Claim

Claim: "

This is an authentic image of an elderly Palestinian women being attacked by an Israeli army dog in June 2024."

Actions

```
reverse_search(![image 65](media/99.jpg))
web_search("Israeli army dog attack Palestinian woman")
```

Evidence

Evidence from `reverse_search`

The image

Evidence from `web_search`

One source, from Al-Haq (https://www.alhaq.org/monitoring-documentation/6941.html), describes an incident from December 2011 where an Israeli soldier unleashed a dog on a Palestinian woman. The article includes a photo of a dog attacking a woman.

Another source, from Middle East Eye (https://www.middleeasteye.net/news/israeli-army-dog-mauled-palestinian-pregnant-woman-then-she-lost-baby), published on January 6, 2025, discusses an attack by an Israeli combat dog on a pregnant Palestinian woman in late October 2023. The image

Elaboration

The provided evidence does not confirm the authenticity of the image. The reverse image search did not yield any results. The web search revealed two incidents involving Israeli army dogs attacking Palestinian women, but neither of them matches the image in the claim. One incident occurred in December 2011, and the other in late October 2023. The claim states the image is from June 2024.

Based on the evidence, there is no information to verify the claim that the image is authentic.

Actions

```
image_search("dog attacking woman digital art")
web_search("image dog attacking woman IN VISUAL ART")
```

Evidence

Evidence from `image_search`

The search results include a vector image of a dog biting a woman from Shutterstock (https://www.shutterstock.com/search/dog-bite-woman?image_type=vector)

and a painting titled "Attack of the 50 ft. Woman and 20 ft. Long Basset Hound" from Fine Art America (https://fineartamerica.com/art/paintings/dog+attack)

. Additionally, a fact-check article debunks the image

as AI-generated.

Evidence from `web_search`

The Getty Images website (https://www.gettyimages.com/photos/dog-attack-victim)
provides stock photos and illustrations related to "dog attack victim"

Elaboration

The image

Final Judgement

The image is likely AI-generated or digitally altered, as indicated by the "IN VISUAL ART" watermark and the fact-check article. The claim misrepresents the image as an authentic depiction of an attack. Therefore, the claim is misleading. `misleading`

Verdict: MISLEADING

Justification

The claim is misleading. The image in question,

, is likely AI-generated or digitally altered, as indicated by the "IN VISUAL ART" watermark and a fact-check article that debunks the image as AI-generated. The claim misrepresents the image as an authentic depiction of an attack.