A TRANSCENDENTAL APPROACH TO KOLLÁR'S INJECTIVITY THEOREM

OSAMU FUJINO

Abstract. We treat Kollár's injectivity theorem from the analytic (or differential geometric) viewpoint. More precisely, we give a curvature condition which implies Kollár type cohomology injectivity theorems. Our injectivity theorem is formulated for a compact Kähler manifold, but the proof uses the space of harmonic forms on a Zariski open set with a suitable complete Kähler metric. We need neither covering tricks, desingularizations, nor Leray's spectral sequence.

Contents

1. Introduction

In [Ko1], János Kollár proved the following theorem. We call it *Kollár's original injectivity theorem* in this paper.

Theorem 1.1 (cf. [Ko1, Theorem 2.2]). *Let X be a smooth projective variety defined over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and L a semi-ample line bundle on X. Let s be a nonzero holomorphic section of $L^{\otimes k}$ for some $k > 0$. Then*

$$\times s : H^q(X, K_X \otimes L^{\otimes m}) \to H^q(X, K_X \otimes L^{\otimes m+k})$$

Date: 2006/4/1.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32L10; Secondary 32W05.
Key words and phrases. Multiplier ideal sheaf, vanishing theorem, harmonic form, $\bar{\partial}$-equation.

is injective for all $q \geq 0$ and $m \geq 1$, where K_X is the canonical line bundle of X. Note that $\times s$ is the homomorphism induced by the tensor product with s.

The following theorem is the main theorem of this paper. It is an analytic formulation of Kollár type cohomology injectivity theorem. This formulation was inspired by Ohsawa's injectivity theorem (see [O2] and [F1]). The assumptions in Theorem 1.2 may look artificial for algebraic geometers. However, our main theorem seems to be much more natural than Kollár's original injectivity theorem and have potentiality for various generalizations. See, for example, the proof of Proposition 4.1 below.

Theorem 1.2 (Main Theorem)**.** *Let X be an n-dimensional compact Kähler manifold. Let (E, h_E) (resp. (L, h_L)) be a holomorphic vector (resp. line) bundle on X with a smooth hermitian metric h_E (resp. h_L). Let F be a holomorphic line bundle on X with a singular hermitian metric h_F. Assume the following conditions.*

(i) *There exists a subvariety Z of X such that h_F is smooth on $X \setminus Z$.*
(ii) *$\sqrt{-1}\Theta(F) \geq -\gamma$ in the sense of currents, where γ is a smooth $(1,1)$-form on X.*
(iii) *$\sqrt{-1}(\Theta(E) + \mathrm{Id}_E \otimes \Theta(F)) \geq_{\mathrm{Nak}} 0$ on $X \setminus Z$.*
(iv) *$\sqrt{-1}(\Theta(E) + \mathrm{Id}_E \otimes \Theta(F) - \varepsilon \mathrm{Id}_E \otimes \Theta(L)) \geq_{\mathrm{Nak}} 0$ on $X \setminus Z$ for some positive constant ε.*

Here, $\geq_{\mathrm{Nak}} 0$ means the Nakano semi-positivity. Let s be a nonzero holomorphic section of L. Then the multiplication homomorphism

$$\times s : H^q(X, K_X \otimes E \otimes F \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_F)) \to H^q(X, K_X \otimes E \otimes F \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_F) \otimes L)$$

is injective for any $q \geq 0$, where $\mathcal{I}(h_F)$ is the multiplier ideal sheaf associated to the singular hermitian metric h_F of F.

One of the advantages of our formulation is that we are released from sophisticated algebraic geometric methods such as desingularizations, covering tricks, Leray's spectral sequence, and so on both in the proof and in various applications (see Section 4). The main ingredient of our proof of Theorem 1.2 is Nakano's identity (see Proposition 2.28). We can prove a relative version of the main theorem by using Ohsawa-Takegoshi's twisted version of Nakano's identity. We treat it in [F2], where we need much more analytic methods.

We note that there are too many contributors to this kind of cohomology injectivity theorem. We just mention that the first result was obtained by Tankeev [Tn, Proposition 1]. It inspired Kollár to obtain his famous injectivity theorem (see [Ko1] or Theorem 1.1). After [Ko1], many generalizations of Theorem 1.1 were obtained (see the books [EV] and [Ko2]). Kollár did not refer to [E] in [Ko2]. However, I think that [E] is the first paper where Kollár's injectivity theorem is proved (and generalized) by the differential geometric arguments.

Let us recall Enoki's theorem [E, Theorem 0.2], which is a very special case of Theroem 1.2, for the reader's convenience. To recover Corollary 1.3 from Theorem 1.2, it is sufficient to put $E = \mathcal{O}_X$, $F = L^{\otimes m}$, and $L = L^{\otimes k}$. The appendix in [F1], which is the starting point of this paper, may help the reader to understand Enoki's theorem. The reader who reads Japanese can find [F3] useful. It is a survey on Enoki's injectivity theorem.

Corollary 1.3 (Enoki)**.** *Let X be an n-dimensional compact Kähler manifold and L a semi-positive holomorphic line bundle on X. Suppose $L^{\otimes k}$, $k > 0$, admits a nonzero global holomorphic section s. Then*

$$\times s : H^q(X, K_X \otimes L^{\otimes m}) \to H^q(X, K_X \otimes L^{\otimes m+k})$$

is injective for any $m > 0$ and $q \geq 0$.

We recall Enoki's idea of the proof in [E] since we will use the same idea to prove Theorem 1.2.

1.4 (Enoki's proof)**.** From now on, we assume that $k = m = 1$ for simplicity. It is well known that the cohomology group $H^q(X, K_X \otimes L^{\otimes l})$ is represented by the space of harmonic forms $\mathcal{H}^{n,q}(L^{\otimes l}) = \{u :$ smooth $L^{\otimes l}$-valued (n, q)-form on X such that $\bar{\partial} u = 0, D''^{*}_{L^{\otimes l}} u = 0\}$, where $D''^{*}_{L^{\otimes l}}$ is the formal adjoint of $\bar{\partial}$. We take $u \in \mathcal{H}^{n,q}(L)$. Then, $\bar{\partial}(su) = 0$ since s is holomorphic. We can check that $D''^{*}_{L^{\otimes 2}}(su) = 0$ by using Nakano's identity and the semi-positivity of L. Thus, s induces $\times s : \mathcal{H}^{n,q}(L) \to \mathcal{H}^{n,q}(L^{\otimes 2})$. Therefore, the required injectivity is obvious.

Enoki's theorem contains Kollár's original injectivity theorem (cf. Theorem 1.1) by the following well-known lemma.

Lemma 1.5. *Let L be a semi-ample line bundle on a smooth projective manifold X. Then L is semi-positive.*

Proof. There exists a morphism $f = \Phi_{|L^{\otimes m}|} : X \to \mathbb{P}^N$ induced by the complete linear system $|L^{\otimes m}|$ for some $m > 0$ since L is semi-ample. Let h be a smooth hermitian metric on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1)$ with positive definite curvature. Then $(f^*h)^{\frac{1}{m}}$ is a smooth hermitian metric on L whose curvature is semi-positive. $\square$

We quickly review Kollár's proof of his injectivity theorem in [Ko2], which is much simpler than Kollár's original proof in [Ko1], for the reader's convenience.

