

Homework 1

JD Gallego Posada, University of Amsterdam

11/04/2017

Problem 1

Mean

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{y}] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z}] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}] + \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{z}] = \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{x}} + \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{z}}$$

Covariance

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}[\mathbf{y}] &= \text{Cov}[\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z}] = \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{z} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{z}})(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{z} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{z}})^T] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{x}})^T] + 2\mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{z} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{z}})^T] + \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{z} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{z}})(\mathbf{z} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{z}})^T] \\ &= \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{x}} + 2\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{xz}} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{z}} \end{aligned}$$

Problem 2

1. Write down the likelihood of the data $p(\mathcal{X}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$

Under i.i.d. assumptions,

$$p(\mathcal{X}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \prod_{n=1}^N p(\mathbf{x}_n|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \prod_{n=1}^N \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$$

2. Write down the posterior $p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\mathcal{X}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0)$

$$p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\mathcal{X}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0) = \frac{\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0) \prod_{n=1}^N \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})}{\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}'|\boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0) \prod_{n=1}^N \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n|\boldsymbol{\mu}', \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) d\boldsymbol{\mu}'}$$

3. Show that $p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\mathcal{X}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0)$ is a Gaussian distribution $p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_N, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_N)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \log p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\mathcal{X}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0) &= c_1 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_n (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}) - \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0) \\ &= c_2 - \frac{1}{2} \left(-2\boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \sum_n \mathbf{x}_n + N\boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu} - 2\boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0 \right) \\ &= c_2 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^T (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} + N\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}) \boldsymbol{\mu} - 2\boldsymbol{\mu}^T \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \sum_n \mathbf{x}_n + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0 \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\boldsymbol{\mu} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_N, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_N)$ with $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_N^{-1} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} + N\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}_N = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_N (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \sum_n \mathbf{x}_n + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0)$.

4. Derive the maximum a posterior solution for $\boldsymbol{\mu}$.

Since the posterior distribution is Gaussian, the mode coincides with the mean. Therefore, the MAP solution for $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is $\boldsymbol{\mu}_N$.

5. Derive expressions for sequential update of $\boldsymbol{\mu}_N$ and σ_N^2 .

$$\sigma_N^{2-1} = N\sigma^{2-1} + \sigma_0^{2-1} \rightarrow \sigma_N^2 = \frac{\sigma_0^2 \sigma^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2}$$

$$\mu_N = \frac{\sigma_0^2 \sigma^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2} \left(\frac{N}{\sigma^2} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n + \frac{\mu_0}{\sigma_0^2} \right) = \frac{N\sigma_0^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2} \bar{x} + \frac{\sigma^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2} \mu_0$$

5. Derive the same results starting from the posterior distribution $p(\mu|x_1, \dots, x_{N-1})$ and multiplying by the likelihood function $p(x_N|\mu, \sigma^2) = \mathcal{N}(x_N|\mu, \sigma^2)$.

We know that $p(\mu|x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}) = \mathcal{N}(\mu|\mu_{N-1}, \sigma_{N-1}^2)$ and that the product of this posterior with $p(x_N|\mu, \sigma^2)$ will yield a new Gaussian $p(\mu|x_1, \dots, x_N) = \mathcal{N}(\mu|\mu_N, \sigma_N^2)$, where:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_N^{2-1} &= \sigma^{2-1} + \sigma_{N-1}^{2-1} = \sigma^{2-1} + (N-1)\sigma^{2-1} + \sigma_0^{2-1} = N\sigma^{2-1} + \sigma_0^{2-1} \\ \mu_N &= \sigma_n^2 \left(\frac{x_N}{\sigma^2} + \frac{\mu_{N-1}}{\sigma_{N-1}^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{\sigma_0^2 \sigma^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2} \frac{x_N}{\sigma^2} + \frac{\sigma_0^2 \sigma^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2} \frac{(N-1)\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2}{\sigma_0^2 \sigma^2} \mu_{N-1} \\ &= \frac{\sigma_0^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2} x_N + \frac{(N-1)\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2} \mu_{N-1} \\ &= \frac{\sigma_0^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2} x_N + \frac{(N-1)\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2} \frac{\sigma_0^2 \sigma^2}{(N-1)\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} x_n + \frac{\mu_0}{\sigma_0^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{\sigma_0^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2} x_N + \frac{\sigma_0^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} x_n + \frac{\sigma^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2} \mu_0 \\ &= \frac{\sigma_0^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n + \frac{\sigma^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2} \mu_0 \end{aligned}$$

