Attendees: Daniel,Michael,Johnatan,Vladan

Start time: 6/2/2016 7:30 PM

End time: 6/2/2016 8:30 PM

What went wrong?

* Did we do a good job estimating our team's velocity?
  + Yes we are quite close to our estimate.
* Did we do a good job estimating the points (time required) for each user story?
  + Yes the story points were quite close to the estimates.
* Did each team member work as scheduled?
  + Yes each team member worked as scheduled and we all helped each other if necessary.
* User story #954 had trouble implementing a double table update on submit. Previous code was all hard-coded pseudo-code.

What went right?

* The stories were all completed one day early allowing us more extra testing. All testing met the criteria for success.

How to address the issues in the next sprint?

* How to improve the process?
  + Better planning, getting team members to have more consistent schedules.
* How to improve the product?
  + Add more non-functional requirements on top of the existing functional requirements of the product.

Attendees: Daniel,Michael,Johnatan,Vladan

Start time: 7/1/2016 7:30 PM

End time: 7/1/2016 8:30 PM

What went wrong?

* Did we do a good job estimating our team's velocity?
  + Yes we did a good job testing velocity.
* Did we do a good job estimating the points (time required) for each user story?

Very close to the actual point estitmates.

* Did each team member work as scheduled?

Yes except one member who needs to finish one more user story.

What went right?

* Product more stable really good communication. All user stories except one. Development server accessible by product owner and beta testers.

How to address the issues in the next sprint?

* How to improve the process?
  + More software testing. More communication.
* How to improve the product?
  + More software testing. More communication.

Attendees: Daniel,Michael,Johnatan,Vladan

Start time: 7/18/2016 7:30 PM

End time: 7/18/2016 8:30 PM

What went wrong?

* Did we do a good job estimating our team's velocity?
  + Yes we did a good job testing velocity.
* Did we do a good job estimating the points (time required) for each user story?

Very close to the actual point estitmates.

* Did each team member work as scheduled?

Yes except one member who needs to finish one more user story.

What went right?

* Product more stable really good communication. All user stories except one. Development server accessible by product owner and beta testers.

How to address the issues in the next sprint?

* How to improve the process?
  + More software testing. More communication.
* How to improve the product?
  + More software testing. More communication.

Attendees: Daniel,Michael,Johnatan,Vladan

Start time: 8/1/2016 7:30 PM

End time: 8/1/2016 8:30 PM

What went wrong?

* Did we do a good job estimating our team's velocity?
  + Yes we did a good job testing velocity.
* Did we do a good job estimating the points (time required) for each user story?

Very close to the actual point estitmates.

* Did each team member work as scheduled?

Yes except one member who needs to finish one more user story.

What went right?

* Product more stable really good communication. All user stories except one. Development server accessible by product owner and beta testers.

How to address the issues in the next sprint?

* How to improve the process?
  + More software testing. More communication.
* How to improve the product?
  + More software testing. More communication.