

<sup>1</sup> Assessment of drought impact over land cover in continental Chile by the  
<sup>2</sup> analysis of water supply and demand from ERA5-Land and MODIS

<sup>3</sup> Francisco Zambrano<sup>a,1,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Universidad Mayor, Hémera Centro de Observación de la Tierra, Facultad de Ciencias, Escuela de Ingeniería en Medio Ambiente y Sustentabilidad, Santiago, Chile, 7500994

---

<sup>4</sup> **Abstract**

Human-induced greenhouse gas emissions have increased the frequency and/or intensity of weather and climate extremes. Central Chile has been affected by a persistent drought which is impacting the hydrological system and vegetation development. The region has been the focus of research studies due to the diminishing water supply, this persistent period of water scarcity has been defined as a “mega drought”. Nevertheless, our results evidence that the water deficit has expanded beyond. Our goal is to analyze the impact of drought, measured by drought indices of water supply/demand and vegetation status, in the LULCC (land use land cover change) over continental Chile. For the analysis, continental Chile was divided into five zones according to a latitudinal gradient: “Norte Grande”, “Norte Chico”, “Zona Central”, “Zona Sur”, and “Zona Austral”. We used monthly climatic re-analysis variables for precipitation, temperature and soil moisture for 1981-2023 from ERA5-Land; and MODIS (Moderate-Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer) product MCD12Q1 for land cover for 2001-2021, and the NDVI vegetation index from product MOD13A2 collection 6.1 for 2000-2023, both from collection 6.1. We estimated atmospheric evaporative demand (AED) by combining the Hargreaves-Samani equation with the ERA5-Land temperature. We derived the drought indices SPI (Standardized Precipitation Index), SPEI (Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index), EDDI (Evaporative Demand Drought Index), zcSM (standardized anomaly of cumulative soil moisture), and the zcNDVI (standardized anomaly of cumulative NDVI). These indices were calculated for time scales of 1, 3, 6, 12, 24, and 36 months, except for zcNDVI (1, 3, and 6 months). We analyzed the temporal correlation of SPI, SPEI, EDDI, and zcSM with zcNDVI to have insights into the impact of water supply and demand on vegetation. Our results showed that LULCC had an increasing trend of 412 [km<sup>2</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup>] of forest expansion in the “Zona Sur”, together with a decreasing trend of 24 [km<sup>2</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup>] of cropland contraction in the “Zona Central” meanwhile the “Zona Sur” showed an increase of 31 [km<sup>2</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup>], and a contraction of 80 [km<sup>2</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup>] of bare soil in the “Zona Austral”. The EDDI was the less correlated index for the five macro zones and the five types of land cover, showing that the temperature in Chile has little impact on vegetation. Higher r-squared values, between 0.5 and 0.8, were obtained at “Norte Chico” and “Zona Central” for the land cover types of savanna, shrubland, grassland, and croplands for the indices SPEI and zcSM at time scales of 12 and 24 months. The forest type reaches a r-squared of ~0.5 for zcSM of 12 months. The results indicate that the “Norte Chico” and “Zona Central” are the most sensitive regions to water supply deficits longer than a year, potentially explained by a low capacity of water storage in those zones that should be further investigated.

<sup>5</sup> **Keywords:** drought, land cover change, satellite

---

\*Corresponding author

Email address: francisco.zambrano@umayor.cl (Francisco Zambrano)

<sup>1</sup>This is the first author footnote.

6    **1. Introduction**

7    The sixth assessment report (AR6) of the IPCC [1] indicates that human-induced greenhouse gas emissions  
8    have increased the frequency and/or intensity of some weather and climate extremes, and the evidence has  
9    been strengthened since AR5 [2]. There is high confidence that increasing global warming can expand the  
10   land area affected by increasing drought frequency and severity [3]. Furthermore, drought increases tree  
11   mortality and triggers changes in land cover and, consequently, land use, thus impacting ecosystems [4].  
12   Nevertheless, there is a lack of understanding of how the alteration in water supply and demand is affecting  
13   land cover transformations.

14   Precipitation is the primary driver of drought and is intensified by temperature [5]. Drought impacts soil  
15   moisture, hydrological regimes, and vegetation productivity. Initially, drought was commonly classified as  
16   meteorological, hydrological, and agricultural [6]. Lately, [7] and [8] have given an updated definition of  
17   drought for the Anthropocene, suggesting that it should be considered the feedback of humans' decisions  
18   and activities that drives the anthropogenic drought. Even though it has been argued that those definitions  
19   do not fully address the ecological dimensions of drought. [4] proposed the ecological drought definition as  
20   "*an episodic deficit in water availability that drives ecosystems beyond thresholds of vulnerability, impacts*  
21   *ecosystem services, and triggers feedback in natural and/or human systems*". Moreover, many ecological  
22   studies have misinterpreted how to characterize drought, for example, sometimes considering "dry" condi-  
23   tions as "drought" [9]. On the other hand, the AR6 [1] states that even if global warming is stabilized at  
24   1.5°–2°C, many parts of the world will be impacted by more severe agricultural and ecological droughts.  
25   Then, there is a challenge in conducting drought research, especially to evaluate its impact on ecosystems.

26   Chile has been facing a persistent rainfall deficit for more than a decade [10], which has impacted vegeta-  
27   tion development [11] and the hydrological system [12]. Current drought conditions have affected crop  
28   productivity [13, 14], forest development [15, 16], forest fire occurrence [17], land cover change [18], water  
29   supply in watersheds [19], and have had economic impacts [20]. In 2019–2020, the drought severity reached  
30   an extreme condition in Central Chile (30–34°S) not seen for at least 40 years, and the evidence indicates  
31   that the impact is transversal to the land cover classes of forest, grassland, and cropland [11]. The prolonged  
32   lack of precipitation in Central Chile is producing changes in ecosystem dynamics that must be studied.

