## SPA

## Solution to Assignment 2

1.

(a) We only show that  $\mathcal{F}_1$  is a  $\sigma$ -field, since the result can be obtained in the same way for  $\mathcal{F}_2$ . Set  $A = \{1\}$ . Then  $\{2,3\}$  is  $A^c$  and it is obvious that  $\mathcal{F}_1$  satisfies the first two conditions in the definition of a  $\sigma$ -field. As for  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ ,  $A_i \in \mathcal{F}_1$ , this union can only be A,  $A^c$ ,  $\emptyset$ ,  $\Omega$ , all of which belong to  $\mathcal{F}_1$ , verifying that  $\mathcal{F}_1$  is a  $\sigma$ -field. Indeed, there are only the following 5 cases:

i.  $A_i = \Omega$  for some i. Then  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \Omega$ ;

ii.  $A_i = A$  and  $A_j = A^c$  for some i, j. Then  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \Omega$ ;

iii. (i) and (ii) don't hold and  $A_i = A$  for some i. Then  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = A$ ;

iv. (i) and (ii) don't hold and  $A_i = A^c$  for some i. Then  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = A^c$ ;

v. (i),(ii),(iii) and (iv) don't hold. In this case  $A_i = \emptyset$  for all i. So  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \emptyset$ .

(b)  $\{1,2\} \cap \{2,3\} = \{2\} \notin \Omega$ , so  $\mathcal{F}_1 \cap \mathcal{F}_2$  is not a  $\sigma$ -field.

2. We set  $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $B = \{3, 4, 5\}$ . So  $A^c = \{4, 5\}$  and  $B^c = \{1, 2\}$  and  $A \cap B = \{3\}$ . So  $\sigma(\mathcal{U}) = \{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{3, 4, 5\}, \{4, 5\}, \{1, 2\}, \{3\}, \{1, 2, 4, 5\}, \Omega, \emptyset\}$ .

3.

(a) For  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we know that  $(a, a + \frac{1}{n}] \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ . So  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (a, a + \frac{1}{n}] = (a, a] = \emptyset$  is also in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ .

(b) For  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , since  $\{c\} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (c - \frac{1}{n}, c]$ , it follows that  $\{c\}$  is also in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ .

(c) It holds that

$$(a,b) = (a,b] \setminus \{b\} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$$
$$[a,b] = (a,b] \cup \{a\} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$$

$$[a,b) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (a - \frac{1}{n}, b) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}).$$

4.

- (a) Substitutubg  $Y_{t-1} = \theta_0 + Y_{t-2} + e_{t-1}$  into  $Y_t = \theta_0 + Y_{t-1} + e_t$  and repeating until we get  $e_1$ , we obtain  $Y_t = t\theta_0 + e_t + e_{t-1} + \dots + e_1$ .
- (b) It holds

$$E[Y_t] = E[t\theta_0 + e_t + e_{t-1} + \dots + e_1] = t\theta_0.$$

(c) Suppose  $k \geq 0$ . The autocovariance function for  $(Y_t)$  is

$$Cov(Y_t, Y_{t-k}) = Cov(t\theta_0 + e_t + e_{t-1} + \dots + e_1, (t-k)\theta_0 + e_{t-k} + e_{t-k-1} + \dots + e_1)$$

$$= Cov(e_t + e_{t-1} + \dots + e_1, e_{t-k} + e_{t-k-1} + \dots + e_1)$$

$$= Var(e_{t-k} + e_{t-k-1} + \dots + e_1)$$

$$= (t-k)\sigma_e^2.$$

Equivalently, we can write  $Cov(Y_t, Y_s) = \min\{s, t\}, \quad s, t \ge 0.$ 

5. It holds

$$E[X_n] = E[\xi \cos(\lambda n) + \eta \sin(\lambda n)] = 0,$$

$$Cov(X_n, X_m) = E[X_n X_m] = E[(\xi \cos(\lambda n) + \eta \sin(\lambda n))(\xi \cos(\lambda m) + \eta \sin(\lambda m))]$$

$$= E[\xi^2 \cos(\lambda n) \cos(\lambda m) + \eta^2 \sin(\lambda n) \sin(\lambda m)]$$

$$= \sigma^2(\cos(\lambda n) \cos(\lambda m) + \sin(\lambda n) \sin(\lambda m))$$

$$= \sigma^2 \cos(\lambda (n - m)).$$

6. Consider  $t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n$ . Set

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta t_1) & \sin(\theta t_1) \\ \cos(\theta t_2) & \sin(\theta t_2) \\ \dots & \dots \\ \cos(\theta t_n) & \sin(\theta t_n) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$(X_{t_1}, X_{t_2}, ..., X_{t_n})^{\top} = A \times \begin{bmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{bmatrix}.$$

Sine  $(\xi, \eta)$  is a two-dimensional Gaussian vector,  $(X_{t_1}, X_{t_2}, ..., X_{t_n})^{\top}$  is also a Gaussian

vector with expectation  $\mathbf{0}$  and covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ , where

$$\begin{split} & \Sigma = AA^{\top} \\ & = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta t_1) & \sin(\theta t_1) \\ \cos(\theta t_2) & \sin(\theta t_2) \\ \dots & \dots \\ \cos(\theta t_n) & \sin(\theta t_n) \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta t_1) & \cos(\theta t_2) & \dots \\ \sin(\theta t_1) & \sin(\theta t_2) & \dots \end{bmatrix} \\ & = \begin{bmatrix} \cos^2(\theta t_1) + \sin^2(\theta t_1) & \cos(\theta t_1) \cos(\theta t_2) + \sin(\theta t_1) \sin(\theta t_2) & \dots \\ \cos(\theta t_2) \cos(\theta t_1) + \sin(\theta t_2) \sin(\theta t_1) & \cos^2(\theta t_2) + \sin^2(\theta t_2) & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \cos(\theta t_n) \cos(\theta t_1) + \sin(\theta t_n) \sin(\theta t_1) & \dots & \cos^2(\theta t_n) + \sin^2(\theta t_n) \end{bmatrix} \\ & = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cos(\theta (t_1 - t_2)) & \dots \\ \cos(\theta (t_2 - t_1)) & 1 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \cos(\theta (t_n - t_1)) & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

So the finite-dimensional distributions of  $\{X_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$  are all Gaussian. This indicates that  $\{X_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is a Gaussian process.