

# Different interaction modalities for smart home

**Fabian Hoffmann**  
University of Regensburg  
Regensburg, Bavaria, Germany  
fabian.hoffmann@stud.uni-regensburg.de

**Miriam Ida Tyroller**  
University of Regensburg  
Regensburg, Bavaria, Germany  
miriam-ida.tyroller@stud.uni-regensburg.de

**Felix Wende**  
University of Regensburg  
Regensburg, Bavaria, Germany  
felix.wende@stud.uni-regensburg.de

## ABSTRACT

Previous work on smart home focuses on implementing efficient and effortless interaction modalities to assist users, focusing on the best way one can meet each possible type of task. We asked the users for their perspective and how they want to interact with a smart home. Meeting this approach, we conducted a study to analyse and compare the interaction modalities, voice control, display control and mid-air gestures, for smart homes. Participants face different smart home tasks and suggest their own voice command, display interaction and mid-air gesture to fulfil the different tasks. This was later analysed to determine significant differences between the interaction modalities and explore the best possible solution for each type of smart home tasks.

## KEYWORDS

smart home, voice control, display control, mid-air gestures

## ACM Reference Format:

Fabian Hoffmann, Miriam Ida Tyroller, and Felix Wende. 2018. Different interaction modalities for smart home. In *Woodstock '18: ACM Symposium on Neural Gaze Detection, June 03–05, 2018, Woodstock, NY*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 4 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1122445.1122456>

## 1 INTRODUCTION

While Smart Homes are widely known, they are not widely used. Possible reasons might be high costs, lack of understanding, worries about privacy, the lack of additional value, premature technologies and complicated installation [2]. Solving these problems to further spread the use of smart homes can be done with two different approaches - either remove all the existing obstacles or develop smart home systems so desirable for its users they are not bothered by these

obstacles any more, as developed by Hagensby Jensen et al. [7]. Instead of using interaction modalities for smart homes, that are as simple and efficient as possible, the study's intend is to catch potential users attention to own a smart home, by featuring desirable and enjoyable traits.

Our goal is to gain a set of voice commands, display interactions and mid-air gestures, to find out what users want and prefer to do, to solve different smart home tasks.

## 2 APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY

We followed a similar approach as Dingler et al. [5] by showing and explaining different smart home tasks to the participants and subsequently asking them to propose a voice command, a display interaction and a mid-air gesture, to fulfil the specific tasks in their preferred way. All eleven tasks are listed in section 'Tasks'. A within-subject design was chosen, so every participant gave suggestions for every modality and task. We used a latin-square on the order of the interaction modalities to reduce sequence effects [4] and fatigue. The tasks were shown to the participants in random order. We took video recordings of all sessions. We also collected feedback from participants through questionnaires, on preferences of interaction modalities for a specific task and on goodness, ease, enjoyment and social acceptance of their suggestions.

### Interaction Modalities

We compared three different types of interaction modalities. The already used voice and display control as well as the existing but not enough developed technique of mid-air gestures. Therefore, we were able to collect new opinions on the existing techniques and gain a new set of mid-air gestures.

### Tasks

The smart home market can be divided into six different categories [3]. Those are *home entertainment*, *smart household appliances*, *energy management*, *networking and control*, *comfort and light* and *building security*. We excluded the category *networking and control* for developing the tasks, because it does not include devices that can be controlled, but is rather the infrastructure of a smart home and would be responsible for the detection of performed commands. For all other categories we selected two common tasks [1] each, except

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from [permissions@acm.org](mailto:permissions@acm.org).

*Woodstock '18, June 03–05, 2018, Woodstock, NY*

© 2018 Association for Computing Machinery.

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-9999-9/18/06...\$15.00

<https://doi.org/10.1145/1122445.1122456>

**Table 1: Categories with their assigned tasks**

Category	Task
Home Entertainment	1. Increase the volume of the music. 2. Turn on the next TV channel.
Smart household appliances	3. Start multi-colored wash at 60 degree. 4. Turn off the oven.
Energy Management	5. Increase the room temperature. 6. Open the shutters.
Comfort and light	7. Turn on the light. 8. Dim the light.
Building security	9. Close the window. 10. Lock the front door. 11. Turn on the security camera.

for *building security* three because of its bigger market share. All categories with their assigned tasks are listed in table 1.