33   For the spatiotemporal assessment of drought impact (i.e., by water supply and demand) on land cover  
34   changes, we need climatic reliable variables such as precipitation, temperature, soil moisture, land cover, and  
35   vegetation status. For developing countries like Chile, the weather networks present several disadvantages,  
36   such as gaps, a short history, and low-quality data. Reanalysis data, as the ERA5-Land (ERA5L) [21]  
37   provides hourly climatic information (precipitation, temperature, and soil moisture) without gaps since  
38   1950 with global extension. ERA5L has already been used for drought assessment using the Standardized  
39   Precipitation-Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI) [22] and for flash drought [23] by analyzing soil moisture  
40   and evapotranspiration. On the other hand, satellite remote sensing [24, 25] is the primary method to  
41   evaluate how drought impacts vegetation dynamics. Vegetation drought indices (VDI) are commonly used  
42   as proxies of productivity [26, 27], which can be derived from the MODIS (Moderate-Resolution Imaging  
43   Spectroradiometer). Besides, land use and land cover (LULC) change can be driven by drought [28, 29]. To  
44   analyze these changes, multiple LULC products exist [30], one of those that provides time series since 2001  
45   is the MCD12Q1 [31] from MODIS. The variation in water supply and demand is finally reflected in the  
46   total water storage (TWS). The TWS can be retrieved by the Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment  
47   (GRACE), which allows analyzing water availability changes at coarse resolution [32, 33]. We can use climatic  
48   reanalysis (ERA5L) and vegetation data (MODIS) to derive drought indices of supply (i.e., precipitation)  
49   and demand (i.e., temperature) and thus evaluate the impact of drought on LULC changes. Further, the  
50   TWS can be assessed with regard to the changes in water supply and demand to gain insight into the impact  
51   on water storage.

52   To evaluate meteorological drought (i.e., water supply), the World Meteorological Organization (WMO;  
53   [34]) recommends the Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI; [35]), a multiscalar drought index that allows  
54   to monitor precipitation deficits from short- to long-term. Following the same approach, [36] incorporates

55 into the SPI the effect of temperature through the use of potential evapotranspiration, thus proposing the  
56 SPEI (Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index). Similarly, to evaluate solely the evaporative  
57 demand driven by temperature, [37] and [38] came up with the Evaporative Demand Drought Index (EDDI).  
58 For vegetation, in a similar manner as the SPI, SPEI and EDDI; [14] proposed the zcNDVI, a standardized  
59 anomaly of the cumulative Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), which could be accumulated  
60 over the growing season or any period (e.g., months), resulting in a multiscalar drought index. For soil  
61 moisture, several drought indices exist, such as the Soil Moisture Deficit Index (SDMI) a normalized index  
62 [39] and the Soil Moisture Agricultural Drought Index (SMADI) [40] which is a normalized index using  
63 vegetation, land surface temperature, and a vegetation condition index (VCI, [41]). From TWS, we can  
64 estimate the standardized terrestrial water storage index (STI) [42], a standardized anomaly that follows  
65 the methodology of the SPI, SPEI, EDDI, and zcNDVI. Thereby, we have drought indices for water supply,  
66 demand, and storage, which can help to make a comprehensive assessment of drought.

67 In this research, we present the dataset DDS4Chl, which provides climate variables and drought indices  
68 of water demand and supply and vegetation productivity for continental Chile since 1981 at a monthly  
69 frequency. Those were gathered from the earth observation products ERA5-Land and MODIS. Then, we  
70 used DDS4Chl to analyze the impact of drought on different types of land cover classes in continental Chile.  
71 The specific objectives of the study are: i) to analyze the trend on multi-scalar drought indices for water  
72 demand and supply, soil moisture, and vegetation productivity for 1981–2023/2001–2023; ii) to evaluate  
73 LULC change for 2001–2021 and its relation to drought indices; iii) to analyze the relationship of a proxy  
74 of vegetation productivity (zcNDVI) with drought indices of water demand and supply and soil moisture;  
75 and iv) to assess if the observed changes in the drought indices are linked to TWS.

## 76 2. Study area

77 Continetal Chile has a diverse climate condition from north to south and east to west, which determines  
78 its great ecosystem diversity [43]. The Andes Mountains are a main factor in longitudinal variation [44].  
79 Acoording to the v2 of the Koppen-Geiger realelease by [45] and the landcover types from the IGBP [31]. In  
80 the “Norte Grande” and “Norte Chico” predominate an arid desert climate with hot (Bwh) and cold (Bwk)  
81 temperatures. At south of “Norte Chico” the climate change to a arid steppe with cold temperatures (Bsk).  
82 Mainly the land is barrend and with a minor surface of vegetation types of Shrubland (X% ) and Grassland.

## 83 3. Materials and Methods

### 84 3.1. Data

#### 85 3.1.1. Earth observation data

86 For water supply and demand variabes, we used ERA5-Land [? ], a reanalys dataset wich provides the  
87 evolution of land variables since 1950. It has a spatial resolution of 0.1°, hourly frequency and global  
88 coverage. We selected the variables for total precipitation, 2 meters temperature, and volumetric soil water  
89 layers between 0 and 100cm of depth (layer 1 to layer 3).

90 To derive a proxy of vegetation productivity, we used the product MOD13A3 collection 6.1 from MODIS  
91 [? ]. It provides vegetation indices (NDVI and EVI) at 1km of spatial resolution and monthly frequency.




