

# HOMEWORK 3

The notations  $\mathbf{A}_{.j}$  and  $\mathbf{A}_i$  represent the  $j$ -th column and  $i$ -th row of the matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ , resp.

## 1 Naive Bayes Spam Classification

1. Write down the likelihood for the general two class naive Bayes classification.

Define  $S_k$  as the set of indexes  $n$  for which  $t_n$  belongs to class  $k$ .

$$\begin{aligned} p(\{(\mathbf{x}_n, t_n)\}_{n=1}^N | \Theta) &= \prod_{n=1}^N p(\mathbf{x}_n | t_n, \Theta) p(t_n) = \prod_{n=1}^N \prod_{d=1}^D p(x_{nd} | t_n, \Theta) p(t_n) \\ &= \left( \prod_{n \in S_1} \pi_1 \prod_{d=1}^D p(x_{nd} | \mathcal{C}_1, \theta_{d1}) \right) \left( \prod_{n \in S_2} \pi_2 \prod_{d=1}^D p(x_{nd} | \mathcal{C}_2, \theta_{d2}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

2. Write down the likelihood for the Poisson model.

$$p(\{(\mathbf{x}_n, t_n)\}_{n=1}^N | \Lambda) = \left( \prod_{n \in S_1} \pi_1 \prod_{d=1}^D \frac{\lambda_{d1}^{x_{nd}}}{x_{nd}!} e^{-\lambda_{d1}} \right) \left( \prod_{n \in S_2} \pi_2 \prod_{d=1}^D \frac{\lambda_{d2}^{x_{nd}}}{x_{nd}!} e^{-\lambda_{d2}} \right)$$

3. Write down the log-likelihood for Poisson model.

$$\begin{aligned} \log p(\{(\mathbf{x}_n, t_n)\}_{n=1}^N | \Lambda) &= |S_1| \log \pi_1 + \sum_{n \in S_1} \sum_{d=1}^D (x_{nd} \log \lambda_{d1} - \lambda_{d1} - \log x_{nd}!) \\ &\quad + |S_2| \log \pi_2 + \sum_{n \in S_2} \sum_{d=1}^D (x_{nd} \log \lambda_{d2} - \lambda_{d2} - \log x_{nd}!) \end{aligned}$$

4. Solve for the MLE estimators for  $\lambda_{dk}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \log p(\{(\mathbf{x}_n, t_n)\}_{n=1}^N | \Lambda)}{\partial \lambda_{dk}} &= \sum_{n \in S_k} \left( \frac{x_{nd}}{\lambda_{dk}} - 1 \right) = \frac{1}{\lambda_{dk}} \left( \sum_{n \in S_k} x_{nd} \right) - |S_k| = 0 \\ \lambda_{dkMLE} &= \frac{\sum_{n \in S_k} x_{nd}}{|S_k|} \end{aligned}$$

5. Write  $p(\mathcal{C}_1 | \mathbf{x})$  for the general two class naive Bayes classifier.

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathcal{C}_1 | \mathbf{x}, \Theta) &= \frac{p(\mathbf{x} | \mathcal{C}_1, \Theta) p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{p(\mathbf{x})} = \frac{p(\mathbf{x} | \mathcal{C}_1, \Theta_{.1}) p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{p(\mathbf{x} | \mathcal{C}_1, \Theta_{.1}) p(\mathcal{C}_1) + p(\mathbf{x} | \mathcal{C}_2, \Theta_{.2}) p(\mathcal{C}_2)} \\ &= \frac{p(\mathcal{C}_1) \prod_{d=1}^D p(x_d | \mathcal{C}_1, \theta_{d1})}{p(\mathcal{C}_1) \prod_{d=1}^D p(x_d | \mathcal{C}_1, \theta_{d1}) + p(\mathcal{C}_2) \prod_{d=1}^D p(x_d | \mathcal{C}_2, \theta_{d2})} \end{aligned}$$