### Participants

A total of 13 participants (7 female) took part in the study with an average age of 33.5 (SD = 15.1). We recruited the participants through social networks and personal contacts. The participants were mostly students from different departments of the University of Regensburg and OTH Regensburg. All of them at least heard about smart homes and are familiar with interaction with a display. According to the pre-questionnaire ten participants already used voice control, ten are familiar with display interaction to control other devices, but only one performed mid-air gestures for interaction yet. Seven participants own smart home devices like Google Home, Amazon Alexa, smart TVs or lamps and use them in several situations. None of the participants owns a fully integrated smart home system.

### Apparatus

The study was carried out in a quiet room. The different tasks were illustrated through pictures, which showed the state before and after issuing the command. Mid-air gestures, voice commands and comments of the participants were recorded by a mounted camera. Display interaction was documented

through a sketch on paper. None of the interaction modalities were actually implemented.

### Procedure

Before starting the session, the participants were asked to fill out a consent form and a demographic questionnaire. Then they had to fill out a questionnaire in terms of their previous knowledge and usage of smart home devices and the three interaction modalities. After that the tasks were presented to the participants in a random order. At first all tasks had to be fulfilled with a single interaction modality, then with the second and after that with the remaining modality. Additionally to the illustration through pictures, the tasks were explained verbally. The participants were allowed to talk, move and interact with a display in any way they wanted and were encouraged to explain their choices in a thinking-aloud approach. After each task the participants rated their specific suggestion on goodness, ease, enjoyment and social acceptance on four 7-point Likert scales. When all tasks were finished with each interaction modality the participants rated the three interaction modalities for each on 7-point Likert scales, on how good each modality is to perform the specific task. They were asked to do this independently of their own suggestions. At the end a semi-structured interview was conducted to explore the motivation of the participants for each choice and allow them to rate the different interaction modalities under the aspects of efficiency, simplicity, naturality, desirability and enjoyment. This is based on a similar approach in the elicitation study on foot gestures by Felberbaum et al. [6]. The study took about an hour, for which the participants were compensated with sweets.

## 3 RESULTS

With nine participants and eleven tasks, we collected for each of the three interaction modalities 143 suggestions and in total  $13 \times 11 \times 3 = 429$ . Our results include the video recording, taxonomies for each interaction modality, user-defined sets of voice commands, display interactions and gestures, subjective ratings of the sets, qualitative observations and an assessment on the modalities for each task.

### Classification of Voice Commands

*Taxonomy of Voice Commands.* The participants suggested 43 unique voice commands. The authors manually classified each voice command along five dimensions: *nature*, *form*, *flow*, *context* and *complexity*. Within each dimension are multiple categories, shown in Table 2. We adopted the dimensions from Wobbrock *et al.* [9] and Ruiz *et al.* [8] and adapted them to voice commands.

The *nature* dimension comprises *action* voice commands which state the action to perform. An example of this type of voice command is saying "increase temperature".

*State* voice commands describe the desired condition of a device. For example, a *state* voice command is "cameras on" to start camera surveillance.

The *form* dimension describes how much words are used in the voice command and if they have the structure of a full sentence. A *single word* command can be "next" to get to the next TV channel. *Two words* voice commands mostly consist out of the mentioning of the device to be controlled and an action or state. *More words* commands are similar to *two words* but use additional filler words. Finally, voice commands that are correct sentences were classified with the category *sentence*.

The *flow* dimension categorizes the voice commands, if response of a device occurs after or while the user acts. A voice command is *discrete*, when device perform the command after the participant stopped talking. A *continuous* voice command would be starting an action with a command and stop the ongoing action with another command.

The *context* dimension describes, if the voice command requires a specific context or can be performed independently. For example saying "turn off" to turn off the oven is *in-context*, whereas "oven off" is considered *no-context*.

The *complexity* dimension describes if the voice command consists out of a single or a composition of more voice commands. A *compound* voice command can be decomposed into *simple* voice commands.

## Classification of Display Interaction

### Classification of Mid-Air Gestures

blabla

## REFERENCES

- [1] [n.d.]. Home - SmartHome Hilfe. <https://service.startsmarthome.de/de/>
- [2] [n.d.]. Infografik: Smart Home: Was spricht dafür, was dagegen? <https://de.statista.com/infografik/15254/argumente-fuer-und-gegen-die-nutzung-von-smart-home-produkten/>
- [3] [n.d.]. Smart Home - weltweit | Statista Marktprognose. <https://de.statista.com/outlook/279/100/smart-home/weltweit#market-revenue>
- [4] 2017. Latin Square Design: Definition and Balanced Latin Square Algorithm. <https://www.statisticshowto.datasciencecentral.com/latin-square-design/>
- [5] Tilman Dingler, Rufat Rzayev, Alireza Sahami Shirazi, and Niels Henze. 2018. Designing Consistent Gestures Across Device Types. In *Engage with CHI*, Regan Mandryk and Mark Hancock (Eds.). The Association for Computing Machinery, New York, New York, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3173574.3173993>
- [6] Yasmin Felberbaum and Joel Lanir. 2018. Better Understanding of Foot Gestures. In *Engage with CHI*, Regan Mandryk and Mark Hancock (Eds.). The Association for Computing Machinery, New York, New York, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3173574.3173908>
- [7] Rikke Hagensby Jensen, Yolande Strengers, Jesper Kjeldskov, Larissa Nicholls, and Mikael B. Skov. 2018. Designing the Desirable Smart Home. In *Engage with CHI*, Regan Mandryk and Mark Hancock (Eds.). The Association for Computing Machinery, New York, New York, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3173574.3173578>
- [8] Jaime Ruiz, Yang Li, and Edward Lank. 2011. User-defined motion gestures for mobile interaction. In *Conference proceedings and extended abstracts / the 29th Annual CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, Desney Tan, Geraldine Fitzpatrick, Carl Gutwin, Bo Begole, and Wendy A. Kellogg (Eds.). ACM, New York, NY, 197. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1978942.1978971>
- [9] Jacob O. Wobbrock, Meredith Ringel Morris, and Andrew D. Wilson. 2009. User-defined gestures for surface computing. In *CHI 2009 - digital life, new world*, Dan R. Olsen, Richard B. Arthur, Ken Hinckley, Meredith Ringel Morris, Scott Hudson, and Saul Greenberg (Eds.). ACM, New York, NY, 1083. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1518701.1518866>

**Table 2: Taxonomy of voice commands for smart home tasks**

Taxonomy of voice commands		
<b>Nature</b>	Action	Voice command states the action to perform
	State	Voice command describes the desired condition
<b>Form</b>	Single word	Voice command consists out of a single word
	Two words	Voice command consists out of two words
	More words	Voice command consists out of more words without sentence structure
	Sentence	Voice command uses sentence structure
<b>Flow</b>	Discrete	Response occurs <i>after</i> the user acts
	Continuous	Response occurs <i>while</i> the user acts
<b>Context</b>	In-context	Voice command requires specific context
	No-context	Voice command does not require specific context
<b>Complexity</b>	Simple	Voice command consists of a single voice command
	Compound	Voice command can be decomposed into simple voice commands

## A RESEARCH METHODS

### Part One

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Morbi malesuada, quam in pulvinar varius, metus nunc fermentum urna, id sollicitudin purus odio sit amet enim. Aliquam ullamcorper eu ipsum vel mollis. Curabitur quis dictum nisl. Phasellus vel semper risus, et lacinia dolor. Integer ultricies commodo sem nec semper.

## Part Two

Etiam commodo feugiat nisl pulvinar pellentesque. Etiam auctor sodales ligula, non varius nibh pulvinar semper. Suspendisse nec lectus non ipsum convallis congue hendrerit vitae sapien. Donec at laoreet eros. Vivamus non purus placerat, scelerisque diam eu, cursus ante. Etiam aliquam tortor auctor efficitur mattis.

## B ONLINE RESOURCES

Nam id fermentum dui. Suspendisse sagittis tortor a nulla mollis, in pulvinar ex pretium. Sed interdum orci quis metus euismod, et sagittis enim maximus. Vestibulum gravida massa ut felis suscipit congue. Quisque mattis elit a risus ultrices commodo venenatis eget dui. Etiam sagittis eleifend elementum.

Nam interdum magna at lectus dignissim, ac dignissim lorem rhoncus. Maecenas eu arcu ac neque placerat aliquam. Nunc pulvinar massa et mattis lacinia.