Figure 1: (a) Chile and the five zones “norte grande”, “norte chico”, “zona central”, “zona sur”, and “zona austral”. (b) Topography reference map. (c) Land cover classes for 2021. (d) Persistent land cover classes (> 80%) for 2001-2021.




Figure 2: Proportion of land cover class from the persistent land cover for 2001-2021 (>80%) per macrozone

Table 1: Value of linear change trend next to time-series plot of surface, per landcover class (IGBP MCD12Q1.006) for 2001-2019 through Central Chile. Red dots on the plots indicate the maximum and minimum surface

zone	Trend of change [ $\text{km}^2 \text{ year}^{-1}$ ]													
	Forest		Cropland		Grassland		Savanna		Shrubland		Barren land			
	x	y	x	y	x	y	x	y	x	y	x	y	x	y
norte grande							-31.0				-13.9		44.1	
norte chico							-98.4				70.3		109.9	
centro		-80.3		-24.5		108.8		-136.3		132.8		23.3		
sur		392.2		34.1		-41.2		-316.2		-13.8		0.6		
austral		22.2				209.5		174.0				-84.8		

### 3.1.2. *in-situ* data

### 3.2. Drought indices for water demand and supply, soil moisture and vegetation productivity

### 3.3. Analysis of a biomass proxy with drought indices of supply and demand

### 3.4. LULC change for 2001-2021 and its relation with water supply and demand

### 3.5. Total water storage and drought indices

Terrestrial Water Storage (TWS) is defined as the total amount of water stored on land that includes any natural or artificial water bodies, such as groundwater, soil moisture, rivers, lakes, snowpack, ice, and biomass water [46, 47]. TWS changes evidence the effects of multiple water fluxes on the hydrological cycle [47]. These are reflected in the temporal variation of observations of the Earth's gravitational field [48, 49]

and, in recent decades, the twin Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) satellites and their Follow-On mission (GRACE-FO) have provided valuable results on globally distributed TWS anomalies [50, 51]. The GRACE mission was launched in March 2002 and was operational until October 2017 [52]. Then its GRACE Follow-On successor was launched in May 2018 [53, 54]. The information provided by these satellites is used to construct monthly maps of the Earth's average gravity field, providing details of the movement of water masses or water mass anomaly estimates relative to the long-term average gravity field [46, 55].

In this study, RL06.1\_V3 GRACE mascon (mass concentration) monthly solutions were used, which are provided by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL-M, <https://grace.jpl.nasa.gov>). Each GRACE Tellus monthly grid at 0.5 degrees represents the deviation of surface mass for that month relative to a reference time average (2004-2009 baseline), which is subtracted from all other monthly grids to provide terrestrial water storage anomalies (TWSA) [52, 54]. This JPL-M version of the data employs a Coastal Resolution Improvement (CRI) filter that reduces signal leakage errors across coastlines [56, 57]. Although the mascon solutions greatly reduced leakage errors, a gain factor, which is used to enhance the spatial resolution, was applied to the dataset as recommended for hydrological studies [52, 54]. The water storage and height anomalies are given in Equivalent Water Height or Thickness units (EWH, cm) [49], and their temporal resolution monthly is from April 2002 to May 2023.

### 3.6. Validation of ERA5-Land variables

## 4. Results

### 4.1. Trend of drought indices for water demand/supply, soil moisture, and vegetation productivity

Regarding vegetation productivity, there is a negative trend on zcNDVI in the central part of the country (“norte chico” and “zona central”) (Figure 3). Indicating either a reduction of vegetation surface, biomass, or status. It reached its lowest values during the year 2020, mostly on the “zona central.” There are other negative trends in small areas in “norte grande” and “zona asustral.”




Figure 3: (a) Map of the linear trend of the index zcNDVI-6 for 2001–2023. Greener colors indicate a positive trend and an increase in vegetation development; redder colors correspond to a negative trend and a decrease in vegetation development. (b) Temporal variation of zcNDVI-6 aggregated at macrozone level within continental Chile. Each horizontal panel corresponds to a macrozone from ‘norte grande’ to ‘zona austral’.

125 Analyzing the water supply in the macrozones “norte chico,” “central,” and “sur,” the SPI shows a decreasing  
 126 trend that increases at longer time scales due to the prolonged reduction in precipitation. It reaches a  
 127 trend of -0.056 (z-score) per decade (Figure 4) for time scales of 36 months. In the case of water demand, we  
 128 analyze the EDDI. It shows a positive trend, caused by an increase in AED. The trend on EDDI reaches a  
 129 maximum of 0.053 per decade in the macrozones “norte grande” and “norte chico” (Figure 5). The behavior  
 130 is similar to SPI, having close trends with opposite signs. Nevertheless, the spatial patterns are different.  
 131 The maximum trend for SPI-36 is in “norte chico” and “zona central.” For EDDI-36, the maximum trend  
 132 is from “northe grande” to “zona central.”




Figure 4: Linear trend of the SPI at time scales of 1, 3, 6, 12, 24, and 36 months for 1981-2023

133 The correlation between zcNDVI-6 and drought indices shows that time scales higher than six months  
 134 are predominant over continental Chile in explaining vegetation variability (Figure 6). Pearson correlations  
 135 between 0.6 and 0.9 are concentrated in the “norte chico” and “zona central” for SPI and SPEI. Soil moisture  
 136 reached a correlation of 0.6–0.9 that extended north and south with respect to SPI.

