

# **Vulnerability Assessment Report**

## **Read-Only Security Assessment**

**Target website :** <https://uec.ac.in/>

**Project :** 01

**Date :** February 2026

**Assessment type :** Passive security scan

## **Executive Summary**

Every organization relies on its website to communicate with users and represent its brand. This vulnerability assessment was conducted on <https://uec.ac.in> to identify common security weaknesses that could impact security, reliability, and user trust.

The assessment followed a strictly non-intrusive, read-only approach, focusing on publicly accessible information such as HTTP headers, visible configurations, and exposed technologies. No exploitation, authentication testing, or aggressive scanning was performed.

The review identified a combination of low to medium risk security configuration issues, along with positive security practices already in place. Addressing the identified findings will help improve the website's overall security posture.

# Scope of Assessment

## Inscope

- **Public-facing pages**
- **HTTP response headers**
- **Passive configuration analysis**

## Outscope

- **Login/authentication testing**
- **Exploitation or attacks**
- **Brute force / DoS**

# Methodology

This vulnerability assessment was conducted using a manual, read-only approach to ensure ethical and non-intrusive testing.

The methodology focused on identifying common security misconfigurations and information disclosure issues typically reviewed during an initial security audit.

The following steps were followed:

- Reviewed publicly accessible pages of the website
- Inspected HTTP request and response headers using browser developer tools

- Identified exposed technologies, server configurations, and software versions
- Checked for the presence and effectiveness of common security headers
- Observed visible cookies and basic client-side security attributes
- Classified findings based on potential business impact and risk severity

No exploitation, authentication testing, or intrusive scanning techniques were performed.

## **Tools Used**

The following tools were used during the assessment:

- Google Chrome (Developer Tools – Network & Headers)
- Manual HTTP header inspection
- Canva (professional report design)
- GitHub (documentation and evidence storage)

Only passive and non-intrusive tools were used to maintain ethical assessment boundaries.

## **TARGET OVERVIEW**

- Website: <https://uec.ac.in>
- Website Type: Educational Institution
- Content Management System: WordPress (observed)
- Web Server: LiteSpeed
- Hosting Platform: Hostinger
- Backend Technology: PHP

This information was identified through publicly visible HTTP response headers.

# **Findings :**

---

## **Finding 1: High Risk**

### Outdated PHP Version Disclosure

**Description :** The website discloses the backend PHP version through HTTP response headers.

**Evidence :** X-Powered-By: PHP/7.2.34

Vary	Accept-Encoding
X-Litespeed-Cache	hit
X-Powered-By	PHP/7.2.34

**Why this matters :** PHP 7.2 has reached end-of-life and no longer receives security updates. Outdated software versions are commonly targeted using known vulnerabilities.

**Recommendation :** Upgrade PHP to a currently supported version (PHP 8.x or later) and disable version disclosure in HTTP headers.

## Finding 2: Medium Risk

### Missing Standard Security Headers

**Description :** Several recommended HTTP security headers were not observed, including:

- X-Frame-Options
- X-Content-Type-Options
- Strict-Transport-Security

#### Evidence :

	Headers	Preview	Response	Initiator	Timing	Cookies
Content-Security-Policy	upgrade-insecure-requests					
Content-Type	text/html; charset=UTF-8					
Date	Sun, 08 Feb 2026 20:51:52 GMT					
Etag	"4627-1770126997;br"					
Link	<https://uec.ac.in/wp-json/>; rel="https://api.w.org/"					
Link	<https://uec.ac.in/wp-json/wp/v2/pages/8>; rel="alternate"; type="application/json"					
Link	<https://uec.ac.in/>; rel=shortlink					
Panel	hpanel					
Platform	hostinger					
Server	LiteSpeed					
Vary	Accept-Encoding					
X-Litespeed-Cache	hit					
X-Powered-By	PHP/7.2.34					

**Why this matters :** Missing security headers increase the risk of browser-based attacks such as clickjacking, MIME sniffing, and protocol downgrade attacks.

**Recommendation :** Enable standard HTTP security headers following OWASP security best practices.

## Finding 3: Medium Risk

### Incomplete Content Security Policy (CSP)

**Description :** A Content Security Policy is present but only enforces upgrade-insecure-requests without defining allowed resource sources.

#### **Evidence :**

Content-Length	70129
Content-Security-Policy	upgrade-insecure-requests

**Why this matters :** An incomplete CSP provides limited protection against content injection and script-based attacks.

**Recommendation :** Implement a comprehensive Content Security Policy defining trusted sources for scripts, styles, images, and frames.

## **Finding 4: Low Risk**

### WordPress REST API Exposure

**Description :** The WordPress REST API endpoint is publicly accessible.

**Evidence :**

```
<https://uec.ac.in/wp-json/>; rel="https://api.w.org/"  
<https://uec.ac.in/wp-json/wp/v2/pages/8>; rel="alternate"; type="application/json" /  
<https://uec.ac.in/>; rel=shortlink
```

**Why this matters :** While not a vulnerability by itself, exposed REST APIs may reveal site structure and metadata useful for reconnaissance.

**Recommendation :** Restrict REST API access where not required publicly and ensure no sensitive data is exposed.

## Positive Security Observations

The following positive security practices were observed:

- HTTPS is properly enabled
- Secure TLS communication is enforced
- Modern compression techniques are in use
- HTTP/3 (QUIC) support observed

These measures contribute positively to overall website security.

## Risk Summary

Risk Level	Number of Findings
High	1
Medium	2
Low	1

## **Conclusion & Disclaimer**

**Conclusion :** This assessment identified common configuration and information disclosure issues that are fixable and preventable. Addressing the recommended actions will reduce potential security risks and improve the website's overall security posture.

**Disclaimer :** This assessment was limited to publicly accessible information and passive analysis only. No exploitation or intrusive testing was performed.