1.6 (Kollár's proof)**.** Let X be a smooth projective n-fold and L a semi-ample line bundle on X. Let s be a non-zero holomorphic section of L. Assume that $D = (s = 0)$ is a *smooth* divisor on X for simplicity. We can take a double cover $\pi : Z \to X$ ramifying along D. By the Hodge decomposition, we obtain a surjection $H^q(Z, \mathbb{C}_Z) \to H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ for all q. By taking the anti-invariant part of the covering involution, we obtain that $H^q(X, G) \to H^q(X, L^{-1})$ is surjective for any q, where $\pi_*\mathbb{C}_Z = \mathbb{C}_X \oplus G$ is the eigen-sheaf decomposition. It is not difficult to see that there exists a factorization $H^q(X, G) \to H^q(X, L^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-D)) \to H^q(X, L^{-1})$ for any q. Therefore, $\times s : H^q(X, K_X \otimes L) \to H^q(X, K_X \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(D))$ is injective by the Serre duality.

In general, D is not necessarily *smooth*. So, we have to use sophisticated algebraic geometric methods such as desingularizations, relative vanishing theorems, Leray's spectral sequences, and so on, even when X is smooth and L is free.

Remark 1.7. As we saw in 1.6, thanks to the Serre duality, the injectivity of $H^q(X, K_X \otimes L) \to H^q(X, K_X \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(D))$ is equivalent to the surjectivity of $H^{n-q}(X, L^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-D)) \to H^{n-q}(X, L^{-1})$. However, injectivity seems to be much better and more natural for some applications and generalizations. See Section 4.

Roughly speaking, Kollár's geometric proof in [Ko2] (and Esnault-Viehweg's proof in [EV]) depends on the Hodge decomposition, or the degeneration of the Hodge to de Rham type spectral sequence. So, it works only when E is a unitary flat vector bundle (see [Ko2, 9.17 Remark]). On the other hand, our analytic proof (and the proofs in [E], [O2], and [Tk]) relies on the harmonic representation of the cohomology groups. I do not know the true relationship between the geometric proof and the analytic one.

We summarize the contents of this paper. In Section 2, we fix notation and collect basic results. We also gather various examples for the reader's convenience. Section 3 is the proof of the main theorem. We will represent the cohomology groups by the spaces of harmonic forms on a Zariski open set with a suitable complete Kähler metric. We will use L^2-estimates for $\bar\partial$-equations on complete Kähler manifolds (see Lemma 3.2). It is a key point of our proof. In Section 4, we treat

Kollár type injectivity theorem, Esnault-Viehweg type injectivity theorem, and Kawamata-Viehweg type vanishing theorem as applications of Theorem 1.2. We recommend the reader to compare our proofs with usual algebraic geometric ones.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank Professor Takeo Ohsawa for answering my questions. I was partially supported by The Sumitomo Foundation and by the Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (A) ♯17684001 from JSPS. I thank Doctor Dano Kim for useful comments.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we collect basic definitions and results in algebraic and analytic geometries. For the details, see [D4].

2.1 (Singular hermitian metric)**.** Let L be a holomorphic line bundle on a complex manifold X.

Definition 2.2 (Singular hermitian metric)**.** A *singular hermitian metric* on L is a metric which is given in any trivialization $\theta : L|_\Omega \simeq \Omega \times \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\|\xi\| = |\theta(\xi)|e^{-\varphi(x)}, \quad x \in \Omega, \ \xi \in L_x,$$

where $\varphi \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)$ is an arbitrary function, called the *weight* of the metric with respect to the trivialization θ. Here, $L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)$ is the space of the locally integrable functions on Ω.

Throughout this paper, we basically use singular hermitian metrics as in the following examples.

Example 2.3. Let $D = \sum \alpha_j D_j$ be a divisor with coefficients $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $L := \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ is equipped with a natural singular hermitian metric as follows. Let f be a local section of $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$, viewed as a meromorphic function with poles along D. We define $\|f\|^2 = |f|^2 \in [0, \infty]$. If g_j is a generator of the ideal of D_j on an open set $\Omega \subset X$, then the weight corresponding to this metric is $\varphi = \sum_j \alpha_j \log |g_j|$. It is obvious that this metric is a smooth hermitian metric on $X \setminus D$ and its curvature is zero on $X \setminus D$.

Example 2.4. Let L be a holomorphic line bundle on X. Assume that $L^{\otimes k} \simeq M \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ for some holomorphic line bundle M and an effective divisor D on X. As in Example 2.3, $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ is equipped with a natural singular hermitian metric h_D. Let h_M be any smooth hermitian metric on M. Then L has a singular hermitian metric $h_L := h_M^{\frac{1}{k}} h_D^{\frac{1}{k}}$. Note that h_L is smooth outside D and $\Theta_{h_L}(L) = \frac{1}{k}\Theta_{h_M}(M)$ on $X \setminus D$.

The singular hermitian metrics in the next example are often used for various applications in algebraic geometry.

Example 2.5. Let L be a holomorphic line bundle on X with a smooth hermitian metric h_L. Let $s_0, s_1, \cdots, s_N \in H^0(X, L^{\otimes m})$ be nonzero holomorphic sections for some positive integer m. We define

$$h_1 := \frac{h_L}{(\sum_{i=0}^N |s_i|^2_{h_L^m})^{\frac{1}{m}}} \quad \text{and} \quad h_2 := \frac{h_L}{\sum_{i=0}^N |s_i|^{\frac{2}{m}}_{h_L^m}},$$

where $|\cdot|_{h_L^m}$ is the pointwise norm with respect to h_L^m. Then, h_1 and h_2 are singular hermitian metrics on L. It is not difficult to see that $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_i}(L) \geq 0$ in the sense of currents and h_i is smooth outside the common zero set V of $s_0, \cdots, s_N$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let $f = \Phi_{|L^{\otimes m}|} : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$, $x \mapsto [s_0(x) : \cdots : s_N(x)]$ be the meromorphic map induced by $\{s_i\}_{i=0}^N$. Let h_{FS} be the Fubini-Study metric on $T_{\mathbb{P}^N}$ and $h = \det h_{FS}$ be the smooth hermitian metric on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(-K_{\mathbb{P}^N}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(\det T_{\mathbb{P}^N}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(N+1)$ induced by h_{FS}. Then the metric h_1^m of $L^{\otimes m}$ is the pull-back of $h^{\frac{1}{N+1}}$ on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1)$ by f. Thus, h_1 is equal to $(f^* h^{\frac{1}{N+1}})^{\frac{1}{m}}$ on $X \setminus V$. It is not difficult to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(N+1)^{-\frac{1}{m}}(|s_0|^2_{h_L^m} + \cdots + |s_N|^2_{h_L^m})^{\frac{1}{m}} \\
&\leq |s_0|^{\frac{2}{m}}_{h_L^m} + \cdots |s_N|^{\frac{2}{m}}_{h_L^m} \\
&\leq (N+1)^{1-\frac{1}{m}}(|s_0|^2_{h_L^m} + \cdots + |s_N|^2_{h_L^m})^{\frac{1}{m}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $C_0 h_1 \leq h_2 \leq C_1 h_1$ holds for some positive constants C_0 and C_1. Thus, h_1 and h_2 have essentially the same singularities. In particular, $\mathcal{I}(h_1) \simeq \mathcal{I}(h_2)$, where $\mathcal{I}(h_i)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ is the multiplier ideal sheaf defined below.

