



Swerve Robotic Platform

Software and Electronics

Prepared for: Dr. Ajmal Yousuff

Dr. Tein-Min Tan

Josh Geating

Eric Vance

Shane Simpson

Chris Noveral

Prepared by: Frederick Wachter

Alexander Nhan



Report Outline

Report Outline

- 1. Software, Controls, and Electrical Overview
- 2. Electronic Hardwardware and Layouts
- 3. Simulations
- 4. Robot Model
- 5. Algorithms
- 6. Controls
- 7. Safety Features
- 8. Testing
- 9. Wrap Up



Terminology

Patron → human operator
Platform → Swerve robot

Environment → surroundings of the platform including all static and dynamic objects



Software, Controls, and Electrical Overview

Overview of software, controls, and electrical usage, design, and goals

Software, Controls, and Electrical Overview Electrical Hardware and Layouts

Simulations



Software Needs and Purpose

Software Needs


- Provide interfaces between all electrical components
- Provide methods of passing and storing information to user and through the platform components

Additional Userful Criteria

- Provide debugging and visualization tools to user
- Provide offline simulation for algorithm development and simulations
- Provide an environment for rapid algorithm development

Software Framework Choice

- Robot Operating Systems (ROS) •••
 - Provides interfaces between sensors, computers, and microcontrollers
 - Provides message passing, recording, and playback
 - Provides debugging and visualization tools
- Gazebo Robot Simulator 😞



- Provides offline robot simulations
- Provides communication interfaces
- MATLAB and Robot Systems Toolbox 📣



- Provides rapid development environment
- Provides communication interfaces
- Provides easy to use visualization and debugging tools



Software Tool Features



Robot Operating System (ROS) Features

- Message passing information
- Recording and playback of messages
- Remote procedure calls
- Distributed Parameter System
- Standard Robot Messages
- Robot Geometric Library
- Robot Description Language
- Diagnostics Tools
- Integrated Robotics Algorithms
- Visualization tools
- Custom Graphical User Interfaces
- Command Line Tools
- Open source libraries and sensor interfaces



Gazebo Robot Simulator Features

- Dynamics simulations with physics engines
- Advanced 3D graphics
- Simulated sensors with optional noise modeling
- Plugins for robot, sensor, and environment control
- Robot models
- Communication Interfaces
- Cloud simulations
- Command Line Tools




MATLAB and Robot Systems Toolbox Features

- Provides communication interface with ROS
- Provides robotics algorithms
- Provides rapid development environment



Software Architecture Overview





Controls and Electrical Needs and Purpose

Controls Needs

- Provide the platform with the ability to drive in a desired direction at a desired rate
 - Be able to achieve high accelerations and speeds
- Provide safety features to prevent misuse of the platform and human safety

Additional Userful Criteria

- Provide autonomy to the platform for automated operation
- Provide ability to understand the local environment and the platforms position within the environment

Control and Electrical Solutions

- Brushless DC Motors
 - Provides ability to move platform
 - Provides high torque output to achieve high accelerations and speeds
- Sensors and Controllers
 - Provides ability to move platform in a desired direction at a desired rate
 - Provides ability to integrate safety features through software and hardware
 - Provides for the platform to describe the local environment
- Autonomy Algorithms
 - Provides ability for the platform to understand the environment and location within the environment



Software Release Schedule

Software Release Schedule (Releases done on GitHub)

- Release V1.0 (January) Simulated Swerve in Gazebo and have all sensor data available in ROS
- Release V2.0 (March) Elemental autonomy algorithms integrated within ROS
- Release V3.0 (April) Data gathering and visualizations from real Swerve platform
- Release V4.0 (June) Finalized prototype autonomy software for offline and online Swerve

Swerve Software Links

- Workspace setup and resources: https://github.com/SwerveRoboticSystems/swerve_resources
- Swerve ROS package: https://github.com/SwerveRoboticSystems/swerve
- Github Organization Page: https://github.com/SwerveRoboticSystems



Electrical Hardware and Layout

Overview of electrical hardware usage and layouts

Software, Controls, and Electrical Overview


Electrical Hardware and Layout

Simulations

Robot Model



Electrical Hardware and Simplified Layout





AS5047 Encoder

Hall Effect Sensor

Calibration and Startup Procedure

Initial Platform Calibration

- Calibrate all motors with their VESC
- Tune PID parameters for all motors and save configuration file

Calibration Process for Each Use

- Calibrate encoder position
- Calibrate human weight if in operator mode

Startup Procedure

- Perform Calibration
- Startup all ROS nodes for hardware communication and backend processes
- Signal to operator platform is calibrated and ready (LED's and GUI)



Figure 3. Platform Rendering.




Figure 4. Human-Machine Interface Layout.




Figure 5. Encoder Calibration.



Electronics Specifications

Sensor Hardware Specifications



SICK TIM561 LIDAR



ZED Camera



Ublox GPS Module



AS5047 Encoder



Hall Effect Sensor

Response Time: 0.067s (67ms)

Scan Frequency: 15 Hz Depth Range: 10m

Scanning Angle: 270 degrees Statistical Error: 0.02m (20mm)

Assumed Distribution: Gaussian (0, 0.00667)

Scan Frequency: 100 Hz

Odometry: Real-time depth-based VI SLAM

Field of View: 110 degrees

6DOF Orientation Accuracy: 0.1 degrees 6DOF Pose Accuracy: 0.001m (1mm)

Time to First Fix: 26s Update Rate: 5 Hz Horizontal Accuracy: 2.5m Heading Accuracy: 0.3 degrees

Resolution: 14 bit

Operating Voltage: 3.3V, 5V compliant Temperature Range: -40°C to 150°C

Operating Voltage: 2.5V to 24V DC Max Sinking Current: 50mA **Low Current Consumption**

Computing Hardware Specifications



Jetson TX2



Teensy 3.6

Processor Type: ARM

Memory: 8GB 126 bit LPDDR4 GPU: 256 CUDA cores CPU: Quad Arm up to 1.3 GHz

Pinouts: CAN, UART, SPI, I2C, I2S, GPIOs

Clock Speed: 180 MHz **Processor Type:** ARM


CAN Ports: 2 GPIO's: 32 PWM PortS: 22 I2C Ports: 4

Motor Hardware Specifications

Max Power: 6,000 W



Alien Systems BLDC





VESC BLDC ESC

Voltage Output/Input: 8V to 60V

Max Current: 240A Feature: Integrated 5V BEC

Feature: Regenerative Braking

Feature: Sensored and Sensorless Operation



Simulations

Overview of simulation need, simulated sensors, and Gazebo



Electrical Hardware and Layouts

Simulations

Robot Model

Algorithms



Simulations Need and Purpose

Benefits of Offline Simulations

- Allows for testing of controllers and algorithms while the real platform is being built
- Simulates sensor data with optional noise model parameters
- Validation of the dynamic model of the robot
- Algorithms developed using the simulation can be directly implemented onto the real robot
 - Assuming the simulated model is accurate




Figure 6. Block Diagram of Control Loop on the Real Platform.

Figure 7. Block Diagram of Control Loop on the Simulated Platform.



Simulated Sensors and Sensor Modeling

Simulated Sensors Usage

- LIDAR (Light Detection and Ranging) → Simulates SICK TiM561 LIDAR
- Stereo Camera → Simulates ZED Camera stereo camera
- Depth Camera → Simulates ZED Camera depth camera
- IMU (Inertial Measurement Unit) → Need to choose an IMU
- GPS → Simulates Ublox GPS Module

Error Modeling

- Assumption is made that all sensors can be modeled with Gaussian noise
- Need to test real sensors to determine error model parameters
 - Models will most likely be modeled with a zero mean with a variance that is determined through testing
 - Testing should be done in a Vicon room in order to get an accurate ground truth

$$\hat{z} = z + \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$$

 $z \rightarrow ground\ truth, \hat{z} \rightarrow sensor\ output$

Equation 1. Assumed sensor noise model equation.



Current State of Simulation

Current State of Gazebo Simulation (as of 2017/12/31)

- Ability to simulate LIDAR and stereo cameras
- Having issues with simulated depth cameras most likely due to GPU driver on computer
- Currently not simulating IMU or GPS data until they are needed




Figure 8. Output from simulated sensors on simulated Swerve platform.



Robot Model

Robot description and dynamic model



Simulations

Robot Model

Algorithms

Controls

Robot Description

Robot Description Overview

- The platform is described through a tree of static and non-static frames
- Non-static tree links are updated using sensor data from simulated or existing platform
- The relationship between the world and odom frames is described by the state estimator
- All sensor data is projected from its frame to the platform body frame for map building and updates
- Frame tree's allow for easy transformations of points in space to be described between different frames




Figure 9. Frames Tree of the Swerve Platform.




Figure 10. Visual of Transform Tree for Swerve.



Dynamic Model

Dynamic Model of Platform

- Used to track the robot's state over time
- Determines the relationship between the states and output parameters
- Determines the state transition matrix to update the robot state between time steps
 - State update function used in Kalman/Particle Filter
- Dynamic model still needs to developed

$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}_k$$

 $\mathbf{z}_k = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x}_k$

Equation 2. Swerve Discrete State-Space Model.



Algorithms

Algorithms used to describe the environment and platform localization





Odometry

Odometry

- Used to estimate and track the position of the robot in the local environment
- Estimates of the robot state and finds innovations driving a stochastic process given a set of observations

Odometry Filter Options

- Kalman Filter
 - Recursive linear approximations with a tracked state covariance
- Particle Filter
 - Sequential Monte Carlo method using particles that describes the statistics of the system's distribution
- Both use a modified version of the dynamic model to include inherent errors in modeling and sensors
- Both are described in detail on the next two slides

$$\mathbf{z}_{k+1} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}_k + \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{Q})$$

 $\mathbf{z}_k = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x}_k + \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R})$

Equation 3. Swerve Discrete State-Space Model with Gaussian Noise.



Odometry - Kalman Filter

Kalman Filter Description

- Uses a probabilistic discrete state-space model of the system
- Requires an initial state and state covariance along with system and observation covariances

Kalman Filter Process


- Uses previous state and covariances to predict the current new state
- Update the Kalman gain
- Update the state with observations based on Kalman gain
- Update the state covariance using the Kalman gain
- Repeat

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}|\mathbf{x}_t) = A_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{P}_t) + \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{Q}_t)$$

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{z}_t|\mathbf{x}_t) = C_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{P}_t) + \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R}_t)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_t = \operatorname{argmax} \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{z}_t \cap \mathbf{x}_{t-1})$$

Equation 4. Swerve Discrete State-Space Probabilistic Model.



Initial Conditions

Constants

Equation 5. Kalman Filter Flow Chart.

$$\mathbf{K} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}, \mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \mathbf{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$$

 $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}, \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1}, \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}, \mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$



Odometry - Particle Filter

Particle Filter Description

- Uses an initial set of particles where the statistics of the particles match the statistics of the state
- Each particle contains its own state and an associated weight representing the probability of the state

Particle Filter Process

- Sample the distribution of the state into a set of particles with uniform weights
- Update the states of each particle individually based on bounded probability of the state change
- Update weights based on likelihood of state update
- Take an observation
- Update weights based on probability of the particles states based on the observation
- Repeat




Figure 11. Particle Filter Process Visualization.



Occupancy Grid

Occupancy Grid Purpose

- Probabilistic 2D representation of the environment that discretizes environment into cells
- Requires a Bayesian filter to maintain map
- Uses Bayesian probability of cell occupancy combined with measurements to update occupancy cells
- Resulting map can be used to for path planning purposes
- Accuracy of map depends on accuracy of state estimate in order to perform accurate measurement updates

$$m_{x,y}: \{1,0\}, 0 \rightarrow free, 1 \rightarrow occupied, m \rightarrow occupancy \ cell \ at \ (x,y) \\ z: \{1,0\}, 0 \rightarrow free, 1 \rightarrow occupied, z \rightarrow observation \\ \\ \mathbb{P}(m_{x,y}|z) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(z|m_{x,y})\mathbb{P}(m_{x,y})}{\mathbb{P}(z)}$$
 Measurement with the prior of the prior occupied at the prior occupied

Equation 6. Occupancy Grid Random Variables.


Equation 7. Bayesian Formulation of Cell Occupancy Probability.


$$odds(m_{x,y}=1|z) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(m_{x,y}=1|z)}{\mathbb{P}(m_{x,y}=0|z)} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(z|m_{x,y}=1)}{\mathbb{P}(z|m_{x,y}=0)} + \frac{\mathbb{P}(z|m_{x,y}=1)}{\mathbb{P}(m_{x,y}=0)}$$

Equation 8. Occupancy Grid Update.



Occupancy Grid Creation Process Visualization








Figure 13. Final Occupancy Grid Example.



Local and Global Planners

Local and Global Planner Purpose

- Global planners generate initial, valid, non-optimal trajectories from a start to goal location
- Local planners generate recursive paths to avoid collisions due to variations in the map over time

Global Planners

- RRT (Rapidly-Exploring Random Tree)
- A* (A-Star)

Local Planners

MPC (Model Predictive Controller)

Work still needs to go into deciding on local and global planner



Controls

Control scheme to move platform at a desired direction and rate





Controller Needs

Controller Needs

- Provide the controller with desired platform direction and speed
- Controller commands motors to achieve overall platform direction and speed

Controller Type

VESC's use an onboard PID controller to control either motor velocity or position

Possible Control Inputs

- Vector representing the desired platform direction and speed (operator mode)
 - Generated from the human-machine interface, explained on next slide
- A desired end location to move the platform to (autonomous mode)
 - Global planner generates an initial valid trajectory based on current map of the environment
 - Local planner provides controller with discrete set of poses to achieve at specific time intervals



Figure 14. Visual Representation of Operator Mode Control Input.




