

Detecting Salient Contours Using Orientation Energy Distribution

CPSC 636 Slide12, Spring 2012

Yoonsuck Choe

Co-work with S. Sarma and H.-C. Lee


Based on Lee and Choe (2003); Sarma (2003); Sarma and Choe (2006)

1

Part I: Thresholding Based on Response Distribution

3

The Problem: How Does the Visual System Detect Salient Contours?



- Neurons in the visual cortex have Gabor-like receptive fields.
- Looking at the **response properties** of these neurons can help us answer the question.
- The simplest statistical property can be measured by looking at the **response histogram**.

Questioning from a slightly different perspective, “**how can the particular response property of visual cortical neurons be utilized by later processing?**”

2

Observation

- Grayscale intensity distributions are quite **different** across different images.
- However, Gabor response distributions are quite **similar** across different images.

4


A Typical Grayscale Image



- Although not evident from the above, the intensity histogram can be widely different across different images.

5

Grayscale Intensity Distribution



- Grayscale intensity histograms are drastically different across different images.
- Thus, a general algorithm for utilizing the intensity distribution cannot be easily derived.

6


A Typical Gabor Response (Orientation Energy)



- High values near contours or edges.
- The energy distribution is strikingly uniform across images.

7


Gabor Response Distribution



- The Gabor response (or **orientation energy**; E) distributions on the other hand are quite similar across different images (shown in Log-Log plot).
- The distribution shows a power law property ($f(x) = 1/x^a$): sharp peak and heavy tail.

8


Summary So Far



- Input and response distributions show quite different statistical properties.

9


What to Make of the Power Law?



- Comparing the power law distribution with a normal distribution with the **same variance** can provide us with some information.
- Assumption:** normal distribution can be a suitable standard.
- The point $L2$ where $h(E)$ becomes greater than $g(E)$ may be important, i.e., orientation energy is **suspiciously high**.

10


Exploiting the Power Law in E



- High orientation energy E indicate a strong edge component in images.
- Can there be a relationship between the threshold of E above which humans see it as **salient** and the point $L2$?
- Clearly, there is a **linear relationship** between the two!

11


Further Discoveries: $L2$ and σ




- Further, the raw standard deviation σ of the orientation energy distribution is **linearly related** to $L2$.
- Question: Is there an analytical solution to $1/x^a = b \times \exp(-x^2/c)$, where the constants a , b , and c depend on σ ?

12

Using σ to Estimate Optimal E Threshold



Application: Thresholding E



- Relating σ back to the human-chosen E threshold gives again a **linear relation**:

$$T_{\sigma} = 1.37\sigma - 2176.59.$$

- Thus, instead of calculating the histogram, etc., we can simply calculate the raw standard deviation σ to estimate the appropriate E threshold.

13

- Original, human-selected, 85-percentile, and T_{σ} .

Extraction of Salient Edges




(a) Original Image (b) Thresholded Edges (c) Magnified (b)

- Using T_{σ} as a threshold gives good results, comparable to humans' preference.

15

Thresholding E : Limitations of Fixed Percentile



- Original, human-selected, 85-percentile, and T_{σ} .

16

Thresholding E : Limitations of Global Thresholding



- Original, human-selected, 85-percentile, T_σ , and T_σ local.

17


Summary of Thresholding Results

- Fixed percentile thresholding does not give consistent results.
- The σ -based T_σ threshold works well.
- However, globally applying the same threshold has limitations.
- This problem can be overcome by applying the **same principle** derived here to calculate the **local thresholds**.
- The proposed method is an **efficient** way of detecting salient contours.

18

Part II: Quantitative Comparison

Approach



- Generate synthetic image (left) with known salient edges (right) and compare the thresholded version to this ground truth.
- Add noise and vary number of objects to make it interesting.

19


20

Compared Thresholding Methods

- Global OED: threshold derived from OED from the entire response matrix, using the normal distribution baseline.
- Local OED: threshold derived from OED from the local surrounding area of a pixel in the response matrix. using the normal distribution baseline.
- Global 85%: threshold derived from OED from the entire response matrix, using the 85-percentile point as the threshold.
- Local 85%: threshold derived from OED from the local surrounding area of a pixel in the response matrix, using the 85-percentile point as the threshold.

21


Experiment I: Vary Noise Level



	Global OED	Global 85%	Local OED	Local 85%
Global OED	X	< (p=0.018)	< (p=0.35)	< (p=0.021)
Global 85%	X	X	> (p=0.019)	> (p=0.014)
Local OED	X	X	X	< (p=0.025)
Local 85%	X	X	X	X


23

Experiment I: Vary Noise Level




22

Experiment II: Vary Input Count



24

Experiment II: Vary Input Count



	Global OED	Global 85%	Local OED	Local 85%
Global OED	X	< (p=0.0107)	> (p=0.258)	< (p=0.014)
Global 85%	X	X	> (p=0.011)	> (p=0.006)
Local OED	X	X	X	< (p=0.015)
Local 85%	X	X	X	X

25

Part III: Analysis

Summary

- Thresholding based on orientation energy distribution (OED) did consistently better than fixed-percentile methods in noisy, synthetic images.
- Differences between global and local OED thresholding were not significant.

