

# TFM-FernandoMartín

Fernando Martín Canfrán

January 15, 2025

## 1 Experiments Summary

### 1.1 E1 - NRT

**ONLY CHECKS in these experiments**

Bank Sizes:

- Small:  $|Card| = 2000$ ,  $|ATM| = 50$
- Medium:  $|Card| = 500000$ ,  $|ATM| = 1000$

Stream Sizes:

Bank Size	Num Days	Anomalous Ratio	Stream Size	Regular tx	Anomalous tx
Small	30	0.02 (2%)	39959	39508	451 1%
Small	60	0.02 (2%)	80744	79005	1739
Small	120	0.02 (2%)	160750	157756	2994
Medium	7	0.03 (3%)	2428286		
Medium	15	0.03 (3%)	4856573	4805920	50653
Medium					
Big					
Big					
Big					

For different core variations, we are going to try different combinations of the system in terms of the number of the maximum number of cards per filter, that consequently will produce an inverse variation in the number of filters of the system.

### 1.1.1 Small Bank Size

# cards per filter	# filters
2000	1
1000	2
400	5
200	10
100	20
50	40
20	100
10	200
4	500
2	1000
1	2000

- # of times / runs each job = 10.
- Maximum RAM limited to 16GB.
- Run for 1c, 2c, 4c, 8c and 16c.

### 1.1.2 Medium Bank Size

For these experiments, to generate the stream of tx, we needed to simplify this process in order to be able to generate a stream in a feasible amount of time. In particular we used the simplified version of the `txGenerator.py`: `txGenerator-simplified.py` → with a random ATM-subset instead of a closest to client ATM-subset. Also variation on the transaction distribution times.

- Initial filter configuration setups:

# cards per filter	# filters
500000	1
100000	5
50000	10
5000	100
2000	250
1000	500
500	1000
250	2000
100	5000
50	10000
10	50000

Run with:

- 16GB RAM
- x1 run each job
- x: Run and plots done.
- ” ”: Not run.
- outMem: out of memory error.

### Stream - 7 Days

#cores	1f	5f	10f	100f	250f	500f	1000f	2000f	5000f	10000f	50000f
1		x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
2		x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
4		x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
8		x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
16	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	outMem

Plots:

- FixedCores: OK
- FixedFilters: OK
- Combined: TODO, increase RAM memory to do it, higher than 64GB...

## Stream - 15 Days

#cores	1f	5f	10f	100f	250f	500f	1000f	2000f	5000f	10000f	50000f
1		x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
2		x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
4		x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
8	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
16	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	outMem

Plots: → Not done so far, only the reduced version explained next. Since for the 7D plots we could already observe that a large number of filters did not produce any advantage, we prefer to reduce the interval of filters in which to show the plots.

- FixedCores: TODO
- FixedFilters: TODO
- Combined: TODO

Based on the results seen (it seems that a really great number of filters is not beneficial), we want to see what happens with a combination of a lower number of filters (like in the experiments for the small bank database):

# cards per filter	# filters
2000	1
1000	2
400	5
200	10
100	20
50	40
20	100
10	200
4	500
2	1000
1	2000

## Stream - 7 Days

Results: DONE

Plots:

- FixedCores: Done

#cores	20f	40f	200f
1			
2			
4			
8			
16			

#cores	2f	20f	40f	200f
1				
2				
4				
8				
16				

- FixedFilters: Done
- Combined: TODO, increase RAM memory to do it, higher than 64GB...

### Stream - 15 Days

Results: Done

Plots:

- FixedCores: Obtaining
- FixedFilters: TODO → not for the moment
- Combined: TODO, increase RAM memory to do it, higher than 64GB... → not for the moment

## 1.2 NEW: Reduced comparison

Plots for the medium gdb, with a reduced number of filters (1f...200f) and including the baseline, to see better what happens.

Obtaining baselines run

- 7D: 1c, 2c, 4c, 8c, 16c
- 15D: 1c, 2c, 4c, 8c, 16c

Obtaining plots - only fixed cores plots

- 7D:
- 15D: