## **Logistic Regression with a Continuous Covariate**

As in the previous tutorial, we fit a model to examine the relationship between visiting the doctor in the past 12 months and whether an individual is above or below the federal poverty level, conditional on gender. We fit a logistic regression model with doctor as the outcome, and with nopov and female as covariates. But now we add a continuous covariate age to the model!

Open the chis\_healthdisparities.dta dataset.

1. Assume that, conditional on age and gender, probability of visiting the doctor varies linearly on the logit scale with age. State your model.

Define  $Y_i=1$  if individual i visited the doctor in the last 12 months, 0 otherwise;  $X_{1i}=1$  if the individual is **above** the poverty line, 0 otherwise;  $X_{2i}=1$  if female, 0 if male; and  $X_{3i}=$  age in years. Then, our model is  $Y_i\sim Bernoulli(p_i)$ , where

$$logit(p_i) = \alpha + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \beta_2 X_{2i} + \beta_3 X_{3i}$$

2. Fit the model.

The fitted model is  $logit(p_i) = -.807 + .988X_{1i} + 1.335X_{2i} + 0.019X_{3i}$ 

3. Is there evidence that age is a confounder of the doctor-poverty relationship? Would you expect age to be a confounder?

```
With gender only: \hat{\beta}_1 = 0.998 With age and gender: \hat{\beta}_1 = 0.988
```

No, there is not evidence of confounding by age.

4. Interpret the odds ratio.

Conditioning on age and gender, the odds of visiting the doctor are 2.69 times higher (with 95% CI 1.41, 5.10) in those above the poverty line, compared to those below the poverty line.

5. Test for an association between poverty and visiting the doctor in the past 12 months, conditioning on age and gender, at the 0.05 level of significance.

We test  $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$  versus  $H_0: \beta_1 \neq 0$ .

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = .988, \hat{se}(\hat{\beta}_1) = .327, Z = 3.02.$$

Under  $H_0$ ,  $Z \sim N(0,1)$ , and p=0.003. (Note: the 95% CI for  $\hat{\beta_1}$  excludes 0 and the 95% CI for the OR subsequently excludes 1.)

We reject  $H_0$  and conclude that there is evidence in the data that being above the poverty line increases the likelihood of visiting the doctor in the past 12 months, conditioning on age and gender.

6. Predict the probability of visiting the doctor for everyone in your dataset.

```
predict phat
```

7. What is the predicted probability of visiting the doctor for a 45 year old woman above the poverty level? below the poverty level?

doctor			[95% Conf.	
•			.7649849	

<sup>.</sup> di invlogit(2.361251 ) .91382437

Above the poverty line: 91.2% Below the poverty line: 79.8%

<sup>.</sup> di invlogit(1.372953) .79785684