6. Write  $p(\mathcal{C}_1 | \mathbf{x})$  for the Poisson model.

$$p(\mathcal{C}_1 | \mathbf{x}, \Lambda) = \frac{\pi_1 \prod_{d=1}^D \frac{\lambda_{d1}^{x_d}}{x_d!} e^{-\lambda_{d1}}}{\pi_1 \prod_{d=1}^D \frac{\lambda_{d1}^{x_d}}{x_d!} e^{-\lambda_{d1}} + \pi_2 \prod_{d=1}^D \frac{\lambda_{d2}^{x_d}}{x_d!} e^{-\lambda_{d2}}}$$

7. Rewrite  $p(\mathcal{C}_1|\mathbf{x})$  as a sigmoid  $\sigma(a) = \frac{1}{1+\exp(-a)}$ ; solve for  $a$  for the Poisson model.

$$p(\mathcal{C}_1|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{\Lambda}) = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\pi_2 \prod_{d=1}^D \frac{\lambda_{d2}^{x_d}}{x_d!} e^{-\lambda_{d2}}}{\pi_1 \prod_{d=1}^D \frac{\lambda_{d1}^{x_d}}{x_d!} e^{-\lambda_{d1}}}} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-a)}$$

$$\text{where } a = \log \frac{\pi_1 \prod_{d=1}^D \frac{\lambda_{d1}^{x_d}}{x_d!} e^{-\lambda_{d1}}}{\pi_2 \prod_{d=1}^D \frac{\lambda_{d2}^{x_d}}{x_d!} e^{-\lambda_{d2}}}.$$

8. Assume  $a = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} + w_0$ ; solve for  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $w_0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \log \pi_1 + \sum_{d=1}^D (x_d \log \lambda_{d1} - \log x_d! - \lambda_{d1}) - \log \pi_2 - \sum_{d=1}^D (x_d \log \lambda_{d2} - \log x_d! - \lambda_{d2}) \\ &= \left( \sum_{d=1}^D x_d \log \frac{\lambda_{d1}}{\lambda_{d2}} \right) + \log \frac{\pi_1}{\pi_2} + \left( \sum_{d=1}^D -\lambda_{d1} + \lambda_{d2} \right) \\ &= \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} + w_0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } w_0 = \log \frac{\pi_1}{\pi_2} + \left( \sum_{d=1}^D -\lambda_{d1} + \lambda_{d2} \right) \text{ and } \mathbf{w} = \left[ \log \frac{\lambda_{11}}{\lambda_{12}} \quad \dots \quad \log \frac{\lambda_{D1}}{\lambda_{D2}} \right]^T.$$

9. Is the decision boundary a linear function of  $\mathbf{x}$ ? Why?

Yes. Note that the sigmoid function is increasing monotonous. The region boundary is the set  $\{\mathbf{x} : \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} + w_0) = 0.5\}$ , which is equivalent to the set  $\{\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} + w_0 = 0\}$ , which is a decision boundary linear on  $\mathbf{x}$ .

## 2 Multi-class Logistic Regression

Denote by  $\mathbf{W}$  the  $M \times K$  matrix which contains each  $\mathbf{w}_j$  vector in its columns and by  $\mathbf{\Phi}$  the  $N \times M$  matrix which contains the features for each example  $\phi^T$  in its rows.

1. Derive  $\frac{\partial y_k}{\partial \mathbf{w}_j}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial a_k} &= \frac{e^{a_k} \sum_i e^{a_i} - e^{a_k} e^{a_k}}{(\sum_i e^{a_i})^2} = \frac{e^{a_k}}{\sum_i e^{a_i}} - \left( \frac{e^{a_k}}{\sum_i e^{a_i}} \right)^2 = y_k - y_k^2 = y_k(1 - y_k) \\ \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial a_j} &= \frac{-e^{a_k} e^{a_j}}{(\sum_i e^{a_i})^2} = -\frac{e^{a_k}}{\sum_i e^{a_i}} \frac{e^{a_j}}{\sum_i e^{a_i}} = -y_k y_j = y_k(0 - y_j) \quad \text{for } k \neq j \\ \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial a_j} &= y_k(I_{kj} - y_j) \\ \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial \mathbf{w}_j} &= \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial a_j} \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial \mathbf{w}_j} = y_k(I_{kj} - y_j) \phi \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial y_{nk}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_j} = y_{nk}(I_{kj} - y_{nj}) \phi_n \end{aligned}$$

where  $y_{nk} = y_k(\phi_n)$ .