Figure 15. Visual Representation of Autonomous Mode Control Input.



Operator Mode - Human-Machine Interface

Interface Overview

- Three sensors at each foot of the patron
- Sensor outputs are triangulated to provide a desired direction
- Amplitude of sensor outputs provides desired rate of platform

Interface Version 1

- Force sensors as input
- Pro: Already have sensor and easy/simple to integrate
- Con: Does not provide haptic feedback

Interface Version 2

- Springs with spring potentiometers as input
- Pro: Provides haptic feedback to the user
- Con: Complex platform interface design required
- It will be built if time permitting



Figure 17. Force Sensor Interface Layout.



Figure 18. Spring Interface Layout.



Figure 16. Swerve Platform with Patron.



Autonomous Mode

Autonomy Scheme

- Goal location provided by user or by autonomy manager
- Global planner provides rough trajectory based on map
- Local planner provides trajectory to a receding horizon
 - Local planner performs obstacle avoidance
- Local trajectory is discretized into desired poses
- Controller attempts to move robot to desired pose
 - Goal time between reaching poses is fixed
 - Distances between current pose and desired pose determines speed of platform
 - Velocities of each motor is determined by kinematically projecting the platform velocity down to each motor
 - Each motor operates on its own control loop




Figure 19. Visual Representation of Autonomy Scheme.



Safety Features

Safety features for the operator and autonomous mode



Algorithms

Safety Features and Requirements

Safety Requirements

- Ensure the safety of the patron in operator mode
- Ensure the safety of objects within the environment of the platform in both operator and autonomous mode

Safety Features

- Patrons must wear ignition lanyards to ensure platform knows if the rider is thrown off
- Provide the possibility to limit max velocity and acceleration
- Enforce a virtual bubble around the platform where it will e-brake when objects are within the bubble
- Shield all exposed wire to avoid damage from external sources




Figure 20. Virtual Bubble Visualization.



Figure 21. Safety Ignition Lanyard.



Testing

Required electrical and algorithm testing



Error Modeling Tests

Error Modeling Need

- Need an understanding of fundamental errors in sensor and model in order to track the platform accurately
- All sensors need to be tested in order to determine bounded accuracy of measurements
- Dynamic model needs to be tested in order to determine drift when using strictly the dynamic model

Testing Method

- Vicon motion capture systems provide a highly accurate ground truth
- Trackers are placed on the platform, sensors, and objects in the environment
- Locations of all devices and objects are saved in a time synchronous fashion
- Error model parameters are determined by playing back saved locations of devices and objects over time

Availability of Testing System

- University of Pennsylvania has some Vicon rooms, we will search first at Drexel




Figure 22. Vicon Test Setup Example.



Algorithms and Controls Testing

Kalman vs Particle Filter

Need to test accuracy and computational load of both filters

Occupancy Grid

- Need to test to determine if this is sufficient for operating in dynamic environments
- Need to determine computation load of algorithm

Autonomy and Controls Scheme

- Need to determine if the autonomy and control scheme is stable and can operate with all expected inputs

Calibration

Determine threshold for calibrating encoders

Platform Capabilities

- Test the max velocity and acceleration of the platform
- Test maneuverability of the platform



Wrap Up

Controls

Current state and knowledge gaps



Wrap Up

Current State

- Simulated Swerve in Gazebo, need to add additional simulated sensors
 - Official software release will be made in a few weeks once finished
- Initial work done with sensors and electrical components
 - Testing motor, encoder and VESC configuration
 - Integrated LIDAR and worked with features of Teensy 3.6 and Jetson
- Finished course on Estimation and Learning by University of Pennsylvania on Coursera (online)

Knowledge Gaps

- State Estimation
- Motion Planning
- Dynamics Development for Control

Resources

- Robotics Specialization University of Pennsylvania Coursera Online Courses
 - Computational Motion Planning
 - Mobility
 - Estimation and Learning



Related Links

Swerve Software Links

- Workspace setup and resources: https://github.com/SwerveRoboticSystems/swerve resources
- Swerve ROS package: https://github.com/SwerveRoboticSystems/swerve

Swerve Online Presence

- Github Organization: https://github.com/SwerveRoboticSystems
- Website: https://SwerveRoboticSystems.github.io/



Contact Us



SwerveRoboticSystems@gmail.com



SwerveRoboticSystems.github.io

