

Browser based room booking system

Project: Bachelor project
University: ITU 2011
Advisor: Peter Sestoft

Kristian Klarskov Marquardsen kkma@itu.dk 220387-1611
Frederik A. Wordenskjold Noerregaard fawn@itu.dk 140987-1727

Contents

1	Background	3
1.1	Introduction	3
1.2	Current situation	4
1.2.1	Facilities Management	4
1.2.2	Study Administration	4
1.3	Scope	5
2	Analysis	6
2.1	Scope	6
2.2	Design decisions	7
2.2.1	Platform	7
2.2.2	Concurrent room selection	7
3	User interface	9
3.1	Challenges	9
3.2	Mockups	9
3.3	Usability	9
3.3.1	Test af mockup1	9
3.4	Design process	11
3.5	Proposed design	11
4	Implementation	12
4.1	Datastructures	12
4.1.1	Datamodel	12
4.1.2	Algorithms	12
4.2	Server-Client relationship	12
4.3	Lift	12
5	Evaluation	13
5.1	Perspective	13
5.2	Possible improvements	13

5.3	Expansions	13
5.4	Reflection	13
6	Conclusion	14

Chapter 1

Background

1.1 Introduction

In this project we have designed a user-friendly room booking experience for the IT University¹, and provided the concept for a solution to the complex task of assigning rooms to courses. As described later, the current process in which all room assignments - both short and long term - is both tedious and inefficient. With the ever increasing focus on web-based technology, we found it only logical to bring this part of university life online in a user-friendly manner by providing a simple overview for both day-to-day tasks such as reserving a room for group work, and the larger, harder to grasp tasks such as completing the room assignment for a whole semester.

¹IT University will henceforth be referred to as 'ITU'

1.2 Current situation

As of writing, room management at the ITU is split between the Study Administration and the Facilities Management. Within those departments there is another division of labour which all in all adds to the confusion of handling the limited rooms efficiently.

1.2.1 Facilities Management

The information desk, controlled by FM, is currently in charge of the day-to-day use of the meeting rooms. When a student or teacher wishes to reserve or use a room, the FM employee will refer to a binder with a paper-overview of current status, and if they successfully find an available room a form is filled out, photocopied and archived.

Aside from this, another part of FM is in charge of assigning offices and workspaces to teachers, teaching assistants and researchers. This is done through a locally stored Microsoft Access database, and is handled primarily by one person.

1.2.2 Study Administration

In regards to room management, the Study Administrations biggest challenge is the planning of a semester. First step is collect data from the course database to see which courses will be held in the coming semester. When a list of courses have been compiled, a system called Tabulex² is used to create a draft schedule so no overlaps of teachers and courses required by several tracks³. The output from Tabulex is finally used to manually create the room assignments for a standard week of the semester.

The other function of the Study Administration is to assign rooms required by exams, for both the examination itself and for the preparation-time required by some exams. Unfortunately, not all courses have ended by the time exams begin, so apart from rooms being occupied the problem of privacy and noise presents itself.

TODO: Perhaps reformatting and elaboration

²<http://www.tabulex.dk/>

³'Track' is the term used to describe a semesters worth of courses in a specific direction, eg. the 'Software Engineering' direction of the 'Software Development and Technology' MSc education

1.3 Scope

Our approach to designing this system has been primarily focused on usability and all that entails. Tedious and de-centralized management of a single resource is both time consuming and highly error-prone. To exemplify a solid solution to this problem, we have narrowed our vision to the most used area (day-to-day booking) and the most tedious area (semester planning).

The simple booking have been succesfully prototyped, whereas the semester planning have only been designed and developed theoretically, and not implemented. Doing the full scale implementation of the semester planning would of course be a possibility, but it is not crucial to prove our point of data presentation and usability and we have therefore chosen to perform all the analytical work on it instead.

As mentioned in the original problem definition, we have also elected not to include an administration panel for super-users, as it would serve little purpose without all the features of the full system.

TODO:Limitations/inclusions of our project work goes here

Chapter 2

Analysis

When unifying the functions of several departments and optimizing the overall process at the same time, a multitude of non-trivial problems will need answering. Through this chapter we will go through the discussions made for and against our choices.

2.1 Scope

Our approach to designing this system has been primarily focused on usability and all that entails. Tedious and de-centralized management of a single resource is both time consuming and highly error-prone. To exemplify a solid solution to this problem, we have narrowed our vision to the most used area (day-to-day booking) and the most tedious area (semester planning).

The simple booking have been succesfully prototyped, whereas the semester planning have only been designed and developed theoretically, and not implemented. Doing the full scale implementation of the semester planning would of course be a possibility, but it is not crucial to prove our point of data presentation and usability and we have therefore chosen to perform all the analytical work on it instead.

As mentioned in the original problem definition, we have also elected not to include an administration panel for super-users, as it would serve little purpose without all the features of the full system.

TODO:Limitations/inclusions of our project work goes here

2.2 Design decisions

2.2.1 Platform

Choosing a webbased solution over a desktop application was both a choice of interest and of usability. Accessibility is a crucial factor in usability, and by allowing end-users to access the system instead of having to go through the bottleneck of a single department, the workload is both distributed and handled immediately, as opposed to being dependant on an employee from said department to perform the whole process associated with locating and booking a suitable and available room.

