## strmst2 and strmst2pw

New commands to compare survival curves using the restricted mean survival time

Lertkong Nitiwarangkul, MD.

The Stata Journal (2016) 16, Number 3, pp. 702–716

# strmst2 and strmst2pw: New commands to compare survival curves using the restricted mean survival time

Angel Cronin
Dana-Farber Cancer Institute
Boston, MA
AngelM\_Cronin@dfci.harvard.edu

Lu Tian
Stanford University
Stanford, CA
lutian@stanford.edu

Hajime Uno
Dana-Farber Cancer Institute
Boston, MA
huno@jimmy.harvard.edu

## Briefly summarize

## Restricted mean survival time (RMST) Restricted mean time lost (RMTL)

Useful alternatives to hazard ratio (HR)

• In the situation that HR cannot summarized the result

### Strmst2 command

- **RMST**: Restricted mean survival time
  - Summary measure of the survival-time distribution
  - Unlike hazard ratio, which relies on adequacy of hazard assumption
  - More robust and clinically interpretable results
- Report in term of
  - Difference in RMST
  - Ratio of RMST
  - Ratio of restricted mean time lost (RMTL)
- Performs in 2 ways
  - Adjusted analysis for covariance
  - Unadjusted analysis for covariance
- Strmst2pw → Post-estimation command for pairwise comparison

## Outline

- Introduction
- Methods
- Strmst2 command
- Strmst2pw command
- Example
- Conclusion

### Introduction

- Cox proportional hazards (PH) → widely used for survival data
  - Using the hazard ratio as the between-group summary measure.

Somehow,

• There are three most important issues of hazard ratio

## Issues of hazard ratio

- 1.
- Difficulty in interpretation because of the lack of a single summary of the baseline event rate
- Baseline hazard function is not constant over time
- For example
  - HR =  $0.8 \rightarrow 20\%$  risk reduction from the hazard of the control group
  - But the PH regression-based analysis → Not provide a valid summary for this baseline hazard to assist our interpretation of the hazard ratio

### Issues of hazard ratio

- **2**.
- Precision
- If event rate is very low → 95%CI for the HR will be very wide
- For example
- Suppose we randomized 20,000 patients (Treatment VS Placebo)
- Evaluate non-inferiority of the new treatment  $\rightarrow$  Followed patients for 10 years
- 1 event occurred at year five in each group
- Reasonably conclude the new treatment is safe → only 1/10,000 experienced the event
- But with these data, HR = 1 but 95% CI of the HR is wide (0.06 to 16.0)
- Thus we could not conclude the non-inferiority

## Issues of hazard ratio

- 3.
- The theory shows that even when the PH assumption is not correct, the hazard-ratio estimate converges to a constant when the sample size goes to infinity

 However, the constant value depends not only on the differences in the <u>survival-time distribution</u> between the two groups but also on the underlying study-specific <u>censoring time distribution</u>

### In this article

- We introduce the between-group contrast measures calculated from the restricted mean survival time (RMST) as alternatives to the hazard ratio
  - Summary measures based on the RMST
  - Do not require a specific relationship between groups → Model free

• Do not have the aforementioned issues of the hazard ratio.

## Methodology

### The RMST and its inference

The RMST is defined as the area under the curve of the survival function up to a truncation time point  $\tau$  (<  $\infty$ )

$$\mu = \int_0^\tau S(t)dt$$

 $\tau$  is equal to five years. Then,  $\mu$  can be interpreted as 5-year life time expectancy

$$\widehat{\mu} = \int_0^{\tau} \widehat{S}(t)dt$$

S(t) is the Kaplan–Meier (KM) estimator for S(t),

$$\widehat{\operatorname{Var}}(\widehat{\mu}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \int_{X_{(i)}}^{\tau} \widehat{S}(u) du \right\}^{2} \frac{\Delta_{(i)}}{(n-i)(n-i+1)}$$

The restricted mean time lost (RMTL) is defined as the area "above" the curve of the survival function up to a time  $\tau$ 

$$\tau - \mu = \int_0^{\tau} \{1 - S(t)\} dt$$

it may not be reasonable to use the RMTL when au is never theoretically achievable.

