

# General Sequential Quantum Cloning

Gui-Fang Dang and Heng Fan

*Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, China.*

(Dated: February 19, 2013)

Some multipartite quantum states can be generated in a sequential manner which may be implemented by various physical setups like microwave and optical cavity QED, trapped ions, and quantum dots etc. We analyze the general  $N$  to  $M$  ( $N \leq M$ ) qubits Universal Quantum Cloning Machine (UQCM) within a sequential generation scheme. We show that the  $N$  to  $M$  sequential UQCM is available. The case of  $d$ -level quantum states sequential cloning is also presented.

PACS numbers: 03.67.Mn, 03.65.Ud, 52.50.Dv

Quantum entanglement plays a key role in quantum computation and quantum information [1]. Multipartite entangled states arise as a resource for quantum information processing tasks such as the well known quantum teleportation[2], quantum communication [3, 4], clock synchronization [5] etc. In general it is extremely difficult to generate experimentally multipartite entangled states through single global unitary operations. In this sense, the *sequential* generation of the entangled states appears to be promising. Actually most of the quantum computation networks are designed to implement quantum logic gates through a sequential procedure [6]. Recently sequential implementing of quantum information processing tasks has been attracting much attention. It is pointed out that photonic multiqubit states can be generated by letting a source emit photonic qubits in a sequential manner [7]. The general sequential generation of entangled multiqubit states in the realm of cavity QED was systematically studied in Refs.[8, 9]. It is also shown that the class of sequentially generated states is identical to the matrix-product-state (MPS) which is very useful in study of spin chains of condensed matter physics [10].

On the other hand, much progress has already been made in the past years in studying quantum cloning machines, for reviews see, for example, Refs.[11, 12, 13]. And various quantum cloning machines have been implemented experimentally by polarization of photons [14, 15, 16, 17, 18], nuclear spins in Nuclear Magnetic Resonance [19, 20], etc. However, these experiments are for 1 to 2 (one qubit input and two-qubit output) or 1 to 3 cloning machines. The more general case will be much difficult. There are some schemes proposed for the general quantum cloning machines which are not in a sequential manner, see for example, [21, 22]. Recently a 1 to  $M$  sequential universal quantum cloning is proposed [23] by using the cloning transformation presented in Ref.[24]. Since it is in a sequential procedure, potentially it reduces the difficult in implementing this quantum cloning machine. However, as is well known the collective quantum cloning machine (the  $N$  identical input states are cloned collectively to  $M$  copies) is better than the quantum cloning machine which can only deal with the individual input(only one input is copied to several copies

each time). We know that the general  $N$  to  $M$  cloning transformation is also available in Refs.[24, 25]. Then a natural question arise is that whether the general  $N$  to  $M$  *sequential* cloning machine is possible. In this Letter, we will present the general sequential universal quantum cloning machine.

The 1 to  $M$  cloning transformations used in Ref.[23] was proposed by Gisin and Massar in Ref.[24]. And the  $N$  to  $M$  UQCM was also presented in Ref.[24]. However, to use the method proposed in Refs.[8, 23] to find the sequential cloning machine, the input state  $|\Phi\rangle^{\otimes N}$  should be expanded in computational basis  $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$ . The explicit quantum cloning transformations with this kind of input were proposed by Fan *et al* in Ref.[25]. In this Letter, based on the result of Ref.[25], the general sequential UQCM will be presented.

As presented in Refs.[8, 23], the sequential generation of a multiqubit state is like the following. Let  $\mathcal{H}_A$  be a  $D$ -dimensional Hilbert space which acts as the ancillary system, and a single qubit (e.g., a time-bin qubit) is in a two-dimensional Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_B$ . In every step of the sequential generation of a multiqubit state, a unitary time evolution will be acting on the joint system  $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ . We assume that each qubit is initially in the state  $|0\rangle$  which is like a blank or an empty state and will not be written out in the formulas. So the unitary time evolution is written in the form of an isometry  $V: \mathcal{H}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ , where  $V = \sum_{i,\alpha,\beta} V_{\alpha,\beta}^i |\alpha, i\rangle \langle \beta|$ , each  $V^i$  is a  $D \times D$  matrix, and the isometry condition takes the form  $\sum_{i=0}^1 V^{i\dagger} V^i = 1$ . By applying successively  $n$  operations of  $V$  (not necessarily the same) on an initial ancillary state  $|\phi_I\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_A$ , we obtain  $|\Psi\rangle = V^{[n]} \dots V^{[2]} V^{[1]} |\phi_I\rangle$ . The generated  $n$  qubits are in general an entangled state, but the last step qubit-ancilla interaction can be chosen so as to decouple the final multiqubit entangled state from the auxiliary system, so the sequentially generated state is

