

MAP 565

Time series analysis : Lecture VII

François Roueff

<http://perso.telecom-paristech.fr/~roueff/>

Telecom ParisTech – École Polytechnique

January 27, 2016

Outline of the course

- ▷ Stochastic modeling
 - I Random processes.
 - II Spectral representation.
- ▷ Linear models
 - III Linear filtering, innovation process.
 - IV ARMA processes.
 - V Linear forecasting.
- ▷ Statistical inference
 - VI Overview of goals and methods.
 - VII Asymptotic statistics in a dependent context. ←
- ▷ Non-linear models
 - VIII Standard models for financial time series.
 - IX Complements.

Outline of lecture VII

1 Asymptotic statistics for time series

- Basic definitions
- Consistency
- Asymptotic normality

2 An illustrative example with R

1 Asymptotic statistics for time series

- Basic definitions
- Consistency
- Asymptotic normality

2 An illustrative example with R

1 Asymptotic statistics for time series

- Basic definitions
- Consistency
- Asymptotic normality

2 An illustrative example with R

Convergence of random variables

Let $(W_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and W be real valued random variables defined on the same probability space.

We denote

- (i) $W_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} W$ if W_n converges to W almost surely.
- (ii) $W_n \xrightarrow{P} W$ or $W_n = W + o_P(1)$ if W_n converges to W in probability.
- (iii) $W_n \implies W$ if W_n converges weakly to W .
- (iv) $W_n = O_p(1)$ if W_n is bounded in probability.

Recall that

$$(i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (iv)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} W_n = W \text{ in } L^2 \Rightarrow (ii).$$

Extension to more general spaces

All these definitions can be extended to random variables valued in a general **metric space** (introducing the notion of **tight** sequences in place of **bounded in probability**).

- ▶ **Finite dimensional space** : In \mathbb{R}^p , the convergence of a random vector is **equivalent** to the convergence of its entries, **except for the weak convergence** : the weak convergence of a vector is equivalent to the weak convergence of **all linear combinations** (this is called the **Cramér-Wold device**).
- ▶ **Infinite dimensional space** : In \mathbb{R}^T for an infinite T , the **fidi** weak convergence is defined by

$$W_n \xrightarrow{\text{fidi}} W \quad \text{if} \quad [W_n(t)]_{t \in I} \Longrightarrow [W(t)]_{t \in I} \quad \text{for all finite } I \subset T.$$

Consistency and asymptotic normality

Let θ be an unknown parameter of a stationary model on a time series $(X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and let $\hat{\theta}_n$ be an estimator based on the n -sample $X_{1:n}$.

Definition : weak consistency

$\hat{\theta}_n$ is said to be **weakly consistent** if $\hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{P} \theta$.

Definition : strong consistency

$\hat{\theta}_n$ is said to be **strongly consistent** if $\hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \theta$.

Definition : asymptotic normality

$\hat{\theta}_n$ is said to be **asymptotically normal** with **asymptotic covariance matrix** Σ if

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma) .$$

Classical examples in the i.i.d. setting

Let $(X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be an **i.i.d. sequence** with mean μ and variance σ^2 and let $\hat{\mu}_n$ denote the empirical mean estimator.

Theorem : Law of large numbers (LLN)

If μ is finite, then $\hat{\mu}_n$ is a **strongly consistent** estimator of μ ,

$$\hat{\mu}_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \mu .$$

Theorem : Central limit theorem (CLT)

If σ^2 is finite, then $\hat{\mu}_n$ is an **asymptotically normal** estimator of μ with asymptotic variance σ^2 .

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\mu}_n - \mu) \implies \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2) .$$

1 Asymptotic statistics for time series

- Basic definitions
- Consistency
- Asymptotic normality

2 An illustrative example with R

Consistency of the empirical mean

Assumption : weak stationarity

Let (X_t) be a real-valued weakly stationary process with mean μ and autocovariance function γ /spectral density f .

Theorem

Then the empirical mean $\hat{\mu}_n$ satisfies the following assertions.

- ▷ $\hat{\mu}_n$ is an unbiased estimator of μ ($\mathbb{E}[\hat{\mu}_n] = \mu$ for all $n \geq 1$).
- ▷ If $\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(h) = 0$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[(\hat{\mu}_n - \mu)^2] = 0$ and $\hat{\mu}_n$ is a weakly consistent estimator of μ .
- ▷ If moreover $\gamma \in \ell^1$, then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\mu}_n) \leq n^{-1} \|\gamma\|_1 ,$$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\mu}_n) = n^{-1} (2\pi f(0) + o(1)) ,$$

and $\hat{\mu}_n$ is a strongly consistent estimator of μ .

Consistency of the empirical autocovariance : assumptions

Assumption : linear process with short memory

X admits the representation $X = \mu + F_\psi(Z)$ where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $Z \sim \text{WN}(0, \sigma^2)$ is real valued and $(\psi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^1$ is also real valued.

Then X is weakly stationary with mean μ and autocovariance and spectral density given by

$$\gamma(t) = \sigma^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_{k+h} \psi_k \quad \text{and} \quad f(\lambda) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2\pi} \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_k e^{-ik\lambda} \right|^2.$$

Assumption on the noise

Moreover Z satisfies, for a constant $\eta \geq 1$, for all $s \leq t \leq u \leq v$,

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_s Z_t Z_u Z_v] = \begin{cases} \eta \sigma^4 & \text{if } s = t = u = v, \\ \sigma^4 & \text{if } s = t < u = v, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Consistency of the empirical autocovariance : conclusions

Theorem

Then, for all $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} [\hat{\gamma}_n(p)] &= \gamma(p) + O(n^{-1}) , \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \operatorname{Cov} (\hat{\gamma}_n(p), \hat{\gamma}_n(q)) &= V(p, q) ,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}V(p, q) &= (\eta - 3)\gamma(p)\gamma(q) \\ &\quad + \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}} [\gamma(u)\gamma(u - p + q) + \gamma(u + q)\gamma(u - p)] .\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

Corollary

We have $\hat{\gamma}_n(p) = \gamma(p) + O_P(n^{-1/2})$ and $\hat{\gamma}_n(p)$ is a weakly consistent estimator of $\gamma(p)$,

1 Asymptotic statistics for time series

- Basic definitions
- Consistency
- Asymptotic normality

2 An illustrative example with R

Asymptotic normality : basic assumption

We use the same kind of assumption as for consistency of the empirical autocovariance function.

Assumption : linear process with short memory

X admits the representation $X = \mu + F_\psi(Z)$ where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $Z \sim \text{WN}(0, \sigma^2)$ is real valued and $(\psi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^1$ is also real valued.

Recall that it implies that X is weakly stationary with mean μ and autocovariance and spectral density given by

$$\gamma(t) = \sigma^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_{k+h} \psi_k \quad \text{and} \quad f(\lambda) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2\pi} \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_k e^{-ik\lambda} \right|^2.$$

Additional assumptions will be made on Z .

Asymptotic normality of the empirical mean

Assumption

Let X be a linear process with short memory as above and suppose that Z satisfies

$$n^{-1/2} \sum_{t=1}^n Z_t \Longrightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2) .$$

Theorem

Then the empirical mean is asymptotically normal with asymptotic variance $2\pi f(0)$,

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\mu}_n - \mu) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, 2\pi f(0)) .$$

Remark: note that the general result follows from the case where $\mu = 0$, which we assume in the following.

Proof, key ingredient : approximation lemma

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_n & \xRightarrow{?} \Rightarrow & W \\ \uparrow\uparrow & & \uparrow \\ W_{n,m} & \Rightarrow & W_m \end{array}$$

Lemma

Let $(W_{n,m})_{n,m \geq 1}$ be an array of random variables in \mathcal{X} . Suppose that for all $m \geq 1$, $W_{n,m}$ converges weakly to W_m as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and that W_m converges weakly to W as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Let now $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be random variables in \mathcal{X} such that, for all $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(d(X_n, W_{m,n}) > \epsilon) = 0 .$$

Then X_n converges weakly to W as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof, Step 1 : FIR approximation

FIR approximation

Consider a linear process $X = F_{\psi}(Z)$. An approximation of X is obtained by setting

$$X^{(m)} = F_{\psi^m}(Z) ,$$

where $\psi_k^m = \psi_k \mathbb{1}_{\{|k| \leq m\}}$.

In order to use the approximation lemma, we must show that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(\left| \sqrt{n} \left(\hat{\mu}_n - \hat{\mu}_n^{(m)} \right) \right| > \epsilon \right) = 0 ,$$

where

$$\hat{\mu}_n^{(m)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k^{(m)} .$$

Proof, Step 2 : the FIR case

To conclude, it only remains to show that (remember that $\mu = 0$ here), for all $m \geq 1$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sqrt{n} \hat{\mu}_n^{(m)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{t=-m}^m \psi_t Z_{k-t} \implies \mathcal{N}(0, 2\pi f^{(m)}(0)) , \quad (2)$$

with $f^{(m)}$ denoting the spectral density of $X^{(m)}$, and that, as $m \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\mathcal{N}(0, 2\pi f^{(m)}(0)) \implies \mathcal{N}(0, 2\pi f(0)) . \quad (3)$$

▷ Eq. (2) is obtained by using that, for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n Z_{k-t} = \sum_{k=1}^n Z_k + O_P(1) .$$

▷ Eq. (3) is equivalent to $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f^{(m)}(0) = f(0)$.

Asymptotic normality of the empirical autocovariance

Assumption

Let X be a linear process with short memory as above and suppose that Z is i.i.d. with $\mathbb{E}[Z_0^4] = \eta\sigma^4$.

Theorem

Then the empirical autocovariance is asymptotically normal with asymptotic covariance V ,

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\gamma}_n - \gamma) \xrightarrow{\text{fidi}} \mathcal{N}(0, V),$$

where $V(p, q) = (\eta - 3)\gamma(p)\gamma(q)$

$$+ \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}} [\gamma(u)\gamma(u - p + q) + \gamma(u + q)\gamma(u - p)].$$

Remark: note that we can again take $\mu = 0$ without loss of generality.

Proof, Step 1 : FIR approximation, again

The proof follows the same path but under the stronger assumption used in this theorem, Step 1 leads to a stronger consequence.

Definition : m -dependent processes

A process $(W_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is said to be m -dependent if for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(W_s)_{s \leq t}$ is independent of $(W_s)_{s > t+m}$.

Consequence of FIR approximation

If $Z \sim \text{IID}(0, \sigma^2)$, then $X^{(m)}$ is a $(2m)$ -dependent approximation of X .

Proof, Step 2 : independent blocks approximations

An m -dependent sequence can be approximated by a sequence of independent blocks :

$$W_1, \dots, W_k, W_{k+1}, \dots, W_{k+m}, W_{k+m+1}, \dots, W_{2k+m}, \dots,$$

$$\underbrace{W_1, \dots, W_k}_{\text{block 1}}, \cancel{W_{k+1}, \dots, W_{k+m}}, \underbrace{W_{k+m+1}, \dots, W_{2k+m}}_{\text{block 2}}, \dots,$$

Consequence

Show that the approximation lemma can be applied and use the usual central limit theorem on the sequence of independent blocks.

- 1 Asymptotic statistics for time series
- 2 An illustrative example with R

```
#####
#           Examples stat. inference           #
#           ARMA FORECASTING                   #
#####
plotarimapred <- function(x,myorder=c(0,0,0),ratio=0.9){
  # select a part for arma modeling and then forecast
  dur <- end(x)[1]-start(x)[1]
  y <- window(x,start=start(x)[1],end=start(x)[1]+dur*ratio)
  yf <- window(x,start=start(x)[1]+dur*ratio,end=end(x)[1])
  # fit an ARMA(1,1) model (with mean)
  est <- arima(y,order=myorder)
  print(est)
  yp <- predict(est,n.ahead=length(x)-floor(length(x)*ratio))
  ts.plot(y,yf,yp$pred,yp$pred+1.96*yp$se,yp$pred-1.96*yp$se,
    col=c(1,2,3,4,4))
}

# SOI Southern Oscillation Index
# pressure differences Tahiti - Darwin (Pacific Ocean)
#(ne. -> El Nino/ pos. -> La nina)
x <- t(read.table("~/data/dataset/climate/soi.tsv"))
x <- x[is.finite(x)]
soi <- ts(x,start=1951,frequency=12)
op <- par(mfrow=c(3,1))
acf(soi)
pacf(soi)
ts.plot(soi)
abline(h=0)
par(op)
plotarimapred(soi,myorder=c(0,0,0),ratio=0.9)
plotarimapred(soi,myorder=c(3,0,0),ratio=0.9)
plotarimapred(soi,myorder=c(2,0,2),ratio=0.9)
graphics.off()

# Steel import time series
steeldat <- paste("~/data/dataset/financial/macro/",
  'steel-sheets-shipments-usa.csv',sep='')
st <- read.csv(steeldat,header=TRUE,sep=";")
```



```

# this is monthly data
all <- ts(st$Steel.Sheets.Shipments.for.United.States,
          start=1919,frequency=12)
ts.plot(all)
plotarimapred(all,myorder=c(0,0,0),ratio=0.9)
plotarimapred(all,myorder=c(0,1,0),ratio=0.9)
plotarimapred(all,myorder=c(2,1,5),ratio=0.9)
graphics.off()
# Volatility prediction for sp500 index
spdata <- paste('~data/dataset/financial/sp500/',
                'sp500-1950--2010.csv',sep='')
sp <- read.csv(spdata,header=TRUE,sep=",")
attach(sp)
plot(as.POSIXct(Date),Open, type='l',xlab='Date',ylab="SP500 index")
# order dates and select post 2009
ind <- order(Date[as.POSIXct(Date) >
                  as.POSIXct("2009-01-01")])
r <- ts(diff(log(Open[ind])))
sd <- Date[ind[2:length(ind)]]
plot(as.POSIXct(sd),r, type='l',xlab='Date',ylab="SP500 index log returns")
# correlations for log returns and squared log returns
nn <- 15
op <- par(mfrow=c(2,1))
acf(r, lag.max=nn, main='Log-returns autocor')
par(op)
# Squarred log-returns
op <- par(mfrow=c(2,1))
acf(r**2,lag.max=nn)
pacf(r**2,lag.max=nn)
par(op)
plotarimapred(r**2,myorder=c(1,0,1))

```