### Between-group comparison without covariate adjustment

- We can simply compare these metrics between the two groups using the difference or the ratio
  - 1. Difference in RMST: D1 =  $\mu$ 1  $\mu$ 0
  - 2. Ratio of RMST: D2 =  $\mu 1/\mu 0$
  - 3. Ratio of RMTL: D3 =  $(\tau \mu 1) / (\tau \mu 0)$
- $\mu$ 1 and  $\mu$ 0 are the RMST for treatment groups 1 and 0, respectively

## Between-group comparison with covariate adjustment

- New command strmst2 accommodates an analysis of covariance-type adjusted analysis
  - Can consider covariates in the between group comparison
  - Using the following generalized linear regression model

$$E(Y \mid Z, V) = g^{-1} \left( \alpha + \beta Z + \gamma' V \right)$$

- Z: treatment indicator
- V : vector for baseline covariate

## Strmst2 command

## Syntax

#### • **st** commands

- the data must be declared as survival time using **stset** before using strmst2
- Number variable should always specified
- 1: typically indicating treatment group
- 0: indicating control group

## Option

- tau (#)
  - Specified the truncation time point for RMST calculation
  - Need to be smaller than the minimum of the largest observed time (Event or Censor)
  - Default: Use the minimum of the largest observed event time
- covariates (varlist)
  - Specifies covariates to be used for the adjusted analyses
  - Default is to perform unadjusted analyses
  - Can be one variable or more than one variable

## Option

- level (#)
  - Specified the confidence level
  - Default is (95) → 95% confidence interval
- reference (#)
  - Specified the reference category
  - Default is smallest value
- rtml
  - displays between group contrast, in addition to the metrics of RMST
  - Default show only between-group contrast for the RMST

## Strmst2pw command

## Syntax

```
strmst2pw indicator1 [, rmtl] (Syntax 1)
strmst2pw indicator1 reference_indicator [, rmtl] (Syntax 2)
```

- strmst2pw: used after strmst2 with the covariates() option
- Summary of between-group contrasts
  - Displayed for the group identified by indicator1 versus the group identified by reference indicator

## Option

- rtml
  - displays between group contrast, in addition to the metrics of RMST
  - Default show only between-group contrast for the RMST

## Example

Using data from PBC study conducted by the Mayo Clinic

## Code variable for example

- Variable for time on study → Year
- Survival status
  - 1 = Died
  - 0 = Survived
- Treatment variable
  - 1 = Treatment arm
  - 0 = Control arm

## Unadjusted analysis

- Specified truncation time of  $10 \rightarrow tau (10)$ 
  - Interpreted for follow-up time of 10 years
- Reference not specified → reference ()
  - Result will be presented versus the default reference group
- Control arm is coded with smallest value
  - Default in reference group
- rmtl option is specified
  - The results will show both RMTL as well as RMST metrics

## strmst2 treatment, tau(10) rmtl

Number of observations for analysis = 312

The truncation time: tau = 10 was specified.

Restricted Mean Survival Time (RMST) by arm

Group	Estimate	Std. Err.	[95% Conf.	Interval]
arm 1	7.146	0.284	6.589	7.704
arm 0	7.283	0.297	6.700	7.866

Restricted Mean Time Lost (RMTL) by arm

Group	Estimate	Std. Err.	[95% Conf.	Interval]
arm 1	2.854	0.284	2.296	3.411
arm 0	2.717	0.297	2.134	3.300

Between-group contrast (arm 1 versus arm 0)

Contrast	Estimate	[95% Conf. Interval]	P> z
RMST (arm 1 - arm 0) RMST (arm 1 / arm 0)	-0.137 0.981	-0.943 0.669 0.877 1.097	0.739 0.739
RMTL (arm 1 / arm 0)	1.050	0.786 1.404	0.740

## Adjusted analysis

- Specify the covariates() option to the command
- Example
- Use 3 baseline variables as covariates for adjustment
  - age
  - bili
  - albumin
- Specifying truncation time of 10 years
- reference () option is not specified

## strmst2 treatment, tau(10) covariates(age bili albumin) rmtl

Number of observations for analysis = 312

The truncation time: tau = 10 was specified.

