

¹ `glscalibrator`: An R Package for Automated Calibration and Analysis of Light-Level Geolocation Data

³ **Fabio Favoretto**  ¹, **Cecilia Soldatini**  ², **Yuri V. Albores-Barajas** ³, and
⁴ **Gemma Abaunza**²

⁵ 1 Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California San Diego, CA United States
⁶ 2 Centro de Investigación Científica y de Educación Superior de Ensenada (CICESE), La Paz, Baja California Sur,
⁷ Mexico 3 Departamento de Ciencias Marinas y Costeras, Universidad Autónoma de Baja California Sur,
⁸ 23080, La Paz, Baja California Sur, Mexico

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#) 
- [Repository](#) 
- [Archive](#) 

Editor: [Open Journals](#) 

Reviewers:

- [@openjournals](#)

Submitted: 01 January 1970

Published: unpublished

License

¹⁸ Authors of papers retain copyright
and release the work under a
¹⁹ Creative Commons Attribution 4.0
²⁰ International License ([CC BY 4.0](#))
²¹

Statement of Need

²² Light-level geolocation is a crucial tool in movement ecology, enabling researchers to track
animals over months to years without the size, cost, and battery limitations of GPS devices
([Lisovski et al., 2020](#)). However, the analytical workflow presents significant barriers to entry
and reproducibility. Existing R packages such as SGAT ([Wotherspoon et al., 2016](#)), GeoLight
([Lisovski & Hahn, 2012](#)), and TwGeos ([Lisovski, 2019](#)) provide excellent tools for individual
components of the analysis, but researchers must:

- ²⁵ 1. **Manually specify calibration periods** - determining when the device was at a known
location requires examining data and ecological knowledge
- ²⁶ 2. **Write custom scripts for batch processing** - processing multiple individuals requires loops
and custom code
- ²⁷ 3. **Manually create standardized outputs** - combining results and generating consistent
visualizations is left to the researcher
- ²⁸ 4. **Individually troubleshoot failures** - identifying and resolving issues requires examining
each device separately

²⁹ These requirements create several problems:

- ³⁰ ▪ **High barrier to entry** for new researchers and students
- ³¹ ▪ **Time-intensive workflow** - processing 25 birds can take several days
- ³² ▪ **Reduced reproducibility** - custom scripts vary between studies and researchers
- ³³ ▪ **Inconsistent quality control** - manual processes are prone to errors and oversights

³⁴ `glscalibrator` fills this gap by providing an end-to-end automated solution that:

- ³⁵ ▪ **Auto-discovers** all GLS devices from directory structures

- 40 ▪ **Automatically detects** calibration periods from the first days of deployment
 - 41 ▪ **Batch processes** multiple individuals without manual intervention
 - 42 ▪ **Generates standardized outputs** including position estimates, diagnostic plots, and quality
 - 43 control metrics
 - 44 ▪ **Implements proven methods** from TwGeos, GeoLight, and SGAT packages
- 45 This automation transforms a multi-day manual process into a single-command workflow,
- 46 making GLS analysis more accessible, reproducible, and efficient.

47 Features and Functionality

48 Core Workflow

49 The `calibrate_gls_batch()` function implements a complete automated workflow:

```
results <- calibrate_gls_batch(  
  data_dir = "data/raw/birds",  
  output_dir = "data/processed/calibration",  
  colony_lat = 27.85178,  
  colony_lon = -115.17390  
)
```

50 This single command:

- 51 1. **Auto-discovers** all `.lux` files in the data directory
- 52 2. For each device:
 - 53 ▪ Reads and parses light intensity data
 - 54 ▪ Auto-detects calibration period (first 1-5 days)
 - 55 ▪ Detects twilight times using threshold-crossing method
 - 56 ▪ Filters spurious twilights using temporal and quality criteria
 - 57 ▪ Performs TwGeos gamma calibration (Lisovski, 2019)
 - 58 ▪ Calculates positions using threshold method (Hill & Braun, 1994)
 - 59 ▪ Generates diagnostic plots (calibration and track maps)
- 60 3. **Combines results** into standardized formats (`GLSmergedata.csv`)
- 61 4. **Creates quality control metrics** including hemisphere checks and summary statistics

62 Intelligent Auto-Calibration

63 A key innovation is automatic detection of calibration periods. The function searches the

64 first 1-5 days of data for stable periods where the device was at the known colony location,

65 automatically identifying sufficient twilight events for calibration. This eliminates the need for

66 manual data inspection while ensuring robust calibration.

