\exists x P(x)) \Leftrightarrow \forall x (\sim P(x))$

e.g. Negation of " $\exists x \in \mathbb{Q} \ x^2 = 3$ " is " $\forall x \in \mathbb{Q} \ x^2 \neq 3$ ".

## §2.7. Miscellaneous Examples

1) Given that  $p \rightarrow q$  is false, determine the value of  $(\sim p) \land q$ .

Solution: Since  $p \rightarrow q$  is false, we need to consider only one case:

2) Simplify  $(p \land q) \lor p$  (i.e., replace the given one by a logically equivalent simpler proposition).

Solution:  $(p \land q) \lor p \Leftrightarrow (p \land q) \lor (p \land T)$ 

(Identity Law)

 $\Leftrightarrow p \land (q \lor T)$ 

(Distributive Law)

 $\Leftrightarrow p \wedge \mathbf{T}$ 

(Property 5)

 $\Leftrightarrow p$ 

(Identity Law)

- Remark i/. This can also be done by setting up a truth table.
  - ii/. Do not use the Distributive Law in the first step; otherwise we will go into a cycle.
- 3) Let P(x): x is even, and Q(x, y): 3x-5y=1. The variables x and y represent integers (i.e.  $U=\mathbb{Z}$  here). Write an English sentence that corresponds to  $\forall x (P(x) \lor (\exists y \ Q(x, y)))$ .

Solution: For all integers x, x is even or there exists an integer y such that 3x-5y=1.

4) Write the negation of the proposition in Example 3 symbolically.

Solution:  $\sim \forall x (P(x) \lor (\exists y Q(x, y))) \Leftrightarrow \exists x \sim (P(x) \lor (\exists y Q(x, y)))$ 

(By 2.6.4 (a))

 $\Leftrightarrow \exists x ((\sim P(x)) \land \sim (\exists y \ Q(x, y)))$ 

(By De Morgan's Law)

 $\Leftrightarrow \exists x ((\sim P(x)) \land (\forall y \sim Q(x, y)))$ 

(By 2.6.4 (b))

## §2.8. Mathematical Induction

This is a very powerful proof technique, which applies to propositions (i.e. predicates) involving a positive integral variable n. " $1+2+\cdots+n=\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)$ " is a typical example of such a proposition.

### Principle of Mathematical Induction

For a given proposition P(n) involving a positive integer n, if we can show that

- (B) P(n) is true for  $n=n_0$ , where  $n_0$  is a certain specific number; and
- (I) P(k+1) is true under the assumption that P(k) is true for some integer  $k \ge n_0$ .

Then P(n) is true for all  $n \ge n_0$ .

#### Notes

- i/. Condition (B) is called the basis, and (I) the induction step.
- ii<sub>/</sub>. The assumption mentioned in condition (I) is called the <u>induction assumption</u> or <u>induction hypothesis</u>.
- iii/. When we apply the principle, we need only to verify conditions (B) and (I). Whenever (B) and (I) are met, the conclusion is true.
- iv<sub>.</sub>. The value of  $n_0$  depends on the proposition. Usually,  $n_0=1$ .
- v/. This principle will be abbreviated as PMI.

## **Examples**

1) Prove that  $1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + n^2 = \frac{1}{6} n(n+1)(2n+1) \ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

Proof: Let 
$$P(n)$$
:  $1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + n^2 = \frac{1}{6} n(n+1)(2n+1)$ .

When 
$$n=1$$
, LHS= $1^2=1$ , and RHS= $\frac{1}{6} \cdot 1 \cdot (1+1) \cdot (2 \cdot 1+1) = \frac{6}{6}=1$ .

 $\therefore$  LHS=RHS, i.e. P(1) is true.