Note: adjusted analysis may take a few minutes to run...

Model summary (difference of RMST)

	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
intercept	2.743	2.134	1.29	0.199	-1.440	6.926
_Itreatment_1	-0.210	0.343	-0.61	0.540	-0.883	0.463
age	-0.069	0.018	-3.90	0.000	-0.103	-0.034
bili	-0.325	0.039	-8.39	0.000	-0.401	-0.249
albumin	2.550	0.472	5.40	0.000	1.624	3.475

#### Model summary (ratio of RMST)

Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	exp(Coef.)	[95% Conf.	Interval]
1.369	0.356	3.84	0.000	3.930	1.955	7.899
-0.033	0.050	-0.65	0.514	0.968	0.877	1.068
-0.009	0.003	-3.41	0.001	0.991	0.985	0.996
-0.087	0.013	-6.52	0.000	0.917	0.893	0.941
0.360	0.080	4.49	0.000	1.434	1.225	1.678
	1.369 -0.033 -0.009 -0.087	1.369 0.356 -0.033 0.050 -0.009 0.003 -0.087 0.013	1.369 0.356 3.84 -0.033 0.050 -0.65 -0.009 0.003 -3.41 -0.087 0.013 -6.52	1.369 0.356 3.84 0.000 -0.033 0.050 -0.65 0.514 -0.009 0.003 -3.41 0.001 -0.087 0.013 -6.52 0.000	1.369 0.356 3.84 0.000 3.930 -0.033 0.050 -0.65 0.514 0.968 -0.009 0.003 -3.41 0.001 0.991 -0.087 0.013 -6.52 0.000 0.917	1.369

#### Model summary (ratio of time-lost)

	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	exp(Coef.)	[95% Conf.	Interval]
intercept	1.992	0.695	2.86	0.004	7.332	1.876	28.655
_Itreatment_1	0.035	0.127	0.27	0.786	1.035	0.806	1.329
age	0.025	0.007	3.81	0.000	1.026	1.012	1.039
bili	0.063	0.008	8.33	0.000	1.065	1.049	1.080
albumin	-0.750	0.149	-5.03	0.000	0.472	0.353	0.633

## Extension to more than two comparison groups

• strmst2: Accommodate comparisons of more than 2 treatment groups

- Example
  - We create the third treatment
  - Specifying the reference (2)  $\rightarrow$  treatment =2 will be reference group

## More than 2 groups (Unadjusted covariate)

```
. replace treatment=2 if runiform()<0.3
(97 real changes made)
. strmst2 treatment, tau(10) reference(2) rmtl</pre>
```

Number of observations for analysis = 312

The truncation time: tau = 10 was specified.

Restricted Mean Survival Time (RMST) by arm

Group	Estimate	Std. Err.	[95% Conf.	Interval]	
arm 2	7.485	0.351	6.797	8.173	
arm 1	7.165	0.338	6.502	7.828	
arm 0	7.019	0.379	6.277	7.761	

#### Restricted Mean Time Lost (RMTL) by arm

Group	Estimate	Std. Err.	[95% Conf.	Interval]
arm 2	2.515	0.351	1.827	3.203
arm 1	2.835	0.338	2.172	3.498
arm 0	2.981	0.379	2.239	3.723