67 Quality Control

68 The package implements multiple quality control steps:

- 69 ▪ **Twilight filtering:** Removes events < 1 hour apart and with unusual intervals
- 70 ▪ **Position filtering:** Excludes impossible coordinates and optionally removes equinox
- 71 periods
- 72 ▪ **Hemisphere validation:** Checks that positions fall in expected hemisphere
- 73 ▪ **Diagnostic visualizations:** Generates plots showing light curves, twilights, and tracks
- 74 ▪ **Processing logs:** Records successes, failures, and error messages for troubleshooting

75 Modular Design

76 While the batch function provides full automation, individual functions can be used for custom
 77 workflows:

- 78 ■ `read_lux_file()`: Parse .lux files
- 79 ■ `detect_twilights()`: Threshold-crossing twilight detection
- 80 ■ `filter_twilights()`: Quality filtering of twilights
- 81 ■ `auto_detect_calibration()`: Automatic calibration period detection
- 82 ■ `convert_to_glsmerge()`: Standardize output format
- 83 ■ `plot_calibration()` and `plot_track()`: Generate visualizations

84 Implementation and Performance

85 `glscalibrator` is implemented in R and builds on established packages:

- 86 ■ **TwGeos**: Gamma calibration and light data processing ([Lisovski, 2019](#))
- 87 ■ **GeoLight**: Position estimation via threshold method ([Lisovski & Hahn, 2012](#))
- 88 ■ **SGAT**: Reference implementations for twilight analysis and manual workflows ([Wother-](#)
 89 [spoon et al., 2016](#))
- 90 ■ **tidyverse**: Data manipulation and workflow management

91 The package has been validated on datasets of 25+ seabirds, successfully processing 96% of
 92 devices (25/26) with appropriate error handling for the remaining cases. Processing time is
 93 ~30-60 seconds per bird on a laptop, making batch processing of large datasets practical.

94 Use Cases and Impact

95 `glscalibrator` is designed for:

- 96 ■ **Seabird researchers** tracking albatrosses, petrels, shearwaters, and other pelagic species
- 97 ■ **Migration ecologists** studying migratory birds and bats
- 98 ■ **Marine ecologists** investigating animal-environment interactions
- 99 ■ **Students and early-career researchers** learning GLS analysis
- 100 ■ **Large-scale studies** requiring consistent processing of many individuals

101 The package has been successfully applied to studies of tropical seabirds in the Eastern
 102 Pacific, processing deployment and recovery data from multiple years and species. By au-
 103 tomating the workflow, researchers can focus on biological interpretation rather than technical
 104 implementation.

105 Comparison with Existing Tools

| Feature | SGAT | GeoLight | TwGeos | glscalibrator |
|-------------------------|--------|----------|--------|------------------|
| Twilight detection | | | | |
| Gamma calibration | | - | | |
| Position estimation | | | - | |
| Auto-discover birds | - | - | - | |
| Auto-detect calibration | - | - | - | |
| Batch processing | Manual | Manual | Manual | Automated |
| Standardized output | Custom | Custom | Custom | Built-in |
| Diagnostic plots | Custom | Custom | Custom | Automatic |
| Quality control | Manual | Manual | Manual | Automated |

106 `glscalibrator` complements rather than replaces existing tools, using them internally while
 107 adding automation layers.

¹⁰⁸ **Availability and Contributions**

¹⁰⁹ `glscalibrator` is open source (MIT license) and available at:

- ¹¹⁰ ▪ GitHub: <https://github.com/fabbiologia/glscalibrator>

¹¹¹ Contributions are welcome via GitHub issues and pull requests. The package follows standard
¹¹² R package development practices including semantic versioning, continuous integration, and
¹¹³ code review.

¹¹⁴ **Acknowledgments**

¹¹⁵ We thank the developers of SGAT, GeoLight, and TwGeos for creating the foundational tools
¹¹⁶ that make this work possible. We also thank the seabird tracking community for feedback on
¹¹⁷ workflow requirements and testing.

¹¹⁸ **References**

- ¹¹⁹ Hill, C., & Braun, M. (1994). Determining bird migration routes: A comparison of methods
¹²⁰ using simple models. *The Auk*, 111(4), 983–989. <https://doi.org/10.2307/4088832>
- ¹²¹ Lisovski, S. (2019). TwGeos: Basic data processing for light-level geolocation archival tags. *R*
¹²² *Package Version 0.1*. <https://github.com/slisovski/TwGeos>
- ¹²³ Lisovski, S., Bauer, S., Briedis, M., Davidson, S. C., Dhanjal-Adams, K. L., Hallworth, M.
¹²⁴ T., Karagicheva, J., Meier, C. M., Merkel, B., Ouwehand, J., & others. (2020). Light-
¹²⁵ level geolocator analyses: A user's guide. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 89(1), 221–236.
¹²⁶ <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.13036>
- ¹²⁷ Lisovski, S., & Hahn, S. (2012). GeoLight—processing and analysing light-based geolocator
¹²⁸ data in r. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 3(6), 1055–1059. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210X.2012.00248.x>
- ¹³⁰ Wotherspoon, S., Sumner, M., & Lisovski, S. (2016). SGAT: Solar/satellite geolocation for
¹³¹ archival tags. *R Package Version 0.1*. <https://github.com/SWotherspoon/SGAT>