#### 137 4.2. LULC change for 2001-2021 and its relation with water supply and demand

#### 138 4.3. Total water storage (TWS) and drought indices

#### 139 4.4. Validation of ERA5-Land variables

### 140 5. Discussion

### 141 6. Conclusion

### 142 References

- 143 [1] K. Calvin, D. Dasgupta, G. Krinner, A. Mukherji, P. W. Thorne, C. Trisos, J. Romero, P. Aldunce, K. Barrett, G. Blanco,  
 144 W. W. Cheung, S. Connors, F. Denton, A. Diongue-Niang, D. Dodman, M. Garschagen, O. Geden, B. Hayward, C. Jones,




Figure 5: Linear trend of the EDDI at time scales of 1, 3, 6, 12, 24, and 36 months for 1981-2023

- 145 F. Jotzo, T. Krug, R. Lasco, Y.-Y. Lee, V. Masson-Delmotte, M. Meinshausen, K. Mintenbeck, A. Mokssit, F. E. Otto,  
 146 M. Pathak, A. Pirani, E. Poloczanska, H.-O. Pörtner, A. Revi, D. C. Roberts, J. Roy, A. C. Ruane, J. Skea, P. R.  
 147 Shukla, R. Slade, A. Slanger, Y. Sokona, A. A. Sörensson, M. Tignor, D. Van Vuuren, Y.-M. Wei, H. Winkler, P. Zhai,  
 148 Z. Zommers, J.-C. Hourcade, F. X. Johnson, S. Pachauri, N. P. Simpson, C. Singh, A. Thomas, E. Totin, P. Arias,  
 149 M. Bustamante, I. Elgizouli, G. Flato, M. Howden, C. Méndez-Vallejo, J. J. Pereira, R. Pichs-Madruga, S. K. Rose,  
 150 Y. Saheb, R. Sánchez Rodríguez, D. Ürge Vorsatz, C. Xiao, N. Yassa, A. Alegria, K. Armour, B. Bednar-Friedl, K. Blok,  
 151 G. Cissé, F. Dentener, S. Eriksen, E. Fischer, G. Garner, C. Guivarch, M. Haasnoot, G. Hansen, M. Hauser, E. Hawkins,  
 152 T. Hermans, R. Kopp, N. Leprince-Ringuet, J. Lewis, D. Ley, C. Ludden, L. Niamir, Z. Nicholls, S. Some, S. Szopa,  
 153 B. Trewin, K.-I. Van Der Wijst, G. Winter, M. Witting, A. Birt, M. Ha, J. Romero, J. Kim, E. F. Haites, Y. Jung,  
 154 R. Stavins, A. Birt, M. Ha, D. J. A. Orendain, L. Ignon, S. Park, Y. Park, A. Reisinger, D. Cammaramo, A. Fischlin, J. S.  
 155 Fuglestvedt, G. Hansen, C. Ludden, V. Masson-Delmotte, J. R. Matthews, K. Mintenbeck, A. Pirani, E. Poloczanska,  
 156 N. Leprince-Ringuet, C. Péan, **IPCC, 2023: Climate Change 2023: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I,**  
 157 **II and III to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Core Writing Team, H.**  
 158 **Lee and J. Romero (eds.)].** IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland., Tech. rep., Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)  
 159 (Jul. 2023).  
 160 URL <https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/syr/>
- [2] IPCC, **Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK; New York, USA, 2013. doi:[10.1017/CBO9781107415324](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324). URL [www.climatechange2013.org](http://www.climatechange2013.org)
- [3] X. a. A. M. a. B. W. a. D. C. a. L. A. a. G. S. a. I. I. a. K. J. a. L. S. a. O. F. a. P. I. a. S. M. a. V.-S. S. a. W. M. a. Z. . M.-D. B. a. V. a. O. Seneviratne, S and Zhang, Weather and Climate Extreme Events in a Changing Climate, Cambridge University Press. In Press., 2021, publication Title: Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
- [4] S. D. Crasbaw, A. R. Ramirez, S. L. Carter, M. S. Cross, K. R. Hall, D. J. Bathke, J. L. Betancourt, S. Colt, A. E. Cravens, M. S. Dalton, J. B. Dunham, L. E. Hay, M. J. Hayes, J. McEvoy, C. A. McNutt, M. A. Moritz, K. H. Nislow, N. Raheem, T. Sanford, **Defining Ecological Drought for the Twenty-First Century**, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society 98 (12) (2017) 2543–2550, publisher: American Meteorological Society. doi:[10.1175/BAMS-D-16-0292.1](https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-16-0292.1). URL <https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/bams/98/12/bams-d-16-0292.1.xml>
- [5] L. Luo, D. Apps, S. Arcand, H. Xu, M. Pan, M. Hoerling, **Contribution of temperature and precipitation anomalies to the California drought during 2012–2015**, Geophysical Research Letters 44 (7) (2017) 3184–3192. doi:[10.1002/2016GL072027](https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL072027).




Figure 6: Time scales per drought index that reach the maximum coefficient of determination