#### Between-group contrast (arm 1 versus arm 2)

Contrast	Estimate	[95% Conf. Interval]	P> z
RMST (arm 1 - arm 2)	-0.320	-1.275 0.636	0.512
RMST (arm 1 / arm 2)	0.957	0.840 1.091	0.512
RMTL (arm 1 / arm 2)	1.127	0.787 1.615	0.514

#### Between-group contrast (arm 0 versus arm 2)

Contrast	Estimate	[95% Conf. Interval]	P> z
RMST (arm 0 - arm 2)	-0.466	-1.478 0.546	0.367
RMST (arm 0 / arm 2)	0.938	0.815 1.079	0.368
RMTL (arm 0 / arm 2)	1.185	0.819 1.716	0.367

## More than 2 group (Covariate adjustment)

. strmst2 treatment, tau(10) covariates(age bili albumin) reference(2) rmtl

Number of observations for analysis = 312

The truncation time: tau = 10 was specified.

Note: adjusted analysis may take a few minutes to run...

Model summary (difference of RMST)

	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
intercept	2.539	2.132	1.19	0.234	-1.639	6.717
_Itreatment_0	-0.169	0.416	-0.41	0.685	-0.985	0.647
_Itreatment_1	-0.202	0.398	-0.51	0.612	-0.982	0.579
age	-0.068	0.018	-3.82	0.000	-0.102	-0.033
bili	-0.321	0.039	-8.32	0.000	-0.397	-0.246
albumin	2.596	0.476	5.45	0.000	1.662	3.530

#### Model summary (ratio of RMST)

	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	exp(Coef.)	[95% Conf.	Interval]
intercept	1.317	0.359	3.67	0.000	3.733	1.846	7.550
_Itreatment_0	-0.002	0.060	-0.03	0.978	0.998	0.887	1.123
_Itreatment_1	-0.024	0.060	-0.39	0.694	0.977	0.868	1.099
age	-0.009	0.003	-3.26	0.001	0.991	0.986	0.996
bili	-0.087	0.013	-6.65	0.000	0.917	0.894	0.941
albumin	0.368	0.081	4.55	0.000	1.445	1.233	1.693

#### Model summary (ratio of time-lost)

	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	exp(Coef.)	[95% Conf.	Interval]
intercept	2.024	0.684	2.96	0.003	7.569	1.979	28.949
_Itreatment_0	0.168	0.160	1.05	0.292	1.183	0.865	1.617
_Itreatment_1	0.055	0.145	0.38	0.704	1.057	0.795	1.405
age	0.026	0.007	3.92	0.000	1.026	1.013	1.039
bili	0.060	0.008	8.00	0.000	1.062	1.047	1.078
albumin	-0.783	0.154	-5.08	0.000	0.457	0.338	0.618

. strmst2pw \_Itreatment\_1 \_Itreatment\_0, rmtl
Summary of between-group contrast (adjusted for the covariates)

	Estimate	[95% Conf. Interval]	P> z
RMST (arm 1 - arm 0) RMST (arm 1 / arm 0) RMTL (arm 1 / arm 0)	-0.033	-0.892 0.827	0.940
	0.978	0.862 1.110	0.732
	0.893	0.654 1.220	0.478

. strmst2pw \_Itreatment\_1, rmtl
Summary of between-group contrast (adjusted for the covariates)

	Estimate	[95% Conf. Interval]	P> z
RMST (arm 1 - arm 2) RMST (arm 1 / arm 2) RMTL (arm 1 / arm 2)	-0.202	-0.982 0.579	0.612
	0.977	0.868 1.099	0.694
	1.057	0.795 1.405	0.704

### Conclusion

- strmst2 and strmst2pw
- Report in term of
  - Difference in RMST
  - Ratio of RMST
  - Ratio of restricted mean time lost (RMTL)
- Summarize the difference between survival distributions
- These are useful alternatives to hazard ratio (HR)

## Thank you for your attention

## STATA example