Homework 4

Course: CO20-320301

April 14, 2020

Problem 4.1

Solution:

(a).

In this pdf, results of running the code provided are shown.

Create an OSPF network for ISP F and an OSPF network ISP E. Make sure all hosts Ai can reach each other and all host Bi can reach each other

Screenshot below shows results of some tests performed

```
mininet> a1 ping 2001:638:709:a2::1
PING 2001:638:709:a2::1(2001:638:709:a2::1) 56 data bytes
64 bytes from 2001:638:709:a2::1: icmp_seq=1 ttl=62 time=0.279 ms
64 bytes from 2001:638:709:a2::1: icmp_seq=2 ttl=62 time=0.066 ms
mininet> a1 ping 2001:638:709:a3::1
PING 2001:638:709:a3::1(2001:638:709:a3::1) 56 data bytes
64 bytes from 2001:638:709:a3::1: icmp_seq=1 ttl=61 time=0.231 ms
64 bytes from 2001:638:709:a3::1: icmp_seq=2 ttl=61 time=0.104 ms
mininet> a1 ping 2001:638:709:a4::1
PING 2001:638:709:a4::1(2001:638:709:a4::1) 56 data bytes
64 bytes from 2001:638:709:a4::1: icmp_seq=1 ttl=62 time=0.224 ms
64 bytes from 2001:638:709:a4::1: icmp_seq=2 ttl=62 time=0.074 ms
mininet> b1 ping 2001:638:709:b4::1
PING 2001:638:709:b4::1(2001:638:709:b4::1) 56 data bytes
64 bytes from 2001:638:709:b4::1: icmp_seq=1 ttl=62 time=0.151 ms
64 bytes from 2001:638:709:b4::1: icmp_seq=2 ttl=62 time=0.074 ms
64 bytes from 2001:638:709:b4::1: icmp_seq=3 ttl=62 time=0.066 ms
```

Solution:

(b).

Test that the OSPF networks handle link failures. Take a link down and verify that OSPF calculates alternate paths.

OSPF can be seen trying to calculate an alternate path after taking a link down. The effects of taking f1 down can be seen in the second part of the screenshot.

```
mininet> a1 traceroute 2001:638:709:a2::1
traceroute to 2001:638:709:a2::1 (2001:638:709:a2::1), 30 hops max, 80 byte packets
1 _gateway (2001:638:709:a1::f1) 0.054 ms 0.023 ms 0.021 ms
2 2001:638:709:f::f1:f2 (2001:638:709:f::f1:f2) 0.043 ms 0.032 ms 0.033 ms
3 2001:638:709:a2::1 (2001:638:709:a2::1) 0.049 ms 0.039 ms 0.039 ms
mininet> f1 ifconfig
f1-eth0: flags=4163<UP,BROADCAST,RUNNING,MULTICAST> mtu 1500
       inet6 fe80::d440:f9ff:fee8:ea20 prefixlen 64 scopeid 0x20<link>
       inet6 2001:638:709:a1::f1 prefixlen 64 scopeid 0x0<qlobal>
       ether d6:40:f9:e8:ea:20 txqueuelen 1000 (Ethernet)
       RX packets 10 bytes 912 (912.0 B)
       RX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 frame 0
       TX packets 10 bytes 1132 (1.1 KiB)
       TX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 carrier 0 collisions 0
f1-eth1: flags=4163<UP,BROADCAST,RUNNING,MULTICAST> mtu 1500
       inet6 2001:638:709:f::f2:f1 prefixlen 64 scopeid 0x0<global>
       inet6 fe80::423:9dff:fe9d:19c3 prefixlen 64 scopeid 0x20<link>
       ether 06:23:9d:9d:19:c3 txqueuelen 1000 (Ethernet)
       RX packets 30 bytes 3980 (3.8 KiB)
       RX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 frame 0
       TX packets 30 bytes 3904 (3.8 KiB)
       TX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 carrier 0 collisions 0
f1-eth2: flags=4163<UP,BROADCAST,RUNNING,MULTICAST> mtu 1500
       inet6 fe80::a02b:c2ff:feaa:5a20 prefixlen 64 scopeid 0x20<link>
       inet6 2001:638:709:f::f4:f1 prefixlen 64 scopeid 0x0<global>
       ether a2:2b:c2:aa:5a:20 txqueuelen 1000 (Ethernet)
       RX packets 37 bytes 4894 (4.7 KiB)
       RX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 frame 0
       TX packets 36 bytes 4800 (4.6 KiB)
       TX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 carrier 0 collisions 0
lo: flags=73<UP,L00PBACK,RUNNING> mtu 65536
       inet 127.0.0.1 netmask 255.0.0.0
       inet6 :: 1 prefixlen 128 scopeid 0x10<host>
       loop txqueuelen 1000 (Local Loopback)
       RX packets 0 bytes 0 (0.0 B)
       RX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 frame 0
       TX packets 0 bytes 0 (0.0 B)
       TX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 carrier 0 collisions 0
mininet> f1 ifconfig f1-eth1 down
mininet> a1 traceroute 2001:638:709:a2::1
traceroute to 2001:638:709:a2::1 (2001:638:709:a2::1), 30 hops max, 80 byte packets
 1 _gateway (2001:638:709:a1::f1) 0.073 ms 0.028 ms 0.028 ms
2 2001:638:709:f::f1:f4 (2001:638:709:f::f1:f4) 0.058 ms 0.046 ms 0.041 ms
3 2001:638:709:f::f4:f3 (2001:638:709:f::f4:f3) 0.066 ms 0.053 ms 0.050 ms
4 2001:638:709:f::f3:f2 (2001:638:709:f::f3:f2) 0.072 ms 0.060 ms 0.059 ms
 5 2001:638:709:a2::1 (2001:638:709:a2::1) 0.084 ms 0.069 ms 0.066 ms
```

Solution:

(c).