Let us recall the basic definitions and properties of $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors.

Definition 2.6 ($\mathbb{Q}$-linear equivalence)**.** Let D_1 and D_2 be $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors on a normal variety X. Then $D_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} D_2$ means that there exists a positive integer m such that mD_1 and mD_2 are integral divisors and $mD_1 \sim mD_2$, where $\sim$ denotes the linear equivalence.

Definition 2.7 (Nef divisor)**.** Let X be a complete algebraic variety and D a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor on X. Then, D is said to be *nef* if $D \cdot C \geq 0$ for any integral curve on X.

Remark 2.8. Let D be a nef divisor on a smooth projective manifold X. Then $L = \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ does not necessarily have a smooth hermitian metric whose curvature is semi-positive.

Definition 2.9 (Big divisor)**.** Let X be an n-dimensional complete algebraic variety and D a Cartier divisor on X. Then, D is said to be *big* if there exists a positive constant c such that $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}(kD)) > ck^n$ for every $k \gg 1$. Let D' be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor on X. Then, D' is said to be *big* if there exists a positive integer r such that rD' is a big Cartier divisor.

The notion of nef (resp. big) line bundle can be defined similarly. The next lemma is very useful when we construct singular hermitian metrics. The proof is well known. See, for example, [KM, Proposition 2.61].

Lemma 2.10 (Kodaira)**.** *Let X be a normal projective variety and M a nef and big $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X. Then there exists an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor D on X such that for any positive integer k we have $M \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} A_k + \frac{1}{k}D$, where A_k is an ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X.*

2.11 (Multiplier ideal sheaf)**.** The notion of multiplier ideal sheaves introduced by Nadel [Nd] is very important.

Definition 2.12 (Plurisubharmonic function)**.** Let X be a complex manifold. A function $\varphi : X \to [-\infty, \infty)$ is said to be *plurisubharmonic* (psh, for short) if, on each connected component of X,

1. φ is upper semi-continuous, and
2. φ is locally integrable and $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$ is positive semi-definite as a $(1,1)$-current,

or $\varphi \equiv -\infty$. A *smooth strictly plurisubharmonic function* ψ on X is a smooth function on X such that $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\psi$ is a positive definite smooth $(1,1)$-form.

Definition 2.13. A *quasi-plurisubharmonic* (quasi-psh, for short) function is a function φ which is locally equal to the sum of a psh function and of a smooth function.

Definition 2.14 (Multiplier ideal sheaf)**.** If φ is a quasi-psh function on a complex manifold X, the *multiplier ideal sheaf* $\mathcal{I}(\varphi) \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ is defined by

$$\Gamma(U, \mathcal{I}(\varphi)) = \{f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U);\ |f|^2 e^{-2\varphi} \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(U)\}$$

for every open set $U \subset X$. Then it is known that $\mathcal{I}(\varphi)$ is a coherent ideal sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$. See, for example, [D4, (5.7) Proposition].

Remark 2.15. By the assumption (ii) in Theorem 1.2, the weight of the singular hermitian metric h_F is a quasi-psh function on any trivialization. So, we can define multiplier ideal sheaves locally and check that they are independent of trivializations. Thus, we can define the multiplier ideal sheaf globally and denote it by $\mathcal{I}(h_F)$, which is an abuse of notation. It is a coherent ideal sheaf on X.

Example 2.16. Let $X = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, |z| < r\}$ for some $0 < r < 1$ and let L be a trivial line bundle on X. We consider a singular hermitian metric $h_L = \exp(\sqrt{-\log|z|^2})$ of L. Then h_L is smooth outside the origin $0 \in X$. The weight of h_L is $\varphi = -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-\log|z|^2}$ and φ is a psh function on X. The Lelong number of φ at 0 is

$$\liminf_{z\to 0} \frac{\varphi(z)}{\log|z|} = 0.$$

Thus, we have $\mathcal{I}(h_L) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$ by Skoda. Note that φ is smooth outside 0, which is an analytic subvariety of X. However, φ does not have analytic singularities around 0.

2.17 (Singularities of pairs)**.** In this paper, we only treat pairs (X, Δ) such that the ambient space X is smooth and Δ is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X.

Definition 2.18. let X be a complex manifold and $D = \sum \alpha_j D_j$ an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X. Let g_j be a generator of the ideal of D_j on an open set $\Omega \subset X$. We put $\mathcal{I}(D) := \mathcal{I}(\varphi)$, where $\varphi = \sum_j \alpha_j \log|g_j|$. Since $\mathcal{I}(\varphi)$ is independent of the choice of the generators g_j's, $\mathcal{I}(D)$ is a well-defined coherent ideal sheaf on X. We call $\mathcal{I}(D)$ the multiplier ideal sheaf associated to the effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor D. We say that the divisor D is *integrable* at a point $x_0 \in X$ if the function $\prod |g_j|^{-2\alpha_j}$ is integrable on a neighborhood of x_0, equivalently, $\mathcal{I}(D)_{x_0} = \mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}$.

Example 2.19. Let h_L be the singular hermitian metric defined in Example 2.4. Then the weight of the singular hermitian metric h_L is a quasi-psh function on any trivialization. Therefore, the multiplier ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}(h_L)$ is well-defined and $\mathcal{I}(h_L) = \mathcal{I}(\frac{1}{k}D)$.

Remark 2.20. Let D and D' be effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors on a complex manifold X. If D is integrable at $x_0 \in X$, then so is $D + \varepsilon D'$ for $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$, $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}$. More precisely, $\mathcal{I}(D) = \mathcal{I}(D + \varepsilon D')$ for $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$, $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Definition 2.21 (Klt)**.** Let X be a complex manifold and Δ an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X. We say that the pair (X, Δ) is klt if and only if Δ is integrable everywhere on X.

Example 2.22. Let X be a complex manifold and $\Delta := \sum \alpha_j \Delta_j$ an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X. If $\sum \Delta_j$ is a normal crossing divisor, then (X, Δ) is klt if and only if $\alpha_j < 1$ for every j.

2.23 (Hermitian and Kähler geometries)**.** We collects the basic notion and results of hermitian and Kähler geometries (see also [D4]).

Definition 2.24 (Chern connection and its curvature form)**.** Let X be a complex hermitian manifold and (E, h) a holomorphic hermitian vector bundle on X. Then there exists the *Chern connection* $D = D_{(E,h)}$, which can be split in a unique way as a sum of a $(1, 0)$ and of a $(0, 1)$-connection, $D = D'_{(E,h)} + D''_{(E,h)}$. By the definition of the Chern connection, $D'' = D''_{(E,h)} = \bar{\partial}$. We obtain the *curvature form* $\Theta(E) = \Theta_{(E,h)} = \Theta_h := D^2_{(E,h)}$. The subscripts might be suppressed if there is no danger of confusion.

Let U be a small open set of X and (e_λ) a local holomorphic frame of $E|_U$. Then the hermitian metric h is given by the hermitian matrix $H = (h_{\lambda\mu})$, $h_{\lambda\mu} = h(e_\lambda, e_\mu)$, on U. We have $h(u, v) = {}^t u H \bar{v}$ on U for smooth sections u, v of $E|_U$. This implies that $h(u, v) = \sum_{\lambda,\mu} u_\lambda h_{\lambda\mu} \bar{v}_\mu$ for $u = \sum e_i u_i$ and $v = \sum e_j v_j$. Then we obtain that $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_h(E) = \sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}(\overline{H}^{-1}\partial\overline{H})$ and ${}^t\overline{(\sqrt{-1}{}^t\Theta_h(E)H)} = \sqrt{-1}{}^t\Theta_h(E)H$ on U.

Definition 2.25 (Inner product)**.** Let X be an n-dimensional complex manifold with the hermitian metric g. We denote by ω the *fundamental form* of g. Let (E, h) be a hermitian vector bundle on X, and u, v are E-valued (p, q)-forms with measurable coefficients, we set

$$\|u\|^2 = \int_X |u|^2 dV_\omega, \ \langle\langle u, v\rangle\rangle = \int_X \langle u, v\rangle dV_\omega,$$

where $|u|$ is the pointwise norm induced by g and h on $\Lambda^{p,q}T^*_X \otimes E$, and $dV_\omega = \frac{1}{n!}\omega^n$. More explicitly, $\langle u, v\rangle dV_\omega = {}^t u \wedge H \overline{* v}$, where $*$ is the *Hodge star operator* relative to ω and H is the (local) matrix representation of h. When we need to emphasize the metrics, we write $|u|_{g,h}$, and so on.

Let $L^{p,q}_{(2)}(X, E)(= L^{p,q}_{(2)}(X, (E, h)))$ be the space of square integrable E-valued (p, q)-forms on X. The inner product was defined in Definition 2.25. When we emphasize the metrics, we write $L^{p,q}_{(2)}(X, E)_{g,h}$, where g (resp. h) is the hermitian metric of X (resp. E). As usual one can view D' and D'' as closed and densely defined operators on the Hilbert space $L^{p,q}_{(2)}(X, E)$. The formal adjoints D'^*, D''^* also have closed extensions in the sense of distributions, which do not necessarily coincide with the Hilbert space adjoints in the sense of Von Neumann, since the latter ones may have strictly smaller domains. It is well known, however, that the domains coincide if the hermitian metric of X is complete. See Lemma 2.29 below.

Definition 2.26 (Nakano positivity and semi-positivity)**.** Let (E, h) be a holomorphic vector bundle on a complex manifold X with a smooth hermitian metric h. Let Ξ be a $\mathrm{Hom}(E, E)$-valued $(1, 1)$-form such that ${}^t\overline{({}^t\Xi h)} = {}^t\Xi h$. Then Ξ is said to be *Nakano positive* (resp. *Nakano semi-positive*) if the hermitian form on $T_X \otimes E$ associated to ${}^t\Xi h$ is positive definite (resp. semi-definite). We write $\Xi >_{\mathrm{Nak}} 0$ (resp. $\geq_{\mathrm{Nak}} 0$). We note that $\Xi_1 >_{\mathrm{Nak}} \Xi_2$ (resp. $\Xi_1 \geq_{\mathrm{Nak}} \Xi_2$) means that $\Xi_1 - \Xi_2 >_{\mathrm{Nak}} 0$ (resp. $\geq_{\mathrm{Nak}} 0$). A holomorphic vector bundle (E, h) is said to be *Nakano positive* (resp. *semi-positive*) if $\sqrt{-1}\Theta(E) >_{\mathrm{Nak}} 0$ (resp. $\geq_{\mathrm{Nak}} 0$). We usually omit "Nakano"when E is a line bundle.

Definition 2.27 (Graded Lie bracket)**.** Let $C^\infty(X, \Lambda^{p,q}T^*_X \otimes E)$ be the space of the smooth E-valued (p, q)-forms on X. If A, B are the endomorphisms of pure degree of the graded module $M^\bullet = C^\infty(X, \Lambda^{\bullet,\bullet}T^*_X \otimes E)$, their *graded Lie bracket* is defined by

$$[A, B] = AB - (-1)^{\deg A \deg B} BA.$$

Proposition 2.28 (Nakano's identity)**.** *We further assume that g is Kähler. Let*

$$\Delta' = D'D'^* + D'^*D'$$

and

$$\Delta'' = D''D''^* + D''^*D''$$

be the complex Laplace operators acting on E-valued forms. Then

$$\Delta'' = \Delta' + [\sqrt{-1}\Theta(E), \Lambda],$$

where Λ is the adjoint of $\omega \wedge \cdot$.

The following lemma is now classical. See, for example, [D1, Lemme 4.3].

Lemma 2.29 (Density lemma)**.** *If g is complete, then $C^{p,q}_0(X, E)$ is dense in* $\mathrm{Dom}D''^* \cap \mathrm{Dom}\bar\partial$ *with respect to the graph norm*

$$u \mapsto \|u\| + \|\bar\partial u\| + \|D''^*u\|,$$

where $C^{p,q}_0(X, E)$ is the space of the E-valued smooth (p, q)-forms on X with compact supports and $\mathrm{Dom}D''^*$ *(resp.* $\mathrm{Dom}\bar\partial$*) is the domain of D''^* (resp. $\bar\partial$).*

Combining Proposition 2.28 with Lemma 2.29, we obtain the following formula.

Proposition 2.30. *Let u be a square integrable E-valued (n, q)-form on X with* $\dim X = n$ *and g a complete Kähler metric on X. Let ω be* *the fundamental form of g. Assume that $\sqrt{-1}\Theta(E) \geq_{\mathrm{Nak}} -c\mathrm{Id}_E \otimes \omega$ for some constant c. Then we obtain that*

$$\|D''^{*}u\|^2 + \|\bar{\partial}u\|^2 = \|D'^{*}u\|^2 + \langle\langle\sqrt{-1}\Theta(E)\Lambda u, u\rangle\rangle$$

for any $u \in \mathrm{Dom}D''^{*} \cap \mathrm{Dom}\bar{\partial}$.

The final remark in this section will play crucial roles in the proof of the main theorem. The proof is an easy calculation (cf. [D1, Lemme 3.3]).

Remark 2.31. Let g' be another hermitian metric on X such that $g' \geq g$ and ω' be the fundamental form of g'. let u be an E-valued (n,q)-form with measurable coefficients. Then, we have $|u|^2_{g',h}dV_{\omega'} \leq |u|^2_{g,h}dV_{\omega}$, where $|u|_{g',h}$ (resp. $|u|_{g,h}$) is the pointwise norm induced by g' and h (resp. g and h). If u is an E-valued $(n,0)$-form, then $|u|^2_{g',h}dV_{\omega'} = |u|^2_{g,h}dV_{\omega}$. In particular, $\|u\|^2$ is independent of g when u is an $(n,0)$-form.

3. Proof of the main theorem

In this section, we prove the main theorem. The idea is very simple. We represent the cohomology groups by the space of harmonic forms on $X \setminus Z$ (not on X!). The manifold $X \setminus Z$ is not compact. However, it is a complete Kähler manifold and all hermitian metrics are smooth on $X \setminus Z$. So, there are no difficulties on $X \setminus Z$. Note that we do not need the difficult regularization technique for quasi-psh functions on Kähler manifolds (cf. [D1, Théorème 9.1]).

Proof of the main theorem. Since X is compact, there exists a complete Kähler metric g' on $Y := X \setminus Z$ such that $g' > g$ on Y. We sketch the construction of g' since we need some special properties of g' in the following proof. The next lemma is well known. See, for example, [D2, Lemma 5].

Lemma 3.1. *There exists a quasi-psh function ψ on X such that $\psi = -\infty$ on Z with logarithmic poles along Z and ψ is smooth outside Z.*

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\psi \leq -e$ on X. We put $\varphi = \frac{1}{\log(-\psi)}$. Then φ is a quasi-psh function on X and $\varphi \leq 1$. Thus, we can take a positive constant α such that $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi + \alpha\omega > 0$ on Y. Let g' be the Kähler metric on Y whose fundamental form is $\omega' = \omega + (\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi + \alpha\omega)$. We note that

$$\omega' \geq \partial(\log(\log(-\psi))) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\log(\log(-\psi)))$$

if we choose $\alpha \gg 0$. Therefore, g' is a *complete* Kähler metric on Y by Hopf-Rinow because $\log(\log(-\psi))$ tends to $+\infty$ on Z. More precisely, $\eta := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\log(\log(-\psi))$ is a smooth exhaustive function on Y such that $|d\eta|_{g'} \leq 1$. We fix these Kähler metrics throughout this proof. In general, $L^{n,q}_{(2)}(Y, E\otimes F) = L^{n,q}_{(2)}(Y, E\otimes F)_{g', h_E h_F} = \overline{\mathrm{Im}\bar\partial} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{n,q}(E\otimes F) \oplus \overline{\mathrm{Im}D''^{*}_{E\otimes F}}$, where $\mathcal{H}^{n,q}(E\otimes F) := \{u \in L^{n,q}_{(2)}(Y, E\otimes F)\,|\,\bar\partial u = D''^{*}_{E\otimes F}u = 0\}$ is the space of the $E\otimes F$-valued harmonic (n,q)-forms. We note that $u \in \mathcal{H}^{n,q}(E\otimes F)$ is smooth by the regularization theorem for the elliptic operator $\Delta''_{E\otimes F} = D''^{*}_{E\otimes F}\bar\partial + \bar\partial D''^{*}_{E\otimes F}$. The claim below is more or less known to the experts (cf. [Tk, Proposition 4.6] and [O1, Theorem 4.13]).

Claim 1. *We have the following equalities and an isomorphism of cohomology groups for any $q \geq 0$.*

$$\overline{\mathrm{Im}\bar\partial} = \mathrm{Im}\bar\partial, \quad \overline{\mathrm{Im}D''^{*}_{E\otimes F}} = \mathrm{Im}D''^{*}_{E\otimes F}, \quad \textit{and}$$

$$H^q(X, K_X\otimes E\otimes F\otimes \mathcal{I}(h_F)) \simeq \frac{L^{n,q}_{(2)}(Y, E\otimes F)\cap \mathrm{Ker}\bar\partial}{\mathrm{Im}\bar\partial}.$$

If the claim is true, then $H^q(X, K_X\otimes E\otimes F\otimes\mathcal{I}(h_F)) \simeq \mathcal{H}^{n,q}(E\otimes F)$ since $L^{n,q}_{(2)}(Y, E\otimes F)\cap\mathrm{Ker}\bar\partial = \mathrm{Im}\bar\partial \oplus \mathcal{H}^{n,q}(E\otimes F)$.

Proof of Claim. First, let $X = \bigcup_{i\in I} U_i$ be a finite Stein cover of X such that each U_i is small (see the proof of Lemma 3.2). We denote this cover by $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i\in I}$. By Cartan and Leray, we obtain $H^q(X, K_X\otimes E\otimes F\otimes \mathcal{I}(h_F)) \simeq \check{H}^q(\mathcal{U}, K_X\otimes E\otimes F\otimes\mathcal{I}(h_F))$, where the right hand side is the Čech cohomology group calculated by $\mathcal{U}$. Let $\{\rho_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a partition of unity associated to $\mathcal{U}$. We put $U_{i_0i_1\cdots i_q} = U_{i_0}\cap\cdots\cap U_{i_q}$. Then $U_{i_0i_1\cdots i_q}$ is Stein. Let $u = \{u_{i_0i_1\cdots i_q}\}$ such that $u_{i_0i_1\cdots i_q} \in \Gamma(U_{i_0i_1\cdots i_q}, K_X\otimes E\otimes F\otimes\mathcal{I}(h_F))$ and $\delta u = 0$, where δ is the cobundary operator of Čech complexes. We put $u^1 = \{u^1_{i_0\cdots i_{q-1}}\}$ with $u^1_{i_0\cdots i_{q-1}} = \sum_i \rho_i u_{ii_0\cdots i_{q-1}}$. Then $\delta u^1 = u$ and $\delta(\bar\partial u^1) = 0$. Thus, we can construct u^2 such that $\delta u^2 = \bar\partial u^1$ as above by using $\{\rho_i\}$. By repeating this process, we obtain $\bar\partial u^q \in L^{n,q}_{(2)}(Y, E\otimes F)\cap\mathrm{Ker}\bar\partial$ by Remark 2.31 since X is compact. It is easy to see that it induces a homomorphism

$$\bar\alpha : \check{H}^q(\mathcal{U}, K_X\otimes E\otimes F\otimes\mathcal{I}(h_F)) \to \frac{L^{n,q}_{(2)}(Y, E\otimes F)\cap\mathrm{Ker}\bar\partial}{\mathrm{Im}\bar\partial}$$

(see the proof of [O1, Theorem 4.13]). On the other hand, we take $w \in L^{n,q}_{(2)}(Y, E\otimes F)\cap\mathrm{Ker}\bar\partial$. We put $w^0 = \{w_{i_0}\}$, where $w_{i_0} = w|_{U_{i_0}\setminus Z}$. We will use C_i to represent some positive constants independent of w. By Lemma 3.2 below, we have $w^1 = \{w^1_{i_0}\}$ such that $\bar\partial w^1 = w$ on each

$U_{i_0} \setminus Z$ with

$$\|w^1\|^2 := \sum_i \int_{U_i \setminus Z} |w_i^1|^2_{g', h_E h_F} \leq C_1 \int_{X \setminus Z} |w|^2_{g', h_E h_F} = C_1 \|w\|^2.$$