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i_1 \dots i_n=0}^1 \langle \phi_F | V^{[n]i_n} \dots V^{[1]i_1} | \phi_I \rangle |i_n, \dots, i_1\rangle, \quad (1)$$

where  $|\phi_F\rangle$  is the final state of the ancilla. This is the MPS. It was proven that any MPS can be sequentially generated [8].

Suppose there are  $N$  identical pure quantum states  $|\Phi\rangle^{\otimes N} = (x_0|0\rangle + x_1|1\rangle)^{\otimes N}$  need to be cloned to  $M$  copies, where  $|x_0|^2 + |x_1|^2 = 1$ . We know that the input state can be represented by a basis in symmetric subspace.

$$|\Phi\rangle^{\otimes N} = \sum_{m=0}^N x_0^{N-m} x_1^m \sqrt{C_N^m} |(N-m)0, m1\rangle, \quad (2)$$

where  $|(N-m)0, m1\rangle$  denotes the symmetric and normalized state with  $(N-m)$  qubits in the state  $|0\rangle$  and  $m$  qubits in the state  $|1\rangle$ , and we have  $C_N^m = N!/(N-m)!m!$  in standard notation. So if we find the quantum cloning transformations for all states in symmetric subspace, we can clone  $N$  pure states to  $M$  copies. The UQCM with input in symmetric subspace can be written as [25],

$$|(N-m)0, m1\rangle \rightarrow |\Phi_M^m\rangle, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi_M^m\rangle &= \sum_{j=0}^{M-N} \beta_{mj} |(M-m-j)0, (m+j)1\rangle \otimes R_j, \quad (4) \\ \beta_{mj} &= \sqrt{C_{M-m-j}^{M-N-j} C_{(m+j)}^j / C_{M+1}^{N+1}}, \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

where  $R_j$  are the ancillary states of the cloning machine and are orthogonal with each other for different  $j$ . For a sequential quantum cloning machine in this Letter, we choose a realization  $R_j \equiv |(M-N-j)1, j0\rangle$  for the ancilla states. This UQCM is optimal in the sense that the fidelity between single qubit output state reduced density operator  $\rho_{reduced}^{out}$  and the single input  $|\Phi\rangle$  is optimal. The optimal fidelity is  $F = \langle \Phi | \rho_{reduced}^{out} | \Phi \rangle = (MN + M + N)/M(N + 2)$ , see Refs.[11, 12, 13] for reviews and the references therein. A realization of this UQCM with photon stimulated emission can be found in Ref.[22] which is not in a sequential manner. We next show that this general  $N$  to  $M$  UQCM can be generated through a sequential procedure.

The basic idea is to show that the final state of the cloning,  $|\Phi_M^m\rangle$  in (4), can be expressed in its MPS form. As shown in Ref.[8], any MPS can be sequentially generated. We shall follow the method, for example, as in Refs.[23, 26]. By Schmidt decomposition, we first express the quantum state  $|\Phi_M^m\rangle$  as a bi-partite state across  $1 : 2 \dots$  cut,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi_M^m\rangle &= \lambda_1^{[1]} |0\rangle |\phi_1^{[2 \dots (2M-N)]}\rangle + \lambda_2^{[1]} |1\rangle |\phi_2^{[2 \dots (2M-N)]}\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\alpha_1 i_1} \Gamma_{\alpha_1}^{[1] i_1} \lambda_{\alpha_1}^{[1]} |i_1\rangle |\phi_{\alpha_1}^{[2 \dots (2M-N)]}\rangle, \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Gamma_{\alpha_1}^{[1]0} = \delta_{\alpha_1,1}$ ,  $\Gamma_{\alpha_1}^{[1]1} = \delta_{\alpha_1,2}$ , and  $\lambda_{\alpha_1}^{[1]}$  are eigenvalues of the first qubit reduced density operator, and we find  $\lambda_1^{[1]} = \sqrt{\sum_{k=-m}^{M-m-1} \beta_{mk}^2 C_{M-1}^{m+k} / C_M^{m+k}}$ ,  $\lambda_2^{[1]} = \sqrt{\sum_{k=-m}^{M-m-1} \beta_{mk+1}^2 C_{M-1}^{m+k} / C_M^{m+k+1}}$ . To correspond with