26

Relationship Between T_σ Thresholding and Suspicious Coincidence


- What is the relationship between **salience** defined as **super-Gaussian** and the conventional definition of **suspiciousness** (Barlow 1994, 1989)?

$$P(A, B) > P(A)P(B).$$

27

28

White-Noise Analysis



- If the Gaussian baseline assumption was correct, the E response distribution to white noise images should not be perceived as salient compared to a Gaussian with the same variance.
- In white-noise images, each pixel is independent, so, given pixel A and pixel B :

$$P(A, B) = P(A)P(B).$$



29

Use of White Noise Response as a Baseline

- Can we use the white-noise response as a baseline for thresholding E ?: Yes!
- Generate white noise response, and scale it by σ_h/σ_r where σ_h and σ_r are the STD in the natural image response and the white noise response.
- Recalculate the response distribution (if necessary).

31


Gabor Response to White Noise Images



- The orientation energy distribution is very close to a Gaussian, especially near the high E values.
- Thus, the T_σ thresholding will result in no salient contours in white noise images.

30

New Baseline for Saliency vs. Humans




New L_2 vs. Human Chosen Threshold ($r = 0.98$)*

- Strong linearity is found between the new L_2 and the human selected threshold.
- * This is much tighter than the Gaussian baseline ($r = 0.91$)!

32

New Baseline for Saliency vs. σ



New L_2 vs. σ ($r = 0.91$)

- The same linearity between L_2 and the σ is maintained.

33

Discussion

- The local (or even global) threshold calculation can be easily implemented in a neural network.

$$\sigma^2 = \sum_{i,j} w_{ij} g(V_{ij}),$$

where w_{ij} are connection weights serving as normalization constants, $g(x) = x^2$, and V_{ij} is the V1 response at location i, j .

- The resulting value can be passed through another activation function $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$.
- These are all plausible functions that can be implemented in a biological neural network.

35

Related Work

- Malik et al. (Malik et al. 1999) used peak values of orientation energy to define boundaries of regions of coherent brightness and texture.
- The non-Gaussian nature of orientation energy (or wavelet response) histograms has also been recognized and utilized for some time now, especially in denoising and compression (Simoncelli and Adelson 1996).
- Other kinds of histograms, e.g., spectral histogram by Liu and Wang (2002), or spatial frequency distributions (Field 1987), may be amenable to a similar analysis.

34

Summary

- Gaussian baseline was found to have a close relationship to the idea of suspicious coincidence by Barlow (1994)

36

Lesson Learned

- Studying statistical properties of raw natural signal distributions can be useful in determining why the visual system is structured in the current form (e.g., PCA, ICA, etc. predicts the **receptive field** shape).
- However, **what's more interesting** is that the response properties of cortical neurons can have certain **invariant properties** and this can be **exploited**.
- So, we need to **go beyond** finding out what receptive fields look like and why, and start to explore how cortical neuron response can be utilized by the rest of the brain.

37

References

- Barlow, H. (1994). What is the computational goal of the neocortex? In Koch, C., and Davis, J. L., editors, *Large Scale Neuronal Theories of the Brain*, 1–22. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Barlow, H. B. (1989). Unsupervised learning. *Neural Computation*, 1:295–311.
- Field, D. J. (1987). Relations between the statistics of natural images and the response properties of cortical cells. *Journal of the Optical Society of America A*, 4:2379–2394.
- Lee, H.-C., and Choe, Y. (2003). Detecting salient contours using orientation energy distribution. In *Proceedings of the International Joint Conference on Neural Networks*, 206–211. IEEE.
- Liu, X., and Wang, D. (2002). A spectral histogram model for texton modeling and texture discrimination. *Vision Research*, 42:2617–2634.
- Malik, J., Belongie, S., Shi, J., and Leung, T. K. (1999). Textons, contours and regions: Cue integration in image segmentation. In *ICCV(2)*, 918–925.
- Sarma, S., and Choe, Y. (2006). Saliency in orientation-filter response measured as suspicious coincidence in natural images. In Gil, Y., and Mooney, R., editors, *Proceedings of the 21st National Conference on Artificial Intelligence(AAAI 2006)*, 193–198.

38-1

Conclusion

- Cortical response distribution has a unique invariant property (the power-law).
- Such properties can be exploited in tasks such as salient contour detection.
- Gaussian distribution forms a good baseline for determining the threshold.
- The above may be related to the idea of suspicious coincidence.

38

- Sarma, S. P. (2003). *Relationship between suspicious coincidence in natural images and contour-saliency in oriented filter responses*. Master's thesis, Department of Computer Science, Texas A&M University.
- Simoncelli, E. P., and Adelson, E. H. (1996). Noise removal via bayesian wavelet coring. In *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Image Processing*, vol. I, 379–382.

38-2