Assume P(k) is true for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

That is, for this k, we have

$$1^2+2^2+\cdots+k^2=\frac{1}{6}k(k+1)(2k+1).$$

Then

$$1^{2}+2^{2}+\cdots+k^{2}+(k+1)^{2} = \frac{1}{6}k(k+1)(2k+1)+(k+1)^{2}$$
 (By induction assumption)  

$$= \frac{1}{6}(k+1)[k(2k+1)+6(k+1)]$$
  

$$= \frac{1}{6}(k+1)(2k^{2}+7k+6))$$
  

$$= \frac{1}{6}(k+1)(k+2)(2k+3)$$
  

$$= \frac{1}{6}(k+1)[(k+1)+1][2(k+1)+1]$$

- $\therefore P(k+1)$  is also true.
- $\therefore$  By PMI, P(n) is true  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

Remark For the first line in the proof, **do not** write "Let P(n):  $1^2+2^2+\cdots+n^2=\frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1)$   $\forall n\in\mathbb{Z}^+$ ". Note that " $1^2+2^2+\cdots+n^2=\frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1)$   $\forall n\in\mathbb{Z}^+$ " is the same as " $1^2+2^2+\cdots+m^2=\frac{1}{6}m(m+1)(2m+1)$   $\forall m\in\mathbb{Z}^+$ ". Hence n plays no role in " $1^2+2^2+\cdots+n^2=\frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1)$   $\forall n\in\mathbb{Z}^+$ ".

2) Prove that  $\forall n \ge 4 \ (n \in \mathbb{Z}), n! \ge 2^n$ .

Proof: Let P(n):  $n! \ge 2^n$ .

When n=4, LHS=4!=24, and RHS= $2^4=16$ .  $\therefore$  LHS>RHS, i.e. P(4) is true.

Assume P(k) is true for some  $k \ge 4$ . That is,  $k! \ge 2^k$ .

Then

$$(k+1)! = (k+1) \cdot k!$$
  
 $> (k+1) \cdot 2^k$  (By induction assumption)  
 $> 2 \cdot 2^k$   $(k+1>2 : k \ge 4)$   
 $= 2^{k+1}$ 

 $\therefore P(k+1)$  is also true. Hence by PMI, P(n) is true  $\forall n \ge 4$ .

<u>Remark</u> n! is called <u>factorial</u> n (or <u>n factorial</u>), and is defined as  $n! = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot n$  if  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

3) Prove that  $8^n - 2^n$  is divisible by  $6 \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

Proof: Let P(n):  $8^n - 2^n$  is divisible by 6.

 $8^{1}-2^{1}=6$  which is divisible by 6, i.e. P(1) is true.

Assume P(k) is true for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . That is,  $8^k - 2^k = 6a$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Then

$$8^{k+1} - 2^{k+1} = (6+2) \cdot 8^k - 2 \cdot 2^k$$

$$= 6 \cdot 8^k + 2(8^k - 2^k)$$

$$= 6 \cdot 8^k + 2 \cdot 6a$$

$$= 6(8^k + 2a)$$
(By induction hypothesis)

- $\therefore P(k+1)$  is also true  $\therefore 8^k + 2a \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- $\therefore$  By PMI, P(n) is true  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .
- 4) Prove that  $n^5 n$  is divisible by  $5 \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

Proof: Let P(n):  $n^5-n$  is divisible by 5.

$$1^5-1=0=5\cdot 0 \Rightarrow P(1)$$
 is true.

Assume P(k) is true for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . That is,  $k^5 - k = 5a$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Then

$$(k+1)^{5} - (k+1) = \underline{k}^{5} + 5k^{4} + 10k^{3} + 10k^{2} + 5k + 1 - \underline{k} - 1$$

$$= k^{5} - k + 5(k^{4} + 2k^{3} + 2k^{2} + k)$$

$$= 5a + 5(k^{4} + 2k^{3} + 2k^{2} + k)$$
(By induction hypothesis)
$$= 5(a + k^{4} + 2k^{3} + 2k^{2} + k)$$

- $\therefore P(k+1)$  is also true  $\therefore a+k^4+2k^3+2k^2+k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- $\therefore$  By PMI, P(n) is true  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .