

# Lab 3 – Dubins trajectories

REPLAN team

Tuesday 11<sup>th</sup> March, 2025

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Theoretical background</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Implementing a Dubins trajectory in the plan</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Proposed exercises</b>	<b>4</b>

## The idea

Using the mathematical model of the Dubins car, we build the Dubins trajectories to achieve a desired configuration.

## 1 Theoretical background

We consider the simplified “Dubins car” which cannot slip laterally (“sideslip”) and which can go only forward [1]:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = u_V \cos \theta, \\ \dot{y} = u_V \sin \theta, \\ \dot{\theta} = \frac{u_V}{L} \tan u_\theta, \end{cases} \xrightarrow{\text{simplified}} \begin{cases} \dot{x} = \cos \theta \\ \dot{y} = \sin \theta \\ \dot{\theta} = u \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

The car is driven by  $u_V \in \{-1, 1\}$  and  $u \in (-\phi_{\max}, \phi_{\max})$ . The state vector is given by position ( $x$  and  $y$ ) and orientation ( $\theta$ ). The dynamics impose limitations:

- The car cannot turn “in place”; it has a maximal turn angle  $\phi_{\max} < \pi/2$ , or, equivalently stated, a minimum turn radius  $\rho_{\min} = L / \tan \phi_{\max}$ ;
- The model is nonholonomic because there appear differential constraints which cannot be integrated away, in our case:

$$-\dot{x} \sin \phi + \dot{y} \cos \phi = 0.$$

We are interested in tracing a path between two arbitrary configurations (configuration = combination of position and orientation of the vehicle):

$$(x(t_i), y(t_i), \phi(t_i)) \longrightarrow (x(t_f), y(t_f), \phi(t_f)) \quad (2)$$

It is known that, when minimizing the path length,

$$L(\tilde{q}, \tilde{u}) = \int_{t_i}^{t_f} \sqrt{\dot{x}(t)^2 + \dot{y}(t)^2} dt \quad (3)$$

for any pair of configurations there are only 6 optimal possibilities for tracing the Dubins trajectory:

$$\{LRL, RLR, LSL, LSR, RSL, RSR\}, \quad (4)$$

where,  $L$  – left,  $R$  – right și  $S$  – straight. Hence, the path between two successive configurations is uniquely defined by the word chosen from (4) and the length of each component (angle for  $L, R$ , or distance for  $S$ ).

## 2 Implementing a Dubins trajectory in the plan

There are many libraries that generate Dubins paths, for example, [https://github.com/fgabbert/dubins\\_py](https://github.com/fgabbert/dubins_py) which has the major advantage that it is “simple”: there are no dependencies, it can be used regardless of the platform.

For illustration, this is the code snippet that calculates the parameters of an LSL path:

```

1 def dubinsLSL(alpha, beta, d):
    tmp0 = d + math.sin(alpha) - math.sin(beta)
3    tmp1 = math.atan2((math.cos(beta) - math.cos(alpha)), tmp0)
    p_squared = 2 + d*d - (2*math.cos(alpha-beta)) + (2*d*(math.sin(alpha) - math.sin(beta)))
5    if p_squared < 0:
        print('No LSL Path')
7        p = -1
        q = -1
        t = -1
9    else:
11        t = (tmp1 - alpha) % (2*math.pi)
        p = math.sqrt(p_squared)
13        q = (beta - tmp1) % (2*math.pi)
    return t, p, q

```

The implementation is based on the article [2] and the parameters that appear in the code snippet respect the relation (the fragment computes the path length for the LSL word):

$$L_q(S_p(L_t(0, 0, \alpha))) = (d, 0, \beta),$$

which says that, starting from a configuration  $(0, 0, \alpha)$  we arrive at  $(d, 0, \beta) \leftarrow$  various changes of coordinates and assumptions are made to arrive at these particular forms. The implementation of the code is based on solving the equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 p \cos(\alpha + t) - \sin \alpha + \sin \beta &= d \\
 p \sin(\alpha + t) + \cos \alpha - \cos \beta &= 0 \\
 \alpha + t + q &= \beta [\text{mod } 2\pi]
 \end{aligned}$$

whose solution is given as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 t &= -\alpha + \arctan \frac{\cos \beta - \cos \alpha}{d + \sin \alpha - \sin \beta} [\text{mod } 2\pi] \\
 p &= \sqrt{2 + d^2 - 2 \cos(\alpha - \beta) + 2d(\sin \alpha - \sin \beta)} \\
 q &= \beta - \arctan \frac{\cos \beta - \cos \alpha}{d + \sin \alpha - \sin \beta} [\text{mod } 2\pi]
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, the length of the path is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{LSL}} = -\alpha + \beta + p_{\text{LSL}}.$$

### 3 Proposed exercises

Using and modifying the available code, solve the following exercises.

*Exercise 1.* Consider a list of  $N \geq 10$  waypoints whose configuration and order of passing are known. Implement the following:

- i) Compute and plot the overall Dubins trajectory (stitching together all consecutive segments).
- ii) Plot the total path length as a function of  $\rho_{min}$ , the minimum turn radius.

*Exercise 2.* Consider a list of three waypoints given as

$$(x_1, y_1, \theta_1), \quad (x_2, y_2, \star), \quad (x_3, y_3, \theta_3)$$

where the orientation of the middle waypoint is left undefined ( $\theta_2 = \star$ ). Implement the following:

- i) Compute and plot the resulted trajectories for a range of values of  $\theta_2 \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ .
- ii) Plot the total path length as a function of  $\theta_2$ . Do you observe a change in active words?
- iii) Repeat the procedure but for a different combination of initial and final way-point.

*Exercise 3.* Consider a list of  $N \geq 10$  waypoints whose configuration is fully-known and where the first and last waypoint are known. Construct the shortest path passing through all of them. **Indication:** You can construct an adjacency graph where the weight of the edge connecting two WPs is given by the Dubins trajectory. Then, you may solve the exercise as a “traveling salesman problem”.

*Exercise 4.* Consider the decision table for the shortest path

	1	2	3	4
1	RSL	if $S_{12} < 0$ then RSR if $S_{12} > 0$ then RSL	if $S_{13} < 0$ then RSR if $S_{13} > 0$ then LSR	if $S_{14}^1 > 0$ then LSR if $S_{14}^2 > 0$ then RSL, else RSR
2	if $S_{21} < 0$ then LSL if $S_{21} > 0$ then RSL	if $S_{22}^1 < 0$ then LSL if $S_{22}^2 > 0$ then RSL if $S_{22}^3 < 0$ then RSR if $S_{22}^4 > 0$ then RSL	RSR	if $S_{24} < 0$ then RSR if $S_{24} > 0$ then RSL
3	if $S_{31} < 0$ then LSL if $S_{31} > 0$ then LSR	LSL	if $S_{33}^1 < 0$ then RSR if $S_{33}^2 > 0$ then LSR if $S_{33}^3 < 0$ then LSL if $S_{33}^4 > 0$ then LSR	if $S_{34} < 0$ then RSR if $S_{34} > 0$ then LSR
4	if $S_{41}^1 > 0$ then RSL if $S_{41}^2 > 0$ then LSR, else LSL	if $S_{42} < 0$ then LSL if $S_{42} > 0$ then RSL	if $S_{43} < 0$ then LSL if $S_{43} > 0$ then LSR	LSR

with

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{12} &= p_{RSR} - p_{RSL} - 2(q_{RSL} - \pi), & S_{13} &= t_{RSR} - \pi \\
 S_{14}^1 &= t_{RSR} - \pi, & S_{14}^2 &= q_{RSR} - \pi \\
 S_{21} &= p_{LSL} - p_{RSL} - 2(t_{RSL} - \pi) & S_{22}^1 &= p_{LSL} - p_{RSL} - 2(t_{RSL} - \pi) \\
 S_{22}^2 &= p_{LSL} - p_{RSL} - 2(q_{RSL} - \pi) & S_{24} &= q_{RSR} - \pi
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{31} &= q_{LSL} - \pi, & S_{33}^1 &= p_{RSR} - p_{LSR} - 2(t_{LSR} - \pi) \\
S_{33}^2 &= p_{RSR} - p_{LSL} - 2(q_{LSR} - \pi), & S_{34} &= p_{RSR} - p_{LSR} - 2(t_{LSR} - \pi) \\
S_{41}^1 &= t_{LSL} - \pi, & S_{41}^2 &= q_{LSL} - \pi \\
S_{42} &= t_{LSL} - \pi, & S_{43} &= p_{LSL} - p_{LSR} - 2(q_{LSR} - \pi)
\end{aligned}$$

Sample the space of  $(\alpha, \beta) \in (-\pi, \pi)^2$  with a uniform grid (for example, with  $100 \times 100$  points) and, using the table and associated switching functions, determine the active regions (by example, color “blue” for all points that correspond to RSL).

## References

- [1] Steven LaValle. *Planning Algorithms / Motion Planning*. Cambridge university press, 2006. URL: <https://lavalle.pl/planning/> (visited on 02/04/2025).
- [2] Andrei M. Shkel and Vladimir Lumelsky. “Classification of the Dubins set”. In: *Robotics and Autonomous Systems* 34.4 (2001). Publisher: Elsevier, pp. 179–202. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0921889000001275> (visited on 03/09/2025).