- 176 URL <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/2016GL072027>
- 177 [6] D. A. Wilhite, M. H. Glantz, Understanding: The drought phenomenon: The role of definitions, Water International  
178 10 (3) (1985) 111–120. doi:[10.1080/02508068508686328](https://doi.org/10.1080/02508068508686328).  
179 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02508068508686328>
- 180 [7] A. F. Van Loon, T. Gleeson, J. Clark, A. I. Van Dijk, K. Stahl, J. Hannaford, G. Di Baldassarre, A. J. Teuling, L. M.  
181 Tallaksen, R. Uijlenhoet, D. M. Hannah, J. Sheffield, M. Svoboda, B. Verbeiren, T. Wagener, S. Rangecroft, N. Wanders,  
182 H. A. Van Lanen, Drought in the Anthropocene, Nature Geoscience 9 (2) (2016) 89–91. doi:[10.1038/ngeo2646](https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2646).
- 183 [8] A. AghaKouchak, A. Mirchi, K. Madani, G. Di Baldassarre, A. Nazemi, A. Alborzi, H. Anjileli, M. Azarderakhsh,  
184 F. Chiang, E. Hassanzadeh, L. S. Huning, I. Mallakpour, A. Martinez, O. Mazdiyasni, H. Moftakhari, H. Norouzi,  
185 M. Sadegh, D. Sadeqi, A. F. Van Loon, N. Wanders, Anthropogenic Drought: Definition, Challenges, and Opportunities,  
186 Reviews of Geophysics 59 (2) (2021) e2019RG000683. doi:[10.1029/2019RG000683](https://doi.org/10.1029/2019RG000683).  
187 URL <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/2019RG000683>
- 188 [9] I. J. Slette, A. K. Post, M. Awad, T. Even, A. Punzalan, S. Williams, M. D. Smith, A. K. Knapp, How ecologists define  
189 drought, and why we should do better, Global Change Biology 25 (10) (2019) 3193–3200. doi:[10.1111/gcb.14747](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14747).  
190 URL <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/gcb.14747>
- 191 [10] R. Garreaud, C. Alvarez-Garreton, J. Barichivich, J. P. Boisier, D. Christie, M. Galleguillos, C. LeQuesne, J. McPhee,  
192 M. Zambrano-Bigiarini, The 2010–2015 mega drought in Central Chile: Impacts on regional hydroclimate and vegetation,  
193 Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions 2017 (2017) 1–37. doi:[10.5194/hess-2017-191](https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2017-191).  
194 URL <http://www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/hess-2017-191/>
- 195 [11] F. Zambrano, Four decades of satellite data for agricultural drought monitoring throughout the growing season in Central  
196 Chile, in: R. M. Vijay P. Singh Deepak Jhajharia, R. Kumar (Eds.), Integrated Drought Management, Two Volume Set,  
197 CRC Press, 2023, p. 28.
- 198 [12] J. P. Boisier, C. Alvarez-Garreton, R. R. Cordero, A. Damiani, L. Gallardo, R. D. Garreaud, F. Lambert, C. Ramallo,  
199 M. Rojas, R. Rondanelli, Anthropogenic drying in central-southern Chile evidenced by long-term observations and climate  
200 model simulations, Elementa 6 (1) (2018) 74. doi:[10.1525/elementa.328](https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.328).  
201 URL <https://www.elementascience.org/article/10.1525/elementa.328/>
- 202 [13] F. Zambrano, M. Lillo-Saavedra, K. Verbist, O. Lagos, Sixteen years of agricultural drought assessment of the biobío  
203 region in chile using a 250 m resolution vegetation condition index (VCI), Remote Sensing 8 (6) (2016) 1–20, publisher:




Figure 7: Pearson correlation value for the time scales and drought index that reach the maximum coefficient of determination

- 204 Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute. doi:[10.3390/rs8060530](https://doi.org/10.3390/rs8060530).  
 205 URL <http://www.mdpi.com/2072-4292/8/6/530>
- 206 [14] F. Zambrano, A. Vrieling, A. Nelson, M. Meroni, T. Tadesse, Prediction of drought-induced reduction of agricultural  
 207 productivity in Chile from MODIS, rainfall estimates, and climate oscillation indices, Remote Sensing of Environment 219  
 208 (2018) 15–30, publisher: Elsevier. doi:[10.1016/j.rse.2018.10.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2018.10.006).  
 209 URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0034425718304541>
- 210 [15] A. Miranda, A. Lara, A. Altamirano, C. Di Bella, M. E. González, J. Julio Camarero, Forest browning trends in response  
 211 to drought in a highly threatened mediterranean landscape of South America, Ecological Indicators 115 (2020) 106401.  
 212 doi:[10.1016/j.ecolind.2020.106401](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2020.106401).  
 213 URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1470160X20303381>
- 214 [16] A. Venegas-González, F. R. Juñent, A. G. Gutiérrez, M. T. Filho, Recent radial growth decline in response to increased  
 215 drought conditions in the northernmost Nothofagus populations from South America, Forest Ecology and Management  
 216 409 (2018) 94–104. doi:[10.1016/j.foreco.2017.11.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2017.11.006).

- 217 URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0378112717313993>
- 218 [17] R. Urrutia-Jalabert, M. E. González, . González-Reyes, A. Lara, R. Garreaud, Climate variability and forest fires in  
219 central and south-central Chile, *Ecosphere* 9 (4) (2018) e02171. doi:[10.1002/ecs2.2171](https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.2171).  
220 URL <https://esajournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ecs2.2171>
- 221 [18] I. Fuentes, R. Fuster, D. Avilés, W. Vervoort, Water scarcity in central Chile: the effect of climate and land cover changes  
222 on hydrologic resources, *Hydrological Sciences Journal* 66 (6) (2021) 1028–1044. doi:[10.1080/02626667.2021.1903475](https://doi.org/10.1080/02626667.2021.1903475).  
223 URL <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/02626667.2021.1903475>
- 224 [19] C. Alvarez-Garreton, J. P. Boisier, R. Garreaud, J. Seibert, M. Vis, Progressive water deficits during multiyear droughts  
225 in basins with long hydrological memory in Chile, *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 25 (1) (2021) 429–446. doi:  
226 [10.5194/hess-25-429-2021](https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-25-429-2021).  
227 URL <https://hess.copernicus.org/articles/25/429/2021/>
- 228 [20] F. J. Fernández, F. Vásquez-Lavín, R. D. Ponce, R. Garreaud, F. Hernández, O. Link, F. Zambrano, M. Hanemann, The  
229 economics impacts of long-run droughts: Challenges, gaps, and way forward, *Journal of Environmental Management* 344  
230 (2023) 118726. doi:[10.1016/j.jenvman.2023.118726](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2023.118726).  
231 URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0301479723015141>
- 232 [21] J. Muñoz-Sabater, E. Dutra, A. Agustí-Panareda, C. Albergel, G. Arduini, G. Balsamo, S. Bousselata, M. Choulga,  
233 S. Harrigan, H. Hersbach, B. Martens, D. G. Miralles, M. Piles, N. J. Rodríguez-Fernández, E. Zsoter, C. Buontempo,  
234 J.-N. Thépaut, ERA5-Land: a state-of-the-art global reanalysis dataset for land applications, *Earth System Science Data*  
235 13 (9) (2021) 4349–4383. doi:[10.5194/essd-13-4349-2021](https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-4349-2021).  
236 URL <https://essd.copernicus.org/articles/13/4349/2021/>
- 237 [22] M. Nouri, Drought Assessment Using Gridded Data Sources in Data-Poor Areas with Different Aridity Conditions, *Water*,  
238 Resources Management 37 (11) (2023) 4327–4343. doi:[10.1007/s11269-023-03555-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-023-03555-4).  
239 URL <https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s11269-023-03555-4>
- 240 [23] M. Wang, L. Menzel, S. Jiang, L. Ren, C.-Y. Xu, H. Cui, Evaluation of flash drought under the impact of heat wave events  
241 in southwestern Germany, *Science of The Total Environment* 904 (2023) 166815. doi:[10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.166815](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.166815).  
242 URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0048969723054402>
- 243 [24] H. West, N. Quinn, M. Horswell, Remote sensing for drought monitoring \& impact assessment: Progress, past challenges  
244 and future opportunities, *Remote Sensing of Environment* 232, publisher: Elsevier Inc. (Oct. 2019). doi:[10.1016/j.rse.2019.111291](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2019.111291).
- 245 [25] A. AghaKouchak, A. Farahmand, F. S. Melton, J. Teixeira, M. C. Anderson, B. D. Wardlow, C. R. Hain, Remote  
246 sensing of drought: Progress, challenges and opportunities, *Reviews of Geophysics* 53 (2) (2015) 452–480. doi:[10.1002/2014RG000456](https://doi.org/10.1002/2014RG000456).  
247 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2014RG000456>
- 248 [26] J. M. Paruelo, M. Texeira, L. Staiano, M. Mastrángelo, L. Amdan, F. Gallego, An integrative index of Ecosystem Services  
249 provision based on remotely sensed data, *Ecological Indicators* 71 (2016) 145–154, publisher: Elsevier. doi:[10.1016/J.ECOLIND.2016.06.054](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ECOLIND.2016.06.054).  
250 URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1470160X16303843>
- 251 [27] A. Schucknecht, M. Meroni, F. Kayitakire, A. Boureima, A. Schucknecht, M. Meroni, F. Kayitakire, A. Boureima,  
252 Phenology-Based Biomass Estimation to Support Rangeland Management in Semi-Arid Environments, *Remote Sensing* 9 (5) (2017) 463, publisher: Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute. doi:[10.3390/rs9050463](https://doi.org/10.3390/rs9050463).  
253 URL <http://www.mdpi.com/2072-4292/9/5/463>
- 254 [28] H. T. Tran, J. B. Campbell, R. H. Wynne, Y. Shao, S. V. Phan, Drought and Human Impacts on Land Use and Land  
255 Cover Change in a Vietnamese Coastal Area, *Remote Sensing* 2019, Vol. 11, Page 333 11 (3) (2019) 333, publisher:  
256 Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute. doi:[10.3390/RS11030333](https://doi.org/10.3390/RS11030333).  
257 URL <https://www.mdpi.com/2072-4292/11/3/333.htm>
- 258 [29] F. O. Akinyemi, Vegetation Trends, Drought Severity and Land Use-Land Cover Change during the Growing Season  
259 in Semi-Arid Contexts, *Remote Sensing* 2021, Vol. 13, Page 836 13 (5) (2021) 836, publisher: Multidisciplinary Digital  
260 Publishing Institute. doi:[10.3390/RS13050836](https://doi.org/10.3390/RS13050836).  
261 URL <https://www.mdpi.com/2072-4292/13/5/836/htm>
- 262 [30] G. Grekousis, G. Mountakis, M. Kavouras, An overview of 21 global and 43 regional land-cover mapping products,  
263 International Journal of Remote Sensing 36 (21) (2015) 5309–5335. doi:[10.1080/01431161.2015.1093195](https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2015.1093195).  
264 URL <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/01431161.2015.1093195>
- 265 [31] M. Friedl, D. Sulla-Menashe, MCD12Q1 MODIS/Terra+Aqua Land Cover Type Yearly L3 Global 500m SIN Grid V006  
266 [Data set]. NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC (2019). doi:[10.5067/MODIS/MCD12Q1.006](https://doi.org/10.5067/MODIS/MCD12Q1.006).
- 267 [32] M. Ahmed, M. Sultan, J. Wahr, E. Yan, The use of GRACE data to monitor natural and anthropogenic induced variations  
268 in water availability across Africa, *Earth-Science Reviews* 136 (2014) 289–300, publisher: Elsevier. doi:[10.1016/J.EARSCIREV.2014.05.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EARSCIREV.2014.05.009).
- 269 [33] S. Ma, Q. Wu, J. Wang, S. Zhang, Temporal Evolution of Regional Drought Detected from GRACE TWSA and CCI  
270 SM in Yunnan Province, China, *Remote Sensing* 2017, Vol. 9, Page 1124 9 (11) (2017) 1124, publisher: Multidisciplinary  
271 Digital Publishing Institute. doi:[10.3390/RS9111124](https://doi.org/10.3390/RS9111124).  
272 URL <https://www.mdpi.com/2072-4292/9/11/1124/htm>
- 273 [34] WMO, M. Svoboda, M. Hayes, D. A. Wood, Standardized Precipitation Index User Guide, WMO, Geneva, 2012, series  
274 Title: WMO Publication Title: WMO-No. 1090 © Issue: 1090.  
275 URL [http://library.wmo.int/opac/index.php?lvl=notice\\_display&id=13682](http://library.wmo.int/opac/index.php?lvl=notice_display&id=13682)
- 276 [35] T. B. McKee, N. J. Doesken, J. Kleist, The relationship of drought frequency and duration to time scales. In: Proceedings  
277

- 282 of the Ninth Conference on Applied Climatology., American Meteorological Society (Boston) (1993) 179–184.
- 283 [36] S. M. Vicente-Serrano, S. Beguería, J. I. López-Moreno, [A multiscalar drought index sensitive to global warming: The standar-](#)  
 284 [dized precipitation evapotranspiration index](#), Journal of Climate 23 (7) (2010) 1696–1718. [doi:10.1175/2009JCLI2909.1](https://doi.org/10.1175/2009JCLI2909.1).
- 285 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/2009JCLI2909.1>
- 286 [37] M. T. Hobbins, A. Wood, D. J. McEvoy, J. L. Huntington, C. Morton, M. Anderson, C. Hain, [The Evaporative Demand](#)  
 287 [Drought Index. Part I: Linking Drought Evolution to Variations in Evaporative Demand](#), Journal of Hydrometeorology  
 288 17 (6) (2016) 1745–1761. [doi:10.1175/JHM-D-15-0121.1](https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-15-0121.1).
- 289 URL <http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/JHM-D-15-0121.1>
- 290 [38] D. J. McEvoy, J. L. Huntington, M. T. Hobbins, A. Wood, C. Morton, M. Anderson, C. Hain, [The Evaporative Demand](#)  
 291 [Drought Index. Part II: CONUS-Wide Assessment against Common Drought Indicators](#), Journal of Hydrometeorology  
 292 17 (6) (2016) 1763–1779. [doi:10.1175/JHM-D-15-0122.1](https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-15-0122.1).
- 293 URL <http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/JHM-D-15-0122.1>
- 294 [39] B. Narasimhan, R. Srinivasan, Development and evaluation of Soil Moisture Deficit Index (SMDI) and Evapotranspiration  
 295 Deficit Index (ETDI) for agricultural drought monitoring, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 133 (1-4) (2005) 69–88.  
 296 [doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2005.07.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2005.07.012).
- 297 URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0168192305001565>
- 298 [40] A. G. S. S. Souza, A. Ribeiro Neto, L. L. D. Souza, [Soil moisture-based index for agricultural drought assessment: SMADI](#)  
 299 application in Pernambuco State-Brazil, Remote Sensing of Environment 252 (2021) 112124. [doi:10.1016/j.rse.2020.112124](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2020.112124).
- 300 URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0034425720304971>
- 301 [41] F. N. Kogan, Application of vegetation index and brightness temperature for drought detection, Advances in Space  
 302 Research 15 (11) (1995) 91–100. [doi:10.1016/0273-1177\(95\)00079-T](https://doi.org/10.1016/0273-1177(95)00079-T).
- 303 [42] A. Cui, J. Li, Q. Zhou, R. Zhu, H. Liu, G. Wu, Q. Li, [Use of a multiscalar GRACE-based standardized terrestrial water](#)  
 304 [storage index for assessing global hydrological droughts](#), Journal of Hydrology 603 (2021) 126871. [doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2021.126871](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2021.126871).
- 305 URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0022169421009215>
- 306 [43] P. Aceituno, J. P. Boisier, R. Garreaud, R. Rondanelli, J. A. Ruttlant, [Climate and Weather in Chile](#), in: B. Fernández,  
 307 J. Gironás (Eds.), Water Resources of Chile, Vol. 8, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2021, pp. 7–29.
- 308 URL [https://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-030-56901-3\\_2](https://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-030-56901-3_2)
- 309 [44] R. D. Garreaud, [The Andes climate and weather](#), Advances in Geosciences 22 (2009) 3–11. [doi:10.5194/adgeo-22-3-2009](https://doi.org/10.5194/adgeo-22-3-2009).
- 310 URL <https://adgeo.copernicus.org/articles/22/3/2009/>
- 311 [45] H. E. Beck, T. R. McVicar, N. Vergopolan, A. Berg, N. J. Lutsko, A. Dufour, Z. Zeng, X. Jiang, A. I. J. M. van Dijk, D. G.  
 312 Miralles, [High-resolution \(1 km\) Köppen-Geiger maps for 1901–2099 based on constrained CMIP6 projections](#), Scientific  
 313 Data 10 (1) (Oct. 2023). [doi:10.1038/s41597-023-02549-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-023-02549-6).
- 314 URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41597-023-02549-6>
- 315 [46] V. Humphrey, M. Rodell, A. Eicker, [Using Satellite-Based Terrestrial Water Storage Data: A Review](#), Surveys in Geo-  
 316 physics 44 (5) (2023) 1489–1517. [doi:10.1007/s10712-022-09754-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10712-022-09754-9).
- 317 URL <https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10712-022-09754-9>
- 318 [47] S. Deng, Y. Liu, W. Zhang, [A Comprehensive Evaluation of GRACE-Like Terrestrial Water Storage \(TWS\) Reconstruction](#)  
 319 [Products at an Interannual Scale During 1981–2019](#), Water Resources Research 59 (3) (2023) e2022WR034381. [doi:10.1029/2022WR034381](https://doi.org/10.1029/2022WR034381).
- 320 URL <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/2022WR034381>
- 321 [48] R. Abolafia-Rosenzweig, M. Pan, J. Zeng, B. Livneh, [Remotely sensed ensembles of the terrestrial water budget over](#)  
 322 [major global river basins: An assessment of three closure techniques](#), Remote Sensing of Environment 252 (2021) 112191.
- 323 [doi:10.1016/j.rse.2020.112191](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2020.112191).
- 324 URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0034425720305642>
- 325 [49] F. Sabzehei, A. Amiri-Simkooei, S. Iran-Pour, B. Vishwakarma, R. Kerachian, [Enhancing spatial resolution of GRACE-](#)  
 326 [derived groundwater storage anomalies in Urmia catchment using machine learning downscaling methods](#), Journal of  
 327 Environmental Management 330 (2023) 117180. [doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.117180](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.117180).
- 328 URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0301479722027530>
- 329 [50] B. D. Tapley, M. M. Watkins, F. Flechtner, C. Reigber, S. Bettadpur, M. Rodell, I. Sasgen, J. S. Famiglietti, F. W.  
 330 Landerer, D. P. Chambers, J. T. Reager, A. S. Gardner, H. Save, E. R. Ivins, S. C. Swenson, C. Boening, C. Dahle, D. N.  
 331 Wiese, H. Dobslaw, M. E. Tamisiea, I. Velicogna, [Contributions of GRACE to understanding climate change](#), Nature  
 332 Climate Change 9 (5) (2019) 358–369. [doi:10.1038/s41558-019-0456-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0456-2).
- 333 URL <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41558-019-0456-2>
- 334 [51] V. Ferreira, B. Yong, H. Montecino, C. E. Ndehedehe, K. Seitz, H. Kutterer, K. Yang, [Estimating GRACE terrestrial](#)  
 335 [water storage anomaly using an improved point mass solution](#), Scientific Data 10 (1) (2023) 234. [doi:10.1038/s41597-023-02122-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-023-02122-1).
- 336 URL <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41597-023-02122-1>
- 337 [52] M. Ramjeawon, M. Demlie, M. Toucher, [Analyses of groundwater storage change using GRACE satellite data in the](#)  
 338 [Usutu-Mhlatuze drainage region, north-eastern South Africa](#), Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies 42 (2022) 101118.
- 339 [doi:10.1016/j.ejrh.2022.101118](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2022.101118).
- 340 URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S2214581822001318>
- 341 [53] F. W. Landerer, F. M. Flechtner, H. Save, F. H. Webb, T. Bandikova, W. I. Bertiger, S. V. Bettadpur, S. H. Byun,

- 347 C. Dahle, H. Dobslaw, E. Fahnestock, N. Harvey, Z. Kang, G. L. H. Kruizinga, B. D. Loomis, C. McCullough, M. Murböck,  
348 P. Nagel, M. Paik, N. Pie, S. Poole, D. Strekalov, M. E. Tamisiea, F. Wang, M. M. Watkins, H. Wen, D. N. Wiese,  
349 D. Yuan, [Extending the Global Mass Change Data Record: GRACE Follow-On Instrument and Science Data Performance](#),  
350 [Geophysical Research Letters](#) 47 (12) (2020) e2020GL088306. doi:[10.1029/2020GL088306](https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL088306).  
351 URL <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/2020GL088306>
- 352 [54] W. Yin, S. Yang, L. Hu, S. Tian, X. Wang, R. Zhao, P. Li, [Improving understanding of spatiotemporal water storage](#)  
353 [changes over China based on multiple datasets](#), [Journal of Hydrology](#) 612 (2022) 128098. doi:[10.1016/j.jhydrol.2022.128098](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2022.128098).  
354 URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0022169422006734>
- 355 [55] J. Wahr, S. Swenson, V. Zlotnicki, I. Velicogna, [Time-variable gravity from GRACE: First results: TIME-VARIABLE](#)  
356 [GRAVITY FROM GRACE](#), [Geophysical Research Letters](#) 31 (11) (2004) n/a–n/a. doi:[10.1029/2004GL019779](https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL019779).  
357 URL <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2004GL019779>
- 358 [56] NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) Tellus, [JPL GRACE Mascon Ocean, Ice, and Hydrology Equivalent Water Height](#)  
359 [Release 06 Coastal Resolution Improvement \(CRI\) Filtered Version 1.0](#) (2018). doi:[10.5067/TEMSC-3MJC6](https://doi.org/10.5067/TEMSC-3MJC6).  
360 URL [https://podaac.jpl.nasa.gov/dataset/TELLUS\\_GRACE\\_MASCON\\_CRI\\_GRID\\_RL06\\_V1](https://podaac.jpl.nasa.gov/dataset/TELLUS_GRACE_MASCON_CRI_GRID_RL06_V1)
- 361 [57] D. N. Wiese, F. W. Landerer, M. M. Watkins, [Quantifying and reducing leakage errors in the JPL RL05M GRACE](#)  
362 [mascon solution](#), [Water Resources Research](#) 52 (9) (2016) 7490–7502. doi:[10.1002/2016WR019344](https://doi.org/10.1002/2016WR019344).  
363 URL <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/2016WR019344>
- 364