

Stringy Jacobi fields in Morse theory

Yong Seung Cho*

*National Institute for Mathematical Sciences, 385-16 Doryong, Yuseong, Daejeon 305-340 Korea and
Department of Mathematics, Ewha Womans University, Seoul 120-750 Korea*

Soon-Tae Hong†

*Department of Science Education and Research Institute for Basic Sciences, Ewha Womans University, Seoul 120-750 Korea
(Dated: February 11, 2013)*

We consider the variation of the surface spanned by closed strings in a spacetime manifold. Using the Nambu-Goto string action, we induce the geodesic surface equation, the geodesic surface deviation equation which yields a Jacobi field, and we define the index form of a geodesic surface as in the case of point particles to discuss conjugate strings on the geodesic surface.

PACS numbers: 02.40.-k, 04.20.-q, 04.90.+e, 11.25.-w, 11.40.-q

Keywords: Nambu-Goto string action, geodesic surface, Jacobi field, index of geodesic surface, conjugate strings

I. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that string theory [1, 2] is one of the best candidates for a consistent quantum theory of gravity to yield a unification theory of all the four basic forces in nature. In D-brane models [2], closed strings represent gravitons propagating on a curved manifold, while open strings describe gauge bosons such as photons, or matter attached on the D-branes. Moreover, because the two ends of an open string can always meet and connect, forming a closed string, there are no string theories without closed strings.

On the other hand, the supersymmetric quantum mechanics has been exploited by Witten [3] to discuss the Morse inequalities [4, 5, 6]. The Morse indices for pair of critical points of the symplectic action function have been also investigated based on the spectral flow of the Hessian of the symplectic function [7], and on the Hilbert spaces the Morse homology [8] has been considered to discuss the critical points associated with the Morse index [9]. The string topology was initiated in the seminal work of Chas and Sullivan [10]. Using the Morse theoretic techniques, Cohen in Ref. [11] constructs string topology operations on the loop space of a manifold and relates the string topology operations to the counting of pseudo-holomorphic curves in the cotangent bundle. He also speculates the relation between the Gromov-Witten invariant [12] of the cotangent bundle and the string topology of the underlying manifold. Recently, the Jacobi fields and their eigenvalues of the Sturm-Liouville operator associated with the particle geodesics on a curved manifold have been investigated [13], to relate the phase factor of the partition function to the eta invariant of Atiyah [14, 15].

In this paper, we will exploit the Nambu-Goto string

action to investigate the geodesic surface equation and the geodesic surface deviation equation associated with a Jacobi field. The index form of a geodesic surface will be also discussed for the closed strings on the curved manifold.

In Section II, the string action will be introduced to investigate the geodesic surface equation in terms of the world sheet currents associated with τ and σ world sheet coordinate directions. By taking the second variation of the surface spanned by closed strings, the geodesic surface deviation equation will be discussed for the closed strings on the curved manifold. In Section III, exploiting the orthonormal gauge, the index form of a geodesic surface will be also investigated together with breaks on the string tubes. The geodesic surface deviation equation in the orthonormal gauge will be exploited to discuss the Jacobi field on the geodesic surface.

II. STRINGY GEODESIC SURFACES IN MORSE THEORY

In analogy of the relativistic action of a point particle, the action for a string is proportional to the area of the surface spanned in spacetime manifold M by the evolution of the string. In order to define the action on the curved manifold, let (M, g_{ab}) be a n -dimensional manifold associated with the metric g_{ab} . Given g_{ab} , we can have a unique covariant derivative ∇_a satisfying [6] $\nabla_a g_{bc} = 0$, $\nabla_a \omega^b = \partial_a \omega^b + \Gamma_{ac}^b \omega^c$ and

$$(\nabla_a \nabla_b - \nabla_b \nabla_a) \omega_c = R_{abc}^d \omega_d. \quad (2.1)$$

We parameterize the closed string by two world sheet coordinates τ and σ , and then we have the corresponding vector fields $\xi^a = (\partial/\partial\tau)^a$ and $\zeta^a = (\partial/\partial\sigma)^a$. The Nambu-Goto string action is then given by [1, 2, 16]

$$S = - \int \int d\tau d\sigma f(\tau, \sigma) \quad (2.2)$$

*Electronic address: yescho@ewha.ac.kr

†Electronic address: soonhong@ewha.ac.kr

where the coordinates τ and σ have ranges $0 \leq \tau \leq T$ and $0 \leq \sigma \leq 2\pi$ respectively and

$$f(\tau, \sigma) = [(\xi \cdot \zeta)^2 - (\xi \cdot \xi)(\zeta \cdot \zeta)]^{1/2}. \quad (2.3)$$

We now perform an infinitesimal variation of the tubes $\gamma_\alpha(\tau, \sigma)$ traced by the closed string during its evolution in order to find the geodesic surface equation from the least action principle. Here we impose the restriction that the length of the string circumference is τ independent. Let the vector field $\eta^a = (\partial/\partial\alpha)^a$ be the deviation vector which represents the displacement to an infinitesimally nearby tube, and let Σ denote the three-dimensional submanifold spanned by the tubes $\gamma_\alpha(\tau, \sigma)$. We then may choose τ, σ and α as coordinates of Σ to yield the commutator relations,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\xi \eta^a &= \xi^b \nabla_b \eta^a - \eta^b \nabla_b \xi^a = 0, \\ \mathcal{L}_\zeta \eta^a &= \zeta^b \nabla_b \eta^a - \eta^b \nabla_b \zeta^a = 0, \\ \mathcal{L}_\xi \zeta^a &= \xi^b \nabla_b \zeta^a - \zeta^b \nabla_b \xi^a = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Now we find the first variation as follows [17]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dS}{d\alpha} &= \int \int d\tau d\sigma \eta_b (\xi^a \nabla_a P_\tau^b + \zeta^a \nabla_a P_\sigma^b) \\ &\quad - \int d\sigma P_\tau^b \eta_b|_{\tau=0}^{\tau=T} - \int d\tau P_\sigma^b \eta_b|_{\sigma=0}^{\sigma=2\pi}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where the world sheet currents associated with τ and σ directions are respectively given by [17]

$$\begin{aligned} P_\tau^a &= \frac{1}{f} [(\xi \cdot \zeta) \zeta^a - (\zeta \cdot \zeta) \xi^a], \\ P_\sigma^a &= \frac{1}{f} [(\xi \cdot \zeta) \xi^a - (\xi \cdot \xi) \zeta^a]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Using the endpoint conditions $\eta^a(0) = \eta^a(T) = 0$ and periodic condition $\eta^a(\sigma+2\pi) = \eta^a(\sigma)$, we have the geodesic surface equation [17]

$$\xi^a \nabla_a P_\tau^b + \zeta^a \nabla_a P_\sigma^b = 0, \quad (2.7)$$

and the constraint identities [17]

$$\begin{aligned} P_\tau \cdot \zeta &= 0, \quad P_\tau \cdot P_\tau + \zeta \cdot \zeta = 0, \\ P_\sigma \cdot \xi &= 0, \quad P_\sigma \cdot P_\sigma + \xi \cdot \xi = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Let $\gamma_\alpha(\tau, \sigma)$ denote a smooth one-parameter family of geodesic surfaces: for each $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, the tube γ_α is a geodesic surface parameterized by affine parameters τ and σ . For an infinitesimally nearby geodesic surface in the family, we then have the following geodesic surface deviation equation

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^b \nabla_b (\eta^c \nabla_c P_\tau^a) + \zeta^b \nabla_b (\eta^c \nabla_c P_\sigma^a) \\ + R_{bcd}^a (\xi^b P_\tau^d + \zeta^b P_\sigma^d) \eta^c \equiv (\Lambda \eta)^a = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

For a small variation η^a , our goal is to compare $S(\alpha)$ with $S(0)$ of the string. The second variation $d^2S/d\alpha^2(0)$ is

then needed only when $dS/d\alpha(0) = 0$. Explicitly, the second variation is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2S}{d\alpha^2}|_{\alpha=0} &= - \int \int d\tau d\sigma [(\eta^c \nabla_c P_\tau^b)(\xi^a \nabla_a \eta_b) \\ &\quad + (\eta^c \nabla_c P_\sigma^b)(\zeta^a \nabla_a \eta_b) - R_{acb}^d (\xi^a P_\tau^b + \zeta^a P_\sigma^b) \eta^c \eta_d] \\ &\quad - \int d\sigma P_\tau^b \eta^a \nabla_a \eta_b|_{\tau=0}^{\tau=T} - \int d\tau P_\sigma^b \eta^a \nabla_a \eta_b|_{\sigma=0}^{\sigma=2\pi}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Here the boundary terms vanish for the fixed endpoint and the periodic conditions, even though on the geodesic surface we have breaks which we will explain later. After some algebra using the geodesic surface deviation equation, we have

$$\frac{d^2S}{d\alpha^2}|_{\alpha=0} = \int \int d\tau d\sigma \eta_a (\Lambda \eta)^a. \quad (2.11)$$

III. JACOBI FIELDS IN ORTHONORMAL GAUGE

The string action and the corresponding equations of motion are invariant under reparameterization $\tilde{\sigma} = \tilde{\sigma}(\tau, \sigma)$ and $\tilde{\tau} = \tilde{\tau}(\tau, \sigma)$. We have then gauge degrees of freedom so that we can choose the orthonormal gauge as follows [17]

$$\xi \cdot \zeta = 0, \quad \xi \cdot \xi + \zeta \cdot \zeta = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

where the plus sign in the second equation is due to the fact that $\xi \cdot \xi$ is timelike and $\zeta \cdot \zeta$ is spacelike. Note that the gauge fixing (3.1) for the world sheet coordinates means that the tangent vectors are orthonormal everywhere up to a local scale factor [17]. In this parameterization the world sheet currents (2.6) satisfying the constraints (2.8) are of the form

$$P_\tau^a = -\xi^a, \quad P_\sigma^a = \zeta^a. \quad (3.2)$$

The geodesic surface equation and the geodesic surface deviation equation read

$$-\xi^a \nabla_a \xi^b + \zeta^a \nabla_a \zeta^b = 0, \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -\xi^b \nabla_b (\xi^c \nabla_c \eta^a) + \zeta^b \nabla_b (\zeta^c \nabla_c \eta^a) \\ - R_{bcd}^a (\xi^b \xi^d - \zeta^b \zeta^d) \eta^c = (\Lambda \eta)^a = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

We now restrict ourselves to strings on constant scalar curvature manifold such as S^n . We take an ansatz that on this manifold the string shape on the geodesic surface γ_0 is the same as that on a nearby geodesic surface γ_α at a given time τ . We can thus construct the variation vectors $\eta^a(\tau)$ as vectors associated with the centers of the string of the two nearby geodesic surfaces at the given time τ . We then introduce an orthonormal basis of spatial

vectors e_i^a ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n-2$) orthogonal to ξ^a and ζ^a and parallelly propagated along the geodesic surface. The geodesic surface deviation equation (3.4) then yields for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n-2$

$$\frac{d^2 \eta^i}{d\tau^2} + (R_{\tau j \tau}^i - R_{\sigma j \sigma}^i) \eta^j = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

The value of η^i at time τ must depend linearly on the initial data $\eta^i(0)$ and $\frac{d\eta^i}{d\tau}(0)$ at $\tau = 0$. Since by construction $\eta^i(0) = 0$ for the family of geodesic surfaces, we must have

$$\eta^i(\tau) = A^i_j(\tau) \frac{d\eta^j}{d\tau}(0). \quad (3.6)$$

Inserting (3.6) into (3.5) we have the differential equation for $A^i_j(\tau)$

$$\frac{d^2 A^i_j}{d\tau^2} + (R_{\tau k \tau}^i - R_{\sigma k \sigma}^i) A^k_j = 0, \quad (3.7)$$

with the initial conditions

$$A^i_j(0) = 0, \quad \frac{dA^i_j}{d\tau}(0) = \delta^i_j. \quad (3.8)$$

Note that in (3.7) we have the last term originated from the contribution of string property.

Next we consider the second variation equation (2.10) under the above restrictions

$$\frac{d^2 S}{d\alpha^2}|_{\alpha=0} = \int \int d\tau d\sigma \left(\frac{d\eta^i}{d\tau} \frac{\eta_i}{d\tau} - (R_{\tau j \tau}^i - R_{\sigma j \sigma}^i) \eta^j \eta_i \right). \quad (3.9)$$

We define the index form I_γ of a geodesic surface γ as the unique symmetric bilinear form $I_\gamma : T_\gamma \times T_\gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$I_\gamma(V, V) = \frac{d^2 S}{d\alpha^2}|_{\alpha=0} \quad (3.10)$$

for $V \in T_\gamma$. From (3.9) we can easily find

$$I_\gamma(V, W) = \int \int d\tau d\sigma \left(\frac{dW^m}{d\tau} \frac{dV_m}{d\tau} - (R_{\tau j \tau}^m - R_{\sigma j \sigma}^m) W^j V_m \right). \quad (3.11)$$

If we have breaks $0 = \tau_0 < \dots < \tau_{k+1} = T$, and the restriction of γ to each set $[\tau_{i-1}, \tau_i]$ is smooth, then the tube γ is piecewise smooth. The variation vector field V of γ is always piecewise smooth. However $dV/d\tau$ will generally have a discontinuity at each break τ_i ($1 \leq i \leq k$). This discontinuity is measured by

$$\Delta \frac{dV}{d\tau}(\tau_i) = \frac{dV}{d\tau}(\tau_i^+) - \frac{dV}{d\tau}(\tau_i^-), \quad (3.12)$$

where the first term derives from the restrictions $\gamma|[\tau_i, \tau_{i+1}]$ and the second from $\gamma|[\tau_{i-1}, \tau_i]$. If γ and $V \in T_\gamma$ have the breaks $\tau_1 < \dots < \tau_k$, we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^k \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_{i+1}} \frac{d}{d\tau} \left(V_m \frac{dW^m}{d\tau} \right) d\tau = - \sum_{i=0}^k V_m \Delta \frac{dW^m}{d\tau}(\tau_i) \quad (3.13)$$

to yield

$$I_\gamma(V, W) = - \int \int d\tau d\sigma V^m \left(\frac{d^2 W^m}{d\tau^2} + (R_{\tau j \tau}^m - R_{\sigma j \sigma}^m) W^j \right) \quad (3.14)$$

$$- \sum_{i=0}^k \int d\sigma V_m \Delta \frac{dW^m}{d\tau}(\tau_i). \quad (3.15)$$

Here note that if we do not have the breaks, (3.9) yields

$$\frac{d^2 S}{d\alpha^2}|_{\alpha=0} = - \int \int d\tau d\sigma \eta_i \left(\frac{d^2 \eta^i}{d\tau^2} + (R_{\tau j \tau}^i - R_{\sigma j \sigma}^i) \eta^j \right). \quad (3.16)$$

A solution η^a of the geodesic surface deviation equation (3.5) is called a Jacobi field on the geodesic surface γ . A pair of strings $p, q \subset \gamma$ defined by the centers of the closed strings on the geodesic surface is then conjugate if there exists a Jacobi field η^a which is not identically zero but vanishes at both strings p and q . Roughly speaking, p and q are conjugate if an infinitesimally nearby geodesic surface intersects γ at both p and q . From (3.6), q will be conjugate to p if and only if there exists nontrivial initial data: $d\eta^i/d\tau(0) \neq 0$, for which $\eta^i = 0$ at q . This occurs if and only if $\det A^i_j = 0$ at q , and thus $\det A^i_j = 0$ is the necessary and sufficient condition for a conjugate string to p . Note that between conjugate strings, we have $\det A^i_j \neq 0$ and thus the inverse of A^i_j exists. Using (3.7) we can easily see that

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\frac{dA_{ij}}{d\tau} A^i_k - A_{ij} \frac{dA^i_k}{d\tau} \right) = 0. \quad (3.17)$$

In addition, the quantity in parenthesis of (3.17) vanishes at p , since $A^i_j(0) = 0$. Along a geodesic surface γ , we thus find

$$\frac{dA_{ij}}{d\tau} A^i_k - A_{ij} \frac{dA^i_k}{d\tau} = 0. \quad (3.18)$$

If γ is a geodesic surface with no string conjugate to p between p and q , then A^i_j defined above will be nonsingular between p and q . We can then define $Y^i = (A^{-1})^i_j \eta^j$ or $\eta^i = A^i_j Y^j$. From (3.16) and (3.18), we can easily verify

$$\frac{d^2 S}{d\alpha^2}|_{\alpha=0} = \int \int d\tau d\sigma \left(A_{ij} \frac{dY^j}{d\tau} \right)^2 \geq 0. \quad (3.19)$$

Locally γ minimizes the Nambu-Goto string action, if γ is a geodesic surface with no string conjugate to p between p and q .

On the other hand, if γ is a geodesic surface but has a conjugate string r between strings p and q , then we have a non-zero Jacobi field J^i along γ which vanishes at p and r . Extend J^i to q by putting it zero in $[r, q]$. Then $dJ^i/d\tau(r^-) \neq 0$, since J^i is nonzero. But $dJ^i/d\tau(r^+) = 0$ to yield

$$\Delta \frac{dJ^i}{d\tau}(r) = - \frac{dJ^i}{d\tau}(r^-) \neq 0. \quad (3.20)$$

We choose any $k^i \in T_\gamma$ such that

$$k_i \Delta \frac{dJ^i}{d\tau}(r) = c, \quad (3.21)$$

with a positive constant c . Let η^i be $\eta^i = \epsilon k^i + \epsilon^{-1} J^i$ where ϵ is some constant, then we have

$$I_\gamma(\eta, \eta) = \epsilon^2 I_\gamma(k, k) + 2I_\gamma(k, J) + \epsilon^{-2} I_\gamma(J, J). \quad (3.22)$$

By taking ϵ small enough, the first term in (3.22) vanishes and the third term also vanishes due to the definition of the Jacobi field and (3.15). Substituting (3.21) into (3.15) we have $I_\gamma(k, J) = -2\pi c$ and thus

$$\frac{d^2 S}{d\alpha^2} \Big|_{\alpha=0} = -4\pi c, \quad (3.23)$$

which is negative definite. From the above arguments, we conclude that given a smooth timelike tube γ connecting two strings $p, q \subset M$, the necessary and sufficient condition that γ locally minimizes the surface of the closed string tube between p and q over smooth one parameter variations is that γ is a geodesic surface with no string conjugate to p between p and q . It is also interesting to see that on S^n , the first non-minimal geodesic surface has $n - 1$ conjugate strings as in the case of point particle. Moreover, on the Riemannian manifold with the constant sectional curvature K , the geodesic surfaces have no conjugate strings for $K < 0$ or $K = 0$, while conjugate strings occur for $K > 0$ [18].

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The Nambu-Goto string action has been introduced to study the geodesic surface equation in terms of the world

sheet currents associated with τ and σ directions. By constructing the second variation of the surface spanned by closed strings, the geodesic surface deviation equation has been discussed for the closed strings on the curved manifold.

Exploiting the orthonormal gauge, the index form of a geodesic surface has been defined together with breaks on the string tubes. The geodesic surface deviation equation in this orthonormal gauge has been derived to find the Jacobi field on the geodesic surface. Given a smooth timelike tube connecting two strings on the manifold, the condition that the tube locally minimizes the surface of the closed string tube between the two strings over smooth one parameter variations has been also discussed in terms of the conjugate strings on the geodesic surface.

In the Morse theoretic approach to the string theory, one could consider the physical implications associated with geodesic surface congruences and their expansion, shear and twist. It would be also desirable if the string topology and the Gromov-Witten invariant can be investigated by exploiting the Morse theoretic techniques. These works are in progress and will be reported elsewhere.

Acknowledgments

The work of YSC was supported by the Korea Research Council of Fundamental Science and Technology (KRCF), Grant No. C-RESEARCH-2006-11-NIMS, and the work of STH was supported by the Korea Research Foundation (MOEHRD), Grant No. KRF-2006-331-C00071, and by the Korea Research Council of Fundamental Science and Technology (KRCF), Grant No. C-RESEARCH-2006-11-NIMS.

-
- [1] M.B. Green, J.H. Schwarz and E. Witten, *Superstring Theory Vol. 1* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1987).
 - [2] J. Polchinski, *String Theory Vol. 1* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999).
 - [3] E. Witten, *J. Diff. Geom.* **17**, 661 (1982).
 - [4] M. Morse, *The Calculus of Variations in the Large* (Amer. Math. Soc., New York, 1934).
 - [5] J. Milnor, *Morse Theory* (Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1963).
 - [6] R.M. Wald, *General Relativity* (The Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1984).
 - [7] A. Floer, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **41**, 393 (1988).
 - [8] M. Schwarz, *Morse Homology*, Vol. 111 of *Prog. Math.* (Birkhäuser, Basel, 1993).
 - [9] A. Abbondandolo, P. Majer, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **54**, 689 (2001).
 - [10] M. Chas and D. Sullivan, *String Topology*, to appear in *Ann. Math.*, [math.GT/9911159](#).
 - [11] P. Biran, O. Cornea and F. Lalonde, *Morse Theoretic Methods in Nonlinear Analysis and in Symplectic Topology Series II: Mathematics, Physics and Chemistry*, Vol. 217 of *NATO Sci. Series* (Springer, New York, 2004).
 - [12] D. McDuff and D. Salamon, *J-holomorphic Curves and Quantum Cohomology*, Vol. 6 of *Univ. Lecture Series* (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1994).
 - [13] S.T. Hong, *J. Geom. Phys.* **48**, 135 (2003).
 - [14] M.F. Atiyah, V. Patodi and I. Singer, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **77**, 43 (1975); *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **78**, 405 (1975); *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **79**, 71 (1976).
 - [15] E. Witten, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **121**, 351 (1989).
 - [16] Y. Nambu, *Lecture at the Copenhagen Symposium*, 1970, unpublished; T. Goto, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **46**, 1560 (1971).
 - [17] J. Scherk, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **47**, 123 (1975); J. Govaerts, *Lectures given at Escuela Avanzada de Verano en Fisica*, Mexico City, Mexico (1986).
 - [18] J. Cheeger and D. Ebin, *Comparison Theorems in Riemannian Geometry* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1975).