November 22, 2023 Time: 90 minutes

| Full name: |  |  |  |
|------------|--|--|--|
| Initials:  |  |  |  |

## Instructions

- This is a *closed book* exam. No course material or other additional material is allowed.
- Fill in your full name as well as your initials in block letters at the top of this page.
- Write your initials on each page.
- This exam consists of 12 pages. Make sure you have all pages.
- If you have questions, raise your hand to clarify any uncertainties.
- Use the designated space for your answers. You may use the back of the page as additional space. If you do, indicate that your answer continues on the back.
- Write clearly and legibly. Only readable answers give points.
- Sign the declaration of academic integrity below.
- Good luck with the exam!

| Question: | 1 | 2 | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7 | 8  | 9 | Total |
|-----------|---|---|----|----|----|----|---|----|---|-------|
| Points:   | 6 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 16 | 8 | 12 | 6 | 90    |
| Score:    |   |   |    |    |    |    |   |    |   |       |

## **Declaration of Academic Integrity**

By signing below, I pledge that the answers of this exam are my own work without the assistance of others or the usage of unauthorized material or information.

| Signature:    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |   |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---|
| Digital di C. | <br> | • |

| 1. <b>Int</b> | roduction to NLP  |
|---------------|---|
| (a            | ) [2 points] What is the idea of the NLP pipeline?  |
|               |   |
|               |   |
|               |   |
|               |   |
|               |   |
|               |   |
| (b)           | ) [2 points] Name as many stages as you know.   |
|               |   |
|               |   |
|               |   |
|               |   |
|               |   |
|               |   |
| (c)           | ) [2 points] Which stages of the NLP pipeline are taken care of by pretrained neural networks (such as BERT), and which stages do still have to be done by our (or a library's) code? |
|               |   |
|               |   |
|               |   |
|               |   |
|               |   |
|               |   |

| 2. Embeddings |
|---------------|
|---------------|

| (b) [4 points] In topic modeling, we perform topic analysis by manually assig topic names, for example by inspecting the words with the highest weight a given topic. In class, we saw an example where we assigned the topic n arts to a topic with the words new, film, show, music, movie, play, musical, actor, and opera. A cheap automatic solution is to pick the word with the hig weight, but this may not be representative of the topic; in the previous exam we would have picked new. Suggest a better fully automatic solution.    | (a) | [4 points] Why is cosine similarity a good word similarity metric for word2vec embeddings but not for one-hot encoding?   |
|--|-----|---|
| (b) [4 points] In topic modeling, we perform topic analysis by manually assig topic names, for example by inspecting the words with the highest weight a given topic. In class, we saw an example where we assigned the topic n arts to a topic with the words new, film, show, music, movie, play, musical, actor, and opera. A cheap automatic solution is to pick the word with the hig weight, but this may not be representative of the topic; in the previous exam we would have picked new. Suggest a better fully automatic solution.    |     |   |
| (b) [4 points] In topic modeling, we perform topic analysis by manually assig topic names, for example by inspecting the words with the highest weight a given topic. In class, we saw an example where we assigned the topic n arts to a topic with the words new, film, show, music, movie, play, musical, actor, and opera. A cheap automatic solution is to pick the word with the hig weight, but this may not be representative of the topic; in the previous exam we would have picked new. Suggest a better fully automatic solution.    |     |   |
| (b) [4 points] In topic modeling, we perform topic analysis by manually assig topic names, for example by inspecting the words with the highest weight a given topic. In class, we saw an example where we assigned the topic narts to a topic with the words new, film, show, music, movie, play, musical, actor, and opera. A cheap automatic solution is to pick the word with the hig weight, but this may not be representative of the topic; in the previous exame we would have picked new. Suggest a better fully automatic solution.    |     |   |
| (b) [4 points] In topic modeling, we perform topic analysis by manually assig topic names, for example by inspecting the words with the highest weight a given topic. In class, we saw an example where we assigned the topic n arts to a topic with the words new, film, show, music, movie, play, musical, actor, and opera. A cheap automatic solution is to pick the word with the hig weight, but this may not be representative of the topic; in the previous exam we would have picked new. Suggest a better fully automatic solution.    |     |   |
| (b) [4 points] In topic modeling, we perform topic analysis by manually assig topic names, for example by inspecting the words with the highest weight a given topic. In class, we saw an example where we assigned the topic n arts to a topic with the words new, film, show, music, movie, play, musical, actor, and opera. A cheap automatic solution is to pick the word with the hig weight, but this may not be representative of the topic; in the previous example would have picked new. Suggest a better fully automatic solution.    |     |   |
| (b) [4 points] In topic modeling, we perform topic analysis by manually assig topic names, for example by inspecting the words with the highest weight a given topic. In class, we saw an example where we assigned the topic n arts to a topic with the words new, film, show, music, movie, play, musical, actor, and opera. A cheap automatic solution is to pick the word with the hig weight, but this may not be representative of the topic; in the previous example we would have picked new. Suggest a better fully automatic solution. |     |   |
| (b) [4 points] In topic modeling, we perform topic analysis by manually assig topic names, for example by inspecting the words with the highest weight a given topic. In class, we saw an example where we assigned the topic n arts to a topic with the words new, film, show, music, movie, play, musical, actor, and opera. A cheap automatic solution is to pick the word with the hig weight, but this may not be representative of the topic; in the previous exam we would have picked new. Suggest a better fully automatic solution.    |     |   |
| (b) [4 points] In topic modeling, we perform topic analysis by manually assig topic names, for example by inspecting the words with the highest weight a given topic. In class, we saw an example where we assigned the topic narts to a topic with the words new, film, show, music, movie, play, musical, actor, and opera. A cheap automatic solution is to pick the word with the hig weight, but this may not be representative of the topic; in the previous example we would have picked new. Suggest a better fully automatic solution.  |     |   |
| topic names, for example by inspecting the words with the highest weight a given topic. In class, we saw an example where we assigned the topic narts to a topic with the words new, film, show, music, movie, play, musical, actor, and opera. A cheap automatic solution is to pick the word with the hig weight, but this may not be representative of the topic; in the previous example we would have picked new. Suggest a better fully automatic solution.  |     |   |
|  | (b) | topic names, for example by inspecting the words with the highest weight for a given topic. In class, we saw an example where we assigned the topic name arts to a topic with the words new, film, show, music, movie, play, musical, best actor, and opera. A cheap automatic solution is to pick the word with the highest weight, but this may not be representative of the topic; in the previous example |
|  |     |   |
|  |     |   |
|  |     |   |
|  |     |   |
|  |     |   |
|  |     |   |
|  |     |   |
|  |     |   |
|  |     |   |

