## **Multiple Logistic Regression**

Now, we expand the regression model, adding in more covariates. Add gender to your model.

1. First, assume no effect modification by gender. State the model.

Define  $Y_i=1$  if individual i visited the doctor in the last 12 months, 0 otherwise;  $X_{1i}=1$  if the individual is **above** the poverty line, 0 otherwise;  $X_{2i}=1$  if female, 0 if male. Then, our model is  $Y_i \sim Bernoulli(p_i)$ , where

$$logit(p_i) = \alpha + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \beta_2 X_{2i}$$

2. Fit the model.

```
. logit doctor nopov female
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -247.4035
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -229.36247
Iteration 2: log likelihood = -228.56747
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -228.56462
Iteration 4: log likelihood = -228.56462
                                                      Number of obs =
Logistic regression
                                                      LR chi2(2) = Prob > chi2 =
                                                                             37.68
                                                                             0.0000
Log likelihood = -228.56462
                                                      Pseudo R2
                                                                              0.0761
      doctor | Coef. Std. Err. z P>|z| [95% Conf. Interval]

    nopov |
    .997763
    .3245721
    3.07
    0.002
    .3616134
    1.633913

    female |
    1.384033
    .2549714
    5.43
    0.000
    .8842978
    1.883767
```

The fitted regression model is  $logit(\hat{p}_i) = -.0322 + .998X_{1i} + 1.384X_{2i}$ .

3. Is there evidence of effect modification by gender?

Now, we fit the model

$$logit(p_i) = \alpha + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \beta_2 X_{2i} + \beta_3 X_{1i} X_{2i}$$

and test whether  $\beta_3 = 0$ .

Iteration 2: log likelihood = -228.56544
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -228.55916
Iteration 4: log likelihood = -228.55916

Logistic regression

Number of obs = 500

LR chi2(3) = 37.69

Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

Log likelihood = -228.55916

Pseudo R2 = 0.0762

| doctor        |           |          | z     |       | =         | Interval] |
|---------------|-----------|----------|-------|-------|-----------|-----------|
| _Inopov_1     |           | .472267  |       | 0.042 | .036275   | 1.887528  |
| female        | 1.329136  | .5835434 | 2.28  | 0.023 | .1854119  | 2.47286   |
| _InopXfemal_1 | .0678287  | .6489728 | 0.10  | 0.917 | -1.204135 | 1.339792  |
| _cons         | -3.76e-15 | .4472136 | -0.00 | 1.000 | 8765225   | .8765225  |

There is no evidence of effect modification by gender.

## 4. Is there evidence of confounding by gender?

Without gender:  $\hat{\beta}_1 = 0.671$ With gender:  $\hat{\beta}_1 = 0.998$ 

Yes, there is evidence of confounding by gender.