
LINMA2111 Algorithmes et complexité

CM 10

Mélanie Sedda - Benoît Sluysmans

30 mai 2015

Halting problem :

Input : A Matlab code "M.m", an input for this matlab code

Output : YES if M(x) halts after a finite time, NO otherwise

This is a decision problem, i.e. a problem with output YES or NO. A decision problem is decidable iff it is computable.

Theorem (Turing;1936) : The halting problem for Matlab machines is undecidable.

Proof : By contradiction : we assume that there is a Matlab code "Halt.m" solving the halting problem. We build "Diagonal.m", a program wich take as argument another Matlab code "M.m" with a string of char as input.

Algorithm 1 Diagonal(M)

```
if halt(M,M) = YES % M halts on input "M.m" then
    while TRUE % infinite loop
else
    STOP % if M does not halt on M, then stop
end if
```

What happens if we call Diagonal(Diagonal) ?

- If it stops then halt(Diagonal,Diagonal) = YES \Rightarrow infinite loop, does not stop
- If it does not stop, then it stops

\Rightarrow Contradiction

\Rightarrow "Halt.m" does not exist.

□

This is called a diagonal argument. Why ?

		x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	...
We create this array :	M1.m	Halt	NotHalt	NotHalt	Halt	...
	M2.m	Halt	Halt	NotHalt	Halt	...
	M3.m	NotHalt	NotHalt	NotHalt	Halt	...
	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	

Where x_i represent all strings of char, and "Mi.m" represent all codes with string of char as inputs. We have entry(i,j) = Halt iff Mi(x_j) halts.

We now change all entries of the diagonal :

If $Mi(x_i) = \text{"Halt"}$ then $\text{Diagonal}(i) = \text{"NotHalt"}$ and conversely.

In our case, we obtain (Diagonal(1),Diagonal(2),Diagonal(3),...) = ("NotHalt","NotHalt","Halt",...).

This row is not in the array, by construction. That means that "Diagonal.m" \neq "Mi.m, $\forall i$.

Cantor (1891) invented the diagonal argument to prove that $[0, 1]$ (or \mathbb{R} , etc) is not countable, i.e. it does not exist a surjection $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow [0, 1]$. If there exists a surjection $c : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [0, 1]$, it follows that $[0, 1] = \{c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots\}$.

We can now create the array	c_0	0.	1	0	2	...
	c_1	0.	3	9	1	...
	c_2	0.	0	0	1	...
	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	

From which we create a new number $x = 0.202\dots$ ($0 \rightarrow 1, 1 \rightarrow 2, 2 \rightarrow 3, \dots, 9 \rightarrow 0$). We now see that x is not in the array, by construction $\Rightarrow x \neq c_i \forall i$, which is a contradiction.

□

What about the decision problems (from integer or string of char to YES or NO) ?

a	b	...	aa	...
0	1	2	3	...
YES	YES	NO	YES	...
1	1	0	1	...

Where the third row characterizes the decision problem P, and we can create $x_P \in [0, 1]$ from the last row : $x_P = 0.1101\dots$. That means that $\forall x \in [0, 1]$, I can create a decision problem. So decision problems are uncountable because in bijection with $[0, 1]$.

Matlab codes are countable (e.g. string of char, ASCII codes \Rightarrow integer $\in \mathbb{N}$). And every Matlab code can solve at most one decision problem. So most decision problems are undecidable ! But Turing's proof is interesting because it provides a specific, interesting decision problem that is undecidable.