2. Write down the likelihood as a product over  $N$  and  $K$  then write down the log-likelihood. Use the entries of  $\mathbf{T}$  as selectors of the correct class.

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathbf{T}|\mathbf{W}) &= \prod_{n=1}^N \prod_{k=1}^K p(\mathcal{C}_k|\phi_n)^{t_{nk}} = \prod_{n=1}^N \prod_{k=1}^K y_{nk}^{t_{nk}} \\ \log p(\mathbf{T}|\mathbf{W}) &= \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K t_{nk} \log y_{nk} \end{aligned}$$

3. Derive the gradient of the log-likelihood with respect to  $\mathbf{w}_j$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial \log p(\mathbf{T}|\mathbf{W})}{\partial \mathbf{w}_j} &= \frac{\partial \log p(\mathbf{T}|\mathbf{W})}{\partial y_{nk}} \frac{\partial y_{nk}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_j} \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{t_{nk}}{y_{nk}} y_{nk} (I_{kj} - y_{nj}) \phi_n \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^N (t_{nj} - y_{nj}) \phi_n \\
 &= \Phi^T(\mathbf{T}_{\cdot j} - \mathbf{Y}_{\cdot j})
 \end{aligned}$$

4. Which is the objective function we minimize that is equivalent to maximizing the log-likelihood?

Since  $\max f \equiv \min -f$ , it is equivalent to minimize the negative of the log-likelihood, which in this case coincides with the cross entropy error, denoted by  $E$ .

5. Write down a stochastic gradient algorithm for logistic regression using this objective function.

Note that using the comment from point 4, the expression in point 3 can be generalized to:

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial \mathbf{W}} = \frac{\partial -\log p(\mathbf{T}|\mathbf{W})}{\partial \mathbf{W}} = \Phi^T(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{T})$$

After observing a particular example, say  $n$ ,

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial \mathbf{W}} = \Phi_{n\cdot}^T(\mathbf{Y}_{n\cdot} - \mathbf{T}_{n\cdot}) = \phi_n(\mathbf{Y}_{n\cdot} - \mathbf{T}_{n\cdot})$$

<b>Algorithm 1:</b> Stochastic Gradient Descent for Multi-class Logistic Regression
---

<p><b>Data:</b> <math>\Phi</math> matrix of features and <math>\mathbf{T}</math> matrix of targets</p>
--

<p><b>Result:</b> Approximate minimizer <math>\mathbf{W}^*</math> of <math>E</math></p>
---

<p>Carefully choose initialization <math>\mathbf{W}^{(0)}</math>;</p>
---

<p>Carefully choose learning rate <math>\eta &gt; 0</math>;</p>
---

<p><b>while</b> <i>Not convergence</i> <b>do</b></p>
--

<table style="border-left: 1px solid black; border-right: 1px solid black; padding: 0 10px;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Randomly choose observation <math>n</math>;</td> </tr> </table>	Randomly choose observation $n$ ;
Randomly choose observation $n$ ;	

<table style="border-left: 1px solid black; border-right: 1px solid black; padding: 0 10px;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;"><math>\mathbf{W}^{(\tau+1)} := \mathbf{W}^{(\tau)} - \eta \Phi_{n\cdot}^T(\mathbf{Y}_{n\cdot}^{(\tau)} - \mathbf{T}_{n\cdot})</math></td> </tr> </table>	$\mathbf{W}^{(\tau+1)} := \mathbf{W}^{(\tau)} - \eta \Phi_{n\cdot}^T(\mathbf{Y}_{n\cdot}^{(\tau)} - \mathbf{T}_{n\cdot})$
$\mathbf{W}^{(\tau+1)} := \mathbf{W}^{(\tau)} - \eta \Phi_{n\cdot}^T(\mathbf{Y}_{n\cdot}^{(\tau)} - \mathbf{T}_{n\cdot})$	

<p><b>end</b></p>
-------------------

<p><b>return</b> <math>\mathbf{W}^*</math></p>
--

The loop will make the algorithm converge (if  $\eta$  is not too big) since  $E$  is a convex function (combination of convex functions).