the MPS in (1), we can define  $V_{\alpha_1}^{[1] i_1} = \Gamma_{\alpha_1}^{[1] i_1} \lambda_{\alpha_1}^{[1]}$ . Successively by Schmidt decomposition, the quantum state  $|\Phi_M^m\rangle$  in (4) is divided into a bi-partite state with the first  $n$  qubits as one part, and the rest as another part, where  $1 < n \leq M-1$ . We find

$$|\Phi_M^m\rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{n'} \lambda_{j+1}^{[n]} |(n-j)0, j1\rangle |\phi_{j+1}^{[(n+1) \dots (2M-N)]}\rangle, \quad (7)$$

when  $1 < n \leq M-N+m$ ,  $n' = n$ ; when  $M-N+m < n \leq M-1$ ,  $n' = M-N+m$ ,  $\lambda_{j+1}^{[n]}$  are eigenvalues of the first  $n$  qubits reduced density operator of  $|\Phi_M^m\rangle$ . According to the results in Eqs.(4,5), we can obtain,

$$\lambda_{j+1}^{[n]} = \sqrt{C_n^j \sum_{k=-m}^{M-m-n} \beta_{m(j+k)}^2 \frac{C_{M-n}^{m+k}}{C_M^{m+j+k}}}. \quad (8)$$

And we also have

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_{j+1}^{[(n+1) \dots (2M-N)]}\rangle &= \frac{\sqrt{C_n^j}}{\lambda_{j+1}^{[n]}} \sum_{k=-m}^{M-m-n} \beta_{m(j+k)}^2 \times \\ &\times \sqrt{\frac{C_{M-n}^{(m+k)}}{C_M^{m+j+k}}} |(M-n-m-k)0, (m+k)1\rangle \otimes R_{j+k}. \end{aligned}$$

By induction and a concise formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|\Phi_{j+1}^{n \dots (2M-N)]}\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\alpha_n, i_n} \Gamma_{(j+1)\alpha_n}^{[n] i_n} \lambda_{\alpha_n}^{[n]} |i_n\rangle |\phi_{\alpha_n}^{[(n+1) \dots (2M-N)]}\rangle \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{C_{n-1}^j}}{\lambda_{j+1}^{[n-1]}} \left[ |0\rangle |\phi_{j+1}^{[(n+1) \dots (2M-N)]}\rangle \frac{\lambda_{j+1}^{[n]}}{\sqrt{C_n^j}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |1\rangle |\phi_{j+2}^{[(n+1) \dots (2M-N)]}\rangle \frac{\lambda_{j+2}^{[n]}}{\sqrt{C_n^{j+1}}} \right], \quad (9) \end{aligned}$$

where we denote

$$\Gamma_{(j+1)\alpha_n}^{[n]0} = \delta_{(j+1)\alpha_n} \sqrt{C_{n-1}^j} / (\lambda_{j+1}^{[n-1]} \sqrt{C_n^j}), \quad (10)$$

$$\Gamma_{(j+1)\alpha_n}^{[n]1} = \delta_{(j+2)\alpha_n} \sqrt{C_{n-1}^j} / (\lambda_{j+1}^{[n-1]} \sqrt{C_n^{j+1}}). \quad (11)$$

Still we define that

$$V_{\alpha_n \alpha_{n-1}}^{[n] i_n} = \Gamma_{\alpha_{n-1} \alpha_n}^{[n] i_n} \lambda_{\alpha_n}^{[n]}. \quad (12)$$

It is thus in the MPS representation. We can further consider other cases including the ancilla state of the cloning machine represented as  $R_j$  (Note it is not the ancilla state in the MPS representation). We can find that the output state of the general UQCM can be expressed as MPS as in form (1). So it can be created sequentially. The explicit results are summarized in the appendix.

We have shown that the output states of the general UQCM in (4,5) are MPS's and thus can be generated sequentially. The sequential matrices  $V^{[n]}$  of course depend on the input  $|(N-m)0, m1\rangle$  which are W-like states and are generally multiqubit entangled. For later convenience, we denote  $V(m)$  to express that it depends on input state for different  $m$ . By a straightforward method, the sequential cloning operation, i.e., the isometries, depending on different input may take the form  $\sum_m |(N-m)0, m\rangle\langle(N-m)0, m1| \otimes V(m)$ . However, this operation may need a single global unitary operator which involves  $N$ -qubit entangled states except for  $m=0, m=N$ . This contradicts with our aim that each operation should be divided into sequential unitary operators in a *quDit* (quantum state in  $D$ -dimensional space) times qubit system. Here we can use a scheme like the following: the ancillary state interacts with each qubit according to the  $(N+1) \times D$ -dimensional isometries as  $\sum_m \sqrt{C_M^m} |0\rangle\langle 0|^{\otimes N-m} \otimes |1\rangle\langle 1|^{\otimes m} \otimes V(m)$  sequentially, here a whole normalization factor is omitted. We know that the operation  $|0\rangle\langle 0|^{\otimes N-m} \otimes |1\rangle\langle 1|^{\otimes m}$  acts on each qubit individually. Thus this scheme reduces the complexity of the operation. This finishes our general sequential UQCM for the case of qubit. In case  $N=1$ , we recover the result of Ref.[23] for 1 to  $M$  cloning. We should remark that similar as the case of sequential 1 to  $M$  UQCM in Ref.[23], for the general sequential UQCM, the minimal dimension  $D$  of the ancillary state grows linearly at most with  $M-N/2+1$  for even  $N$  or  $M-(N-1)/2$  for odd  $N$ .

Next we will consider a more general case that the sequential cloning machine is about the quantum state in  $d$ -dimensional Hilbert space. We will use the  $d$ -dimensional UQCM proposed by Fan *et al* in Ref. [25]. This UQCM is a generalization of the cloning machine proposed in Ref.[24] and we can use this UQCM to study its sequential form for  $d$ -dimensional case.

An arbitrary  $d$ -dimensional pure state takes the form  $|\Phi\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} x_i |i\rangle$  with  $\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} |x_i|^2 = 1$ .  $N$  identical pure states can be expanded in terms of state in symmetric subspace  $|\Phi\rangle^{\otimes N} = \sum_{\vec{m}=0}^N \sqrt{\frac{N!}{m_1! \dots m_d!}} x_0^{m_1} \dots x_{d-1}^{m_d} |\vec{m}\rangle$ , where  $|\vec{m}\rangle \equiv |m_1, \dots, m_d\rangle$  is a symmetric state with  $m_i$  states of  $|i-1\rangle$ , and also  $m_i$  should satisfy a relation  $\sum_{i=1}^d m_i = N$ . The cloning transformations with states in symmetric subspace can be written as

$$|\vec{m}\rangle \rightarrow |\Phi_M^{\vec{m}}\rangle = \sum_{\vec{j}=0}^{M-N} \beta_{\vec{m}\vec{j}} |\vec{m} + \vec{j}\rangle \otimes |\vec{j}\rangle, \quad (13)$$

$$\beta_{\vec{m}\vec{j}} = \sqrt{\frac{\prod_{i=1}^d C_{m_i+j_i}^{j_i}}{C_{M+N-d-1}^{M-N}}} \quad (14)$$

where  $\vec{j}$  should satisfy  $\sum_i j_i = M-N$ . This cloning machine is optimal and the corresponding fidelity of a

single quantum state between input and output is  $F = (N(d+M) + M - N)/(d+N)M$ .

