

# FY8904 Assignment 2

Filip Sund

Spring 2019

## Abstract

In this report we have studied the particle in box and the double well problem, using computational physics, comparing the results to analytical/exact results where possible. We have found that a method using expansion in eigenstates reproduces analytical results well, and simulated quantum tunnelling between the two lowest eigenstates of the double well system. **We have set up a time dependent double well system Results. Discussion. Conclusions.**

## Introduction

## Theory

### The Schrödinger equation

The one-dimensional Schrödinger equations in dimensionless form reads (time-dependent)

$$i2mL^2 \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t'} = \hat{H} \Psi \quad (1)$$

and (time-independent)

$$E_n \psi_n = \hat{H} \psi_n, \quad (2)$$

where  $E_n$  is the energy of the state  $\psi_n$ .

We have chosen the non-dimensionalizing scales

$$\frac{t}{t'} = t_0 = \frac{2mL^2}{\hbar} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{x}{x'} = x_0 = L, \quad (3)$$

where  $L$  is the size of the domain (the width of the infinite well in our case).

### Particle in a box

For the particle in a box problem the boundary conditions are

$$\Psi(x' = 0, t') = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(x' = 1, t') = 0, \quad (4)$$

and the (dimensionless) Hamiltonian is

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x'^2} + \nu(x'), \quad (5)$$

where  $\nu(x' \in [0, 1]) = 0$  and  $\nu(x') = \infty$  elsewhere. The exact solution of Eq. (2) is

$$\psi_n(x') = \sqrt{2} \sin(n\pi x') \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (6)$$

with eigenvalues  $\lambda_n = (\pi n)^2$ . The relation between  $\lambda_n$  and  $E_n$  is

$$\lambda_n = E_n \frac{2mL^2}{\hbar^2}. \quad (7)$$

### Box with potential barrier/double well

For a box with a potential barrier the potential is modified as follows

$$\nu(x') = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 0 < x' < 1/3 \\ \nu_0 & \text{for } 1/3 < x' < 2/3 \\ 0 & \text{for } 2/3 < x' < 1 \\ \infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

where  $\nu_0 = t_0 V_0 / \hbar$  is a dimensionless measure of the strength of the potential barrier.

### 0.0.1 Periodic detuning of a two-level system

Introducing a time-dependent potential

$$\nu(x') = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 0 < x' < 1/3 \\ \nu_0 & \text{for } 1/3 < x' < 2/3 \\ \nu_r(t) & \text{for } 2/3 < x' < 1 \\ \infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

we can force population transfers between the two lowest energy levels.

### Expansion in eigenfunctions

If we know the expansion of the initial condition  $\Psi_0$  in the eigenfunctions  $\psi_n$

$$\Psi_0(x') = \sum_n \alpha_n \psi_n(x') \quad (10)$$

then the Schrödinger equation gives us the time evolution of the system

$$\Psi(x', t') = \sum_n \alpha_n \exp(-i\lambda_n t') \psi_n(x'). \quad (11)$$

The coefficients  $\alpha_n$  can be calculated using the inner product between the initial state  $\Psi_0$  and the eigenstates  $\psi_n$

$$\alpha_n = \langle \psi_n, \Psi_0 \rangle = \int \psi_n^*(x') \Psi_0(x') dx'. \quad (12)$$

### Finite difference time evolution

If the coefficients  $\alpha_n$  in Eq. (12) are hard to evaluate, the method of expansion in eigenfunctions can be impractical. An alternative method for time evolution is finite difference methods. We then use the formal solution of the Schrödinger equation for two times separated by  $\Delta t'$

$$\Psi(x', t' + \Delta t') = \exp(-i\Delta t' \hat{H}) \Psi(x', t'). \quad (13)$$

One approximation to this equation is the forward Euler scheme

$$\Psi(x', t' + \Delta t') = \left[ 1 - i\Delta t' \hat{H} \right] \Psi(x', t'). \quad (14)$$