Since $\bar{\partial}(\delta w^1) = 0$, we can obtain w^2 such that $\bar{\partial} w^2 = \delta w^1$ on each $U_{i_0 i_1} \setminus Z$ with $\|w^2\|^2 \leq C_2 \|w^1\|^2$. By repeating this procedure, we obtain w^q such that $\bar{\partial} w^q = \delta w^{q-1}$ with $\|w^q\|^2 \leq C_q \|w^{q-1}\|^2$. In particular, $\|\delta w^q\|^2 \leq C_0 \|w\|^2$. We put $\beta(w) := \delta w^q =: \{v_{i_0 \cdots i_q}\}$. Then $\bar{\partial} v_{i_0 \cdots i_q} = 0$ and $\|v_{i_0 \cdots i_q}\|^2 < \infty$. Thus, $v_{i_0 \cdots i_q} \in \Gamma(U_{i_0 \cdots i_q}, K_X \otimes E \otimes F \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_F))$ and $\delta(\beta(w)) = 0$. Note that an $E \otimes F$-valued holomorphic $(n, 0)$-form on $U \setminus Z$, where U is an open subset of X, with a finite L^2 norm can be extended to an $E \otimes F$-valued holomorphic $(n, 0)$-form on U (see also Remark 2.31). By this construction, we have a homomorphism

$$\bar{\beta} : \frac{L^{n,q}_{(2)}(Y, E \otimes F) \cap \mathrm{Ker} \bar{\partial}}{\mathrm{Im} \bar{\partial}} \to \check{H}^q(\mathcal{U}, K_X \otimes E \otimes F \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_F))$$

(see the proof of [O1, Theorem 4.13]). It is not difficult to see that $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\beta}$ induce the desired isomorphism.

Next, we note that $\overline{\mathrm{Im} \bar{\partial}} = \mathrm{Im} \bar{\partial}$ if and only if $\overline{\mathrm{Im} D''^{*}_{E \otimes F}} = \mathrm{Im} D''^{*}_{E \otimes F}$ (cf. [H, Theorem 1.1.1]). Thus, it is sufficient to prove that $\overline{\mathrm{Im} \bar{\partial}} = \mathrm{Im} \bar{\partial}$. Let $w \in \overline{\mathrm{Im} \bar{\partial}}$. Then there exists a sequence $\{v_k\} \subset \mathrm{Im} \bar{\partial}$ such that $\|w - \bar{\partial} v_k\|^2 \to 0$ if $k \to \infty$. By the above construction, $\|\beta(w - \bar{\partial} v_k)\|^2 \to 0$ when $k \to \infty$. Therefore, the image of w in $\check{H}^q(\mathcal{U}, K_X \otimes E \otimes F \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_F))$ is zero since $\check{H}^q(\mathcal{U}, K_X \otimes E \otimes F \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_F))$ is a finite dimensional, separated, Fréchet space. Thus, $w \in \mathrm{Im} \bar{\partial}$ by the above isomorphism. $\square$

There are various formulations for L^2-estimates for $\bar{\partial}$-equations, which originated from Hörmander's paper [H]. The following one is suitable for our purpose.

Lemma 3.2 (L^2-estimates for $\bar{\partial}$-equations on complete Kähler manifolds)**.** *Let U be a small Stein open set of X. If $u \in L^{n,q}_{(2)}(U \setminus Z, E \otimes F)_{g', h_E h_F}$ with $\bar{\partial} u = 0$, then there exists $v \in L^{n,q-1}_{(2)}(U \setminus Z, E \otimes F)_{g', h_E h_F}$ such that $\bar{\partial} v = u$. Moreover, there exists a positive constant C independent of u such that*

$$\int_{U \setminus Z} |v|^2_{g', h_E h_F} \leq C \int_{U \setminus Z} |u|^2_{g', h_E h_F}.$$

Proof. We can assume that $\omega' = \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \Psi$ on U since U is a small Stein open set. Then $(E \otimes F, h_E h_F e^{-\Psi})$ is Nakano positive and $C_1 h_E h_F \leq h_E h_F e^{-\Psi} \leq C_2 h_E h_F$ for some positive constants C_1 and C_2 on $U \setminus Z$.

Note that Ψ is a bounded function on X by the construction of g'. It is obvious that $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{(E\otimes F, h_E h_F e^{-\Psi})} \geq_{\mathrm{Nak}} \mathrm{Id}_E \otimes \omega'$ on $U \setminus Z$ by the assumption (iii) in Theorem 1.2. Let w be an $E\otimes F$-valued (n,q)-form on $U\setminus Z$ with measurable coefficients. We write

$$\|w\|^2 = \int_{U\setminus Z} |w|^2_{g', h_E h_F} dV_{\omega'} \quad \text{and} \quad \|w\|^2_0 = \int_{U\setminus Z} |w|^2_{g', h_E h_F e^{-\Psi}} dV_{\omega'}.$$

Then $\|w\|$ is finite if and only if $\|w\|_0$ is finite. By the well-known L^2 estimates for $\bar\partial$-equations (cf. [D1, Théorème 4.1, Remarque 4.2] or [D4, (5.1) Theorem]), we obtain an $E\otimes F$-valued $(n, q-1)$-form v on $U\setminus Z$ such that $\bar\partial v = u$ and $\|v\|^2_0 \leq C_0 \|u\|^2_0$, where C_0 is a positive constant independent of u. We note that g' is not a complete Kähler metric on $U \setminus Z$ but $U\setminus Z$ is a complete Kähler manifold. □

Therefore, we obtain

$$L^{n,q}_{(2)}(Y, E\otimes F) = \mathrm{Im}\bar\partial \oplus \mathcal{H}^{n,q}(E\otimes F) \oplus \mathrm{Im} D''^{*}_{E\otimes F}.$$

Thus, $H^q(X, K_X \otimes E \otimes F \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_F)) \simeq \mathcal{H}^{n,q}(E\otimes F)$.

Let U be a small Stein open set of X. Then there exists a smooth strictly psh function Φ on U such that $(L, h_L e^{-\Phi})$ is semi-positive on U. By applying the same argument as in Lemma 3.2 to $(E\otimes F\otimes L, h_E h_F h_L e^{-\Psi-\Phi})$, we obtain $H^q(X, K_X \otimes E \otimes F \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_F) \otimes L) \simeq \mathcal{H}^{n,q}(E\otimes F\otimes L)$ similarly.

Claim 2. *The multiplication homomorphism*

$$\times s : \mathcal{H}^{n,q}(E\otimes F) \to \mathcal{H}^{n,q}(E\otimes F\otimes L)$$

is well-defined for any $q \geq 0$.