Problem 3

1. Show that the product of two Gaussians gives another (un-normalized) Gaussian.

$$\begin{aligned} \log \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{A}) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{B}) &= c_1 - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a})^T \mathbf{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}) - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b})^T \mathbf{B}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}) \\ &= c_1 - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{x} - 2\mathbf{x} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{x} - 2\mathbf{x} \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b}) \\ &= c_2 - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}^T (\mathbf{A}^{-1} + \mathbf{B}^{-1}) \mathbf{x} - 2\mathbf{x} (\mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b})) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mathbf{C}^{-1} = \mathbf{A}^{-1} + \mathbf{B}^{-1}$ and $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b})$.

2. Using the Woodbury, Sherman & Morrison formula, prove that $\mathbf{C} = (\mathbf{A}^{-1} + \mathbf{B}^{-1})^{-1} = \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})^{-1} \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})^{-1} \mathbf{B}$.

To prove the first identity, take $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}$, $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{V} = \mathbb{I}$ and $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{B}^{-1}$. The second follows by symmetry.

3. Show that $K^{-1} = (2\pi)^{-D/2} |\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}|^{-1/2} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b})^T (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})^{-1} (\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}))$.

We first prove several results.

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{B}^{-1} = \mathbf{A}^{-1} (\mathbf{A}^{-1} + \mathbf{B}^{-1})^{-1} \mathbf{B}^{-1} = (\mathbf{B} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{A})^{-1} = (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})^{-1}$$

This makes it trivial to see that $|\mathbf{A} \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}| = |\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}|$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{c} &= (\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} + \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{B}^{-1}) \mathbf{C} (\mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b}) \\ &= \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b} + 2\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b} \\ &= \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})^{-1} \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} (\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})^{-1} \mathbf{B}) \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b} + 2\mathbf{a}^T (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})^{-1} \mathbf{b} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{a}^T (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})^{-1} \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}^T (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})^{-1} \mathbf{b} + 2\mathbf{a}^T (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})^{-1} \mathbf{b} \\
&= \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b} - (\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b})^T (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})^{-1} (\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b})
\end{aligned}$$

Now, consider the original problem $K^{-1} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{C}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{A}) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{B})$:

$$K^{-1} = (2\pi)^{-D/2} |\mathbf{A}\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{B}|^{-1/2} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} [(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a})^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}) + (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b})^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}) - (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c})^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c})] \right)$$

From part 1, we have already seen that the terms in this equation containing \mathbf{x} vanish. Thus, we are left with the constant terms, and by using the previous results, we have:

$$K^{-1} = (2\pi)^{-D/2} |\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}|^{-1/2} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b})^T (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})^{-1} (\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}) \right)$$

Problem 4

1. We toss the coin 3 times and it all comes up with heads. How likely is that in the next toss, the coin comes up with head according to MLE?

We prove a more general result first. Let m be the number of heads and l the number of tails.

$$\mathcal{L}(m \text{ heads and } l \text{ tails}) = \mu^m (1 - \mu)^l \rightarrow \log \mathcal{L} = m \log \mu + l \log(1 - \mu) \rightarrow \mu_{\text{MLE}} = \frac{m}{m + l}$$

Given 3 heads and 0 tails, $\mu_{\text{MLE}} = 1$.

2. Suppose the prior $\mu \sim \text{Beta}(\mu|a, b)$. What is the probability that the coin comes up with head in the 4th toss?

$$p(\mu|m \text{ heads and } l \text{ tails}, a, b) \propto \mu^{m+a-1} (1 - \mu)^{l+b-1}$$

From part 1, it is clear that the value of μ that maximizes the posterior probability is

$$\mu_{\text{MAP}} = \frac{m + a - 1}{(m + l) + (a + b) - 2}$$

Then, given $m = 3$ and $l = 0$, the probability that the 4th toss is head is $\frac{a + 2}{a + b - 1}$. Besides, note that the posterior distribution is $\text{Beta}(\mu|m + a, l + b)$.

3. Suppose that we observe m times that the coin lands heads and l times that it lands tails. Show that the posterior mean lies between the prior mean and μ_{MLE} .

