

CSE 326 - Assignment 5

Ahmed Salman Abdelaziz (id: 120180047)

May 3, 2021

Question 1

Claim: The following permutation always results in a $1-(n-2)$ cut: $1, 3, \dots, 2 \times \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 1, 2 \times \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, 2 \times \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 2, \dots, 2$. This is basically the odd numbers sorted then the even numbers inversely sorted.

Proof:

For the first recursive call, the median of the three elements is 2 which results in the following permutation after pivoting: $1, 2, 3, 5, \dots, 2 \times \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 1, 2 \times \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, \dots, 4$. Notice that the elements starting from the third index can be represented as follows: $1+2, 3+2, \dots, 2 \times \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil - 1 + 2, 2 \times \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + 2, 2 \times \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 2 + 2, \dots, 2+2$ where $m = n - 2$. Which is similar to the original permutation but with a smaller n . Hence every recursive call will result in a $1-(n-2)$ cut.

Question 2

The running time is $\Theta(n^2)$, assuming consistency in comparisons (i.e. if the comparison operator is either \leq or \geq not a mix of both). This is because at every recursive call, the pivot will be either placed in the beginning or the end.

Question 3

At every call, the array of size n is split to two with lengths αn and $(1-\alpha)n$. Since $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then $(1-\alpha)n \geq \alpha n$. Assuming the level of the maximum depth is k , then we have $(1-\alpha)^k n = c$ for some constant c . Hence $k = \frac{\log c - \log n}{\log 1-\alpha}$. We can simply discard the $\log c$ term as it has no effect on the analysis. Similarly, the level of the minimum depth is $k = \frac{\log c - \log n}{\log \alpha}$.

Question 4

1. The (inversely) sorted permutation will always cause the sorting to happen in $\Theta(n^2)$.

2. Simply shuffle the elements before feeding them to the algorithm. Shuffling by **Fisher-Yates** algorithm runs in $\mathcal{O}(n)$.

Question 5

Let's call a set S of intervals pure if every pair of intervals in this set overlap. Also, we will consider an interval i_k less than an interval i_j if $a_k < a_j$.

Claim 1: Every subset S of (not necessarily sorted) consecutive intervals satisfying that $|S| \leq d$ can be partitioned to at most 2 pure subsets.

Proof:

Assume that this is not true. Then $\exists i_k, i_j, i_l \in S, i_j \cap i_k = i_j \cap i_l = i_k \cap i_l = \phi$. But since $|S| \leq d$ and all intervals in S are consecutive, then the middle interval in i_j, i_k , and i_l can not intersect $d - 1$ intervals, which is a contradiction.

Claim 2: If S is a pure set, then any permutation of its elements is a valid, fuzzily-sorted permutation.

Proof:

Let i_j and i_k be the minimal and maximal elements in S , respectively. Hence $\forall i_l \in S, (b_j \leq b_l) \wedge (a_l \leq a_k)$. This means that $\forall i_l \in S, [a_k, b_j] \subset i_l$. Implying that any permutation of S is fuzzily-sorted.

Now, we can make a deterministic quick-sort procedure to divide the intervals into subproblems, until the length of the subproblem is less than d , which will

be solved in $\mathcal{O}(d)$ for a total of $\mathcal{O}(n \log \frac{n}{d})$ complexity.

Algorithm 1: Fuzzy-sort n intervals

Input : n intervals

Output: n fuzzy-sorted intervals

```

1 Function SortD( $S$ ):
2    $mn \leftarrow$  minimum of  $S$ 
3    $mx \leftarrow$  maximum of  $S$ 
4   Let  $A$  be an empty doubly linked list
5   for  $i \leftarrow S$  do
6     if  $i \cap mn \neq \phi$  then
7       | Add  $i$  to the beginning of  $L$ 
8     else
9       | Add  $i$  to the end of  $L$ 
10    end
11  end
12  return  $L$ 
13 Function Main( $S$ ):
14  if  $|S| \leq d$  then
15    | return SortD( $S$ )
16  end
17   $m \leftarrow$  median of  $S$ 
18   $L, R \leftarrow$  partition of  $S$  around  $m$ 
19   $L \leftarrow$  Main( $L$ )
20   $R \leftarrow$  Main( $R$ )
21  return Concatenation of  $L$ ,  $[m]$ , and  $R$ 

```