Proof of Claim. By Proposition 2.30, we obtain

$$\|D''^{*}_{E\otimes F} u\|^2 + \|\bar\partial u\|^2 = \|D'^{*} u\|^2 + \langle\langle \sqrt{-1}\Theta(E\otimes F)\Lambda u, u\rangle\rangle$$

for $u \in L^{n,q}_{(2)}(Y, E\otimes F)$, where Λ is the adjoint of $\omega' \wedge \cdot$. We note that the Kähler metric g' on Y is complete. If $u \in \mathcal{H}^{n,q}(E\otimes F)$, then $D'^{*}u = 0$ and $\langle \sqrt{-1}(\Theta(E) + \mathrm{Id}_E \otimes \Theta(F))\Lambda u, u\rangle = 0$ by the assumption (iii). By (iv), we have $\langle \sqrt{-1}(\mathrm{Id}_E \otimes \Theta(L))\Lambda u, u\rangle \leq 0$. When $u \in \mathcal{H}^{n,q}(E\otimes F)$, $\bar\partial(su) = 0$ by the Leibnitz rule and $D'^{*}(su) = sD'^{*}u = 0$ since s is a L-valued holomorphic $(0,0)$-form. It is not difficult to see that su is square integrable. Thus, we obtain

$$\|D''^{*}_{E\otimes F\otimes L}(su)\|^2 = \langle\langle \sqrt{-1}\Theta(E\otimes F\otimes L)\Lambda(su), su\rangle\rangle$$

by Proposition 2.30. On the other hand,

$$\langle \sqrt{-1}\Theta(E\otimes F\otimes L)\Lambda(su), su\rangle = |s|^2 \langle \sqrt{-1}(\mathrm{Id}_E \otimes \Theta(L))\Lambda u, u\rangle \leq 0,$$

where $|s|$ is the pointwise norm of s with respect to h_L. Therefore, $D''^{*}_{E\otimes F\otimes L}(su) = 0$. This implies that $su \in \mathcal{H}^{n,q}(E \otimes F \otimes L)$. We finish the proof of the claim. $\square$

By the above claims, the theorem is obvious since $\times s : \mathcal{H}^{n,q}(E \otimes F) \to \mathcal{H}^{n,q}(E \otimes F \otimes L)$ is injective for any q. $\square$

4. Applications

In this section, we treat only a few applications of Theorem 1.2. I do not know what formulation is the best for geometric applications. We recommend the reader to see the results in [Tk] and the arguments in [Ny, Chapter V, §3] for other formulations and generalizations. The following formulation is due to Kollár (cf. [Ko2, 10.13 Theorem]). He stated this result for the case where E is a trivial line bundle and (X, Δ) is klt.

Proposition 4.1 (Kollár type injectivity theorem)**.** *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a proper surjective morphism from a compact Kähler manifold X to a normal projective variety Y. Let L be a holomorphic line bundle on X and D an effective divisor on X such that $f(D) \neq Y$. Assume that $L \equiv f^*M + \Delta$, where M is a nef and big $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on Y and Δ is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X. Let (E, h_E) be a Nakano semi-positive holomorphic vector bundle on X. Then*

$$H^q(X, K_X \otimes E \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{I}(\Delta)) \to H^q(X, K_X \otimes E \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(D) \otimes \mathcal{I}(\Delta))$$

is injective for any $q \geq 0$, where $\mathcal{I}(\Delta)$ is the multiplier ideal sheaf associated to the effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor Δ.

Proof. By taking $P \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(X)$ suitably, we have $L \otimes P \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*M + \Delta$. We can assume that $L \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*M + \Delta$ by replacing L (resp. E) with $L \otimes P$ (resp. $E \otimes P^{-1}$). By Kodaira's lemma (see Lemma 2.10 and Remark 2.20), we can further assume that M is ample. Let $h := \Phi_{|mM|} : Y \to \mathbb{P}^N$ be the embedding induced by the complete linear system $|mM|$ for a large integer m. Then $\mathcal{O}_Y(mM) \simeq h^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1)$. We can take an effective divisor A on $\mathbb{P}^N$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(A) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(l)$ for some positive integer l and $D' = f^*h^*A - D$ is an effective divisor on X. We add D' to D and can assume that $D = f^*h^*A$. Under these extra assumptions, we can easily construct hermitian metrics satisfying the assumptions in Theorem 1.2 (see Example 2.4). We finish the proof of the proposition. $\square$

Remark 4.2 (Numerical equivalence)**.** In the above proposition, we note that $L \equiv f^*M + \Delta$ means $c_1(L) = c_1(f^*M + \Delta)$ in $H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$, where c_1 is the first Chern class of $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors or line bundles.

Remark 4.3. Proposition 4.1 is a generalization of [Ko2, 10.13 Theorem], which is stated for a compact Kähler manifold. However, the proof of [Ko2, 10.13 Theorem] given in [Ko2] works only for *projective manifolds*. In [Ko2, 10.17.3 Claim], we need an ample divisor on X to prove local vanishing theorems.

The following proposition is a reformulation of [EV, 5.12. Corollary b)] from the analytic viewpoint. It is essentially the same as Proposition 4.1. We write it for the reader's convenience. In [EV], E is trivial and $\mathcal{I} \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$.

Proposition 4.4 (Esnault-Viehweg type injectivity theorem)**.** *Let X be a smooth projective variety and D an effective divisor on X. Let (E, h_E) be a Nakano semi-positive holomorphic vector bundle and L a holomorphic line bundle on X. Assume that $L^{\otimes k}(-D)$ is nef and abundant, that is, $\kappa(L^{\otimes k}(-D)) = \nu(L^{\otimes k}(-D))$, for some positive integer k. Let B be an effective divisor on X such that $H^0(X, (L^{\otimes k}(-D))^{\otimes l} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-B)) \neq 0$ for some $l > 0$. Then*

$$H^q(X, K_X \otimes E \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{I}) \to H^q(X, K_X \otimes E \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(B))$$

is injective for all q, where $\mathcal{I} := \mathcal{I}(\frac{1}{k}D)$ is the multiplier ideal sheaf associated to the effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $\frac{1}{k}D$.

Proof. Let $\pi : Z \to X$ be a projective birational morphism from a smooth projective variety Z with the following properties.

(i) There exists a proper surjective morphism between smooth projective varieties $f : Z \to Y$ with connected fibers, and
(ii) there is a nef and big $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor M on Y such that $\pi^*(L^{\otimes k}(-D)) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*M$.

For the proof, see [Ka, Proposition 2.1]. On the other hand, $R^i\pi_*(K_{Z/X} \otimes \mathcal{I}(\frac{1}{k}\pi^*D)) = 0$ for $i > 0$ and $\pi_*(K_Z \otimes \mathcal{I}(\frac{1}{k}\pi^*D)) \simeq K_X \otimes \mathcal{I}(\frac{1}{k}D)$ by [L, Theorem 9.2.33, and Example 9.6.4]. We note that (π^*E, π^*h_E) is Nakano semi-positive on Z. So, we can assume that $X = Z$ without loss of generality. It is not difficult to see that $f(B) \neq Y$ by the assumption that $H^0(X, (L^{\otimes k}(-D))^{\otimes l} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-B)) \neq 0$ for some $l > 0$. Thus, this proposition follows from Proposition 4.1. $\square$

Remark 4.5. In the proof of Proposition 4.4, we modify X birationally and apply the relative vanishing theorem and the spectral sequence, which may contradict the abstract of this paper. However, I think that there are no analytic interpretations of the nef and abundant divisors. So, these arguments, which are usual algebraic geometric arguments to prove vanishing theorems and injectivity theorems, seem to be indispensable in Proposition 4.4.

Remark 4.6 (Vanishing theorem and torsion-freeness)**.** Kollár's injectivity theorem contains his vanishing and torsion-free theorems (see [Ko1, Theorem 2.1]). So, Proposition 4.1 gives some generalizations of Kollár's vanishing and torsion-free theorems. We do not pursue them here. We just mention that [Ko2, 10.15 Corollary] holds for canonical line bundles tensorized with $E \otimes \mathcal{I}(\Delta)$, that is, $K_X \otimes E \otimes \mathcal{I}(\Delta)$, where we use the same notation as in Proposition 4.1. We note [L, Example 9.5.9] when we restrict the multiplier ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}(\Delta)$ to a *general* hypersurface. Related topics are in [EV, 6.12 Corollary, and 6.17 Corollary].

The next corollary directly follows from Proposition 4.1. It may be better to be called Nadel type vanishing theorem.

Corollary 4.7 (Kawamata-Viehweg type vanishing theorem)**.** *Let X be a smooth projective variety and L a holomorphic line bundle on X. Assume that $L \equiv M + \Delta$, where M is a nef and big $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X and Δ is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X. Let (E, h_E) be a Nakano semi-positive holomorphic vector bundle on X. Then $H^q(X, K_X \otimes E \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{I}(\Delta)) = 0$ for $q \geq 1$. Moreover, if Δ is integrable outside finitely many points, then $H^q(X, K_X \otimes E \otimes L) = 0$ for $q \geq 1$.*

Proof. We use Proposition 4.1 under the assumption that $Y = X$ and $f = \mathrm{id}_X$. We take an effective ample divisor D on X and apply Proposition 4.1. Then we obtain that

$$H^q(X, K_X \otimes E \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{I}(\Delta)) \to H^q(X, K_X \otimes E \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{I}(\Delta) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(mD))$$

is injective for $m > 0$ and $q \geq 0$. By Serre's vanishing theorem, we have $H^q(X, K_X \otimes E \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{I}(\Delta)) = 0$ for $q \geq 1$. When Δ is integrable outside finitely many points, $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}(\Delta)$ is a skyscraper sheaf. Therefore, $H^q(X, K_X \otimes E \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}(\Delta)) = 0$ for $q \geq 1$. By combining it with the above mentioned vanishing result, we obtain the desired result. □

The final result is a slight generalization of Demailly's formulation of Kawamata-Viehweg type vanishing theorem.

Corollary 4.8 (cf. [D3, Main Theorem])**.** *Let L be a holomorphic line bundle on an n-dimensional projective manifold X. Assume that some positive power $L^{\otimes k}$ can be written $L^{\otimes k} \simeq M \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(D)$, where M is a nef line bundle and D is an effective divisor such that $\frac{1}{k}D$ is integrable on $X \setminus B$. Let $\nu = \nu(M)$ be the numerical dimension of the nef line bundle M. Let (E, h_E) be a Nakano semi-positive holomorphic vector bundle on X. Then $H^q(X, K_X \otimes E \otimes L) = 0$ for $q > n - \min\{\max\{\nu, \kappa(L)\}, \mathrm{codim} B\}$.*

Sketch of the proof. By the standard slicing arguments and Kodaira's lemma (see Lemma 2.10 and Remark 2.20), we can reduce it to the case where $\mathrm{codim} B = n$ and M is ample. For the details of this reduction arguments, see the first and second steps in the proof of the main theorem in [D3]. Therefore, this corollary follows from the previous corollary: Corollary 4.7. □

References

[D1] J-P. Demailly, Estimations L^2 pour l'opérateur $\bar{\partial}$ d'un fibré vectoriel holomorphe semi-positif au-dessus d'une variété kählérienne complète, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **15** (1982), no. 3, 457–511.

[D2] J-P. Demailly, Cohomology of q-convex spaces in top degrees, Math. Z. **204** (1990), no. 2, 283–295.

[D3] J-P. Demailly, Transcendental proof of a generalized Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem, Geometrical and algebraical aspects in several complex variables (Cetraro, 1989), 81–94, Sem. Conf., **8**, EditEl, Rende, 1991.

[D4] J-P. Demailly, Multiplier ideal sheaves and analytic methods in algebraic geometry, School on Vanishing Theorems and Effective Results in Algebraic Geometry (Trieste, 2000), 1–148, ICTP Lect. Notes, **6**, Abdus Salam Int. Cent. Theoret. Phys., Trieste, 2001.

[E] I. Enoki, Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem for compact Kähler manifolds, Einstein metrics and Yang-Mills connections (Sanda, 1990), 59–68, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., **145**, Dekker, New York, 1993.

[EV] H. Esnault, E. Viehweg, *Lectures on vanishing theorems*, DMV Seminar, **20**. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992. vi+164 pp.

[F1] O. Fujino, On Ohsawa's injectivity theorem, preprint 2005.

[F2] O. Fujino, A transcendental approach to Kollár's injectivity theorem II, preprint 2006.

[F3] O. Fujino, On Kollár's injectivity theorem (Japanese), to appear in Sūrikaisekikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku.

[H] L. Hörmander, L^2 estimates and existence theorems for the $\bar{\partial}$ operator, Acta Math. **113** (1965) 89–152.

[Ka] Y. Kawamata, Pluricanonical systems on minimal algebraic varieties, Invent. Math. **79** (1985), no. 3, 567–588.

[Ko1] J. Kollár, Higher direct images of dualizing sheaves. I, Ann. of Math. (2) **123** (1986), no.1, 11–42.

[Ko2] J. Kollár, *Shafarevich maps and automorphic forms*, M. B. Porter Lectures. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1995. x+201 pp.

[KM] J. Kollár, S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*. With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti. Translated from the 1998 Japanese original. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, **134**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. viii+254 pp.

[L] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry. II. Positivity for vector bundles, and multiplier ideals*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], **49**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. xviii+385 pp.

[Nd] A. Nadel, Multiplier ideal sheaves and existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics of positive scalar curvature, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **86** (1989), no.19, 7299–7300.

[Ny] N. Nakayama, *Zariski-decomposition and abundance*, MSJ Memoirs, **14**. Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2004. xiv+277 pp.

[O1] T. Ohsawa, *Analysis of several complex variables*, Translated from the Japanese by Shu Gilbert Nakamura. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, **211**. Iwanami Series in Modern Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002. xviii+121 pp.

[O2] T. Ohsawa, On a curvature condition that implies a cohomology injectivity theorem of Kollár-Skoda type, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **41** (2005), no. 3, 565–577.

[Tk] K. Takegoshi, Higher direct images of canonical sheaves tensorized with semi-positive vector bundles by proper Kähler morphisms, Math. Ann. **303** (1995), no.3, 389–416.

[Tn] S. G. Tankeev, On n-dimensional canonically polarized varieties and varieties of fundamental type, Math. USSR-Izv. vol. **5** (1971), no. 1, 29–43.

Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Chikusa-ku Nagoya 464-8602 Japan

E-mail address: `fujino@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp`