# SOFA Quality Guideline

Loek Ehren September 14, 2017

Date	Version
11-09-2017	1.0
14-09-2017	2.0

## Contents

1	Documents	4
_	Software           2.1 Code	<b>5</b> 5
3	Others	6
4	Appendix A Review Template	7

### 1 Documents

This section outlines the quality standards and processes for all documents produced during the SOFA project.

- 1. All documents shall be written in LaTeX.
- 2. Every document's source and rendered result shall be committed and versioned.
- 3. All documents shall be reviewed at least once by another person either inside or outside of the team using the template found at Appendix A Review Template.
- 4. The results of document reviews shall be stored in the correct folder in the 25reviews/ directory with the following naming convention: firstname\_documentversion\*
- 5. An improved version of a document shall be reviewed once more to guarantee feedback has been processed and passes standards.
- 6. If a document does not pass a review, this document shall be improved again.
- 7. Documents to be reviewed will be added to the week's backlog.

\*So the first review of a project plan will have this path: 25reviews/project\_plan/loek\_1.0.pdf

### 2 Software

This section outlines the quality standards and processes for software development during the SOFA project.

#### 2.1 Code

- 1. All code committed shall be committed alongside relevant testfiles.
- 2. All code relevant to a new feature, fix or any other change will be developed in a new branch.
- 3. Any branch that is to be merged into the master branch will be submitted in a pull request and reviewed by at least one person. Code reviews and any CI tool must also pass before merging.
- 4. All code shall be 100% tested at all time. If it is not, changes will not be accepted into the master branch.
- 5. Tests shall be useful, concise and descriptive. Don't test only one scenario, but every scenario.
- 6. All code shall be documented clearly, either by simple self documenting code or written documentation.

#### 2.2 Commits

- 1. Commit messages will have a descriptive header describing the content of the commit.
- 2. A commit message's body could explain more about the change. Why something is changed, what it does etcetera.
- 3. Commits will remain small and relevant to only one change. If a commit changes more than one thing, it is too big!

### 3 Others

This section outlines standards for miscellaneous items to be standardized.

• Everyone shall track their Configuration Item in the CI table in 40configuration\_management configuration\_item\_table folder on GitHub.

# 4 Appendix A Review Template

Date of Review
Document Title
Document Date
(Optional) Commit ID
Reviewer Name
Reviewer Comments