| 3     | Recurrent      | Neural | Networ          | ·ks |
|-------|----------------|--------|-----------------|-----|
| . ) . | - LLCCUII CIII |        | _ 1 1 C 0 W O 1 | n., |

| (a) | fox  | train a tri-gram language model on the following data: "the quick brown<br>jumps over the lazy dog. the fox jumps across the field." N-grams do not<br>ss sentence boundaries. Give all probabilities as fractions. |
|-----|------|---|
|     | i.   | [2 points] What is the probability of the next word being "over" given the prefix "the quick red fox jumps"?  |
|     |      |   |
|     | ii.  |   |
|     |      |   |
|     |      |   |
|     | iii. | [4 points] What is the probability of the next word being "down" given the prefix "the fox jumps" when you use a tri-gram LM with add-one smoothing?  |
|     |      |   |
|     |      |   |
|     |      |   |
|     |      |   |
|     |      |   |
|     |      |   |
| (b) | Exp  | ploding gradients in RNNs.  |
|     | i.   | [2 points] Explain how gradients can explode in RNNs.   |
|     |      |   |
|     |      |   |
|     |      |   |
|     | ii.  | [2 points] Name two techniques (not neural network architectures) to fix exploding gradients.   |
|     |      |   |
|     |      |   |
|     |      |   |

## 4. Attention

(a) [12 points] The code below shows the forward pass of a decoder LSTM with attention. Unfortunately, a part of the loop over decoder time steps has been lost. Reconstruct it with the help of some of the functions below. Write Python code (exact syntax will not be graded). The number of dotted lines is no indication of how many code lines you need. If you use a different version of attention in RNNs than the one we have used in class, describe it.

- F.layer\_norm
- F.relu
- F.softmax
- self.compute\_context\_vector
- self.project\_to\_higher\_dim
- self.project\_to\_lower\_dim
- self.project\_to\_same\_dim

- torch.add
- torch.cat
- torch.matmul
- torch.mul
- torch.ones
- torch.stack
- torch.zeros

```
def forward(self, y, encoder_hidden_states):
    h = torch.zeros(self.hidden_dim)
    c = torch.zeros(self.hidden_dim)
    hidden_states = []

# loop over the target sequence
for y_i in y:

h, c = self.cell(y_i, (h, c)) # LSTM cell forward pass

hidden_states append(h)

return torch.stack(hidden_states), (h, c)
```

| 5. | Transformer |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----|-------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|    | (a)         | [4 points] Name 2 fundamental reasons why the Transformer outperforms the RNN.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |             |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |             |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |             |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |             |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |             |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |             |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    | (b)         | [4 points] Why do we need position encoding in the Transformer, but not in the RNN?   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |             |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |             |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |             |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |             |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |             |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |             |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    | (c)         | [2 points] What is the big weakness of the attention mechanism in the Transformer, and the main reason researchers have been looking at recurrent architectures again in the past year? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |             |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |             |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |             |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |             |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |             |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |             |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| •  | <b>D</b> |     |     |    |
|----|----------|-----|-----|----|
| 6. | Pret     | rai | nır | լջ |

Here is an example from Winogrande: Sarah was a much better surgeon than Maria so \_ always got the easier cases. The options are Sarah and Maria. (a) [3 points] Explain why this example (and the entire dataset) is hard to solve for word embeddings, as opposed to RNNs and Transformers. (b) [3 points] Explain why this example (and the entire dataset) is hard to solve for a model finetuned from a randomly initialized neural network, as opposed to a pretrained one. (c) [5 points] Create a one-shot prompt for which a good model should output the correct solution to the introductory example in this section (by actually solving the task, not just repeating/outputting the solution).

(d) [5 points] Create a one-shot chain-of-thought prompt for the above example.

NLP exam FS24

Initials:

| $\overline{}$ | M    | O 1:       |
|---------------|------|------------|
| 1.            | Text | Generation |

| (a) | Nuc | cleus sampling.                                    |   |
|-----|-----|--|---|
|     | i.  |  | by you have to set for $p$ in Nucleus sampling to get dy decoding? Round to 2 decimal points.   |
|     |     |  |   |
|     |     |  |   |
|     | ii. | next word predictions ar                           | as sampling with $p = 0.75$ to the model's following and associated probabilities. Write down the updated applicated probability, in fractions) we sample our nexampling. |
|     |     | $\bullet$ where $-0.4$                             | $\bullet$ such $-0.05$  |
|     |     | • that – 0.2                                       | ullet in $-0.04$  |
|     |     | • which – 0.1                                      | • a - 0.02  |
|     |     | • so – 0.1   | • 0.01  |
|     |     |  |   |
|     |     |  |   |
|     |     |  |   |
|     |     |  |   |
|     |     |  |   |
|     |     |  |   |
|     |     |  |   |
|     |     |  |   |
| (b) | Wh  | hy? (Hint: 1 mile = $1.6098$                       | g candidate translation achieve high BLEU score 34 kilometers)  |
|     |     | ndidate: I ran a mile.<br>Terence: My running went | for 1.61 kilometers.  |
|     |     |  |   |
|     |     |  |   |
|     |     |  |   |
|     |     |  |   |
|     |     |  |   |
|     |     |  |   |

## 8. Text Classification

| (a) | [12 points] Your colleague AM has been working on a text classification task and created a pull request (see next page). You were assigned to peer review his code. Check the code for correctness and efficiency, and suggest improvements State the line number, what needs to be changed and why. You do not have to provide the implementation for the changes. You can assume that all imports are present and the comments are not misleading. |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|     | are present and the comments are not misleading.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |  |  |

```
def evaluate():
       # read data
       data = load_dataset('winogrande', 'winogrande_l',

    split='validation')

       # preprocess
       processed = []
       stemmer = nltk.stem.PorterStemmer()
       for example in data:
           options = []
           options.append(stemmer.stem(example['option1'].lower()))
10
           options.append(stemmer.stem(example['option1'].lower()))
11
           options = sorted(options)
12
           sentence = f"Sentence: {example['sentence']}, Option 1:
13
            → {options[0]}, Option 2: {options[1]}"
           processed.append(sentence)
14
15
       # load model
16
       tokenizer = AutoTokenizer.from_pretrained(
17
            'google-bert/bert-base-cased'
       )
       model = AutoModelForSequenceClassification.from_pretrained(
20
            'google-bert/bert-base-cased'
21
       )
22
23
       # run evaluation
24
       inputs = tokenizer(processed, padding=True)
       outputs = model(**inputs)
27
       # outputs.logits shape: [batch_size, seq_len, hidden_dim]
28
       logits = outputs.logits[:, -1]
29
       if self.num_classes == 1:
30
           predictions = torch.sigmoid(logits)
       else:
           predictions = torch.nn.Softmax(logits)
34
       # normalize and regularize
35
       predictions = F.layer_norm(predictions)
36
       predictions = F.dropout(predictions)
37
       return predictions
39
```

Evaluation function for text classification.

| 9. Research Topics and Guest | Keseai | esearch Lobic | cs and v | Guest | Lectures |
|------------------------------|--------|---------------|----------|-------|----------|
|------------------------------|--------|---------------|----------|-------|----------|

| (a) | that a large language model gives for the same question can flip for various reasons. Devise a strategy which can reduce the uncertainty in the output label.  |  |  |  |
|-----|--|--|--|--|
|     |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |
|     | to evaluate them. In contrast, the tokenizer is cheap to download and run. Given a list of medical terms you care about and only the tokenizers of your candidate models, how can you select the most promising models for an in-depth evaluation? |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |