

Before reading the article, I used to think that, well I didn't really think of the article as anything. I thought it was the different perspectives on premarital sex and how and why it's an issue in the Philippines. After reading the article, I now think the article shows the explanation as to why premarital sex occurs in the Philippines. I also did not expect a story on this particular issue.

The article is very straightforward, and a lot of the topics was very clear to me so there was no topics I did not understand. If anything, the article gave me new insights about premarital sex. Although I still do not get why certain women gets to be classified as "Manila Girl", "Maria Clara", and "The *Other* Mary" from premarital sex. I mean I guess it's to classify which girl is which, but it's still very odd for me.

I currently have no questions regarding the article, considering that the article itself is a topic I very much understand and a topic I know quite well, considering I have opinions regarding on said topic. Of course my opinion on the article isn't necessary if I have no questions about it.