This scheme does not preserve probabilities, since the approximation to the time evolution operator  $\exp(-i\Delta t' \hat{H})$  is not unitary. A better choice is the Crank-Nicholson scheme

$$\left[ 1 + \frac{i}{2} \Delta t' \hat{H} \right] \Psi(x', t' + \Delta t') = \left[ 1 - \frac{i}{2} \Delta t' \hat{H} \right] \Psi(x', t' + \Delta t'). \quad (15)$$

## Results and discussion

### Particle in a box


- Introduce measure of error between numerical eigenfunctions and exact result and investigate how it scales with discretization step  $\Delta x$

In Fig. 1 the numerically estimated eigenvalues (left) and eigenfunctions (right) are plotted together with the exact results from Eq. (6), for an infinite well with  $\Delta x' = 1/200$ . We see that the eigenfunction match the analytical solution perfectly, but that there is some discrepancy in the eigenvalues at  $n$  greater than approx. 30.


In Fig. 2 the time development of the particle in a box is plotted for the initial condition  $\Psi_0 = \psi_0$ , the first eigenfunction.

Using a delta function as initial condition  $\Psi_0(x) = \delta(x - 1/2)$  fixes the initial position of the particle at  $x = 1/2$ . This results in coefficients

$$\alpha_n = \int \psi_n^*(x) \delta(x - 1/2) dx = \psi_n^*(x = 1/2), \quad (16)$$




**Figure 1:** Eigenvalues (left) and eigenfunctions (right) in an infinite well with  $\Delta x' = 1/200$ .



**Figure 2:** Time-development of particle in a well with initial condition  $\Psi_0(x', t' = 0) = \psi_0$  and  $\Delta x' = 1/200$  and  $\Delta t' = 1/2000$ .


which means that the initial wave function will be a combination of all eigenfunctions  $\psi_n$ 's. To properly represent this we need to include an infinite number of eigenfunctions. Further, since we have an exact position (with infinite certainty) means that we have infinite uncertainty in the momentum of the particle, following the uncertainty principle. This means that any results for  $t > 0$  will not make any sense. Now, the numerical simulations doesn't know that this is not possible, so it willingly spits out results like the ones in Fig. 3. The simulations can not use an infinite number of eigenfunctions to represent the initial condition, so in practice we are probably seeing the results of using a bad approximation of a triangle wave or a Gaussian as initial condition.



**Figure 3:** Time-development of particle in a well with initial condition  $\Psi_0(x', t' = 0) = \delta(x' - 1/2)$  and  $\Delta x' = 1/200$  and  $\Delta t' = 10^{-5}$ .

## Double well

In Fig. 5 we have plots of the first three eigenfunctions of the double well (right), and the first eigenvalues. We see that the first excited state ( $n = 2$ ) has nearly the same wave function as the ground state, but with odd parity (the blue line for  $n = 1$  is hidden under the orange line for  $n = 2$  in the left half of the plot).




**Figure 4:** Eigenfunctions (left) and eigenvalues (right) in a double well with  $\Delta x' = 1/1000$  and  $\nu_0 = 1000$ . The middle barrier is indicated by the central gray area.

The first 6 eigenvalues are given below. We see that the first excited state ( $\lambda_2$ ) is nearly degenerate with the ground state ( $\lambda_1$ ), and similarly for  $\lambda_3$  and  $\lambda_4$ , and  $\lambda_5$  and  $\lambda_6$ . The states are not fully degenerate because we cannot have degeneracy in a 1D system like this.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \lambda_1 = 73.8663877 & \lambda_2 = 293.2169877 & \lambda_4 = 647.6674955 \\ \lambda_2 = 73.8683378 & \lambda_3 = 293.2410202 & \lambda_5 = 648.1536705 \end{array}$$

In Fig. 5 plots of the probability density is given. We see that the probability density is concentrated the left side of the barrier at  $t' = 0$ , and that it tunnels through the barrier and is fully concentrated on the right side of the barrier at  $T = t' = \pi/(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)$ . The tunnelling time  $T$  is the (half) period of the exponential of Eq. (11).



**Figure 5:** Time evolution of the double well with initial condition  $\Psi_0 = 1/\sqrt{2}(\psi_1 + \psi_2)$ ,  $\Delta x' = 1/1000$  and  $\nu_0 = 1000$ .  $T$  is the tunnelling time  $t' = \pi/(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)$ , and is indicated by the red vertical line in the left figure.

### Root-finding

It can be shown that the eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian with energies  $0 < \lambda < \nu_0$  are given by the equation


$$f(\lambda) = e^{\kappa/3} \left[ \kappa \sin\left(\frac{k}{3}\right) + k \cos\left(\frac{k}{3}\right) \right]^2 - e^{-\kappa/3} \left[ \kappa \sin\left(\frac{k}{3}\right) - k \cos\left(\frac{k}{3}\right) \right]^2 = 0, \quad (17)$$

where  $k = \sqrt{\lambda}$  and  $\kappa = \sqrt{\nu_0 - \lambda}$ .

We find the roots of Eq. (17) using the function `scipy.optimize.root` from the Python-package SciPy, with the default `hybr` method. This uses a modification of the Powell's hybrid method[1] implemented in MINPACK's `hybrd` and `hybrj` routines. Other methods can be selected using the `method` argument, but we found the default method to give sufficient results. We use the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1$  to  $\lambda_6$  from the previous section as starting points for the function.


The resulting roots are listed below, and a plot of Eq. (17) with the roots indicated by vertical lines is shown in Fig. 6. We see that the roots of Eq. (17) doesn't perfectly agree with the eigenvalues found before.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \lambda_1 = 73.9375329 & \lambda_3 = 293.5161981 & \lambda_5 = 648.7502782 \\ \lambda_2 = 73.9356002 & \lambda_4 = 293.4923150 & \lambda_6 = 648.2643732 \end{array}$$



**Figure 6:** Plot of Eq. (17), with the roots indicated by vertical lines. The lines for  $n = 1$  and  $n = 2$  overlap, as does  $n = 3$  and  $n = 4$  etc.

In Fig. 7 we have plots of the number of eigenstates with eigenvalues lower than the barrier height  $\nu_0$ . We find that the barrier height that separates having none and one such eigenstate is approximately  $\nu_0 = 22.2$ .




**Figure 7:** Plots of the number of eigenstates with eigenvalues less than the barrier height  $\nu_0$ , as function of  $\nu_0$ .

## Finite difference time evolution

- (Plot time evolution using Euler scheme for TISE (eq. 3.6))
- Plot time evolution using C-N scheme for TISE (eq. 3.8)
- Discuss when it's advantageous to use step-by-step time evolution. Does it depend on the initial condition? Make a few hypotheses, and test the ideas.

## Two-level double well system

In the left plot in Fig. 8 there is a plot of the two lowest eigenvalues  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  as function of the potential  $\nu_r$ . In the right plot the two lowest eigenfunctions are plotted for positive and negative  $\nu_r$ . We see that the ground state  $\psi_1$  is localized at the left side for positive  $\nu_r$ , and mostly located at the right side for negative  $\nu_r$ , and vice versa for the excited state  $\psi_2$ .



**Figure 8:** (left) Plot of the two lowest eigenvalues as function of  $\nu_r$  with  $\Delta x' = 1/1000$ , and (right) localization of ground state with positive and negative  $\nu_r$ .

## Conclusion

## References

- [1] M. J. Powell. “A hybrid method for nonlinear equations”. In: Numerical methods for nonlinear algebraic equations (1970).
- [2] S. L. Wakelin, J. T. Holt, and R. Proctor. “The influence of initial conditions and open boundary conditions on shelf circulation in a 3D ocean-shelf model of the North East Atlantic”. In: Ocean Dynamics 59.1 (2009), pp. 67–81.