ISP F and ISP E create two BGP peerings. Configure the two BGP peering sessions

```
mininet> a1 ping 2001:638:709:b2::1
PING 2001:638:709:b2::1(2001:638:709:b2::1) 56 data bytes
64 bytes from 2001:638:709:b2::1: icmp_seq=1 ttl=58 time=0.313 ms
64 bytes from 2001:638:709:b2::1: icmp_seq=2 ttl=58 time=0.119 ms
64 bytes from 2001:638:709:b2::1: icmp_seq=3 ttl=58 time=0.112 ms

mininet> a1 ping 2001:638:709:b3::1
PING 2001:638:709:b3::1(2001:638:709:b3::1) 56 data bytes
64 bytes from 2001:638:709:b3::1: icmp_seq=1 ttl=57 time=0.310 ms
64 bytes from 2001:638:709:b3::1: icmp_seq=2 ttl=57 time=0.113 ms
64 bytes from 2001:638:709:b3::1: icmp_seq=3 ttl=57 time=0.107 ms
64 bytes from 2001:638:709:b3::1: icmp_seq=4 ttl=57 time=0.114 ms
64 bytes from 2001:638:709:b3::1: icmp_seq=5 ttl=57 time=0.110 ms
```

Solution:

(d). ISP F is interested to announce his customer networks to ISP E but he prefers to not announce his internal network to ISP E. Similarly, ISP F is interested to announce his customer networks to ISP F but he prefers to not announce his internal network to ISP F. Create filters implementing these policies.

The filters implementing the given policies are shown in the screenshot of common-bird.conf file below, whose code is also provided seperately. This file also contains additional filters to meet the conditions that ISP F prefers to use routes announced by E1 with a fallback option to routes announced by E4 in case the link to E1 fails and Similarly, ISP E prefers to use routes announced by F3 with a fall-back option to routes announced by F2 in case the link to F3 fails

```
# common-bird.conf
log syslog all;
# debug protocols all;
define 'as-isp-f' = 64512;  # AS number for the ISP with the 'f' network define 'as-isp-e' = 64513;  # AS number for the ISP with the 'e' network
protocol kernel {
                        # Learn all alien routes from the kernel
                       # Learn all alien routes from the kernel
# Scan kernel routing table every 20 seconds
  scan time 20;
  ipv6 {
      import all;  # default is import all
filter a_net_filter {
        if is_a_net() then accept;
filter a_net_penalized_filter {
       if is_a_net() then {
           bgp_path.prepend(aas);
           bgp_path.prepend(aas);
           bgp_path.prepend(aas);
function is_b_net() {
        if is_b_net() then accept;
filter b_net_penalized_filter {
       if is_b_net() then {
          bgp_path.prepend(bas);
           bgp_path.prepend(bas);
           bgp_path.prepend(bas);
  scan time 10;
```

Solution:

(e).

ISP F prefers to use routes announced by E1 with a fallback option to routes announced by E4 in case the link to E1 fails. Similarly, ISP E prefers to use routes announced by F3 with a fall-back option to routes announced by F2 in case the link to F3 fails. Create filters implementing these policies and verify that they work correctly.

check the screenshot above for the filters, while the verification is provided below:

```
mininet> a1 traceroute 2001:638:709:b1::1
traceroute to 2001:638:709:b1::1 (2001:638:709:b1::1), 30 hops max, 80 byte packets
   _gateway (2001:638:709:a1::f1) 1.561 ms 1.455 ms 1.398 ms
   2001:638:709:f::f1:f2 (2001:638:709:f::f1:f2) 1.279 ms
                                                            1.177 ms
                                                                      1.109 ms
   2001:638:709:e::e1 (2001:638:709:e::e1) 1.043 ms 0.956 ms 0.879 ms
   2001:638:709:b1::1 (2001:638:709:b1::1)
                                            0.804 ms
                                                      0.611 ms
                                                                0.517 ms
mininet> b1 traceroute 2001:638:709:a1::1
traceroute to 2001:638:709:a1::1 (2001:638:709:a1::1), 30 hops max, 80 byte packets
   _gateway (2001:638:709:b1::e1) 1.930 ms 1.823 ms 1.763 ms
   2001:638:709:f::f2 (2001:638:709:f::f2) 1.676 ms 1.554 ms 0.054 ms
   2001:638:709:f::f2:f3 (2001:638:709:f::f2:f3) 0.071 ms
                                                            0.051 ms
                                                                      0.049 ms
   2001:638:709:f::f3:f4 (2001:638:709:f::f3:f4)
                                                  0.092 ms
    2001:638:709:f::f4:f1 (2001:638:709:f::f4:f1)
                                                  0.079 ms
                                                            0.073 ms
                                                                      0.070 ms
   2001:638:709:a1::1 (2001:638:709:a1::1) 0.087 ms 0.171 ms 0.084 ms
```

References:

 $\verb|https://www.slashroot.in/how-does-traceroute-work-and-examples-using-traceroute-community://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc1191.txt|$

https://cnds.jacobs-university.de/courses/cn-2019/p4.pdf