The drawbacks to **TODO:finish this sentence**

TODO:How to implement, discussion of for and against our choices of platform, language, etc etc. Possibly split this in to several sections

2.2.2 Concurrent room selection

The handling of concurrent users is always an issue in webbased services, and more specifically in our case it presents itself when multiple users wants to book a room at the same time. No solutions are perfect, and we've tried discovering the pros and cons of the solutions we considered.

Possible solutions

These solutions are based on the the case where users **X** and **Y** are searching for rooms at the same time. They could obviously be extrapolated to cases where even more users are interacting.

*Make all rooms that fits **X**, unavaliable for **Y** while **X** is deciding on the which room to use.*

- Cons:
 - If all rooms fit the search of **X**, no rooms will be available for **Y**
- Pros:
 - Easy to implement.
 - Extremely unlikely to encounter dead ends.

*The screen which shows avaliable rooms, of user **Y** should update when user **X** makes a booking, to make sure **Y** doesnt hit a dead end.*

- Cons:
 - Increased number of requests to the server.
 - Dead ends possible.
 - Ultimately, it is a tradeoff between probability of dead ends vs. server request-rate.
- Pros:
 - High usability and responsiveness, resulting in a pleasant experience for the user if no dead ends are hit.
 - More flexible; allows several users to have many choices at once.

Chapter 3

User interface

TODO:general introduction to this section, and why it warrents a whole chapter

3.1 Challenges

TODO:What challenges must our user interface overcome?

3.2 Mockups

TODO:Add all/some of the pictures from our mockups. Currently located on github. Explain the iterations.

3.3 Usability

TODO:Usability discussion and documentation for findings from our tests

3.3.1 Test af mockup1

Tasks to perform

1 - simple: "Book a room today"

2 - simple: "Book a room tomorrow"

3 - special case: room not available current day: "Book room x for use as soon as possible"

- ...

Questions to ask

- "cancel" or "back" ? : "what do you think this button does?"
- Calendar - is it obvious?

Lead-in

1. You and your group have met, and need to find a room to conduct group-work.

2. Your group has finished work today, and decided to reconvene tomorrow. You have been assigned to arrange for a place to work tomorrow.

3. Your group will be having it's exam in auditorium 3, and you wish to prepare for it in the same setting as the actual exam.

11 - 11.20: Jakob 27 DMD 2. semester

1. Find a room

Trykker på Find me a Room siger trykker vel her. Vælger tid fra og til.

2. Rum i morgen

Trykker på find me a specific room. Finder hurtigt ud af at han nok skulle have valgt vha. kalenderen.

3. Eksamen i auditorie3 en anden dag.

Trykker på auditorie3 på kortet. Trykker på another day.

4. Find rum næste fredag.

Find me a specific room. Vælger "projektor", og er nice. Spørger om man skal vælge tid her.

5. Find et lokale til mere end 50 mennesker på en onsdag.

Find me a room, cancel, find me a specific room. Task failure. Går tilbage til kortet. Trykke på et af auditorierne. Siger at han nok i stedet ville trykke på kalenderen.

6. Komsammen for førsteårsstuderende.

Trykker på aud1 på kortet. Bruger kalenderen til at vælge datoer, for at se ledigheden.

Jon, 23, SWU 6. semester

1. Find me a room, OK.
2. Bruger kalendervælgeren til at finde datoen find me a room, OK.
3. Vælger dato gennem kalenderen, find me a specific room, OK.
4. Som 3.
5. Find me a room. Cancel. Trykker på w på kalenderen. Task failure, specific room. Vælger "auditorie" og ikke capacity. OK.
6. Trykker på aud1 på kortet. Trykker på datoen, trykker på start-tid og slut-tid. Trykker på book.

3.4 Design process

TODO:explain the process of the graphical design

3.5 Proposed design

TODO:Explain and illustrate the proposed design

Chapter 4

Implementation

4.1 Datastructures

TODO:Introduction to this section

4.1.1 Datamodel

TODO:Explain our database design and datamodel

4.1.2 Algorithms

TODO:Outline the important algorithms in our program, and why they are awesome

4.2 Server-Client relationship

TODO:Explain the design; what we do, and what lift handles for us.

4.3 Lift

TODO:This section probably doesn't belong here, but it needs to be somewhere.

Chapter 5

Evaluation

5.1 Perspective

TODO:Take a step back and look at our work as a part to a whole. Tabulex, FM/Study admin etc.

5.2 Possible improvements

TODO:If this was a commercial product, what would we change/add/fix etc.

5.3 Expansions

TODO:Consider if this section is needed. Perhaps it could fit into the above. If not, we could talk about ridding the need for tabulex, centralizing everything in our system and so forth.

5.4 Reflection

TODO:Reflect on the process.. hurp derp

Chapter 6

Conclusion

TODO:**drumroll** Round off the paper in an elegant, humble, and yet awesomely awesome way.