As for qubit system, we next show that the output states for all symmetric states input can be expressed as the sequential form. We consider the case  $1 < n \leq M-1$ , and the state  $|\Phi_M^{\vec{m}}\rangle$  is a bipartite state across  $1 \dots n : (n+1) \dots$  cut,

$$|\Phi_M^{\vec{m}}\rangle = \sum_{\vec{j}=0}^M \sum_{\vec{k}=0}^{M-n} \lambda_{\vec{j}}^{[n]} |\vec{j}\rangle |\phi_{\vec{j}}^{[(n+1) \dots (M+1)]}\rangle \quad (15)$$

where

$$\lambda_{\vec{j}}^{[n]} = \sqrt{\sum_{\vec{k}=0}^{M-n} \beta_{\vec{m}(\vec{j}-\vec{m}+\vec{k})}^2 \frac{\prod_{i=1}^d C_{j_i+k_i}^{j_i}}{C_M^n}}, \quad (16)$$

$$|\phi_{\vec{j}}^{[(n+1) \dots (M+1)]}\rangle = \sum_{\vec{k}=0}^{M-n} \beta_{\vec{m}(\vec{j}-\vec{m}+\vec{k})} \sqrt{\frac{\prod_{i=1}^d C_{j_i+k_i}^{j_i}}{C_M^n}} |\vec{k}\rangle |\vec{j} - \vec{m} + \vec{k}\rangle / \lambda_{\vec{j}}^{[n]}. \quad (17)$$

By the same procedure as that of qubit case, we can obtain the following

$$|\phi_{\vec{j}}^{[n \dots (M+1)]}\rangle = \sum_{\alpha_n i_n} \Gamma_{\vec{j} \alpha_n}^{[n] i_n} \lambda_{\alpha_n}^{[n]} |i_n\rangle |\phi_{\alpha_n}^{(n+1) \dots (M+1)}\rangle. \quad (18)$$

Then we have

$$\Gamma_{\vec{j} \alpha_n}^{[n] i_n} = \delta_{\alpha_n(\vec{j}+\vec{e}_{i_n+1})} \sqrt{\frac{j_{i_n+1}+1}{n}} / \lambda_{\vec{j}}^{[n-1]}. \quad (19)$$

Still we can define  $V_{\alpha_n \alpha_{n-1}}^{[n] i_n} = \Gamma_{\alpha_{n-1} \alpha_n}^{[n] i_n} \lambda_{\alpha_n}^{[n]}$ , and thus we can find that each state  $|\Phi_M^{\vec{m}}\rangle$  is a MPS and thus can be sequentially generated. The detailed result of this part will be presented elsewhere [27].

In conclusion, we show that the general  $N$  to  $M$  universal quantum cloning machine can be implemented by a sequential manner. Since the sequential generation of multipartite state can be implemented in various physical setups such as microwave and optical cavity QED, trapped ions and quantum dots etc. This general sequential quantum cloning machine may be implemented much easier than the single global implementation scheme. This reduces dramatically the complexity in implementing the general UQCM. We also show that for  $d$ -dimensional quantum state, the sequential UQCM is also available. Besides the universal cloning machine, the 1 to  $M$  phase-covariant quantum cloning machine can also be sequentially implemented. It will be interesting to consider similarly the general  $N$  to  $M$  phase-covariant cloning and the economic phase-covariant cloning. The sequential asymmetric quantum cloning machine may also be an interesting topic.

*Acknowledgements:* HF was supported by "Bairen" program, NSFC and "973" program (2006CB921107).

*Appendix.*—The explicit form of matrices  $V$  are presented as:

$$V_{\alpha_n \alpha_{n-1}}^{[n]0} = \delta_{\alpha_n \alpha_{n-1}} \times \left( \frac{\sum_{k=-m}^{M-m-n} X \frac{C_{M-n}^{m+k}}{C_M^{m+\alpha_{n-1}-1+k}}}{\sum_{k=-m}^{M-m-n+1} X \frac{C_{M-n+1}^{m+k}}{C_M^{m+\alpha_{n-1}-1+k}}} \right)^{1/2},$$

$$V_{\alpha_n \alpha_{n-1}}^{[n]0} = \delta_{\alpha_n \alpha_{n-1}+1} \times \left( \frac{\sum_{k=-m}^{M-m-n} X' \frac{C_{M-n}^{m+k}}{C_M^{m+\alpha_{n-1}+k}}}{\sum_{k=-m}^{M-m-n+1} X \frac{C_{M-n+1}^{m+k}}{C_M^{m+\alpha_{n-1}-1+k}}} \right)^{1/2},$$

where notations  $X = \beta_{m(\alpha_{n-1}-1+k)}^2$ ,  $X' = \beta_{m(\alpha_{n-1}+k)}^2$  are used. For case  $1 < n \leq M-N+m$ ,  $\alpha_{n-1} = 1, \dots, n$ ;  $\alpha_n = 1, \dots, (n+1)$ , and for case  $M-N+m < n \leq M-1$ ,  $\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n = 1, \dots, (M-N+m+1)$ . We can check that the above defined  $V$  satisfies the isometry condition  $\sum_{i_n} [V^{[n]i_n}]^\dagger V^{[n]i_n} = 1$ . Similarly we have

$$V_{\alpha_M \alpha_{M-1}}^{[M]0} = \delta_{\alpha_M \alpha_{M-1}} \times \left( \frac{\frac{\beta_{m(\alpha_{M-1}-1-m)}^2}{C_M^{\alpha_{M-1}}} \frac{\beta_{m(\alpha_{M-1}-1-m)}^2}{C_M^{\alpha_{M-1}-1}} + \frac{\beta_{m(\alpha_{M-1}-m)}^2}{C_M^{\alpha_{M-1}}} \right)^{1/2},$$

$$V_{\alpha_M \alpha_{M-1}}^{[M]1} = \delta_{\alpha_M (\alpha_{M-1}+1)} \times \left( \frac{\frac{\beta_{m(\alpha_{M-1}-m)}^2}{C_M^{\alpha_{M-1}}} \frac{\beta_{m(\alpha_{M-1}-1-m)}^2}{C_M^{\alpha_{M-1}-1}} + \frac{\beta_{m(\alpha_{M-1}-m)}^2}{C_M^{\alpha_{M-1}}} \right)^{1/2},$$

where  $0 \leq m \leq N-m$ ,  $\alpha_{M-1}, \alpha_M = 1, 2, \dots, (M-N+m+1)$ .

For case concerning about ancilla state of the UQCM, assume  $1 \leq l \leq M-N$ , we have

$$V_{\alpha_{M+l} \alpha_{M+l-1}}^{[M+l]0} = \delta_{\alpha_{M+l} (\alpha_{M+l-1}-1)} \times \left( \frac{\alpha_{M+l-1} - m - 1}{M - N - l + 1} \right)^{1/2},$$

$$V_{\alpha_{M+l} \alpha_{M+l-1}}^{[M+l]1} = \delta_{\alpha_{M+l} \alpha_{M+l-1}} \times \left( \frac{M - N - l - \alpha_{M+l-1} + m + 1}{M - N - l + 1} \right)^{1/2}.$$

(1) For  $(m+1) \leq \alpha_{M+l} \leq (M-N+m-l+1)$ ,  $(m+2) \leq \alpha_{M+l-1} \leq (M-N+m-l+2)$ ,  $V_{\alpha_{M+l} \alpha_{M+l-1}}^{[M+l]0} = \delta_{\alpha_{M+l} (\alpha_{M+l-1}-1)} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{M+l-1}-m-1}{M-N-l+1}}$ . For  $\alpha_{M+l} = (M-N+m-l+2)$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha_{M+l-1} \leq (M-N+m+1)$ ,  $V_{\alpha_{M+l} \alpha_{M+l-1}}^{[M+l]0} = 0$ . Otherwise  $V_{\alpha_{M+l} \alpha_{M+l-1}}^{[M+l]0} = \delta_{\alpha_{M+l} \alpha_{M+l-1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ .

(2) For  $(m+1) \leq \alpha_{M+l}, \alpha_{M+l-1} \leq (M-N+m-l+1)$ ,  $V_{\alpha_{M+l} \alpha_{M+l-1}}^{[M+l]1} = \delta_{\alpha_{M+l} \alpha_{M+l-1}} \sqrt{\frac{M-N-l-\alpha_{M+l-1}+m+2}{M-N-l+1}}$ . For  $\alpha_{M+l} = (M-N+m-l+2)$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha_{M+l-1} \leq (M-N+m+1)$ ,  $V_{\alpha_{M+l} \alpha_{M+l-1}}^{[M+l]0} = 0$ . Otherwise  $V_{\alpha_{M+l} \alpha_{M+l-1}}^{[M+l]0} = \delta_{\alpha_{M+l} \alpha_{M+l-1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ .

- 
- [1] C. H. Bennett and D. P. DiVincenzo, *Nature* **404**, 247 (2000).
  - [2] C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crepeau, R. Jozsa, A. Peres, and W. Wootters, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 1895 (1993).
  - [3] D. Gottesman and I. Chuang, *Nature* **402**, 390 (1999).
  - [4] R. Raussendorf and Hans J. Briegel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 5188 (2000).
  - [5] V. Giovannetti, S. Lloyd, L. Maccone, *Nature* **412**, 417 (2001).
  - [6] A. Barenco, *et al*, *Phys. Rev. A* **52**, 3457 (1995).
  - [7] C. Saavedra, K. M. Gheri, T. Torma, J. I. Cirac, and P. Zoller, *Phys. Rev. A* **61**, 062311 (2000).
  - [8] C. Schon, E. Solano, F. Verstraete, J. I. Cirac, and M. M. Wolf, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 110503 (2005).
  - [9] C. Schon, K. Hammerer, M. M. Wolf, J. I. Cirac, and E. Solano, *quant-ph/0612101*,
  - [10] A. Affleck, T. Kennedy, E. H. Lieb, and H. Tasaki, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **59**, 799 (1987).
  - [11] V. Scarani, S. Iblisdir, N. Gisin, A. Acin, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **77**, 1225 (2005).
  - [12] N. J. Cerf, J. Fiurasek, *Progress in Optics* **49**, 455 (Elsevier 2006).
  - [13] H. Fan, *Topics in Applied Physics* **102**, 63 (2006).
  - [14] A. Lamas-Linares, C. Simon, J. C. Howell, and D. Bouwmeester, *Science* **296**, 712 (2002).
  - [15] F. De Martini, V. Bužek, F. Sciarrino, and C. Sias, *Nature* **419** 815 (2002).
  - [16] D. Pelliccia, V. Schettini, F. Sciarrino, C. Sias, and F. De Martini, *Phys. Rev. A* **68**, 042306 (2003).
  - [17] M. T. M. Irvine, A. Lamas Linares, M. J. A. de Dood, and D. Bouwmeester, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 047902 (2004).
  - [18] M. Ricci, F. Sciarrino, C. Sias, and F. De Martini, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 047901 (2004).
  - [19] H. K. Cummins, C. Jones, A. Furze, N. F. Soffe, M. Mosca, J. M. Peach, and J. A. Jones, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 187901 (2002).
  - [20] J. F. Du, *et al*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 040505 (2005).
  - [21] C. Simon, G. Weihs, and A. Zeilinger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 2993 (2000).
  - [22] H. Fan, G. Weihs, K. Matsumoto, and X. B. Wang, *Phys. Rev. A* **67**, 022317 (2003).
  - [23] Y. Delgado, L. Lamata, J. Leon, D. Salgado, and E. Solano, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* , *quant-ph/0607105*.
  - [24] N. Gisin, and S. Massar, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 2153 (1997).
  - [25] H. Fan, K. Matsumoto, and M. Wadati, *Phys. Rev. A* **64**, 064301 (2001).
  - [26] G. Vidal, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 147902 (2003).
  - [27] G. F. Dang and H. Fan, in preparation.