Technische Universität München

Assignment 4: MPI Collectives and MPI-IO

Programming of Super Computers

Friedrich Menhorn, Benjamin Rüth, Erik Wannerberg Team 12

February 2, 2016





ШП

Contents

1. MPI Collectives

- 1.1 Optimizations
- 1.2 Performance Measurements

2. MPI Parallel IO

- 2.1 Data Sieving and 2-Phase IO
- 2.2 Scalability of original application
- 2.3 Impact of parallel IO





1. MPI Collectives

- 1.1 Optimizations
- 1.2 Performance Measurements

2. MPI Parallel IO

- 2.1 Data Sieving and 2-Phase IO
- 2.2 Scalability of original application
- 2.3 Impact of parallel IC





Optimizations

Sending the dimensions of the matrix to all processes:

Original code:





Distribute matrix blocks among all processes:

Original code:

```
// send a block to each process
if(rank == 0) {
    int i:
    for(i = 1: i < size: i++){
        MPI_Send(A_array, ...);
        MPI Send(B array, ...):
    for(i = 0; i < A_local_block_size; i</pre>
        ++){
        A local block[i] = A arrav[i]:
    for(i = 0; i < B_local_block_size; i</pre>
         ++){
        B local block[i] = B arrav[i]:
} else {
    MPI Recv(A local block, ...):
    MPI_Recv(B_local_block, ...);
}
```





Distribute matrix blocks among all processes and do initial rotation of blocks:

Original code:

```
// send a block to each process
...
// fix initial arrangements before the core algorithm starts
if(coordinates[0] != 0){
    MPI_Sendrecv_replace(A_local_block, ...);
}
if(coordinates[1] != 0){
    MPI_Sendrecv_replace(B_local_block, ...);
}
```

```
// send a block to each process and fix
     initial arrangements before the
     core algorithm starts
int *send count= (int *) malloc(...);
int *displs_A = (int *) malloc(...);
int *displs_B = (int *) malloc(...);
int displ coord A col = ...
int displ_coord_B_row = ...
int displs_local_A = ...
int displs_local_B = ...
MPI_Gather(&displs_local_A, ...);
MPI_Gather(&displs_local_B, ...);
for(i = 0: i < size: i++){
send_count[i] = A_local_block_size;
MPI_Scatterv(A_array, ...);
MPI_Scatterv(B_array, ...);
```





Collect results after computation loop:

Original code:





Expectations

Performance:

Higher performance due to highly optimized MPI collectives (might also consider network topology etc.) vs. naive implementation via MPI_Send/MPI_Recv.

Scaling:

Efficient implementation of Broadcast, Gather, Scatter use treelike distribution of values, $\mathcal{O}(n) \to \mathcal{O}(\log(n))$. This means we also benefit with respect to scalability.





Readability and Maintainability

- Sending dimensions with MPI_Bcast
- Distributing matrix blocks MPI_Scatter
- Distributing matrix blocks and initial alignment with MPI_Scatterv
 - → introduces complicated offsets
 - → hard to see what actually happens (distribution & rotation)
- ⊕ Collecting results using MPI_Gather





Performance Measurements

Show some measurements for falsification/verification of our guess



1. MPI Collectives

- 1.1 Optimizations
- 1.2 Performance Measurements

2. MPI Parallel IO

- 2.1 Data Sieving and 2-Phase IO
- 2.2 Scalability of original application
- 2.3 Impact of parallel IO



Data Sieving and 2-Phase IO

What is "Data Sieving" and "2-Phase IO"? How do they help improve IO performance?



Scalability of original application

- Was the original implementation scalable in terms of IO performance?
- Was the original implementation scalable in terms of RAM storage?



Impact of parallel IO

How much of the communication in the application was replaced with MPI-IO operations?