The prior and posterior means are $\frac{a}{a+b}$ and $\frac{m+a}{m+l+a+b}$, respectively, since the prior and posterior are Beta distributions.

$$\frac{m + a}{m + l + a + b} = \frac{m}{m + l + a + b} + \frac{a}{m + l + a + b} = \frac{m + l}{m + l + a + b} \frac{m}{m + l} + \frac{a + b}{m + l + a + b} \frac{a}{a + b}$$

This is clearly a linear convex combination of μ_{MLE} and the prior mean.

Problem 5

In this section we use the result $\mathbb{E}[u(\mathbf{x})] = -\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \log g(\boldsymbol{\eta})$, covered in the lectures.

Poisson

$$\text{Poisson}(x|\lambda) = \frac{1}{x!} e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x = \frac{1}{x!} e^{-\lambda + x \log \lambda}$$

Thus, we can express it in exponential family form with $h(x) = \frac{1}{x!}$, $u(x) = x$, $\eta = \log \lambda$ and $g(\eta) = e^{-\lambda} = e^{-e^\eta}$.

$$\mathbb{E}[x] = \mathbb{E}[u(x)] = -\frac{\partial \log g(\eta)}{\partial \eta} = -\frac{\partial \log e^{-e^\eta}}{\partial \eta} = \frac{\partial e^\eta}{\partial \eta} = e^\eta = \lambda$$

Gamma

$$\text{Gamma}(x|a, b) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} x^{a-1} e^{-xb} = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} x^{-1} e^{-xb+a \log x}$$

Thus, we can express it in exponential family form with $h(x) = \frac{1}{x}$, $\mathbf{u}(x) = [-x, \log x]^T$, $\boldsymbol{\eta} = [b, a]^T$ and $g(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)}$.

$$\mathbb{E}[x] = \mathbb{E}[-\mathbf{u}_1(x)] = \frac{\partial \log g(\boldsymbol{\eta}_1)}{\partial \eta_1} = \frac{\partial \log \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)}}{\partial b} = \frac{\partial a \log b - \log \Gamma(a)}{\partial b} = \frac{a}{b}$$

★ Problem 6

For this exercise we consider the following equivalent formulations of the D -dimensional Student's t-distribution.

$$\text{St}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \nu) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{D+\nu}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \frac{|\boldsymbol{\Lambda}|^{1/2}}{(\pi\nu)^{D/2}} \left[1 + \frac{1}{\nu} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right]^{-\frac{D+\nu}{2}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \frac{1}{\eta} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1}\right) \text{Gamma}\left(\eta|\frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2}\right) d\eta$$

Mean

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}] = k \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} \left[1 + \frac{1}{\nu} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right]^{-\frac{D+\nu}{2}} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) d\mathbf{x} = k \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} \left[1 + \frac{1}{\nu} \mathbf{z}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \mathbf{z} \right]^{-\frac{D+\nu}{2}} \mathbf{z} d\mathbf{z} = 0$$

This final equality holds, as it is the integral of an odd function. The linearity of the expected value gives the desired result $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}] = \boldsymbol{\mu}$.

Covariance

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}[\mathbf{x}] &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} \text{St}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \nu) (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \frac{1}{\eta} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1}\right) \text{Gamma}\left(\eta|\frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2}\right) (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T d\mathbf{x} d\eta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \frac{1}{\eta} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \text{Gamma}\left(\eta|\frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2}\right) d\eta \\ &= \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \eta^{-1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right)^{\frac{\nu}{2}} \eta^{\frac{\nu}{2}-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\nu}{2}\eta\right) d\eta \\ &= \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \frac{1}{(\frac{\nu}{2}-1)\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2}-1)} \frac{\nu}{2} \left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right)^{\frac{\nu}{2}-1} \eta^{(\frac{\nu}{2}-1)-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\nu}{2}\eta\right) d\eta \\ &= \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\frac{\nu}{2}}{(\frac{\nu}{2}-1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2}-1)} \left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right)^{\frac{\nu}{2}-1} \eta^{(\frac{\nu}{2}-1)-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\nu}{2}\eta\right) d\eta \\ &= \frac{\nu}{\nu-2} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \text{Gamma}\left(\eta|\frac{\nu}{2}-1, \frac{\nu}{2}\right) d\eta = \frac{\nu}{\nu-2} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

For this final Gamma distribution to be well-defined, it is required that $\nu > 2$.

Mode

Note that $\text{St}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \nu)$ is monotonously decreasing in $(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})$. Thus, the mode of $\text{St}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \nu)$ is located at $\boldsymbol{\mu}$.